
(Ordinary legislative procedure: first reading)

Amendment 1

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Article 176 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union ('TFEU') provides that the European Regional Development Fund ('ERDF') is intended to help to redress the main regional imbalances in the Union. Under that Article and the second and third paragraphs of Article 174 of the TFEU, the ERDF is to contribute to reducing disparities between the levels of development of the various regions and to reducing the backwardness of the least favoured regions, among which particular attention is to be paid to certain categories of regions, among which cross-border regions are explicitly listed.</td>
<td>(1) Article 176 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union ('TFEU') provides that the European Regional Development Fund ('ERDF') is intended to help to redress the main regional imbalances in the Union. Under that Article and the second and third paragraphs of Article 174 of the TFEU, the ERDF is to contribute to reducing disparities between the levels of development of the various regions and to reducing the backwardness of the least favoured regions, rural areas, areas affected by an industrial transition, areas with a low population density, islands and mountain regions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 The matter was referred back for interinstitutional negotiations to the committee responsible, pursuant to Rule 59(4), fourth subparagraph (A8-0470/2018).
Amendment 2

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 2

Text proposed by the Commission

(2) Regulation (EU) [new CPR] of the European Parliament and of the Council\textsuperscript{21} sets out provisions common to the ERDF and certain other funds and Regulation (EU) [new ERDF] of the European Parliament and of the Council\textsuperscript{22} sets out provisions concerning the specific objectives and the scope of the ERDF support. It is now necessary to adopt specific provisions in relation to the European territorial cooperation goal (Interreg) where one or more Member States cooperate across borders with regard to effective programming including provisions on technical assistance, monitoring, evaluation, communication, eligibility, management and control, as well as financial management.

\textsuperscript{21} [Reference]

\textsuperscript{22} [Reference]

Amendment

(2) Regulation (EU) [new CPR] of the European Parliament and of the Council\textsuperscript{21} sets out provisions common to the ERDF and certain other funds and Regulation (EU) [new ERDF] of the European Parliament and of the Council\textsuperscript{22} sets out provisions concerning the specific objectives and the scope of the ERDF support. It is now necessary to adopt specific provisions in relation to the European territorial cooperation goal (Interreg) where one or more Member States and their regions cooperate across borders with regard to effective programming including provisions on technical assistance, monitoring, evaluation, communication, eligibility, management and control, as well as financial management.

\textsuperscript{21} [Reference]

\textsuperscript{22} [Reference]

Amendment 3

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 3

Text proposed by the Commission

(3) In order to support the harmonious development of the Union's territory at different levels, the ERDF should support cross-border cooperation, transnational cooperation, maritime cooperation, outermost regions' cooperation and interregional cooperation under the European territorial cooperation goal (Interreg).

Amendment

(3) In order to support a cooperative and harmonious development of the Union's territory at different levels and to reduce existing disparities, the ERDF should support cross-border cooperation, transnational cooperation, maritime cooperation, outermost regions’ cooperation and interregional cooperation under the European territorial cooperation
In the process, the principles of multi-level governance and partnership should be taken into account, and place-based approaches should be strengthened.

Amendment 4
Proposal for a regulation
Recital 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(3 a) The different components of Interreg should contribute to the achievement of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) as described in the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development adopted in September 2015.

Amendment 5
Proposal for a regulation
Recital 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) The cross-border cooperation component should aim to tackle common challenges identified jointly in the border regions, and to exploit the untapped growth potential in border areas as evidenced in the Communication of the Commission 'Boosting Growth and Cohesion in EU Border Regions' ('Border Regions Communication'). Consequently, the cross-border component should be limited to cooperation on land borders and cross-border cooperation on maritime borders should be integrated into the transnational component.

Therefore, the cross-border component should include cooperation on both land or maritime borders, without prejudice to the new component for outermost regions cooperation.

_________________________

Amendment 6
Proposal for a regulation
Recital 5

Text proposed by the Commission

(5) The cross-border cooperation component should also involve cooperation between one or more Member States and one or more countries or other territories outside the Union. Covering internal and external cross-border cooperation under this Regulation should result in a major simplification and streamlining of applicable provisions for the programme authorities in the Member States and for the partner authorities and beneficiaries outside the Union compared to the programming period 2014-2020.

Amendment

(5) The cross-border cooperation component should also involve cooperation between one or more Member States or their regions, and one or more countries or regions, or other territories outside the Union. Covering internal and external cross-border cooperation under this Regulation should result in a major simplification and streamlining of applicable provisions for the programme authorities in the Member States and for the partner authorities and beneficiaries outside the Union compared to the programming period 2014-2020.

Amendment 7
Proposal for a regulation
Recital 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) The transnational cooperation and maritime cooperation component should aim to strengthen cooperation by means of actions conducive to integrated territorial development linked to the Union's cohesion policy priorities, and should also include maritime cross-border cooperation. Transnational cooperation should cover larger territories on the mainland of the Union, whereas maritime cooperation should cover territories around sea-basins and integrate cross-border cooperation on maritime borders during the programming period 2014-2020. Maximum flexibility should be given to continue implementing previous maritime cross-border cooperation within a larger maritime cooperation framework, in particular by defining the territory

Amendment

(6) The transnational cooperation and maritime cooperation component should aim to strengthen cooperation by means of actions conducive to integrated territorial development linked to the Union's cohesion policy priorities, in full respect of subsidiarity. Transnational cooperation should cover larger transnational territories and, where appropriate, territories around sea-basins that extend geographically beyond those covered by cross-border programmes.
covered, the specific objectives for such cooperation, the requirements for a project partnership and the setting-up of sub-programmes and specific steering committees.

Amendment 8
Proposal for a regulation
Recital 7

Text proposed by the Commission

(7) Based on the experience with cross-border and transnational cooperation during the programming period 2014-2020 in outermost regions, where the combination of both components within a single programme per cooperation area has not brought about sufficient simplification for programme authorities and beneficiaries, a specific outermost regions’ component should be established in order to enable outermost regions to cooperate with their neighbouring countries and territories in the most effective and simple way.

Amendment

(7) Based on the experience with cross-border and transnational cooperation during the programming period 2014-2020 in outermost regions, where the combination of both components within a single programme per cooperation area has not brought about sufficient simplification for programme authorities and beneficiaries, a specific additional outermost regions’ component should be established in order to enable outermost regions to cooperate with third countries, overseas countries and territories (OCTs), or regional integration and cooperation organisations in the most effective and simple way that takes into account their individual characteristics.

Amendment 9
Proposal for a regulation
Recital 8

Text proposed by the Commission

(8) Based on the experience with the interregional cooperation programmes under Interreg and the lack of such cooperation within programmes under the Investment for jobs and growth goal during the programming period 2014-2020, the interregional cooperation component should focus more specifically on boosting the effectiveness of cohesion policy. That component should therefore

Amendment

(8) Based on the positive experience with the interregional cooperation programmes under Interreg, on the one hand, and the lack of such cooperation within programmes under the Investment for jobs and growth goal during the programming period 2014-2020, on the other, interregional cooperation, through the exchange of experience, the development of capacities for programmes
be limited to two programmes, one to enable all kind of experience, innovative approaches and capacity building for programmes under both goals and to promote European groupings of territorial cooperation ('EGTCs') set up or to be set up pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 1082/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council24 and one to improve the analysis of development trends. Project-based cooperation throughout the Union should be integrated into the new component on interregional innovation investments and closely linked to the implementation of the Communication from the Commission 'Strengthening Innovation in Europe's Regions: Strategies for resilient, inclusive and sustainable growth'25, in particular to support thematic smart specialisation platforms on fields such as energy, industrial modernisation or agrifood. Finally, integrated territorial development focusing on functional urban areas or urban areas should be concentrated within programmes under the Investment for jobs and growth goal and in one accompanying instrument, the ‘European Urban Initiative”. The two programmes under the interregional cooperation component should cover the whole Union and should also be open for the participation of third countries.


Amendment 10
Proposal for a regulation
Recital 8 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(8a) The new initiative on interregional innovation investments should be based on smart specialisation, and used to support thematic smart specialisation platforms on fields such as energy, industrial modernisation, circular economy, social innovation, the environment or agrifood, and to help those involved in smart specialisation strategies to cluster together, in order to scale up innovation and bring innovative products, processes and ecosystems to the European market. The evidence suggests that a persistent systemic failure remains at the testing and validation stage of demonstration of new technologies (e.g. Key Enabling Technologies), especially when innovation is the result of the integration of complementary regional specialisations creating innovative value chains. That failure is particularly critical in the phase between piloting and full market uptake. In some strategic technology and industrial areas, SMEs cannot currently count on excellent and open, connected pan-European demonstration infrastructure. The programmes under the interregional cooperation initiative should cover the whole European Union and should also be open for the participation of OCTs, third countries, their regions, and regional integration and cooperation organisations, including the outermost neighbouring regions. Synergies between interregional innovation investments and other relevant EU programmes such as those under the European Structural and Investment Funds, Horizon 2020, Digital Market Europe and the single market programme should be encouraged, as they will amplify the impact of investments and provide better value for citizens.
Amendment 11
Proposal for a regulation
Recital 9

Text proposed by the Commission

(9) **Objective** criteria for designating eligible regions and areas should be established. To that end, the identification of eligible regions and areas at Union level should be based on the common system of classification of the regions established by Regulation (EC) No 1059/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council.26

---


Amendment 12
Proposal for a regulation
Recital 10

Text proposed by the Commission

(10) It is necessary to continue supporting or, as appropriate, to establish cooperation in all its dimensions with the Union's neighbouring third countries, as such cooperation is an important regional development policy tool and should benefit the regions of the Member States which border third countries. To that effect, the ERDF and the external financing instruments of the Union, IPA, NDICI and OCTP, should support programmes under cross-border cooperation, transnational cooperation and maritime cooperation, outermost regions’ cooperation and interregional cooperation. The support from the ERDF and from the

---


external financing instruments of the Union should be based on reciprocity and proportionality. However, for IPA III CBC and NDICI CBC, the ERDF support should be complemented by at least equivalent amounts under IPA III CBC and NDICI CBC, subject to a maximum amount set out in the respective legal act, that is to say, up to 3% of the financial envelope under IPA III and up to 4% of the financial envelope of the Neighbourhood geographic programme under Article 4(2)(a) of the NDICI.


29 Council Decision (EU) XXX on the association of the Overseas Countries and Territories with the European Union including relations between the European Union on the one hand and Greenland and the Kingdom of Denmark on the other (OJ L xx, p. y).

Amendment 13
Proposal for a regulation
Recital 10 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(10 a) Particular attention should be paid to regions which become new external borders of the Union to ensure the adequate continuity of ongoing cooperation programmes.

