

**Question for written answer P-002238/2018  
to the Commission**

Rule 130

**Elisabetta Gardini (PPE), Lorenzo Cesa (PPE), Massimiliano Salini (PPE), Peter Liese (PPE), Lara Comi (PPE), Marijana Petir (PPE), Tomáš Zdechovský (PPE), Miroslav Mikolášik (PPE) and Alojz Peterle (PPE)**

Subject: The case of Alfie Evans: freedom of choice and of movement of the child

The decisions taken by the British judicial system in the case of Alfie Evans undermine several fundamental principles contained in the European Convention on Human Rights, including the intangibility of life, the freedom of choice of the family, and in particular the right to receive care and the right of movement within Europe for the citizens of a Member State.

It is anomalous that a Member State of the European Union, which the United Kingdom still is, should invoke the 'superior interest of the minor', on which the British judges have based their verdict, and which will coincide with letting the child die without a single formulated diagnosis, without any concrete therapeutic obstinacy and in contradiction of the wishes of the family.

Given the above, does the Commission not consider it advisable to bring these issues to the attention of the UK Government, in the interests of the freedom of choice and of movement of the child and the parents?