



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

Committee on Fisheries

2013/0136(COD)

27.1.2014

OPINION

of the Committee on Fisheries

for the Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

on the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council
on Animal Health
(COM(2013)0260 – C7-0124/2013 – 2013/0136(COD))

Rapporteur: Isabella Lövin

PA_Legam

SHORT JUSTIFICATION

The Commission's proposal on a new EU regulation on animal health is intended to be the legal framework to support the Animal Health Strategy published in 2007. Bearing in mind that this proposal limits its scope to only deal with transmissible diseases, and that the Commission intends to propose a new regulation concerning animal welfare specifically, the rapporteur still considers that some parts of the present proposal needs to be strengthened to reflect the overall EU objective of improving animal welfare. As stated in the Treaty of the Functioning of the EU (TFEU), article 13: *"In formulating and implementing the Union's agriculture, fisheries, transport, internal market, research and technological development and space policies, the Union and the Member States shall, since animals are sentient beings, pay full regard to the welfare requirements of animals"*.

There is a well-established link between animal welfare and animal health. Animals that are forced to live in stressful conditions develop a lower immune defence system, and are therefore more likely to attract, and develop diseases, including transmissible diseases. Since the EU Animal Health Strategy has the motto "Prevention is better than cure", the rapporteur proposes to introduce a new subparagraph to article 9.1 adding to the responsibilities of animal professionals to take appropriate animal welfare measures, with the aim of achieving good animal health. This is also in line with the intention of article 10 that gives animal professionals the obligation to acquire knowledge about the interaction between animal health and animal welfare, as well as knowledge about diseases and biosecurity principles.

One of the biggest threats to both human and animal health is the rapid development and spread of antibiotic resistance. According to WHO (2011), in the EU alone over 25 000 people die from infections caused by antibiotic-resistant bacteria each year. Antibiotic use in food animals allows resistant bacteria and resistant genes to spread from animals to humans through the food-chain. In aquaculture, improved management, including lower densities of fish and introduction of vaccines, can significantly reduce the usage of antibiotics. This illustrates the importance of disease prevention which is relevant to all food-animal production.

Parasites and diseases of all sorts, including transmissible diseases, are a plague in most aquaculture activities. A new disease, *francisella sp.*, was discovered in Norwegian farmed cod in 2005 and a few years later it was identified in wild cod on the west coast of Sweden. The interaction between farmed and wild fish is generally very large when aquaculture activities take place in the open sea, or in lakes. Wild fish are often found feeding around the cages, and farmed fish all too often escape from the cages during storms or when cages wreck out of other reasons. Therefore great attention has to be made to the risks of new transmissible diseases developing in aquaculture spreading to wild populations, not only risking the health of fish, but actually threatening entire ecosystems. If for instance wild cod were to be greatly impacted by a disease, it would not only affect the fishing industry, but also the balance between species in the food-web. Therefore the rapporteur believes it is extremely important to add to the article 9.1 (b) that operators have the responsibility not only prevent the spread of diseases between different kept animals, but also between kept animals and wild animals.

The definition of kept animals proposed by the Commission is not appropriate when it comes to aquatic animals, since it defines it as being solely “aquaculture animals”. Concerning aquatic animals, it is clear that transmissible aquatic diseases might also stem from animals kept in aquariums in restaurants or in private homes that are then released into the wild, in some cases by fishermen or anglers that tries to introduce new species. Therefore the last part of the definition of kept animals should be deleted.

Finally, most slaughter of aquaculture animals, even for the purpose of disease control, is executed in ordinary processing establishments. For a better understanding of requirements and responsibilities according to this regulation the terminology “processing establishments” should be used in parallel with “disease control aquatic food establishments”.

AMENDMENTS

The Committee on Fisheries calls on the Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development, as the committee responsible, to incorporate the following amendments in its report:

Amendment 1

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(3a) Protecting animal welfare is listed in the Treaty of the Functioning of the European Union (Title II) as one of the key principles that the Union should respect, on an equal footing with other key principles mentioned in the same title such as protecting human health, promoting sustainable development and ensuring consumer protection. Article 13 states that: "In formulating and implementing the Union's agriculture, fisheries, transport, internal market, research and technological development and space policies, the Union and the Member States shall, since animals are sentient beings, pay full regard to the welfare requirements of animals".