Amendment 14
Proposal for a regulation
Recital 11
(11) IPA III assistance should mainly focus on assisting the IPA beneficiaries to strengthen democratic institutions and the rule of law, reform the judiciary and public administration, respect fundamental rights and promote gender equality, tolerance, social inclusion and non-discrimination. IPA assistance should continue to support the efforts of the IPA beneficiaries to advance regional, macro-regional and cross-border cooperation as well as territorial development, including through the implementation of Union macro-regional strategies. In addition, IPA assistance should address security, migration and border management, ensuring access to international protection, sharing relevant information, enhancing border control and pursuing common efforts in the fight against irregular migration and migrant smuggling.

Amendment 15
Proposal for a regulation
Recital 12 a (new)

(12 a) Developing synergies with Union external action and development programmes should also help to ensure maximum impact whilst fulfilling the principle of policy coherence for development as provided for by Article 208 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU). Achieving coherence across all Union policies is crucial for achieving the SDGs.

Amendment 16
Proposal for a regulation
Recital 14
(14) In view of the specific situation of outmost regions of the Union, it is necessary to adopt measures concerning the conditions under which those regions may have access to structural funds. Consequently, certain provisions of this Regulation should be adapted to the specificities of the outermost regions in order to simplify and foster cooperation with their neighbors, while taking into account the Communication from the Commission 'A stronger and renewed strategic partnership with the EU's outermost regions'\(^{31}\).

\(^{31}\) Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee, the Committee of the Regions and the European Investment Bank 'A stronger and renewed strategic partnership with the EU's outermost regions', - COM(2017)0623, 24.10.2017.

**Amendment 17**

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 14 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(14 a) This Regulation lays down the possibility of the OCTs to participate in Interreg programmes. The specificities and challenges of the OCTs should be taken into consideration in order to facilitate their effective access and participation.

**Amendment 18**

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 15
(15) It is necessary to set out the resources allocated to each of the different components of Interreg, including each Member State's share of the global amounts for the cross-border cooperation, the transnational cooperation and maritime cooperation, the outermost regions’ cooperation and the interregional cooperation, the potential available to Member States concerning flexibility between those components. Compared to the programming period 2014-2020, the share for cross-border cooperation should be reduced, while the share for transnational cooperation and maritime cooperation should be increased because of the integration of maritime cooperation, and a new outermost regions’ cooperation component should be created.

Amendment 19

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 18

Text proposed by the Commission

(18) Within the context of the unique and specific circumstances on the island of Ireland, and with a view to supporting North-South cooperation under the Good Friday Agreement, a new ‘PEACE PLUS’ cross-border programme should continue and build on the work of previous programmes between the border counties of Ireland and Northern Ireland. Taking into account its practical importance, it is necessary to ensure that, where the programme is acting in support of peace and reconciliation, the ERDF should also contribute to promoting social, economic and regional stability in the regions concerned, in particular through actions to promote cohesion between communities.

Amendment

(18) Within the context of the unique and specific circumstances on the island of Ireland, and with a view to supporting North-South cooperation under the Good Friday Agreement, a new ‘PEACE PLUS’ cross-border programme is to continue and build on the work of previous programmes between the border counties of Ireland and Northern Ireland. Taking into account its practical importance, it is necessary to ensure that, where the programme is acting in support of peace and reconciliation, the ERDF should also contribute to promoting social, economic and regional stability and cooperation in the regions concerned, in particular through actions to promote cohesion between communities. Given the
Given the specificities of the programme it should be managed in an integrated manner with the United Kingdom contribution being integrated into the programme as external assigned revenue. Furthermore, certain rules on the selection of operations in this Regulation should not apply to that programme in relation to operations in support of peace and reconciliation.

**Amendment 20**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Recital 20**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(20) The major part of the Union support should be concentrated on a limited number of policy objectives in order to maximise the impact of Interreg.

*Amendment*

(20) The major part of the Union support should be concentrated on a limited number of policy objectives in order to maximise the impact of Interreg. *Synergies and complementarities between the components of INTERREG should be strengthened.*

**Amendment 21**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Recital 21**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(21) Provisions on the preparation, approval and amendment of Interreg programmes as well as on territorial development, on the selection of operations, on monitoring and evaluation, on the programme authorities, on audit of operations, and on transparency and communication should be adapted to the specificities of Interreg programmes compared to the provisions set out in Regulation (EU) [new CPR].

*Amendment*

(21) Provisions on the preparation, approval and amendment of Interreg programmes as well as on territorial development, on the selection of operations, on monitoring and evaluation, on the programme authorities, on audit of operations, and on transparency and communication should be adapted to the specificities of Interreg programmes compared to the provisions set out in Regulation (EU) [new CPR]. *These specific provisions should be kept simple and clear in order to avoid gold-plating and additional administrative burdens for Member States and beneficiaries.*
Amendment 22

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 22

Text proposed by the Commission

(22) The provisions on the criteria for operations to be considered as genuinely joint and cooperative, on the partnership within an Interreg operation and on the obligations of the lead partner as set out during the programme period 2014-2020 should be continued. However, Interreg partners should cooperate in all four dimensions (development, implementation, staffing and financing) and, under outermost regions’ cooperation, in three out of four, as it should be simpler to combine support from the ERDF and external financing instruments from the Union both on the level of programmes and operations.

Amendment

(22) The provisions on the criteria for operations to be considered as genuinely joint and cooperative, on the partnership within an Interreg operation and on the obligations of the lead partner as set out during the programme period 2014-2020 should be continued. Interreg partners should cooperate in development and implementation as well as staffing or financing, or both, and, under outermost regions’ cooperation, in three out of four, as it should be simpler to combine support from the ERDF and external financing instruments from the Union both on the level of programmes and operations.

Amendment 23

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 22 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(22 a) Under cross-border cooperation programmes, people-to-people (P2P) and small-scale projects are an important and successful instrument for eliminating border and cross border obstacles, fostering contacts between people locally and, in so doing, bringing border regions and their citizens closer together. P2P projects and small-scale projects are carried out in many areas such as, inter alia, culture, sport, tourism, general education and vocational training, the economy, science, environmental protection and ecology, healthcare, transport and small-scale infrastructure projects, administrative cooperation and public-relations work. As also set forth in the opinion of the Committee of the
People-to-people and small-scale projects in cross-border cooperation programmes, P2P projects and small-scale projects have high European added value and make a considerable contribution towards realising the overall objective of cross-border cooperation programmes.

Amendment 24

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 23

Text proposed by the Commission

(23) It is necessary to clarify the rules governing small project funds which have been implemented since Interreg has existed, but have never been covered by specific provisions. As also set out in the Opinion of the Committee of the Regions ‘People-to-people and small-scale projects in cross-border cooperation programmes’, such small project funds play an important role in building up trust between citizens and institutions, offer great European added value and contribute considerably to the overall objective of cross-border cooperation programmes by overcoming border obstacles and integrating border areas and their citizens. In order to simplify the management of the financing of small projects by the final recipients, who are often not used to applying for Union funds, the use of simplified cost options and of lump sums should be made obligatory below a certain threshold.

Amendment

(23) Since Interreg has existed, P2P projects and small-scale projects have been supported via small-project funds or similar instruments that have never been covered by specific provisions, making it necessary to clarify the rules governing those funds. In order to maintain the added value and advantages of P2P and small-scale projects, also with regard to local and regional development, and to simplify the management of the financing of small projects by the final recipients, who are often not used to applying for Union funds, the use of simplified cost options and of lump sums should be made obligatory below a certain threshold.

32 Opinion of the European Committee of

Amendment 25
Proposal for a regulation
Recital 24

Text proposed by the Commission

(24) Due to the involvement of more than one Member State, and the resulting higher administrative costs, including for regional points of contact (or ‘antennae’), which are important points of contact for those proposing and implementing projects, and therefore function as a direct line to the joint secretariats or the relevant authorities, but in particular in respect of controls and translation, the ceiling for technical assistance expenditure should be higher than that under the Investment for jobs and growth goal. In order to offset the higher administrative costs, Member States should be encouraged to reduce the administrative burden with regard to the implementation of joint projects wherever possible. In addition, Interreg programmes with limited Union support or external cross-border cooperation programmes should receive a certain minimum amount for technical assistance to ensure sufficient funding for effective technical assistance activities.

Amendment

(24) Due to the involvement of more than one Member State, and the resulting higher administrative costs, including for regional points of contact (or ‘antennae’), which are important points of contact for those proposing and implementing projects, and therefore function as a direct line to the joint secretariats or the relevant authorities, but in particular in respect of controls and translation, the ceiling for technical assistance expenditure should be higher than that under the Investment for jobs and growth goal. In order to offset the higher administrative costs, Member States should be encouraged to reduce the administrative burden with regard to the implementation of joint projects wherever possible. In addition, Interreg programmes with limited Union support or external cross-border cooperation programmes should receive a certain minimum amount for technical assistance to ensure sufficient funding for effective technical assistance activities.

Amendment 26
Proposal for a regulation
Recital 25 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(25a) In connection with reducing administrative burden, the Commission, Member States and regions should cooperate closely in order to be able to
make use of the enhanced proportionate arrangements for the management and control system for an Interreg programme that are referred to in Article 77 of Regulation (EU) .../... [new CPR].

Amendment 27

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 27

Text proposed by the Commission

(27) Member States should be encouraged to assign the functions of the managing authority to an EGTC or to make such a grouping, like other cross-border legal bodies, responsible for managing a sub-programme, an integrated territorial investment or one or more small project funds, or to act as sole partner.

Amendment

(27) Member States should, where appropriate, delegate the functions of the managing authority to a new or, where applicable, an existing EGTC or to make such a grouping, like other cross-border legal bodies, responsible for managing a sub-programme, an integrated territorial investment, or to act as sole partner. Member States should enable regional and local authorities and other public bodies from different Member States to set up such cooperation groupings with a legal personality and should involve local and regional authorities in their functioning.

Amendment 28

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 28

Text proposed by the Commission

(28) In order to continue the payment chain established for the programming period 2014-2020, i.e. from the Commission to the lead partner via the certifying authority, that payment chain should be continued under the accounting function. The Union support should be paid to the lead partner, unless this would result in double fees for conversion into euro and back into another currency or vice versa between the lead partner and the other partners.

Amendment

(28) In order to continue the payment chain established for the programming period 2014-2020, i.e. from the Commission to the lead partner via the certifying authority, that payment chain should be continued under the accounting function. The Union support should be paid to the lead partner, unless this would result in double fees for conversion into euro and back into another currency or vice versa between the lead partner and the other partners. If not otherwise specified, the
lead partner should ensure that the other partners receive the total amount of the contribution from the respective Union fund in full and within the timeframe agreed by all partners and following the same procedure applied in respect of the lead partner.

