## Priority question for written answer P-002589/2022 to the Commission

Rule 138 Biljana Borzan (S&D)

Subject: Physical therapy

On 4 July 2019 the Government of Croatia sent an official letter of intent to join the European Exchange Rate Mechanism II<sup>1</sup>. This letter was accompanied by an action plan with internal reform measures that were approved on 8 July 2019<sup>2</sup>.

Measure 6.3.3. states: 'Enabling equal access to open public tenders for the provision of physical therapy services for all interested parties [...]'. The deliverable linked to this measure states: 'Croatian Health Insurance Fund announcing a tender for the provision of physical therapy services [...]'.

On 8 July 2020 the Commission announced that the action plan had been fulfilled. The technical report<sup>3</sup> states that the 'Croatian Health Insurance Fund concluded contracts with two new providers of in-home physical therapy, following a tendering procedure [...]'.

Nevertheless, this procedure was only open to providers authorised by the Croatian Ministry of Health to work in the field of in-home physical therapy<sup>4</sup>. This tender excludes physical therapy providers authorised to work under the Croatian Physical Therapy Law<sup>5</sup>.

Given that there is no equal access for all physical therapists, is the Commission's analysis of the fulfilment of Croatia's action plan correct?

https://www.consilium.europa.eu/media/40282/letter-of-intent.pdf

https://www.consilium.europa.eu/en/press/press-releases/2019/07/08/statement-on-croatia-s-path-towardserm-ii-participation/

<sup>3</sup> https://ec.europa.eu/info/sites/default/files/economy-finance/com\_opinion\_on\_hr\_erm-ii.pdf

<sup>4</sup> https://hzzo.hr/novosti/natjecaj-za-sklapanje-ugovora-o-provodenju-fizikalne-terapije-u-kuci-bolesnika-za-potrebe

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> https://narodne-novine.nn.hr/clanci/sluzbeni/2008\_10\_120\_3437.html