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<TitreType>Joint motion for a resolution</TitreType>

<Rapporteur>PPE, S&D, Renew, Verts/ALE, GUE/NGL</Rapporteur>

<Titre>India’s Citizenship (Amendment) Act, 2019</Titre>

<DocAmend>Joint motion for a resolution</DocAmend>

<Article>Recital B</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Joint motion for a resolution | Amendment |
| B. whereas India’s new CAA seeks to expedite citizenship for Hindus, Sikhs, Buddhists, Jains, Parsis and Christians fleeing persecution in Afghanistan, Bangladesh and Pakistan who have been resident in the country since before 2015, but does not extend the same protection to Muslims; | B. whereas India’s new CAA seeks to expedite citizenship for Hindus, Sikhs, Buddhists, Jains, Parsis and Christians fleeing persecution in Afghanistan, Bangladesh and Pakistan who have been resident in the country since before 2015, but does not extend the same protection to Muslims; ***whereas any foreign migrant is eligible to apply for Indian citizenship after a total residency period of 12 years;*** |
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<DocAmend>Joint motion for a resolution</DocAmend>

<Article>Recital B a (new)</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Joint motion for a resolution | Amendment |
|  | ***Ba. whereas the Government of India has explained that the rationale behind the amended legislation was to create a state of legal certainty – a kind of positive discrimination – for representatives of persecuted religious minorities from Afghanistan, Bangladesh and Pakistan, where Islam is a state religion, who are now residing in India;*** |
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<Titre>India’s Citizenship (Amendment) Act, 2019</Titre>

<DocAmend>Joint motion for a resolution</DocAmend>

<Article>Recital C</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Joint motion for a resolution | Amendment |
| C. ***whereas India also shares a border with Bhutan, Burma, Nepal and Sri Lanka, yet the CAA does not bring Sri Lankan Tamils under its purview, who form the largest refugee group in India and who have been resident in the country for over 30 years;*** whereas Amnesty International India has pointed out that the CAA does not bring under its purview other persecuted minorities, including Muslim populations such as the Rohingya in Burma, Ahmadis in Pakistan, Hazaras in Afghanistan and Bihari Muslims in Bangladesh; | C. whereas Amnesty International India has pointed out that the CAA does not bring under its purview other persecuted minorities, including Muslim populations such as the Rohingya in Burma, Ahmadis in Pakistan, Hazaras in Afghanistan and Bihari Muslims in Bangladesh; |
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<DocAmend>Joint motion for a resolution</DocAmend>

<Article>Recital H</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Joint motion for a resolution | Amendment |
| ***H. whereas the CAA was enacted amid the Indian Government’s push for a nationwide citizenship verification process, the National Register of Citizens (NRC); whereas the government’s statements indicate that the NRC process aims to strip Muslims of their citizenship rights while protecting those of Hindus and other non-Muslims; whereas most likely only Muslims who are not included in the NRC will have to appear before the Foreigners’ Tribunals that have been established to determine the right to citizenship; whereas these tribunals have been internationally condemned for failing to protect the right to a fair trial and human rights guarantees; whereas this exercise was recently concluded in Assam, resulting in the exclusion of more than 1.9 million people who were labelled ‘illegal’ migrants and who may now be detained in newly built detention camps or deported; whereas these processes may exacerbate the climate of xenophobia, while fuelling religious intolerance and discrimination in the country;*** | ***deleted*** |
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<Article>Paragraph 1</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Joint motion for a resolution | Amendment |
| 1.Deeply regrets the adoption and implementation of the CAA, which ***is*** discriminatory in nature and dangerously divisive; calls on the Government of India to immediately respond to citizens’ petitions as required by the Supreme Court; further calls on the Government of India to engage with various sections of the population in peaceful dialogue and to ***repeal the discriminatory amendments, which violate India’s international obligations; warns against the increasing nationalism which has resulted, inter alia, in the fuelling of religious intolerance and discrimination against Muslims;*** | 1.Deeply regrets the adoption and implementation of the CAA, which ***could be considered*** discriminatory in nature and dangerously divisive; calls on the Government of India to immediately respond to citizens’ petitions as required by the Supreme Court; further calls on the Government of India to engage with various sections of the population in peaceful dialogue and to ***assess the amendments;*** |
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<DocAmend>Joint motion for a resolution</DocAmend>

<Article>Paragraph 2</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Joint motion for a resolution | Amendment |
| 2. Recalls that while the CAA’s stated goal of protecting persecuted groups is welcome, an effective national asylum and refugee policy should be just and holistic in nature and apply to all those in need; | 2. Recalls that while the CAA’s stated goal of protecting persecuted groups is welcome, an effective national asylum and refugee policy should be just and holistic in nature and apply to all those in need; ***considers that creating more favourable conditions only for selected persecuted minorities and not for others can be counterproductive and create unnecessary tension;*** |
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<Titre>India’s Citizenship (Amendment) Act, 2019</Titre>

<DocAmend>Joint motion for a resolution</DocAmend>

<Article>Paragraph 3</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Joint motion for a resolution | Amendment |
| 3. Calls on the Indian Government to address the legitimate concerns raised over the NRC, which may be used to target marginalised groups; ***is worried that the NRC marks a dangerous shift in the way citizenship will be determined in India, and may create a large-scale statelessness crisis and cause immense human suffering;*** | 3. Calls on the Indian Government to address the legitimate concerns raised over the NRC, which may be used to target marginalised groups; |
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<DocAmend>Joint motion for a resolution</DocAmend>

<Article>Paragraph 6</Article>

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Joint motion for a resolution | Amendment |
| 6. ***Condemns*** the decision of the Indian authorities to shut down internet access to global networks, preventing communication and the free flow of information; underscores that such actions are a clear violation of the freedom of speech; | 6. ***Regrets*** the decision of the Indian authorities to shut down internet access to global networks, preventing communication and the free flow of information; underscores that such actions are a clear violation of the freedom of speech; |
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