Amendment 2

Proposal for a regulation Article 1– paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. This Regulation lays down rules for the prevention and control of animal diseases, which are transmissible to animals or to humans.

Amendment

1. This Regulation lays down rules for the prevention and control of animal diseases, which are transmissible to animals or to humans ***and thereby promoting animal health***

Amendment 3

Proposal for a regulation Article 1 – paragraph 2 – point a – point - i (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(- i) an improved animal health;

Amendment 4

Proposal for a regulation Article 1– paragraph 2 – point b – point i – indent 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

- antibiotic resistance;

Amendment 5

Proposal for a regulation Article 4 – paragraph 1 – point 5

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(5) ‘kept animals’ means animals which are kept by humans; ***in the case of aquatic animals, aquaculture animals;***

(5) ‘kept animals’ means ***living*** animals which are kept by humans;

Justification

The definition of kept aquatic animals should also comprise ornamental animals, pet animals

and wild animals kept by humans. Many diseases are spread into the nature by ornamental aquatic animals meant for aquaria or wild caught crustaceans meant for restaurants. These animals need to be included for a better prevention and traceability of diseases.

Amendment 6

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1 – point 51

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(51) ‘disease control aquatic food establishment’ means a food business approved in accordance with the following provisions:

deleted

(a) Article 4 of Regulation (EC) No 853/2004, for processing aquaculture animals for food purposes;

(b) Article 177 of this Regulation for the slaughter of aquatic animals for disease control purposes in accordance with Title II of Part III.

Amendment 7

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1 – point 50 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(50a) ‘processing establishment’ means a food business approved in accordance with Article 4 of regulation (EC) No 853/2004 for processing aquaculture animals for food purpose.

Justification

Most slaughter of aquaculture animal, even for the purpose of disease control, is executed in ordinary processing establishments. For a better understanding of requirements and responsibilities according to this regulation the terminology ‘processing establishments’ should be used in parallel with ‘disease control aquatic food establishments’.

Amendment 8

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 1 – point 50 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(50b)'disease control aquatic food establishment' means a food business approved in accordance with Article 177 of this Regulation for the slaughter of aquatic animals for disease control purposes in accordance with Title II of Part IV.

Amendment 9

Proposal for a regulation

Article 5 – paragraph 2 – point a – point -i (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(-i) animal health;

Amendment 10

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) take appropriate biosecurity measures, taking into account the risks involved, to ensure the health of those kept animals and products and to prevent the introduction into, development and multiplication within and spread between and from such kept animals and products under their responsibility of diseases, except where that is specifically authorised for scientific purposes, as appropriate for:

(b) take appropriate biosecurity measures, taking into account the risks involved, to ensure the health of those kept animals and products and to prevent the introduction into, development and multiplication within and spread between and from such kept animals and products under their responsibility, ***or from such kept animals and wild animals***, of diseases, except where that is specifically authorised for scientific purposes, as appropriate for:

Amendment 11

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ba) take appropriate animal welfare measures with the aim of ensuring animal health.

Amendment 12

Proposal for a regulation

Article 9 – paragraph 1 – point b b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(bb) follow good practice in taking care of their animals, including good husbandry practice where relevant, including the reduction of the need to use antimicrobial drugs and so contributing to the prevention of the development and spread of antimicrobial resistance in kept animals and products.

Amendment 13

Proposal for a regulation

Article 10 – paragraph 1 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) general principles of development and spread of antimicrobial resistance.

Amendment 14

Proposal for a regulation

Article 11 – paragraph 1 – point c – point iii a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iiia) contributing to the responsible use of antimicrobial drugs in order to prevent development and spread of antimicrobial resistance;

Amendment 15

Proposal for a regulation

Article 12 – paragraph 1 – point c a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(ca) an action plan of disease prevention measures for the monitoring, prevention and control of transmissible animal diseases, with the aim of reducing the use of antibiotics and thereby the risk of development of antimicrobial resistance. The action plan shall be updated on regular basis.