Amendment 29

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 29

Text proposed by the Commission

(29) Pursuant to Article [63(9)] of Regulation (EU, Euratom) [FR-Omnibus] sector-specific rules are to take account of the needs of European Territorial Cooperation (Interreg) programmes as regards, in particular the audit function. The provisions on the annual audit opinion, the annual control report and the audits of operations should therefore be simplified and adapted to those programmes involving more than one Member States.

Amendment

(29) Pursuant to Article [63(9)] of Regulation (EU, Euratom) [FR-Omnibus] sector-specific rules are to take account of the needs of European Territorial Cooperation (Interreg) programmes as regards in particular the audit function. The provisions on the annual audit opinion, the annual control report and the audits of operations should therefore be simplified and adapted to those programmes involving more than one Member State.

Amendment 30

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 30

Text proposed by the Commission

(30) A clear chain of financial liability in respect of recovery for irregularities should be established from sole or other partners via the lead partner and the managing authority to the Commission. Provision should be made for liability of Member States, third countries, partner countries or Overseas Countries and Territories (OCTs), where obtaining recovery from the sole or other or lead partner is not successful, meaning that the Member State reimburses the managing authority. Consequently, under Interreg programmes there is no scope for

Amendment

(30) A clear chain of financial liability in respect of recovery for irregularities should be established from sole or other partners via the lead partner and the managing authority to the Commission. Provision should be made for liability of Member States, third countries, partner countries or Overseas Countries and Territories (OCTs), where obtaining recovery from the sole or other or lead partner is not successful, meaning that the Member State reimburses the managing authority. Consequently, under Interreg programmes there is no scope for
irrecoverable amounts on the level of beneficiaries. It is, however, **necessary** to clarify the rules, should a Member State, third country, partner country or OCT not reimburse the managing authority. The obligations of the lead partner for recovery should also be clarified. **In particular**, the managing authority should not be allowed to oblige the lead partner to launch a judicial procedure in a different country.

**Amendment 31**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Recital 30 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(30 a) **It is appropriate to encourage financial discipline. At the same time, arrangements for decommitment of budgetary commitments should take into account the complexity of Interreg programmes and their implementation.**

**Amendment**


**Amendment 32**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Recital 32**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(32) Although Interreg programmes with the participation of third countries, partner countries or OCTs should be implemented under shared management, outermost regions’ cooperation may be implemented under indirect management. Specific rules should be set out on how to implement those programmes as a whole or partially under indirect management.

**Amendment**

(32) Although Interreg programmes with the participation of third countries, partner countries or OCTs should be implemented under shared management, outermost regions’ cooperation may be implemented under indirect management. Specific rules should be set out on how to implement those programmes as a whole or partially under indirect management.

**Amendment 33**
Proposal for a regulation
Recital 35

(35) In order to ensure uniform conditions for the adoption or amendment of Interreg programmes, implementing powers should be conferred on the Commission. However, external cross-border cooperation programmes should respect, where applicable, Committee procedures established under Regulations (EU) [IPA III] and [NDICI] with regard to the first approval decision of those programmes.

Amendment

(35) In order to ensure uniform conditions for the adoption or amendment of Interreg programmes, implementing powers should be conferred on the Commission. However, where applicable, external cross-border cooperation programmes should respect Committee procedures established under Regulations (EU) [IPA III] and [NDICI] with regard to the first approval decision of those programmes.

Amendment 34

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 36 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(36 a) The promotion of European Territorial Cooperation (ETC) is a major priority of Union cohesion policy. Support for SMEs for costs incurred in ETC projects is already block-exempted under the Commission Regulation (EU) No 651/20141a (General block exemption Regulation (GBER)). Special provisions in relation to regional aid for investments by undertakings of all sizes are also included in the Guidelines on regional State aid for 2014-20202a and in the regional aid section of the GBER. In the light of experience gained, aid for European Territorial Cooperation projects should only have limited effects on competition and trade between Member States, and thus the Commission should be able to declare that such aid is compatible with the internal market and that financing provided in support of ETC projects is able to be block-exempted.

Amendment

(36 a) The promotion of European Territorial Cooperation (ETC) is a major priority of Union cohesion policy. Support for SMEs for costs incurred in ETC projects is already block-exempted under the Commission Regulation (EU) No 651/20141a (General block exemption Regulation (GBER)). Special provisions in relation to regional aid for investments by undertakings of all sizes are also included in the Guidelines on regional State aid for 2014-20202a and in the regional aid section of the GBER. In the light of experience gained, aid for European Territorial Cooperation projects should only have limited effects on competition and trade between Member States, and thus the Commission should be able to declare that such aid is compatible with the internal market and that financing provided in support of ETC projects is able to be block-exempted.

1a Commission Regulation (EU) No
Amendment 35
Proposal for a regulation
Article 1 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. This Regulation lays down rules for the European territorial cooperation goal (Interreg) with a view to fostering cooperation between Member States inside the Union and between Member States and adjacent third countries, partner countries, other territories or overseas countries and territories ('OCTs') respectively.

Amendment

1. This Regulation lays down rules for the European territorial cooperation goal (Interreg) with a view to fostering cooperation between Member States and their regions inside the Union and between Member States, their regions and third countries, partner countries, other territories or overseas countries and territories ('OCTs'), or regional integration and cooperation organisations, or group of third countries forming part of a regional organisation, respectively.

Amendment 36
Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) 'cross-border legal body' means a legal body established under the laws of one of the participating countries in an Interreg programme provided that it is set up by territorial authorities or other bodies from at least two participating countries.

Amendment

(4) 'cross-border legal body' means a legal body including a euroregion, established under the laws of one of the participating countries in an Interreg programme provided that it is set up by territorial authorities or other bodies from at least two participating countries.

Amendment 37
Proposal for a regulation
Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 4 a (new)
(4 a) 'regional integration and cooperation organisation' means a group of Member States or regions in the same geographical area that aim to cooperate closely on issues of common interest.

Amendment 38

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(1) cross-border cooperation between adjacent regions to promote integrated regional development (component 1):

Amendment

(1) cross-border cooperation between adjacent regions to promote integrated and harmonious regional development (component 1):

Amendment 39

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) internal cross-border cooperation between adjacent land border regions of two or more Member States or between adjacent land border regions of at least one Member State and one or more third countries listed in Article 4(3); or

Amendment

(a) internal cross-border cooperation between adjacent land or maritime border regions of two or more Member States or between adjacent land or maritime border regions of at least one Member State and one or more third countries listed in Article 4(3); or

Amendment 40

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 1 – point b – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) external cross-border cooperation, between adjacent land border regions of at least one Member State and of one or more of the following:

Amendment

(b) external cross-border cooperation, between adjacent land or maritime border regions of at least one Member State and of one or more of the following:
Amendment 41
Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 2

**Text proposed by the Commission**

(2) transnational cooperation and maritime cooperation over larger transnational territories or around sea-basins, involving national, regional and local programme partners in Member States, third countries and partner countries and in Greenland, with a view to achieving a higher degree of territorial integration (**component 2**; where referring only to transnational cooperation: **component 2A**; where referring only to maritime cooperation: **component 2B**);

**Amendment**

(2) transnational cooperation over larger transnational territories or around sea-basins, involving national, regional and local programme partners in Member States, third countries and partner countries and OCTs, with a view to achieving a higher degree of territorial integration (**component 2**);

Amendment 42
Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 3

**Text proposed by the Commission**

(3) outermost regions' cooperation among themselves and with their neighbouring third or partner countries or OCTs, or several thereof, to facilitate their regional integration in their neighbourhood (**component 3**);

**Amendment**

(3) outermost regions' cooperation among themselves and with their neighbouring third or partner countries or OCTs, or regional integration and cooperation organisations, or several thereof, to facilitate their regional integration and harmonious development in their neighbourhood (**component 3**);

Amendment 43
Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 4 – point a – point i a (new)

**Text proposed by the Commission**

(i a) the implementation of common interregional development projects;

**Amendment**

(i a) the implementation of common interregional development projects;
Amendment 44
Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 4 – point a – point i b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(i b) the development of capacities
between partners throughout the Union in connection with:

Amendment 45
Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 4 – point a – point ii a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(ii a) the identification and dissemination of good practices with a view to their transfer principally to operational programmes under the Investment for growth and jobs goal;

Amendment 46
Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 4 – point a – point ii b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(ii b) the exchange of experiences concerning the identification, transfer and dissemination of best practice on sustainable urban development, including linkages between urban and rural areas;

Amendment 47
Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 4 – point a – point iii a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(iii a) the setting-up, functioning and use of the European Cross-Border
Amendment 48

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point 5

Text proposed by the Commission

(5) **interregional innovation** investments through the commercialisation and scaling up of interregional innovation projects having the potential to encourage the development of European value chains (‘component 5’).

Amendment

deleted

Amendment 49

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. For cross-border cooperation, the regions to be supported by the ERDF shall be the NUTS level 3 regions of the Union along all internal and external land borders with third countries or partner countries.

Amendment

1. For cross-border cooperation, the regions to be supported by the ERDF shall be the NUTS level 3 regions of the Union along all internal and external land or maritime borders with third countries or partner countries, **without prejudice to potential adjustments to ensure the coherence and continuity of cooperation programme areas established for the 2014-2020 programming planning period**.

Amendment 50

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. **Regions on maritime borders** which are connected over the sea by a fixed link shall also be supported under

Amendment

deleted
cross-border cooperation.

Amendment 51

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Internal cross-border cooperation
Interreg programmes may cover regions in Norway, Switzerland and the United Kingdom which are equivalent to NUTS level 3 regions as well as Liechtenstein, Andorra and Monaco.

Amendment

3. Internal cross-border cooperation
Interreg programmes may cover regions in Norway, Switzerland and the United Kingdom which are equivalent to NUTS level 3 regions as well as Liechtenstein, Andorra, Monaco and San Marino.

Amendment 52

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. For external cross-border cooperation, the regions to be supported by IPA III or NDICI shall be NUTS level 3 regions of the respective partner country or, in the absence of NUTS classification, equivalent areas along all land borders between Member States and partner countries eligible under IPA III or NDICI.

Amendment

4. For external cross-border cooperation, the regions to be supported by IPA III or NDICI shall be NUTS level 3 regions of the respective partner country or, in the absence of NUTS classification, equivalent areas along all land or maritime borders between Member States and partner countries eligible under IPA III or NDICI.

Amendment 53

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

5 Geographical coverage for transnational cooperation and maritime cooperation

Amendment

Geographical coverage for transnational cooperation
Amendment 54

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. For transnational cooperation and maritime cooperation, the regions to be supported by the ERDF shall be the NUTS level 2 regions of the Union covering contiguous functional areas, taking into account, where applicable, macro-regional strategies or sea basin strategies.

