

**Priority question for written answer P-001093/2023
to the Commission**

Rule 138

Andrzej Halicki (PPE), Elżbieta Katarzyna Łukacijewska (PPE), Ewa Kopacz (PPE), Tomasz Frankowski (PPE), Jerzy Buzek (PPE), Jarosław Duda (PPE)

Subject: EU type-approval of the Smart Kid Belt

I would like to thank the Commission for its answer to my previous question on the polish authorities' type-approval of the Smart Kid Belt.

An article¹ by the journalist Łukasz Zboralski was recently published in the Polish media, outlining evidence of the controversial action taken by the Commission's Directorate-General for Internal Market, Industry, Entrepreneurship and SMEs (DG GROW). We would be grateful if the Commission would clarify the situation described in the article. We would like the Commission to answer the following questions in particular:

1. Is the Commission aware of the steps that have been taken, which simultaneously defend child restraint systems (CRS) manufacturers based outside the EU and fail to support EU CRS manufacturers?
2. Is the Commission aware that the proposed measures, together with the subsequent decisions, will eliminate an individual product from the market – as the Commission itself acknowledges – regardless of safety test results?
3. On what basis has the Commission been taking action with regard to the type-approval of the Smart Kid Belt since 2018, when the first tests on the product were authorised two years later, i.e. in 2020?

Supporter²

Submitted: 30.3.2023

¹ <https://www.brd24.pl/spoleczenstwo/jak-urzednicy-komisji-europejskiej-pomagali-duzym-firmom-wyeliminowac-polskie-urzadzenie-do-przewozu-dzieci/>

² This question is supported by a Member other than the authors: Adam Jarubas(PPE)