

**Public Finances in the EMU 2006**

**European Parliament resolution of 26 April 2007 on Public Finances in the EMU 2006 (2007/2004(INI))**

*The European Parliament,*

- having regard to the Commission communication entitled 'Public Finances in EMU 2006 - The first year of the revised Stability and Growth Pact' (COM(2006)0304),
  - having regard to the Presidency conclusions of the Stockholm European Council of 23 and 24 March 2001 calling for regular review of the long-term sustainability of public finances, including the expected strains caused by the demographic changes ahead,
  - having regard to the Commission communication on the long-term sustainability of public finances in the EU (COM(2006)0574),
  - having regard to Council Regulation (EC) No 1056/2005 of 27 June 2005 amending Regulation (EC) No 1467/97 on speeding up and clarifying the implementation of the excessive deficit procedure<sup>1</sup>,
  - having regard to the Commission Convergence Report December 2006 (COM(2006)0762),
  - having regard to the Commission's recommendations on Member States' stability and convergence programmes for 2006-2007,
  - having regard to the Presidency conclusions of the Brussels European Council of 22 and 23 March 2005 on the review of the Stability and Growth Pact,
  - having regard to the Commission communications relating to the second report on the practical preparations for the future enlargement of the euro area (COM(2005)0545) and the 2006 Annual Statement on the Euro Area (COM(2006)0392),
  - having regard to its resolutions of 1 June 2006 on the enlargement of the euro zone<sup>2</sup> and of 14 November 2006 on the 2006 annual report of the euro area<sup>3</sup>,
  - having regard to Rule 45 of its Rules of Procedure,
  - having regard to the report of the Committee on Economic and Monetary Affairs (A6-0076/2007),
- A. whereas Member States are expected to maintain their budget deficits below 3% of GDP in line with the rules underpinning the single currency; and whereas that rule has been

---

<sup>1</sup> OJ L 174, 7.7.2005, p. 5.

<sup>2</sup> OJ C 298E, 8.12.2006, p. 249.

<sup>3</sup> *Texts Adopted*, P6\_TA(2006)0485.

regularly flouted; whereas whenever the budget deficit is above 3% this can be judged more favourably if it is coupled with high growth and declining debt ratio (excluded privatisation revenue), also bringing down the annual deficit under 3% in the medium term,

- B. whereas the OECD's recent deficit warning calls on the Member States to focus on reforms to consolidate their economic progress by using the economic recovery to cut their budget deficits and by making labour markets more competitive,
- C. whereas the average debt ratio for the euro area was 70,6% in 2005 and around 69,4% in 2006 and is projected to fall to 68% in 2007; whereas the difference between the lowest and the highest debt ratio was in excess of 100 percentage points of GDP in both 2005 and 2006, and the same spread is expected to be maintained in 2007; whereas these figures are still much higher than the reference value of 60% for the debt-GDP ratio, one of the two pillars of the Stability and Growth Pact (SGP),
- D. whereas the average deficit for the euro area was -2,6% of GDP in 2005 and around -2,0% in 2006 and is projected to fall to -1,5% in 2007; whereas the spread in the deficit ratio was close to 9 percentage points in 2005 and 2006 and is projected to fall to around 7 percentage points in 2007,
- E. whereas the average GDP growth rate for the euro area in 2005 was 1,4% and around 2,6 % in 2006 and is projected to measure 2,1% in 2007; whereas the spread in the growth rate in 2005 and 2006 was around 5 percentage points and is projected to remain at around 5 percentage points in 2007; whereas these growth rates are clearly lower than those of other areas of the world,
- F. whereas the unemployment rate in the euro area was 8,6% (12 600 000) in 2005 and went down to 8,1% (11 900 000) in 2006; whereas the unemployment rate is projected to fall to 7,7% (11 500 000) in 2007 and whereas the projected fall shows that deficit reduction enhances economic activity and reduces unemployment,
- G. whereas age-related expenditure is projected to increase by 4% of GDP by 2050; whereas in a number of Member States, as a consequence, age-related public expenditure will increase by 5% to 13% of GDP, which will put enormous pressure on the sustainability of their public finances, while growth is projected to fall from 2,4% during the period 2004 to 2010 to 1,9% during the period 2011 to 2030 and further to 1,2% only during the period 2031 to 2050; whereas declining growth and increased age-related expenditure may jeopardise the economic and social well-being of European citizens and the social cohesion of our societies, and may put disintegration pressure on the European institutions and common policies,
- H. whereas the Commission and the ECB biennially assess the fulfilment of the Maastricht criteria for adopting the euro by all Member States with a derogation,