Amendment

1. For transnational cooperation, the regions to be supported by the ERDF shall be the NUTS level 2 regions of the Union covering contiguous functional areas, without prejudice to potential adjustments to ensure the coherence and continuity of such cooperation in larger coherent areas based on the 2014-2020 programming planning period and taking into account, where applicable, macro-regional strategies or sea basin strategies.

Amendment 55

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Transnational cooperation and maritime cooperation Interreg programmes may cover:

Amendment

Transnational cooperation Interreg programmes may cover:

Amendment 56

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) Greenland;

Amendment

(b) OCTs benefit from the support provided by the OCT programme;

Amendment 57

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 3
3. The regions, third countries or partner countries listed in paragraph 2 shall be NUTS level 2 regions or, in the absence of NUTS classification, equivalent areas.

Amendment 58
Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 2

2. The outermost regions' Interreg programmes may cover neighbouring partner countries supported by the NDICI or OCTs supported by the OCTP, or both.

Amendment 59
Proposal for a regulation
Article 7 – title

Geographical coverage for interregional cooperation and interregional innovation investments

Amendment 60
Proposal for a regulation
Article 7 – paragraph 1

1. For any component 4 Interreg programme or for interregional innovation investments under component 5, the entire territory of the Union shall be supported by the ERDF.
Amendment 61

Proposal for a regulation
Article 7 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Component 4 Interreg programmes may cover the whole or part of the third countries, partner countries, other territories or OCTs referred to in Articles 4, 5 and 6, whether or not they are supported by the external financing instruments of the Union.

Amendment

2. Component 4 Interreg programmes may cover the whole or part of the third countries, partner countries, other territories or OCTs referred to in Articles 4, 5 and 6, whether or not they are supported by the external financing instruments of the Union. Third countries may participate in those programmes, provided that they make a funding contribution in the form of externally allocated revenue.

Amendment 62

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The implementing act referred to in paragraph 1 shall also contain a list specifying those NUTS level 3 regions of the Union taken into account for the ERDF allocation for cross-border cooperation at all internal borders and those external borders covered by the external financing instruments of the Union as well as a list specifying those NUTS level 3 regions taken into account for allocation purposes under component 2B referred to in point (a) of Article 9(3).

Amendment

2. The implementing act referred to in paragraph 1 shall also contain a list specifying those NUTS level 3 regions of the Union taken into account for the ERDF allocation for cross-border cooperation at all internal borders and those external borders covered by the external financing instruments of the Union.

Amendment 63

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Regions of third or partner countries or territories outside the Union

Amendment

3. Regions of third or partner countries or territories outside the Union
which do not receive supported from the ERDF or an external financing instrument of the Union shall also be mentioned in the list referred to in paragraph 1.

Amendment 64
Proposal for a regulation
Article 9 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission
1. The ERDF resources for the European territorial cooperation goal (Interreg) shall amount to EUR 8 430 000 000 of the global resources available for budgetary commitment from the ERDF, ESF+ and the Cohesion Fund for the 2021-2027 programming period and set out in Article [102(1)] of Regulation (EU) [new CPR].

Amendment
1. Resources for the European territorial cooperation goal (Interreg) shall amount to EUR 11 165 910 000 (2018 prices) of out the global resources available for budgetary commitment from the ERDF, ESF+ and the Cohesion Fund for the 2021-2027 programming period and set out in Article [103(1)] of Regulation (EU) [new CPR].

Amendment 65
Proposal for a regulation
Article 9 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission
2. The resources referred to in paragraph 1 shall be allocated as follows:

Amendment
2. EUR 10 195 910 000 (91,31 %) of the resources referred to in paragraph 1 shall be allocated as follows:

Amendment 66
Proposal for a regulation
Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission
(a) 52.7 % (i.e., a total of EUR 4 440 000 000) for cross-border cooperation (component 1);

Amendment
(a) EUR 7 500 000 000 (67,16 %) for cross-border cooperation (component 1);
Amendment 67
Proposal for a regulation
Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) 31.4 % (i.e., a total of EUR 2 649 900 000) for transnational cooperation and maritime cooperation (component 2);

Amendment

(b) EUR 1 973 600 880 (17.68 %) for transnational cooperation (component 2);

Amendment 68
Proposal for a regulation
Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) 3.2 % (i.e., a total of EUR 270 100 000) for outermost regions' cooperation (component 3);

Amendment

(c) EUR 357 309 120 (3.2 %) for outermost regions' cooperation (component 3);

Amendment 69
Proposal for a regulation
Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) 1.2 % (i.e., a total of EUR 100 000 000) for interregional cooperation (component 4);

Amendment

(d) EUR 365 000 000 (3.27%) for interregional cooperation (component 4);

Amendment 70
Proposal for a regulation
Article 9 – paragraph 2 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

(e) 11.5 % (i.e., a total of EUR 970 000 000) for interregional innovation investments (component 5);

Amendment

deleted
Amendment 71
Proposal for a regulation
Article 9 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) NUTS level 3 regions for component 1 and those NUTS level 3 regions for component 2B listed in the implementing act under Article 8(2);

Amendment

(a) NUTS level 3 regions for component 1 listed in the implementing act under Article 8(2);

Amendment 72
Proposal for a regulation
Article 9 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) NUTS level 2 regions for components 2A and 3.

Amendment

(b) NUTS level 2 regions for component 2.

Amendment 73
Proposal for a regulation
Article 9 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 2 – point b a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

(b a) NUTS level 2 and 3 regions for component 3.

Amendment

Amendment 74
Proposal for a regulation
Article 9 – paragraph 5 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

5 a. EUR 970 000 000 (8.69 %) of the resources referred to in paragraph 1 shall be allocated to the new initiative on interregional innovation investments as referred to in Article 15 a (new).

If by 31 December 2026, the Commission has not committed all of the available resources referred to in paragraph 1 on
Amendment 75

Proposal for a regulation
Article 10 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission
Support from the ERDF shall be granted to individual external cross-border Interreg programmes provided that equivalent amounts are provided by IPA III CBC and NDICI CBC under the relevant strategic programming document. That equivalence shall be subject to a maximum amount set out in the IPA III or NDICI legislative act.

Amendment
Support from the ERDF shall be granted to individual external cross-border Interreg programmes provided that at least equivalent amounts are provided by IPA III CBC and NDICI CBC under the relevant strategic programming document. That contribution shall be subject to a maximum amount set out in the IPA III or NDICI legislative act.

Amendment 76

Proposal for a regulation
Article 12 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission
(b) the Interreg programme cannot be implemented as planned due to problems in relations between the participating countries.

Amendment
(b) In duly justified cases, where the Interreg programme cannot be implemented as planned due to problems in relations between the participating countries.

Amendment 77

Proposal for a regulation
Article 12 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission
With regard to a component 2 Interreg programme already approved by the Commission, the participation of a partner country or of Greenland shall be discontinued, if one of the situations set out

Amendment
With regard to a component 2 Interreg programme already approved by the Commission, the participation of a partner country or of an OCT shall be discontinued, if one of the situations set out
Amendment 78

Proposal for a regulation
Article 12 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2 – point a

**Text proposed by the Commission**

(a) that the Interreg programme be discontinued in total, in particular where the main joint development challenges thereof cannot be achieved without the participation of that partner country or of Greenland;

**Amendment**

(a) that the Interreg programme be discontinued in total, in particular where the main joint development challenges thereof cannot be achieved without the participation of that partner country or of OCT;

Amendment 79

Proposal for a regulation
Article 12 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2 – point c

**Text proposed by the Commission**

(c) that the Interreg programme continue without the participation of that partner country or of Greenland.

**Amendment**

(c) that the Interreg programme continue without the participation of that partner country or of an OCT.

Amendment 80

Proposal for a regulation
Article 12 – paragraph 6

**Text proposed by the Commission**

6. Where a third country or partner country contributing to an Interreg programme with national resources, which do not constitute the national cofinancing of support from the ERDF or from an external financing instrument of the Union, reduces that contribution during the implementation of the Interreg programme, either globally or with regard to joint operations already selected and having

**Amendment**

6. Where a third country, partner country or OCTs contributing to an Interreg programme with national resources, which do not constitute the national cofinancing of support from the ERDF or from an external financing instrument of the Union, reduces that contribution during the implementation of the Interreg programme, either globally or with regard to joint operations already
selected and having received the document provided for in Article 22(6), the participating Member State or Member States shall request one of the options set out in the second subparagraph of paragraph 4 of this Article.

Amendment 81

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The co-financing rate at the level of each Interreg programme shall be not higher than 70 %, unless, with regard to external cross-border or component 3 Interreg programmes, a higher percentage is fixed in Regulations (EU) [IPA III], [NDICI] or Council Decision (EU) [OCTP] respectively or in any act adopted thereunder.

Amendment

The co-financing rate at the level of each Interreg programme shall be not higher than 80 %, unless, with regard to external cross-border or component 3 Interreg programmes, a higher percentage is fixed in Regulations (EU) [IPA III], [NDICI] or Council Decision (EU) [OCTP] respectively or in any act adopted thereunder.

Amendment 82

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

3. In addition to the specific objectives for the ERDF as set out in Article [2] of Regulation (EU) [new ERDF], the ERDF and, where applicable, the external financing instruments of the Union may also contribute to the specific objectives under PO 4 as follows:

Amendment

3. In addition to the specific objectives for the ERDF as set out in Article [2] of Regulation (EU) [new ERDF], the ERDF and, where applicable, the external financing instruments of the Union shall also contribute to the specific objectives under PO 4 as follows:

Amendment 83

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 4 – point a – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) under component 1 and 2B Interreg

Amendment

(a) under component 1 and 2 Interreg
Amendment 84
Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 4 – point a – point ii

Text proposed by the Commission

(ii) enhance efficient public administration by promoting legal and administrative cooperation and cooperation between citizens and institutions, in particular, with a view to resolving legal and other obstacles in border regions;

Amendment

(ii) enhance efficient public administration by promoting legal and administrative cooperation and cooperation between citizens, including people-to-people projects, civil society actors and institutions, in particular, with a view to resolving legal and other obstacles in border regions;

Amendment 85
Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. Under external cross-border and component 2 and 3 Interreg programmes the ERDF and, where applicable, the external financing instruments of the Union shall also contribute to the external Interreg-specific objective 'a safer and more secure Europe', in particular by actions in the fields of border crossing management and mobility and migration management, including the protection of migrants.

Amendment

5. Under component 1, 2 and 3 Interreg programmes the ERDF and, where applicable, the external financing instruments of the Union may also contribute to the Interreg-specific objective 'a safer and more secure Europe', in particular by actions in the fields of border crossing management and mobility and migration management, including the protection, economic and social integration of migrants and refugees under international protection.

