

**Priority question for written answer P-000319/2022
to the Commission**

Rule 138

Anna Cavazzini (Verts/ALE), **Heidi Hautala** (Verts/ALE)

Subject: Regulatory Scrutiny Board and the sustainable corporate governance initiative

In December 2021, news reports revealed that the Regulatory Scrutiny Board (RSB) had issued a second negative opinion on the impact assessment for the upcoming proposal on sustainable corporate governance (SCG). This has caused yet another delay to an initiative that is crucial to achieving the goals of the European Green Deal and which has political support from Parliament and the Council. The 2015 communication entitled 'Regulatory Scrutiny Board – Mission, tasks and staff' states that members of the RSB should have expertise in economics and environmental and social policy.

1. Can the Commission clarify why the RSB issued a second negative opinion on the SCG initiative and whether its opinion relates only to the quality of the impact assessment or whether it concerns political decisions to be made by the Commission?
2. What kind of environmental and social policy expertise did the RSB members who reviewed the SCG initiative have?
3. Can the Commission provide a list of all impact assessments on which the RSB has issued two negative opinions since it was first established in 2015?