Amendment 16

Proposal for a regulation

Article 46 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. The Member States **may** take measures concerning the use of veterinary medicinal products for **listed** diseases, to ensure the most efficient disease prevention or control for those diseases, provided that such measures comply with the rules on the use of veterinary medicinal products laid down in delegated acts adopted pursuant to Article 47.

1. The Member States **shall** take measures concerning the **responsible** use of veterinary medicinal products for **transmissible** diseases, to ensure the most efficient disease prevention or control for those diseases, provided that such measures comply with the rules on the use of veterinary medicinal products laid down in delegated acts adopted pursuant to Article 47.

Amendment 17

Proposal for a regulation Article 46 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Member States shall take the following criteria into consideration when determining whether or not to use and how to use veterinary medicinal products as disease prevention and control measures for a specific *listed* disease:

Amendment

2. Member States shall take the following criteria into consideration when determining whether or not to use and how to use veterinary medicinal products as disease prevention and control measures for a specific disease:

Amendment 18

Proposal for a regulation Article 47 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) specific conditions for the use of veterinary medicinal products for a specific *listed* disease;

Amendment

(b) specific conditions for the use of veterinary medicinal products for a specific disease;

Amendment 19

Proposal for a regulation Article 47 – paragraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) risk mitigating measures to prevent the spread of *listed* diseases through animals treated with the veterinary medicinal products or products from such animals;

Amendment

(d) risk mitigating measures to prevent the spread of diseases through animals treated with the veterinary medicinal products or products from such animals;

Amendment 20

Proposal for a regulation Article 47 – paragraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) surveillance following the use of

Amendment

(e) surveillance following the use of

vaccines and other veterinary medicinal products for specific *listed* diseases.

vaccines and other veterinary medicinal products for specific diseases.

Amendment 21

Proposal for a regulation Article 177 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Approval of disease control aquatic food establishments

Amendment

Approval of *processing establishments* *and* disease control aquatic food establishments

Amendment 22

Proposal for a regulation Article 177 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Operators of disease control aquatic food establishments shall ensure that their establishments are approved by the competent authority to slaughter aquatic animals for disease control purposes in accordance with Article 61(1)(b), Article 62 and Articles 68(1), 78(1) and (2) and the rules adopted pursuant to Article 63 and Articles 70(3), 71(3) and 78(3).

Amendment

1. Operators of *processing establishments* *and* disease control aquatic food establishments shall ensure that their establishments are approved by the competent authority to slaughter aquatic animals for disease control purposes in accordance with Article 61(1)(b), Article 62 and Articles 68(1), 78(1) and (2) and the rules adopted pursuant to Article 63 and Articles 70(3), 71(3) and 78(3).

Amendment 23

Proposal for a regulation PART IV – Title II – Chapter 1 – Section 3 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

REGISTER OF THE COMPETENT
AUTHORITY OF AQUACULTURE
ESTABLISHMENTS AND DISEASE
CONTROL AQUATIC FOOD
ESTABLISHMENTS

Amendment

REGISTER OF THE COMPETENT
AUTHORITY OF AQUACULTURE
ESTABLISHMENTS, *PROCESSING*
ESTABLISHMENTS AND DISEASE
CONTROL AQUATIC FOOD

ESTABLISHMENTS

Amendment 24

Proposal for a regulation

Article 183 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Register of aquaculture establishments and
disease control aquatic food establishments

Amendment

Register of aquaculture establishments,
processing establishments and disease
control aquatic food establishments

Amendment 25

Proposal for a regulation

Article 183 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) all disease control aquatic food
establishments approved in accordance
with Article 179(1).

Amendment

(c) all ***processing establishments and***
disease control aquatic food establishments
approved in accordance with Article
179(1).

Amendment 26

Proposal for a regulation

Article 186 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Record keeping obligation for disease
control aquatic food establishments

Amendment

Record keeping obligation for ***processing
establishments and*** disease control aquatic
food establishments

Amendment 27

Proposal for a regulation

Article 186 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Operators of disease control aquatic food

Amendment

1. Operators of ***processing establishments***

establishments subject to approval in accordance with Article 177 shall keep up-to-date records of all movement of aquaculture animals and products of animal origin obtained from such animals into and out of such establishments.

and disease control aquatic food establishments subject to approval in accordance with Article 177 shall keep up-to-date records of all movement of aquaculture animals and products of animal origin obtained from such animals into and out of such establishments.