Amendment 86
Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. An additional 15% of the ERDF and, where applicable, of the external

Amendment

2. Of the ERDF and, where applicable, of the external financing
financing instruments of the Union allocations under priorities other than for technical assistance to each Interreg programme under components 1, 2 and 3, shall be allocated on the Interreg-specific objective of 'a better Interreg governance' or on the external Interreg-specific objective of 'a safer and more secure Europe'.

Amendment 87
Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Where a component 2A Interreg programme supports a macro-regional strategy, the total ERDF and, where applicable, the total external financing instruments of the Union allocations under priorities other than for technical assistance shall be programmed on the objectives of that strategy.

Amendment

3. Where a component 1 or 2 Interreg programme supports a macro-regional strategy or a sea-basin strategy, at least 80% the ERDF and, where applicable, part of the external financing instruments of the Union allocations under priorities other than for technical assistance shall contribute to the objectives of that strategy.

Amendment 88
Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Where a component 2B Interreg programme supports a macro-regional strategy or sea-basin strategy, at least 70% of the total ERDF and, where applicable, of the external financing instruments of the Union allocations under priorities other than for technical assistance shall be allocated on the objectives of that strategy.

Amendment

deleted

Amendment 89
Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 15 a

Interregional innovation investments

1. The resources referred to in Article 9 (5 a) (new) shall be allocated to a new initiative on interregional innovation investments that is earmarked for:

(a) the commercialisation and scaling up of common innovation projects that are likely to encourage the development of European value chains;

(b) the bringing together of researchers, businesses, civil society organisations, and public administrations involved in smart specialisation and social innovation strategies at national or regional level;

(c) pilot projects aimed at identifying or testing new development solutions at regional and local level which are based on smart specialisation strategies; or

(d) sharing innovation experiences with the aim of benefiting from the experience gained in regional or local development.

2. To maintain the European territorial cohesion principle, with an approximate equal share of financial resources, those investments shall focus on creating linkages between less developed regions with those in lead regions by increasing the capacity of regional innovation ecosystems in less developed regions to integrate in and move up the existing or emerging EU value as well as the capacity to participate in partnerships with other regions.

3. The Commission shall implement those investments under direct or indirect management. It shall be supported by an expert group in defining a long-term work programme and related calls.

4. The entire territory of the Union shall be supported by the ERDF for interregional innovation investments.
Third countries may participate in those investments, provided that they make a funding contribution in the form of externally allocated revenue.

Amendment 90
Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The European territorial cooperation goal (Interreg) shall be implemented through Interreg programmes under shared management with the exception of component 3, which may be implemented as a whole or partially under indirect management, and of component 5 which shall be implemented under direct or indirect management.

Amendment

1. The European territorial cooperation goal (Interreg) shall be implemented through Interreg programmes under shared management with the exception of component 3, which may be implemented as a whole or partially under indirect management after consulting stakeholders.

Amendment 91
Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The participating Member States and, where applicable, third countries, partner countries or OCTs, shall prepare an Interreg programme in accordance with the template set out in the Annex for the period from 1 January 2021 to 31 December 2027.

Amendment

2. The participating Member States and, where applicable, third countries, partner countries, OCTs, or regional integration and cooperation organisations shall prepare an Interreg programme in accordance with the template set out in the Annex for the period from 1 January 2021 to 31 December 2027.

Amendment 92
Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The participating Member States shall prepare an Interreg programme in

Amendment

The participating Member States shall prepare an Interreg programme in
cooperation with the programme partners referred to in Article [6] of Regulation (EU) [the new CPR].

In the preparation of the Interreg programmes, covering macro-regional or sea basin strategies, the Member States and the programme partners should take into account the thematic priorities of the relevant macro-regional and sea basins strategies and consult the relevant actors. An ex ante mechanism shall be set up by the Member States and the programme partners to ensure that all actors at macro-region and sea basin level, ETC programme authorities, regions and countries are brought together at the start of the programming period to decide jointly on the priorities for each programme. Those priorities shall be aligned with macro-regional or sea basin strategies’ Action Plans wherever relevant.

Amendment 93

Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The Member State hosting the prospective managing authority, shall submit an Interreg programme to the Commission by [date of entry into force plus nine months:] on behalf of all participating Member States and, where applicable, third countries, partner countries or OCTs.

Amendment

The Member State hosting the prospective managing authority, shall submit one or more Interreg programmes to the Commission by [date of entry into force plus twelvemonths:] on behalf of all participating Member States and, where applicable, third countries, partner countries, OCTs, or regional integration and cooperation organisations.

Amendment 94

Proposal for a regulation
Article 16 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

However, an Interreg programme covering support from an external financing

Amendment

However, an Interreg programme covering support from an external financing
instrument of the Union shall be submitted by the Member State hosting the prospective managing authority no later than six months after the adoption by the Commission of the relevant strategic programming document under Article 10(1) or where required under the respective basic act of one or more of an external financing instrument of the Union.

Amendment 95

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. In duly justified cases and in agreement with the Commission, in order to increase the efficiency of programme implementation and to achieve larger-scale operations, the Member State concerned may decide to transfer to Interreg programmes up to $\lfloor x \rfloor\%$ of the amount of the ERDF allocated to the corresponding programme under the Investment for jobs and growth goal for the same region. The amount transferred shall constitute a separate priority or separate priorities.

Amendment

3. In order to increase the efficiency of programme implementation and to achieve larger-scale operations, the Member State concerned may decide to transfer to Interreg programmes up to 20% of the amount of the ERDF allocated to the corresponding programme under the Investment for jobs and growth goal for the same region. Each Member State shall inform the Commission in advance that it intends to make use of the transfer option, and shall give the Commission reasons for its decision. The amount transferred shall constitute a separate priority or separate priorities.

Amendment 96

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 4 – point b – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) a summary of the main joint challenges, taking into account:

Amendment

(b) a summary of the main joint challenges, particularly taking into account:
Amendment 97

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 4 – point b – point ii

Text proposed by the Commission

(ii) joint investment needs and complementarity with other forms of support;  

Amendment

(ii) joint investment needs and complementarity with other forms of support and potential synergies to be achieved;

Amendment 98

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 4 – point b – point iii

Text proposed by the Commission

(iii) lessons learnt from past experience;  

Amendment

(iii) lessons learnt from past experience and how they have been taken into account into the programme;

Amendment 99

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 4 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) a justification for the selected policy objectives and Interreg-specific objectives, corresponding priorities, specific objectives and the forms of support, addressing, where appropriate, missing links in cross-border infrastructure;  

Amendment

(c) a justification for the selected policy objectives and Interreg-specific objectives, corresponding priorities, and addressing, where appropriate, missing links in cross-border infrastructure;

Amendment 100

Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 4 – point e – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

(i) the related types of actions, including a list of planned operations of  

Amendment

(i) the related types of actions, including a list of planned operations of
strategic importance, and their expected contribution to those specific objectives and to macro-regional strategies and sea-basin strategies, where appropriate; respectively the set of criteria and the corresponding transparent selection criteria for such operation;

Amendment 101
Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 4 – point e – point iii

Text proposed by the Commission

(iii) the main target groups;

Amendment

deleted

Amendment 102
Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 4 – point e – point v

Text proposed by the Commission

(v) the planned use of financial instruments;

Amendment

deleted

Amendment 103
Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 5 – point a – point iii

Text proposed by the Commission

(iii) for component 2 Interreg programmes supported by OCTP concerning split per financing instrument ('ERDF' and 'OCTP Greenland');

Amendment

(iii) for component 2 Interreg programmes supported by OCTP concerning split per financing instrument ('ERDF' and 'OCTP');

Amendment 104
Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 5 – point b
(b) with regard to the table referred to in point (g)(ii) of paragraph 4, it shall include the amounts for the years 2021 to 2025 only.

Amendment 105
Proposal for a regulation
Article 17 – paragraph 7 – point b

(b) lay down the procedure for setting up the joint secretariat;

Amendment 106
Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 1

1. The Commission shall assess each Interreg programme and its compliance with Regulation (EU) [new CPR], Regulation (EU) [new ERDF] and this Regulation and, in the case of support from an external financing instrument of the Union and where relevant, its consistency with the multi-annual strategy document under Article 10(1) or the relevant strategic programming framework under the respective basic act of one or more of those instruments.

Amendment 107
Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 3

1. The Commission shall assess with full transparency each Interreg programme and its compliance with Regulation (EU) [new CPR], Regulation (EU) [new ERDF] and this Regulation and, in the case of support from an external financing instrument of the Union and where relevant, its consistency with the multi-annual strategy document under Article 10(1) of this Regulation or the relevant strategic programming framework under the respective basic act of one or more of those instruments.
3. The participating Member States and, where applicable, third or partner countries or OCTs shall review the Interreg programme taking into account the observations made by the Commission.

Amendment 108

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 4

3. The participating Member States and, where applicable, third or partner countries, OCTs, or regional integration and cooperation organisations shall review the Interreg programme taking into account the observations made by the Commission.

Amendment 109

Proposal for a regulation
Article 19 – paragraph 1

1. The Member State hosting the managing authority may submit a motivated request for an amendment of an Interreg programme together with the amended programme, setting out the expected impact of that amendment on the achievement of the objectives.

Amendment 110

Proposal for a regulation
Article 19 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Commission shall assess the compliance of the amendment with Regulation (EU) [new CPR], Regulation (EU) [new ERDF] and this Regulation and may make observations within three months of the submission of the amended programme.

Amendment

2. The Commission shall assess the compliance of the amendment with Regulation (EU) [new CPR], Regulation (EU) [new ERDF] and this Regulation and may make observations within one month of the submission of the amended programme.

Amendment 111

Proposal for a regulation
Article 19 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The participating Member States and, where applicable, third countries, partner countries or OCTs shall review the amended programme and take into account the observations made by the Commission.

Amendment

3. The participating Member States and, where applicable, third countries, partner countries, OCTs, or regional integration and cooperation organisations shall review the amended programme and take into account the observations made by the Commission.

Amendment 112

Proposal for a regulation
Article 19 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The Commission shall approve the amendment of a Interreg programme no later than six months after its submission by the Member State.

Amendment

4. The Commission shall approve the amendment of a Interreg programme no later than three months after its submission by the Member State.

Amendment 113

Proposal for a regulation
Article 19 – paragraph 5 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The Member State may transfer during the

Following consultation with the local and
programming period an amount of up to 5% of the initial allocation of a priority and no more than 3% of the programme budget to another priority of the same Interreg programme.

regional authorities and in compliance with Article 6 of Regulation (EU).../...[new CPR], the Member State may transfer during the programming period an amount of up to 10% of the initial allocation of a priority and no more than 5% of the programme budget to another priority of the same Interreg programme.