### ***Experiences with the revised SGP***

1. Recalls that the main objective of the SGP is to ensure budgetary positions that are close to balance or in surplus over the medium term and the sustainability and stability of government finances, which is essential in view of the upcoming demographic challenges;

2. Welcomes the fact that a good many Member States have made a considerable effort in trying to meet their obligations with regard to the SGP; points out, however, that it is still too early to evaluate the results obtained following the coming into force of the revised SGP;
3. Shares the Commission's concerns regarding the implementation of the preventive arm of the SGP, especially with regard to those Member States which have not yet managed to balance their public finances;
4. Fears that the revised SGP, in particular its corrective arm, if enforced in a lenient way, entails a risk of high and persistent public debt, which could pose a serious threat to balanced public finances and employment opportunities;
5. Stresses that the attitude of the Member States towards the revised SGP will ultimately decide the success or failure of the SGP; warns that any further revision is unlikely to be accepted by the public or by economic actors;
6. Is concerned that the different spreads among the Member States in the fields of deficit, debt and growth may widen, which could have the effect of undermining the single currency, stifling economic growth and reducing employment prospects; encourages Member States to implement coordinated economic policies that narrow the identified spreads and lead to further convergence towards lower deficit and debt levels and higher growth;
7. Is concerned about the slow pace of public debt reduction in some Member States; opposes never-ending and inconclusive deficit procedures and therefore urges the Council and the Commission to act in a swift and decisive manner; suggests that the credibility of the excessive deficit procedure be maintained and that Member States continue to be judged according to the same single standard;
8. Wonders to what extent European growth may be cyclical and draws attention to the need to increase the European Union's growth potential as a means of creating employment; reminds Member States that increased growth and higher employment should lead to a substantial increase in tax revenue, thus reducing the risk of excessive deficits while allowing for substantial reductions in public debt;
9. Underlines that violations of the SGP could ultimately undermine the common monetary policy and add to the pressure to increase interest rates; emphasises that an independent European Central Bank is essential to maintaining price stability, thereby creating conditions for economic policies that contribute to high levels of growth and employment;
10. Sees, therefore, an urgent need for the Member States to adapt their fiscal policies to the requirements of the common Economic and Monetary Policy in order further to increase the welfare of European citizens and that a common budgetary timetable and framework should apply to all Member States;
11. Welcomes the fact that the revised SGP allows for reform programmes to be developed that have realistic deadlines and medium-term budgetary targets;
12. Agrees that tailor-made reform programmes adapted to Member States' needs should

allow for better implementation of the preventive arm of the SGP;

13. Regrets that Member States do not sufficiently exploit their positive economic situation in order to implement significant structural reforms that increase the efficiency of the markets in goods, services, employment and capital and that in the longer term would ensure fiscal consolidation, economic growth and higher employment;