Amendment 28

Proposal for a regulation Article 186 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Operators of disease control aquatic food establishments shall:

Amendment

2. Operators of ***processing establishments*** **and** disease control aquatic food establishments shall:

Amendment 29

Proposal for a regulation Article 187 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Transporters of aquaculture animals and wild aquatic animals intended for aquaculture shall keep up-to date records on:

Amendment

Transporters of aquaculture animals and wild aquatic animals intended for aquaculture ***or for release in a natural environment in order to replenish wild stocks*** shall keep up-to date records on:

Amendment 30

Proposal for a regulation Article 187 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Transporters of aquaculture animals and wild aquatic animals intended for aquaculture shall keep up-to date records on:

Amendment

1. Transporters of aquaculture animals and wild aquatic animals intended for aquaculture ***or release in natural waters*** shall keep up-to date records on:

Amendment 31

Proposal for a regulation Article 193 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Operators of establishments and food business establishments receiving ***aquaculture*** animals shall:

Amendment

1. Operators of establishments and food business establishments receiving ***aquatic*** animals shall:

Justification

The requirements should apply to all aquatic animals for a better prevention and traceability of diseases.

Amendment 32

Proposal for a regulation Article 193 – paragraph 1 – point b – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

(i) the ***aquaculture*** animals received;

Amendment

(i) the ***aquatic*** animals received;

Amendment 33

Proposal for a regulation Article 196 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Movement of ***aquaculture*** animals intended for Member States, zones or compartments which have been declared disease free or which are subject to an eradication programme and delegated acts

Amendment

Movement of ***kept aquatic*** animals intended for Member States, zones or compartments which have been declared disease free or which are subject to an eradication programme and delegated acts

Amendment 34

Proposal for a regulation Article 196 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Operators shall only move **aquaculture animals** from an **aquaculture** establishment for the purposes referred to in point (a) **or** (b) of this Article, if those **aquaculture** animals originate from a Member State, or zone or compartment thereof, which has been declared disease-free in accordance with Article 36(3) or 37(4) for listed diseases referred to in Article 8(1)(b) or (c) when they are of listed species for those listed diseases and the **aquaculture** animals are:

Amendment

1. Operators shall only move **kept aquatic animals** from an establishment for the purposes referred to in point (a) **and** (b) of this Article, if those animals originate from a Member State, or zone or compartment thereof, which has been declared disease-free in accordance with Article 36(3) or 37(4) for listed diseases referred to in Article 8(1)(b) or (c) when they are of listed species for those listed diseases and the **kept aquatic** animals are:

Amendment 35

Proposal for a regulation

Article 196 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the species, categories, and life stage of the **aquaculture** animals;

Amendment

(a) the species, categories, and life stage of the **kept aquatic** animals;

Amendment 36

Proposal for a regulation

Article 196 – paragraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) the intended use of the **aquaculture** animals;

Amendment

(c) the intended use of the **kept aquatic** animals;

Amendment 37

Proposal for a regulation

Article 196 – paragraph 2 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) the place of destination of the

Amendment

(d) the place of destination of the **kept**

aquaculture animals;

aquatic animals;

Amendment 38

Proposal for a regulation

Article 198

Text proposed by the Commission

Member States may require that *aquaculture* animals shall only be released into the wild if they originate from a Member State, or zone or compartment declared disease-free in accordance with Article 36(1) or Article 37(1) as regards the listed diseases referred to in Article 8(1)(b) and (c) for which the species of *aquaculture* animals to be moved is a listed species, regardless of the health status of the area where the *aquaculture* animals are to be released.

Amendment

Member States may require that *aquatic* animals shall only be released into the wild if they originate from a Member State, or zone or compartment declared disease-free in accordance with Article 36(1) or Article 37(1) as regards the listed diseases referred to in Article 8(1)(b) and (c) for which the species of *aquatic* animals to be moved is a listed species, regardless of the health status of the area where the *aquatic* animals are to be released.