Amendment 114
Proposal for a regulation
Article 22 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission
That monitoring committee may set up one or, in particular in the case of sub-programmes, more steering committees which act under its responsibility for the selection of operations.

Amendment
That monitoring committee may set up one or, in particular in the case of sub-programmes, more steering committees which act under its responsibility for the selection of operations. Steering committees shall apply the partnership principle as set out in Article 6 of Regulation (EU).../...[new CPR] and shall involve partners from all participating Member States.

Amendment 115
Proposal for a regulation
Article 22 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission
3. The managing authority shall consult the Commission and take its comments into account prior to the initial submission of the selection criteria to the monitoring committee or, where applicable, the steering committee. The same shall apply for any subsequent changes to those criteria.

Amendment
3. The managing authority shall notify the Commission prior to the initial submission of the selection criteria to the monitoring committee or, where applicable, the steering committee. The same shall apply for any subsequent changes to those criteria.

Amendment 116
Proposal for a regulation
Article 22 – paragraph 4 – introductory part
4. In selecting operations, the monitoring committee or, where applicable, the steering committee shall:

Amendment

Before the monitoring committee or, where applicable, the steering committee selects operations, the managing authority shall:

Amendment 117

Proposal for a regulation
Article 22 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

That document shall also set out the lead partner's obligations with regard to recoveries pursuant to Article 50. Those obligations shall be defined by the monitoring committee. However, a lead partner located in a different Member State, third country, partner country or OCT from the partner shall not be obliged to recover through a judicial procedure.

Amendment

That document shall also set out the lead partner's obligations with regard to recoveries pursuant to Article 50. Procedures related to recoveries shall be defined and agreed by the monitoring committee. However, a lead partner located in a different Member State, third country, partner country or OCT from the partner shall not be obliged to recover through a judicial procedure.

Amendment 118

Proposal for a regulation
Article 23 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Operations selected under components 1, 2 and 3 shall involve actors from at least two participating countries, at least one of which shall be a beneficiary from a Member State.

Amendment

Operations selected under components 1, 2 and 3 shall involve actors from at least two participating countries or OCTs, at least one of which shall be a beneficiary from a Member State.

Amendment 119

Proposal for a regulation
Article 23 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. An Interreg operation may be

Amendment

2. An Interreg operation may be
implemented in a single country, provided that the impact on and the benefits for the programme area are identified in the operation application.

implemented in a single country or OCT, provided that the impact on and the benefits for the programme area are identified in the operation application.

**Amendment 120**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 23 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partners shall cooperate in the development, implementation, <strong>staffing and financing</strong> of Interreg operations.</td>
<td>Partners shall cooperate in the development <strong>and</strong> implementation of Interreg operations, <strong>as well as in the staffing and/or financing thereof.</strong> An effort shall be made to limit the number of partners for each Interreg operation to no more than ten.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Amendment 121**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 23 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>For Interreg operations under component 3 Interreg programmes, the partners from outermost regions and third countries, partner countries or OCTs shall be required to cooperate only in three of the four dimensions listed in the first subparagraph.</td>
<td>For Interreg operations under component 3 Interreg programmes, the partners from outermost regions and third countries, partner countries or OCTs shall be required to cooperate only in two of the four dimensions listed in the first subparagraph.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Amendment 122**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 23 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A cross-border legal body or an EGTC may be the sole partner of an Interreg operation under component 1, 2 and 3 Interreg programmes, provided that the members thereof involve partners from at least two participating countries.</td>
<td>A cross-border legal body or an EGTC may be the sole partner of an Interreg operation under component 1, 2 and 3 Interreg programmes, provided that the members thereof involve partners from at least two participating countries <strong>or OCTs.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Amendment 123
Proposal for a regulation
Article 23 – paragraph 7 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

However, a sole partner may be registered in a Member State not participating in that programme, provided the conditions set out in Article 23 are satisfied.

Amendment

Proposal for a regulation
Article 24 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

The contribution from the ERDF or, where applicable, an external financing instrument of the Union, to a small project fund within an Interreg programme shall not exceed EUR 20 000 000 or 15% of the total allocation of the Interreg programme, whichever is lower.

Amendment

The total contribution from the ERDF or, where applicable, an external financing instrument of the Union, to one or more small project funds within an Interreg programme shall not exceed 20% of the total allocation of the Interreg programme and shall, in the case of an Interreg programme for cross-border cooperation, be at least 3% of the total allocation.

Amendment 125
Proposal for a regulation
Article 24 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The beneficiary of a small project fund shall be a cross-border legal body or an EGTC.

Amendment

2. The beneficiary of a small project fund shall be a public or private law body, an entity with or without legal personality or a natural person, that is responsible for initiating or both initiating and implementing operations.

Amendment 126
Proposal for a regulation
Article 24 – paragraph 5
5. Staff and indirect costs generated at
the level of the beneficiary for the
management of the small project fund shall
not exceed 20% of the total eligible cost of
the respective small project fund.

Amendment

5. Staff and other direct costs
corresponding to the cost categories in
Articles 39 to 42, as well as indirect costs
generated at the level of the beneficiary for
the management of the small project fund
or funds, shall not exceed 20% of the total
eligible cost of the respective small project
fund or funds.

Amendment 127

Proposal for a regulation
Article 24 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Where the public contribution to a small
project does not exceed EUR 100 000, the
contribution from the ERDF or, where
applicable, an external financing
instrument of the Union shall take the form
of unit costs or lump sums or include flat
rates, except for projects for which the
support constitutes State aid.

Amendment

Where the public contribution to a small
project does not exceed EUR 100 000, the
collection from the ERDF or, where
applicable, an external financing
instrument of the Union shall take the form
of unit costs or lump sums or include flat
rates.

Amendment 128

Proposal for a regulation
Article 24 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Where the total costs of each operation do
not exceed EUR 100 000, the amount of
support for one or more small projects
may be set out on the basis of a draft
budget which is established on a case-by-

Amendment

case basis and agreed ex ante by the body
selecting the operation.

Amendment 129

Proposal for a regulation
Article 25 – paragraph 2
2. If not otherwise specified in the arrangements laid down pursuant to point (a) of paragraph 1 the lead partner shall ensure that the other partners receive the total amount of the contribution from the respective Union fund as quickly as possible and in full. No amount shall be deducted or withheld and no specific charge or other charge with equivalent effect shall be levied that would reduce that amount for the other partners.

Amendment 130
Proposal for a regulation
Article 25 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Any beneficiary in a Member State, third country, partner country or OCT participating in an Interreg programme may be designated as the lead partner.

Amendment

Any beneficiary in a Member State participating in an Interreg programme may be designated as the lead partner.

Amendment 131
Proposal for a regulation
Article 25 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

However, Member States, third countries, partner countries or OCTs participating in an Interreg programme may agree that a partner not receiving support from the ERDF or an external financing instrument of the Union may be designated as the lead partner.

Amendment

deleted
Text proposed by the Commission

1. Technical assistance to each Interreg programme shall be reimbursed as a flat rate by applying the percentages set out in paragraph 2 to the eligible expenditure included in each payment application pursuant to [points (a) or (c) of Article 85(3)] of Regulation (EU) [new CPR] as appropriate.

Amendment

1. Technical assistance to each Interreg programme shall be reimbursed as a flat rate by applying the percentages set out in paragraph 2 for 2021 and 2022 to the yearly instalments of the pre-financing pursuant to points (a) and (b) of Article 49(2) of this Regulation and then to the eligible expenditure included in each payment application pursuant to [points (a) or (c) of Article 85(3)] of Regulation (EU) [new CPR] as appropriate for subsequent years.

Amendment 133

Proposal for a regulation
Article 26 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) for internal cross-border cooperation Interreg programmes supported by the ERDF: 6%;

Amendment

(a) for internal cross-border cooperation Interreg programmes supported by the ERDF: 7%;

Amendment 134

Proposal for a regulation
Article 26 – paragraph 2 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) for component 2, 3 and 4 Interreg programmes, both for the ERDF and, where applicable, for the external financing instruments of the Union: 7%.

Amendment

(c) for component 2, 3 and 4 Interreg programmes, both for the ERDF and, where applicable, for the external financing instruments of the Union: 8%.

Amendment 135

Proposal for a regulation
Article 27 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The Member States and, where

Amendment

1. The Member States and, where
applicable, the third countries, partner countries and OCTs participating in that programme shall set up, in agreement with the managing authority, a committee to monitor implementation of the respective Interreg programme (‘monitoring committee’) within three months of the date of notification to the Member States of the Commission decision adopting an Interreg programme,

Amendment 136

Proposal for a regulation
Article 27 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The monitoring committee shall be chaired by a representative of the Member State hosting the managing authority or of the managing authority.

Where the rules of procedure of the monitoring committee establish a rotating chair, the monitoring committee may be chaired by a representative of a third country, partner country or OCT, and co-chaired by a representative of the Member State or of the managing authority, and vice-versa.

Amendment 137

Proposal for a regulation
Article 27 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. The managing authority shall publish the rules of procedures of the monitoring committee and all the data and information shared with the monitoring committee on the website referred to in Article 35(2).

Amendment

6. The managing authority shall publish the rules of procedures of the monitoring committee, the summary of data and information as well as all the decisions shared with the monitoring committee on the website referred to in Article 35(2).
Amendment 138

Proposal for a regulation
Article 28 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

The composition of the monitoring committee of each Interreg programme shall be agreed by the Member States and, where applicable, by the third countries, partner countries and OCTs participating in that programme and shall ensure a balanced representation of the relevant authorities, intermediate bodies and representatives of the programme partners referred to in Article [6] of Regulation (EU) [new CPR] from Member States, third countries, partner countries and OCTs.

Amendment 139

Proposal for a regulation
Article 28 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 2

The composition of the monitoring committee shall take into account the number of participating Member States, third countries, partner countries and OCTs in the Interreg programme concerned.

Amendment 140

Proposal for a regulation
Article 28 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 3

The monitoring committee shall also include representatives of bodies jointly set up in the whole programme area or covering a part thereof, including EGTCs.
Amendment 141

Proposal for a regulation
Article 28 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The managing authority shall publish a list of the members of the monitoring committee on the website referred to in Article 35(2).

Amendment

2. The managing authority shall publish a list of authorities or bodies appointed as members of the monitoring committee on the website referred to in Article 35(2).

Amendment 142

Proposal for a regulation
Article 28 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Representatives of the Commission shall participate in the work of the monitoring committee in an advisory capacity.

Amendment

3. Representatives of the Commission may participate in the work of the monitoring committee in an advisory capacity.

Amendment 143

Proposal for a regulation
Article 28 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

3 a. Representatives of bodies established throughout the area of the programme or which cover a part of it, including EGTCs, may participate in the work of the monitoring committee in an advisory capacity.

