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DRAFT EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTION


(Ordinary legislative procedure: second reading)

The European Parliament,

– having regard to the Council position at first reading (05142/1/2020 – C9-0103/2020),

– having regard to the opinion of the Commission (COM(2020)0118),

– having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee of 17 October 2018,

– after consulting the Committee of the Regions,

– having regard to its position at first reading on the Commission proposal to Parliament and the Council (COM(2018)0279),

– having regard to Article 294(7) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

– having regard to the provisional agreement approved by the committee responsible under Rule 74(4) of its Rules of Procedure,

– having regard to the opinion of the Committee on Legal Affairs on the proposed legal basis,

– having regard to Rule 67 and 40 of its Rules of Procedure,

– having regard to the recommendation for second reading of the Committee on Transport and Tourism (A9-0000/2020),

1. Approves the Council position at first reading;

2. Notes that the act is adopted in accordance with the Council position;

3. Instructs its President to sign the act with the President of the Council, in accordance with Article 297(1) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union;

4. Instructs its Secretary-General to sign the act, once it has been verified that all the procedures have been duly completed, and, in agreement with the Secretary-General of the Council, to arrange for its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union;

5. Instructs its President to forward its position to the Council, the Commission and the

---

national parliaments.
SHORT JUSTIFICATION

1. The need for electronic freight transport information

The overwhelming majority of freight transport operations in the EU still require the use of paper documents. In fact, almost 99% of all cross-border transport operations in the EU still involve paper-based documents at one stage of the operation or another. This leads to inefficiencies for market players in the transport and logistic chains and to an unnecessary environmental burden. Since total freight transport movements are expected to grow by more than 50% up to 2050, this will become an even serious issue in the coming years. Parliament has been calling several times in the past for a stronger push towards paperless transport and urged for a more simplified, paperless, seamless, transparent, secured and trusted information flow between businesses, customers and authorities in this sector.

2. The Commission proposal

The Commission identified two main causes for the slow uptake of electronic transport documentation: a lack of recognition of the legal equivalence of e-documents by competent authorities and a fragmented IT environment without well-established and interoperable systems with generally applicable and binding rules on electronic transport documents.

The Commission proposal of 17 May 2018 required competent authorities to accept regulatory information (for certain legal acts) in electronic form, and set up a framework of certified platforms and service providers (by conformity assessment bodies).

3. Interinstitutional negotiations

Following the adoption of Parliament’s first reading position on 12 March 2019, interinstitutional negotiations (aimed at an early second reading agreement) took place, from September to November 2019, under the Finnish Presidency of the Council. After three rounds of trilogues, Parliament’s negotiating team reached a provisional agreement with the Council Presidency on 26 November 2019.

The text of the provisional agreement was presented to the Committee on Transport and Tourism (TRAN) and confirmed on 21 January 2020. On the basis of the Committee’s approval, the Chair of the TRAN Committee, in her letter to the Chair of the Committee of Permanent Representatives (COREPER I), indicated that she would recommend to the Plenary to approve the Council’s position without amendments, provided that it is in conformity with the provisional agreement reached between the two institutions. Following legal-linguistic verification, the Council on 7 April 2020 (by written procedure) formally adopted its position in accordance with the provisional agreement.

4. Main elements of the agreement

The overall agreement that Parliament reached with the Council aimed at further strengthening the proposed system. In particular, the following was achieved:
– Minimising costs for economic operators and competent authorities, by, among others, base
the certification system on existing international standards, models and agreements and
ensure that certification is done in an independent manner;

– Gaining and keeping the trust of operators and competent authorities in the safety and the
security of the system by enhancing the procedure for the setting of the precise standards,
specifications and access rules, with the proper involvement of the co-legislators and of all
relevant stakeholders;

– Encouraging all communication, including any follow-up requests, between the competent
authorities and operators to take place electronically, where possible;

– Clarifying the scope: indicating exactly what type of regulatory freight transport
information, if sent in electronic form by the economic operator concerned through a
certified platform, needs to be accepted by the competent authorities. After the system is up
and running, the Commission is required to assess whether the scope could be further
enlarged to include other relevant regulatory information in the field of transport, for
example those relating to the vehicle or driver;

– Towards a complete digitalisation: while competent authorities are under this system
required to accept regulatory freight transport information, when sent electronically,
-economic operators are still free to decide whether they “go digital” or not. However, it is
clarified that the use of electronic means should become the predominant way to exchange
regulatory information between the economic operators and competent authorities.
Therefore, the Commission has to assess possible initiatives in order to establish in the
future an obligation for economic operators to use electronic means to make regulatory
information available to competent authorities;

– Making the use of this system as attractive as possible for economic operators, by, inter alia,
encouraging existing IT systems to certify themselves as platform and by ensuring
interoperability;

– Timing: while the setting up of a certification system needs to be done carefully, the
different deadlines were set in such a way that the system is up and running as soon as
possible, so that the market uptake could follow soon.

5. Recommendation

As Council’s position is in conformity with the provisional agreement reached during the
interinstitutional negotiations, the Rapporteur recommends endorsing it without amendments.