Justification

It is always a risk to release aquatic animals into the wild in a disease free zone. Extra caution needs to be taken with wild animals since they are less controlled than aquaculture animals. Therefore wild animals also need to be included in this article.

Amendment 39

Proposal for a regulation

Article 199 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Articles 196 and 197 shall also apply to movements of wild aquatic animals intended for an aquaculture establishment or disease control aquatic food establishment subject to:

Amendment

1. Articles 196 and 197 shall also apply to movements of wild aquatic animals intended for an aquaculture establishment, *processing establishment* or disease control aquatic food establishment subject to:

Amendment 40

Proposal for a regulation Article 200 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Movement of **aquaculture** animals intended for Member States, zones or compartments which have been declared disease-free or which are subject to an eradication programme and delegated acts

Amendment

Movement of **kept aquatic** animals intended for **human consumption in** Member States, zones or compartments which have been declared disease-free or which are subject to an eradication programme and delegated acts

Justification

It is important to also include caught wild animals, for instance crustaceans meant for restaurants.

Amendment 41

Proposal for a regulation Article 200 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Operators shall only move **aquaculture animals** from an **aquaculture** establishment for the purposes referred to in point (a) or (b) of this paragraph, if those **aquaculture** animals originate from a Member State, or zone or compartment thereof, which has been declared disease-free in accordance with Article 36(3) or 37(4) for listed diseases referred to in Article 8(1)(b) or (c) when they are of listed species for those listed diseases and they are:

Amendment

1. Operators shall only move **kept aquatic animals intended for human consumption** from an establishment for the purposes referred to in point (a) or (b) of this paragraph, if those animals originate from a Member State, or zone or compartment thereof, which has been declared disease-free in accordance with Article 36(3) or 37(4) for listed diseases referred to in Article 8(1)(b) or (c) when they are of listed species for those listed diseases and they are:

Amendment 42

Proposal for a regulation Article 200 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1 of this Article Member States may authorise operators to introduce **aquaculture** animals into a zone or compartment for which an eradication programme has been established in accordance with Article 30(1) or (2) as regards the listed diseases referred to in Article 8(1)(b) and (c), from another zone or compartment for which such a programme has also been established as regards the same diseases within that Member State, provided that such movement will not jeopardise the health status of the Member State, or zone or compartment thereof.

Amendment

2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1 of this Article Member States may authorise operators to introduce **kept aquatic** animals into a zone or compartment for which an eradication programme has been established in accordance with Article 30(1) or (2) as regards the listed diseases referred to in Article 8(1)(b) and (c), from another zone or compartment for which such a programme has also been established as regards the same diseases within that Member State, provided that such movement will not jeopardise the health status of the Member State, or zone or compartment thereof.

Amendment 43

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 200 – paragraph 3**

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 253 concerning the derogations from movement requirements provided for in paragraph 2 of this Article for those movements of **aquaculture** animals which do not pose significant risk of spreading of diseases due to:

Amendment

3. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 253 concerning the derogations from movement requirements provided for in paragraph 2 of this Article for those movements of **kept aquatic** animals which do not pose significant risk of spreading of diseases due to:

Amendment 44

**Proposal for a regulation
Article 200 – paragraph 3 – point a**

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the species, categories, and live stage of the **aquaculture** animals;

Amendment

(a) the species, categories, and live stage of the **kept aquatic** animals;

Amendment 45

Proposal for a regulation

Article 200 – paragraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) the methods of keeping the **aquaculture** animals and type of production in the **aquaculture** establishments of origin and of destination;

Amendment

(b) the methods of keeping the **aquatic** animals and type of production in the establishments of origin and of destination;

Amendment 46

Proposal for a regulation

Article 200 – paragraph 3 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) the intended use of the **aquaculture** animals;

Amendment

(c) the intended use of the **kept aquatic** animals;

Amendment 47

Proposal for a regulation

Article 200 – paragraph 3 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) the place of destination of the **aquaculture** animals;