Amendment

3 a. Representatives of bodies established throughout the area of the programme or which cover a part of it, including EGTCs, may participate in the work of the monitoring committee in an advisory capacity.

Amendment 144

Proposal for a regulation
Article 29 – paragraph 1 – point g
Text proposed by the Commission

(g) the progress in administrative capacity building for public institutions and beneficiaries, where relevant.

Amendment

(g) the progress in administrative capacity building for public institutions and beneficiaries, where relevant and propose any further support measures if necessary.

Amendment 145

Proposal for a regulation
Article 29 – paragraph 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) the methodology and criteria used for the selection of operations, including any changes thereto, after consultation with the Commission pursuant to Article 22(2), without prejudice to [points (b), (c) and (d) of Article 27(3)] of Regulation (EU) [new CPR];

Amendment

(a) the methodology and criteria used for the selection of operations, including any changes thereto, after notifying the Commission pursuant to Article 22(2) of this Regulation, without prejudice to [points (b), (c) and (d) of Article 27(3)] of Regulation (EU) [new CPR];

Amendment 146

Proposal for a regulation
Article 30 – paragraph 2 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

2. At the request of the Commission, the managing authority shall, within one month, provide the Commission with the information on the elements listed in Article 29(1):

Amendment

2. At the request of the Commission, the managing authority shall, within three months, provide the Commission with the information on the elements listed in Article 29(1):

Amendment 147

Proposal for a regulation
Article 31 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Each managing authority shall electronically transmit to the Commission cumulative data for the respective Interreg

Amendment

Each managing authority shall electronically transmit to the Commission data for the respective Interreg programme
programme by 31 January, 31 March, 31 May, 31 July, 30 September and 30 November of each year in accordance with the template in Annex [VII] to Regulation (EU) [new CPR].

pursuant to point (a) of Article 31(2) of this Regulation by 31 January, 31 May and 30 September of each year as well as data pursuant to point (b) of Article 31(2) of this Regulation once a year in accordance with the template in Annex [VII] to Regulation (EU) [new CPR].

Amendment 148

Proposal for a regulation

Article 31 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

The transmission of data shall be carried out using existing data-reporting systems insofar as those systems have proven to be reliable during the previous programming period.

Amendment 149

Proposal for a regulation

Article 31 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) the values of output and result indicators for selected Interreg operations and values achieved by Interreg operations.

Amendment

(b) the values of output and result indicators for selected Interreg operations and values achieved by finalised Interreg operations.

Amendment 150

Proposal for a regulation

Article 33 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. Common output and common result indicators, as set out in Annex [I] to Regulation (EU) [new ERDF], and, where necessary, programme-specific output and result indicators shall be used in accordance with Article [12(1)] of Regulation (EU) [new CPR], and point

1. Common output and common result indicators, as set out in Annex [I] to Regulation (EU) [new ERDF], which are found to be most suited to measure progress towards the goals of the European territorial cooperation goal (Interreg) programme, shall be used in
(d)(ii) of Article 17(3) and point (b) of Article 31(2) of this Regulation.

(accordance with Article[12(1)] of Regulation (EU) [new CPR], and point (e)(ii) of Article 17(4) and point (b) of Article 31(2) of this Regulation.

Amendment 151
Proposal for a regulation
Article 33 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

1 a. Where necessary and in cases duly justified by the managing authority, programme-specific output and result indicators shall be used in addition to the indicators which were selected in accordance with the paragraph 1.

Amendment

Amendment 152
Proposal for a regulation
Article 34 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The managing authority shall carry out evaluations of each Interreg programme. Each evaluation shall assess the programme’s effectiveness, efficiency, relevance, coherence and EU added value with the aim to improve the quality of the design and implementation of the respective Interreg programme.

Amendment

1. The managing authority shall carry out evaluations of each Interreg programme, no more than once a year. Each evaluation shall assess the programme’s effectiveness, efficiency, relevance, coherence and EU added value with the aim to improve the quality of the design and implementation of the respective Interreg programme.

Amendment 153
Proposal for a regulation
Article 34 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The managing authority shall ensure the necessary procedures to produce and collect the data necessary for evaluations.

Amendment

4. The managing authority aims to ensure the necessary procedures to produce and collect the data necessary for evaluations.
Amendment 154

Proposal for a regulation
Article 35 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Article [44(2) to (7)] of Regulation (EU) [new CPR] on the responsibilities of the managing authority shall apply.

Amendment

3. Article [44(2) to (6)] of Regulation (EU) [new CPR] on the responsibilities of the managing authority shall apply.

Amendment 155

Proposal for a regulation
Article 35 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) publicly displaying public plaques or billboards as soon as the physical implementation of an Interreg operation involving physical investment or the purchase of equipment starts, the total cost of which exceeds EUR 100 000;

Amendment

(c) publicly displaying public plaques or billboards as soon as the physical implementation of an Interreg operation involving physical investment or the purchase of equipment starts, the total cost of which exceeds EUR 50 000;

Amendment 156

Proposal for a regulation
Article 35 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

(d) for Interreg operations not falling under point (c), publicly displaying at least one printed or electronic display of a minimum size A3 with information about the Interreg operation highlighting the support from an Interreg fund;

Amendment

(d) for Interreg operations not falling under point (c), publicly displaying at least one printed and, where applicable, electronic display of a minimum size A2 with information about the Interreg operation highlighting the support from an Interreg fund;

Amendment 157

Proposal for a regulation
Article 35 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 – point e
Text proposed by the Commission

(e) for operations of strategic importance and operations whose total cost exceed EUR 10 000 000 organising a communication event and involving the Commission and the responsible managing authority in a timely manner.

Amendment

(e) for operations of strategic importance and operations whose total cost exceed EUR 5 000 000 organising a communication event and involving the Commission and the responsible managing authority in a timely manner.

Amendment 158
Proposal for a regulation
Article 35 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Where the beneficiary does not comply with its obligations under Article [42] of Regulation (EU) [new CPR] or paragraphs 1 and 2 of this Article, the Member State shall apply a financial correction by cancelling up to 5% of the support from the Funds to the operation concerned.

Amendment

6. Where the beneficiary does not comply with its obligations under Article [42] of Regulation (EU) [new CPR] or paragraphs 1 and 2 of this Article, or does not remedy its omission in good time, the managing authority shall apply a financial correction by cancelling up to 5% of the support from the Funds to the operation concerned.

Amendment 159
Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 3 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

(c) as a flat rate in accordance with Article [50(1)] of Regulation (EU) [new CPR].

Amendment

(c) direct staff costs of an operation may be calculated at a flat rate of up to 20% of the direct costs other than the direct staff costs of that operation, without there being a requirement for the Member State to perform a calculation to determine the applicable rate.

Amendment 160
Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 5 – point a
Amendment 161
Proposal for a regulation
Article 38 – paragraph 6

(a) dividing the monthly gross employment cost by the monthly working time fixed in the employment document expressed in hours; or

(a) Dividing the latest documented monthly gross employment costs by the monthly working time of the person concerned in accordance with applicable law as referred to in the employment contract and paragraph 2 (b) of Article 50 of Regulation (EU) .../[New CPR]; or

Amendment 162
Proposal for a regulation
Article 39 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Office and administrative costs shall be limited to the following elements:

Office and administrative costs shall be limited to 15 % of total direct costs of an operation and to the following elements:

Amendment 163
Proposal for a regulation
Article 40 – paragraph 4
4. Direct payment of expenditure for costs under this Article by an employee of the beneficiary shall be supported by a proof of reimbursement by the beneficiary to that employee. That cost category may be used for the travel expenses of operation staff and other stakeholders for the purpose of implementation and promotion of the Interreg operation and Programme.

Amendment 164
Proposal for a regulation
Article 40 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission
5. Travel and accommodation costs of an operation may be calculated at a flat rate of up to 15% of the direct costs other than the direct staff costs of that operation.

Amendment
5. Travel and accommodation costs of an operation may be calculated at a flat rate of up to 15% of the direct costs of that operation.

Amendment 165
Proposal for a regulation
Article 41 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission
External expertise and service costs shall be limited to the following services and expertise provided by a public or private law body or a natural person other than the beneficiary of the operation:

Amendment
External expertise and service costs shall be composed but not limited to the following services and expertise provided by a public or private law body or a natural person other than the beneficiary, including all partners, of the operation:

Amendment 166
Proposal for a regulation
Article 41 – paragraph 1 – point o
(o) travel and accommodation for external experts, *speakers, chairpersons of meetings and service providers*;

**Amendment 167**

**Proposal for a regulation**
**Article 42 – paragraph 1 – introductory part**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Costs for equipment purchased, rented or leased by the beneficiary of the operation other than those covered by Article 39 shall be limited to the following:</td>
<td>1. Costs for equipment purchased, rented or leased by the beneficiary of the operation other than those covered by Article 39 shall be <em>composed but not</em> limited to the following:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Amendment 168**

**Proposal for a regulation**
**Article 43 – paragraph 1 – point a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) purchase of land in accordance with [point (c) of Article 58(1)] of Regulation (EU) [new CPR];</td>
<td>(a) purchase of land in accordance with [point (b) of Article 58(1)] of Regulation (EU) [new CPR];</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Amendment 169**

**Proposal for a regulation**
**Article 44 – paragraph 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text proposed by the Commission</th>
<th>Amendment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Member States and, where applicable, third countries, partner countries <em>and OCTs</em> participating in an Interreg programme shall identify, for the purposes of Article [65] of Regulation (EU) [new CPR], a single managing authority and a single audit authority.</td>
<td>1. Member States and, where applicable, third countries, partner countries, <em>OCTs, and regional integration cooperation organisations</em> participating in an Interreg programme shall identify, for the purposes of Article [65] of Regulation (EU) [new CPR], a single managing authority and a single audit authority.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Amendment 170

Proposal for a regulation
Article 44 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The managing authority and the audit authority shall be located in the same Member State.

Amendment

2. The managing authority and the audit authority may be located in the same Member State.

Amendment 171

Proposal for a regulation
Article 44 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. With regard to an Interreg programme under component 2B or under component 1 where the latter covers long borders with heterogenous development challenges and needs, Member States and, where applicable, third countries, partner countries and OCTs participating in an Interreg programme may define sub-programme areas.

Amendment

5. With regard to an Interreg programme under component 1 where the latter covers long borders with heterogenous development challenges and needs, Member States and, where applicable, third countries, partner countries and OCTs participating in an Interreg programme may define sub-programme areas.

Amendment 172

Proposal for a regulation
Article 44 – paragraph 6

Text proposed by the Commission

6. Where the managing authority identifies an intermediate body under an Interreg programme in accordance with Article [65(3)] of Regulation (EU) [new CPR], the intermediate body shall carry out those tasks in more than one participating Member State or, where applicable, third country, partner country or OCT.

