# **European Parliament**

2019-2024



#### Committee on Petitions

14.3.2024

# NOTICE TO MEMBERS

Subject: Petition No 0646/2023 by K. C. (Latvian), signed by 2050 other persons, on the cancellation of permanent residence permits of Russian citizens in Latvia

## 1. Summary of petition

The petitioner denounces an alleged unfair mass cancellation of permanent residence permits for citizens of the Russian Federation who have previously been citizens or non-citizens of Latvia. He explains that on 22 September 2022, the Saeima, the Latvian Parliament, adopted amendments to the Immigration Law, according to which permanent residence permits to the citizens of the Russian Federation, who have earlier been citizens or non-citizens of Latvia before acquisition of citizenship of the Russian Federation, will become void. Amendments to the Immigration Law provide that these persons may apply for a status of habitual resident of the European Union. According to the petitioner, the withdrawal of residence permits from these persons is justified by the foreign and security policy of Latvia and not linked to the conduct of the holders of those residence permits or their individual situation. The petitioner points out many difficulties related to proof of verification of the State language and the regular financial means faced by persons wishing to apply for the status of habitual resident of the European Union. For example, elderly people have to pass examination of the State language in the digital environment, which causes difficulties due to their age, state of health and insufficient computer skills. The petitioner is concerned about the alleged violations of human rights in Latvia and claims that the Latvian legal framework is contrary to Articles 7. 19(1), 20, 21 and 25 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union. He asks the European Parliament to condemn the unfair treatment of third-country nationals who are residing in Latvia and to encourage the government to grant the status of habitual resident of the European Union to the citizens of the Russian Federation who have previously been citizens or non-citizens of Latvia automatically (without checking the state of language proficiency and income).

#### 2. Admissibility

CM\1299328EN.docx PE760.003v01-00

Declared admissible on 23 October 2023. Information requested from Commission under Rule 227(6).

# 3. Commission reply, received on 14 March 2024

It is the Commission's understanding that the amendments to the Latvian Immigration law concern Russian nationals not holding the Latvian nationality or former Latvian citizens who renounced to their Latvian nationality to acquire the Russian citizenship and were holding residence permits of permanent validity issued under Latvian national law. These permits are thus not EU long-term resident permits within the meaning of the Long-Term Residents Directive, which lays down the conditions for granting and withdrawing the EU long-term resident status and rights thereto and are regulated under Latvian national law.

Article 13 of this Directive provides indeed that Member States may issue residence permits of permanent or unlimited validity on terms that are more favourable than those of the Directive.

In that respect, Article 9 thereof does not list the failure to pass a language test as a ground of loss or withdrawal of the long-term residence status. In addition, Article 12 of the Directive requires Member States to take into account the family and private life of long-term residents before the adoption of an expulsion decision of a long-term resident.

With regard to the request of the petitioner to grant automatically the EU long-term resident status to the third-country nationals affected by the amendments to the Latvian Immigration Law, Article 5 of the Directive lays down the conditions for acquiring the long-term resident status. Under this provision, applicants for the EU long-term resident status should provide evidence of having stable and regular resources, and sickness insurance for him/her and his/her family members in order to be eligible for the EU long-term resident status. With regard to the assessment of the stability and the regularity of the resources of the third-country nationals applying for the EU long-term resident status, the jurisprudence of the Court of Justice clarified that Member States may indicate a certain sum as a reference amount but may not impose a minimum income level below which all applications for EU long-term resident status will be refused, irrespective of an actual examination of the situation of each applicant. When making the assessment of the possession of stable and regular resources, Member States may take into account factors such as the contributions to the pension system and fulfilment of tax obligations. In addition, the Court of Justice clarified that the resources should not concern solely the 'own resources' of the applicant but may also cover resources made available by third parties.

In addition, the Directive provides that Member States may require applicants for EU long-term resident status to comply with integration conditions (optional clause). However, the Court of Justice clarified that the means of implementing this requirement should not be liable to jeopardise the objective of promoting the integration of third-country nationals. Latvia is among those Member States who have opted in the aforesaid optional clause and thus requires third-country nationals applying for the EU long-term resident status to prove that they comply with the required integration conditions.

The Commission monitors the implementation and the transposition of Directive 2003/109/EC by Member States to ensure that the provisions enshrined in this Directive are not violated by the Member States.

Finally, it is noted that once a person no longer has a legal right to stay in a Member State (for instance in cases a long-term residence permit is withdrawn), Directive 2008/115/EC (the 'Return Directive') applies. If the person has no legal right to stay in another Member State

either, then Latvian authorities would need to issue a return decision against the person respecting all the safeguards in the Return Directive, notably assessing whether the return to Russia would violate the principle of non-refoulement.

### Conclusion

The Commission will be following the matter closely to ensure that no violation of EU law takes place.

Due to the similar concerns raised by other petitioners in Petition 314/23 and 642/23, the Commission agrees with considering this petition together with the aforementioned petitions.