Amendment

(d) the place of destination of the **kept aquatic** animals;

Amendment 48

Proposal for a regulation

Article 207 – paragraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) any specific conditions under which the **aquaculture** animals are kept;

Amendment

(e) any specific conditions under which the **aquatic** animals are kept;

Amendment 49

Proposal for a regulation

Article 207 – paragraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

(f) specific movement patterns of the type of **aquaculture** establishment and concerned species or category of **aquaculture** animals;

Amendment

(f) specific movement patterns of the type of establishment and concerned species or category of **aquatic** animals;

Amendment 50

Proposal for a regulation

Article 218 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Self- declaration by operators for movements of **aquaculture** to other Member States and delegated acts

Amendment

Self- declaration by operators for movements of **aquatic animals** to other Member States and delegated acts

Amendment 51

Proposal for a regulation

Article 218 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Operators at the place of origin, shall issue a self-declaration document for movements of **aquaculture** animals from their place of origin in one Member State to the place of destination in another Member State and ensure that it accompanies such **aquaculture** animals, where they are not required to be accompanied by an animal health certificate provided for in Articles 208 and 209 or rules adopted pursuant to that Articles 211 and Article 214.

Amendment

1. Operators at the place of origin, shall issue a self-declaration document for movements of **aquatic** animals from their place of origin in one Member State to the place of destination in another Member State and ensure that it accompanies such **aquatic** animals, where they are not required to be accompanied by an animal health certificate provided for in Articles 208 and 209 or rules adopted pursuant to that Articles 211 and Article 214.

Amendment 52

Proposal for a regulation Article 218 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The self-declaration document provided for in paragraph 1 shall contain at least the following information concerning the ***aquaculture*** animals:

Amendment

2. The self-declaration document provided for in paragraph 1 shall contain at least the following information concerning the ***aquatic*** animals:

Amendment 53

Proposal for a regulation Article 218 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) a description of the ***aquaculture*** animals, the species, their quantity, weight or volume as relevant for the animals concerned;

Amendment

(b) a description of the ***aquatic*** animals, the species, their quantity, weight or volume as relevant for the animals concerned;

Amendment 54

Proposal for a regulation Article 218 – paragraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) the information needed to demonstrate that the ***aquaculture*** animals comply with the movement requirements provided for in Sections 1 to 5.

Amendment

(c) the information needed to demonstrate that the ***aquatic*** animals comply with the movement requirements provided for in Sections 1 to 5.

Amendment 55

Proposal for a regulation Article 218 – paragraph 3 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) detailed rules on the content of the self-declaration document provided for in

Amendment

(a) detailed rules on the content of the self-declaration document provided for in

paragraph 2 of this Article for different species and categories of ***aquaculture*** animals;

paragraph 2 of this Article for different species and categories of ***aquatic*** animals;

Amendment 56

Proposal for a regulation Article 222 – paragraph 2 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

2a. Aquatic pet animal moved for the purpose of non-commercial ornamental aquaria or any other specific purpose, shall not be used for any purpose other than the intended one.

PROCEDURE

Title	Animal Health
References	COM(2013)0260 – C7-0124/2013 – 2013/0136(COD)
Committee responsible Date announced in plenary	AGRI 23.5.2013
Opinion by Date announced in plenary	PECH 23.5.2013
Rapporteur Date appointed	Isabella Lövin 12.6.2013
Discussed in committee	17.10.2013 27.11.2013
Date adopted	22.1.2014
Result of final vote	+: 13 -: 6 0: 1
Members present for the final vote	John Stuart Agnew, Antonello Antinoro, Alain Cadec, Chris Davies, João Ferreira, Carmen Fraga Estévez, Pat the Cope Gallagher, Dolores García-Hierro Caraballo, Isabella Lövin, Gabriel Mato Adrover, Guido Milana, Maria do Céu Patrão Neves, Ulrike Rodust, Raúl Romeva i Rueda, Struan Stevenson, Isabelle Thomas, Jarosław Leszek Wałęsa
Substitute(s) present for the final vote	Izaskun Bilbao Barandica, Ole Christensen, Jens Nilsson