Amendment

6. Where the managing authority identifies one or more intermediate bodies under an Interreg programme in accordance with Article [65(3)] of Regulation (EU) [new CPR], the intermediate body or bodies concerned shall carry out those tasks in more than one participating Member State, or in their respective Member States, or, where applicable, in more than one third country, partner country or OCT.
Amendment 173

Proposal for a regulation
Article 45 – paragraph 1 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1 a. By way of derogation from Article 87(2) of Regulation (EU) .../... [new CPR], the Commission shall reimburse as interim payments 100 % of the amounts included in the payment application which result from applying the cofinancing rate of the programme to the total eligible expenditure or to the public contribution, as appropriate.

Amendment 174

Proposal for a regulation
Article 45 – paragraph 1 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1b. Where the managing authority does not carry out verification under point (a) of Article 68(1) of Regulation (EU) .../... [new CPR] throughout the whole programme area, each Member State shall designate the body or person responsible for carrying out such verification in relation to beneficiaries on its territory.

Amendment 175

Proposal for a regulation
Article 45 – paragraph 1 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1c. By way of derogation from Article 92 of Regulation (EU) .../... [new CPR], Interreg programmes are not subject to the annual clearance of accounts. Accounts are cleared at the end of a programme, on the basis of the final performance report.
Amendment 176

Proposal for a regulation
Article 48 – paragraph 7

7. Where the global extrapolated error rate referred to in paragraph 6 is above 2% of the total expenditure declared for the Interreg programmes included in the population from which the common sample was selected, the Commission shall calculate a global residual error rate, taking account of financial corrections applied by the respective Interreg programme authorities for individual irregularities detected by the audits of operations selected pursuant to paragraph 1.

Amendment 177

Proposal for a regulation
Article 48 – paragraph 8

8. Where the global residual error rate referred to in paragraph 7 is above 2% of the expenditure declared for the Interreg programmes included in the population from which the common sample was selected, the Commission shall determine whether it is necessary to request the audit authority of a specific Interreg programme or a group of Interreg programmes most affected to carry out additional audit work in order to further evaluate the error rate and assess the required corrective measures for the Interreg programmes affected by the irregularities detected.

Amendment 178

Proposal for a regulation
Article 49 – paragraph 2 – point a

8. Where the global residual error rate referred to in paragraph 7 is above 3.5% of the expenditure declared for the Interreg programmes included in the population from which the common sample was selected, the Commission shall determine whether it is necessary to request the audit authority of a specific Interreg programme or a group of Interreg programmes most affected to carry out additional audit work in order to further evaluate the error rate and assess the required corrective measures for the Interreg programmes affected by the irregularities detected.
Proposal for a regulation
Article 49 – paragraph 2 – point b

Proposal for a regulation
Article 49 – paragraph 2 – point c

Proposal for a regulation
Article 49 – paragraph 2 – point d

Proposal for a regulation
Article 49 – paragraph 2 – point e

Proposal for a regulation
Article 49 – paragraph 2 – point f
Amendment 184

Proposal for a regulation
Article 49 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Where external cross-border Interreg programmes are supported by the ERDF and IPA III CBC or NDICI CBC, the pre-financing for all funds supporting such an Interreg programme shall be made in accordance with Regulation (EU) [IPA III] or [NDICI] or of any act adopted thereunder.

Amendment

Where external Interreg programmes are supported by the ERDF and IPA III CBC or NDICI CBC, the pre-financing for all funds supporting such an Interreg programme shall be made in accordance with Regulation (EU) [IPA III] or [NDICI] or of any act adopted thereunder.

Amendment 185

Proposal for a regulation
Article 49 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

The total amount paid as pre-financing shall be reimbursed to the Commission if no payment application under the cross-border Interreg programme is sent within 24 months of the date on which the Commission pays the first instalment of the pre-financing amount. Such reimbursement shall constitute internal assigned revenue and shall not reduce the support from the ERDF, IPA III CBC or NDICI CBC to the programme.

Amendment

The total amount paid as pre-financing shall be reimbursed to the Commission if no payment application under the cross-border Interreg programme is sent within 36 months of the date on which the Commission pays the first instalment of the pre-financing amount. Such reimbursement shall constitute internal assigned revenue and shall not reduce the support from the ERDF, IPA III CBC or NDICI CBC to the programme.

Amendment 186

Proposal for a regulation
Chapter 8 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Participation of third countries or partner

Amendment

Participation of third countries or partner
Amendment 187
Proposal for a regulation
Article 51 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission
Chapters I to VII and Chapter X shall apply to the participation of third countries, partner countries and OCTs in Interreg programmes subject to the specific provisions set out in this Chapter.

Amendment
Chapters I to VII and Chapter X shall apply to the participation of third countries, partner countries, OCTs, or regional integration or cooperation organisations in Interreg programmes subject to the specific provisions set out in this Chapter.

Amendment 188
Proposal for a regulation
Article 52 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission
3. Third countries, partner countries and OCTs participating in an Interreg programme shall delegate staff to the joint secretariat of that programme or shall set up a branch office in its respective territory, or shall do both.

Amendment
3. Third countries, partner countries and OCTs participating in an Interreg programme may delegate staff to the joint secretariat programme or, in agreement with the managing authority, shall set up a branch office of the Joint Secretariat in its respective territory, or shall do both.

Amendment 189
Proposal for a regulation
Article 52 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission
4. The national authority or a body equivalent to the Interreg programme communication officer as provided for in Article 35(1), shall support the managing authority and partners in the respective third country, partner country or OCT with regard to the tasks provided for in Article

Amendment
4. The national authority or a body equivalent to the Interreg programme communication officer as provided for in Article 35(1), may support the managing authority and partners in the respective third country, partner country or OCT with regard to the tasks provided for in Article
Amendment 190

Proposal for a regulation
Article 53 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Component 2 and 4 Interreg programmes combining contributions from the ERDF and from one or more external financing instrument of the Union shall be implemented under shared management both in the Member States and in any participating third country or partner country or, with regard to component 3, in any OCT, whether or not that OCT receives support under one or more external financing instruments of the Union.

Amendment

2. Component 2 and 4 Interreg programmes combining contributions from the ERDF and from one or more external financing instrument of the Union shall be implemented under shared management both in the Member States and in any participating third country, partner country, participating OCT or, with regard to component 3, in any OCT, whether or not that OCT receives support under one or more external financing instruments of the Union.

Amendment 191

Proposal for a regulation
Article 53 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

a) under shared management both in the Member States and in any participating third country or OCT;

Amendment

a) under shared management both in the Member States and in any participating third country or OCT or group of third countries forming part of a regional organisation;

Amendment 192

Proposal for a regulation
Article 53 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

b) under shared management only in the Member States and in any participating third country or OCT with regard to ERDF expenditure outside the Union for one or more operations, whereas the contributions from one or more external financing

Amendment

b) under shared management only in the Member States and in any participating third country or OCT, or group of third countries forming part of a regional organisation, with regard to ERDF expenditure outside the Union for one or
instruments of the Union are managed under indirect management; more operations, whereas the contributions from one or more external financing instruments of the Union are managed under indirect management;

Amendment 193

Proposal for a regulation
Article 53 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point c

**Text proposed by the Commission**

c) under indirect management both in the Member States and in any participating third country or OCT.

**Amendment**

c) under indirect management both in the Member States and in any participating third country or OCT or group of third countries forming part of a regional organisation.

Amendment 194

Proposal for a regulation
Article 53 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 2

**Text proposed by the Commission**

Where all or part of a component 3 Interreg programme is implemented under indirect management, Article 60 shall apply.

**Amendment**

Where all or part of a component 3 Interreg programme is implemented under indirect management, a prior agreement between Member States and regions concerned is required and Article 60 shall apply.

Amendment 195

Proposal for a regulation
Article 53 – paragraph 3 a (new)

**Text proposed by the Commission**

3 a. Joint calls for proposals mobilising funding from bilateral or multi-country NDICI programmes and ETC programmes may be launched if the respective managing authorities agree to do so. The content of the call shall specify its geographical scope, and its expected contribution to the objectives of the respective programmes. Managing authorities shall decide whether NDICI or
ETC rules are applicable to the call. They may decide to appoint a lead managing authority responsible for the tasks of management and control related to the call.

Amendment 196

Proposal for a regulation
Article 55 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Where the selection of one or more large infrastructure projects is on the agenda of a monitoring committee or, where applicable, steering committee meeting, the managing authority shall transmit a concept note for each such project to the Commission at the latest two months before the date of the meeting. The concept note shall be a maximum of three pages and shall indicate the name, the location, the budget, the lead partner and the partners as well as the main objectives and deliverables thereof. If the concept note concerning one or more large infrastructure projects is not transmitted to the Commission by that deadline, the Commission may request that the chair of the monitoring committee or steering committee remove the projects concerned from the agenda of the meeting.

Amendment

3. Where the selection of one or more large infrastructure projects is on the agenda of a monitoring committee or, where applicable, steering committee meeting, the managing authority shall transmit a concept note for each such project to the Commission at the latest two months before the date of the meeting. The concept note shall be a maximum of five pages and shall indicate the name, the location, the budget, the lead partner and the partners as well as the main objectives and deliverables thereof, as well as including a credible business plan which demonstrates that the project or projects’ continuation is secure even without the provision of Interreg funds. If the concept note concerning one or more large infrastructure projects is not transmitted to the Commission by that deadline, the Commission may request that the chair of the monitoring committee or steering committee remove the projects concerned from the agenda of the meeting.

Amendment 197

Proposal for a regulation
Article 60 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. Where part or all of a component 3 Interreg programme is implemented under indirect management pursuant to point (b)

Amendment

1. Where, after consulting stakeholders, part or all of a component 3 Interreg programme is implemented under
or (c) respectively of Article 53(3), implementation tasks shall be entrusted to one of the bodies listed in point [(c) of the first subparagraph of Article 62(1)] of Regulation (EU, Euratom) [FR-Omnibus], in particular to such a body located in the participating Member State, including the managing authority of the Interreg programme concerned.

Amendment 198
Proposal for a regulation
Article 61

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

**Article 61**

**Interregional innovation investments**

At the initiative of the Commission, the ERDF may support interregional innovation investments, as set out in point 5 of Article 3, bringing together researchers, businesses, civil society and public administrations involved in smart specialisation strategies established at national or regional levels.

Amendment 199
Proposal for a regulation
Article 61 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

**Article 61 a**

Exemption from reporting requirements under Article 108(3) TFEU

The Commission may declare that aid in favour of projects supported by EU European territorial cooperation are compatible with the internal market and are not subject to the notification requirements of Article 108(3) TFEU.