### ***Challenges ahead***

14. Recalls that the SGP is the main and strongest instrument for the coordination of economic policies in the European Union; stresses that so long as the SGP is implemented consistently and vigorously, the economic policies will continue to lead to higher growth and increased employment;
15. Is alarmed by the Commission's projections showing a dramatic increase in age-related expenditure whilst long-term growth prospects show a future decline which, taken together, will inevitably put enormous pressure on the sustainability of the Member States' public finances;
16. Is concerned that six Member States are considered to be exposed to a high risk as regards the long-term sustainability of their public finances as a result of the budgetary impact of ageing populations, while ten other Member States are regarded to be facing a medium risk, and only nine Member States a low risk;
17. Urges that such a major EU budgetary challenge be addressed; recalls that the reduction of public debt should be accelerated during periods of economic upturn whilst avoiding pro-cyclical measures and implementing structural and tax reforms to improve the economic performance of Member States; encourages Member States to use the current upswing in the economy to conduct necessary reforms in the labour market, services sector and reduce administrative burdens on business; considers that there is still room and a need for further acceleration in investment activity and thus calls for structural reforms and additional measures that would permanently improve the investment climate and boost investment;
18. Calls for the reorientation of public expenditure towards physical and human capital accumulation and the creation of public-private partnerships operating in areas such as innovation, renewable energies, education and training, research, information technologies, telecommunication, and transportation networks;
19. Welcomes the fact that projections made by Member States for 2007 and 2008 have incorporated the GDP deficit reduction of 0,5% foreseen by the revised SGP; shares the Commission's concerns that the average annual structural adjustment for 2006 does not reach that target; is convinced that given the good economic prospects there is scope for structural adjustment going far beyond the recommended 0,5% in most Member States;
20. Urges Member States to avoid unsubstantiated budgetary projections and to refrain from one-off measures and creative accounting; advises the Council to ensure that Member States running an unsustainable public debt render new public debt either unconstitutional or unlawful by 2015, thus drawing on the best practices of certain Member States and regions in the European Union; recommends that the Commission prepare a study on best practices concerning the statistical governance of fiscal data reporting and the accounting

of public assets and liabilities in Member States;

21. Welcomes the recent agreements of the Eurogroup to jointly discuss budgetary projections in order to determine ex ante the appropriate fiscal strategy for the respective following year, and is convinced that a public debate on those projections should take place in the European Parliament together with representatives of national parliaments;
22. Recommends exploring whether to set a uniform calendar for budgetary procedures across the European Union, while extending the budgetary planning beyond the current one-year span; takes the view that Member States' budget planning must be based on uniform assumptions regarding key economic parameters to be uniformly assessed and established across the European Union;
23. Warns Member States to report statistics that are of a high standard to the Commission in order to ensure that public deficit and debt can be compared; encourages the Commission to check vigorously the quality of statistics reported by Member States; urges the Commission to take every step necessary, including penalty measures, to ensure that Member States provide high-quality, reliable, uniform and comparable statistics, incorporating all present and future liabilities (such as pensions and health care); invites the Commission to complete its work rapidly;
24. Agrees with the Commission that independent institutions and specific rules concerning budgetary balance have a very positive influence on Member States' medium-term objectives and on the long-term stability of balanced public finances;
25. Notes that in its latest convergence report in December 2006 the Commission considers that most of the assessed Member States have made progress, but that none presently meets all of the necessary conditions for adopting the euro;
26. Recalls that the Maastricht criteria against which the Commission makes its assessment must be applied in an even way, that is, without, in particular circumstances, making it more difficult for Member States to join the euro-zone or interpreting the criteria in a lenient way;
27. Encourages the Commission to explore the advantages of establishing national independent bodies in charge of determining the annual level of deficit consistently with the medium-term objective of a balanced budget;
28. Welcomes the efforts of the Council and the Commission to improve statistical governance reporting of fiscal data with a recommendation to Member States on EU-wide standards concerning statistical institutes, including principles on professional independence, the confidentiality, reliability, and timeliness of data, and the adequacy of resources of statistical institutes and improved monitoring rights for the Commission;
29. Considers that there is room for improvement in the accounting of public assets and implicit liabilities in order to increase transparency and comparability and to provide a sounder basis for taking decisions; considers that the Commission should launch an initiative in this field;
30. Deplores the lack of policy coordination in the euro-zone, draws attention to the divergence in fiscal policies of the Member States in the euro-zone and is concerned

about possible antagonistic effects of such a lack of coordination; encourages further research into the different kinds of and measures relating to structural and macroeconomic reform and their interaction and mutual impact at different phases of the economic cycle in order to identify the best possible means of strengthening public finances while at the same time achieving the Lisbon Strategy;

o

o o

31. Instructs its President to forward this resolution to the Council, the Commission and the governments and parliaments of the Member States.