KOMISIA EURÓPSKYCH SPOLOČENSTIEV

Brusel, 1.9.2005
KOM(2005) 390 v konečnom znení

OZNÁMENIE KOMISIE RADE, EURÓPSKEMU PARLAMENTU, EURÓPSKEMU HOSPODÁRSKEMU A SOCIÁLNEMU VÝBORU A VÝBORU REGIÓNOV

Súvislosti medzi migráciou a rozvojom: Niekoľko konkrétnych smerov pre partnerstvo medzi EÚ a rozvojovými krajinami

OZNÁMENIE KOMISIE RADE, EURÓPSKEMU PARLAMENTU, EURÓPSKEMU HOSPODÁRSKEMU A SOCIÁLNEMU VÝBORU A VÝBORU REGIÓNOV

Súvislosti medzi migráciou a rozvojom: Niekoľko konkrétnych smerov pre partnerstvo medzi EÚ a rozvojovými krajinami

1. Úvod

V decembri 2002 Komisia prijala oznámenie[1], ktoré, okrem iného, predstavilo prvý pokus pri vyjasnení si vzťahov medzi migráciou a rozvojom, pri navrhovaní niekoľkých kľúčových iniciatív a pri podpore súdržnosti medzi týmito dvoma oblasťami politiky.

Práve teraz je otázka migrácie a rozvoja veľmi dôležitou v agende globálnej politiky. Krajiny a medzinárodné organizácie stále viac vnímajú migráciu ako fenomén, ktorého pozitívne dosahy v podmienkach rozvoja môžu byť významné za predpokladu použitia vhodných politík. Súvislosti medzi migráciou a rozvojom sú jednou z hlavných otázok skúmaných Všeobecnou komisiou pre medzinárodnú migráciu (GCIM), ktorej správa sa očakáva do októbra 2005. „Dialóg na vysokej úrovni o migrácii a rozvoji“ plánovaný na rok 2006 v rámci Valného zhromaždenia Organizácie spojených národov je ďalším dôkazom o dôležitosti tejto diskusie, o ktorú majú veľký záujem rozvojové krajiny. Európsky parlament sa tiež venoval týmto otázkam, medziiným, počas prerokúvania v marci 2005.

Na základe týchto okolností a vychádzajúc z narastajúcich globálnych migračných tokov, Komisia chce týmto prispieť do tejto diskusie. Vyzýva k tomu Rada[2] a Haagsky program prijatý Európskou radou[3]. Toto tiež pomôže vylepšiť koncept spoločného rozvoja obsiahnutý v Záveroch Európskej rady v Tampere (1999).

Komisia verí, že vzťahy medzi migráciou a rozvojom ponúkajú významný potenciál pre podporu rozvoja cieľov, bez vytvorenia náhrady za rozšírenú Oficiálnu rozvojovú pomoc (ODA) a za zlepšené politiky, ktoré zachovávajú nevyhnutné plnenie Miléniových rozvojových cieľov (MDGs) v rámci dohodnutého časového rámca[4].

Tento dokument vychádza z Oznámenia z decembra 2002 a pokračuje v začleňovaní otázok migrácie do politík EÚ týkajúcich sa vonkajších vzťahov a rozvoja. Ako sa zdôraznilo v tomto Oznámení a potvrdilo v Záveroch Rady z mája 2003, integrácia migračných aspektov by mala zohľadniť celkovú súdržnosť vonkajších politík a opatrení EÚ a mala by byť konzistentná s cieľmi a prioritami Spoločného vyhlásenia Rady a Komisie o rozvojovej politike ES[5].

Ani toto oznámenie nepokrýva celé spektrum Oznámenia z roku 2002. Zameriava sa na niekoľko špecifických tém, ktoré sú primárne spojené s migráciou juh-sever a najmä na

---

1 „Zjednocujúce otázky migrácie vo vzťahoch Európskej únie s tretími krajinami“ (COM(2002) 703, 3.12.2002).

2 V Záveroch o migrácii a rozvoji prijatých dňa 19.5.2003.

3 Haagsky program bol prijatý ako príloha k Záverom Európskej rady v Bruseli dňa 5.11.2004.

4 Oznámenia Komisie z 12.4.2005 „Urýchlenie pokroku pri Miléniových rozvojových cieľoch – príspevok Európskej únie" (KOM(2005) 132), „Zrýchlenie pokroku pri dosahovaní Miléniových rozvojových cieľov“ (KOM(2005) 133) a „Politická súdržnosť pre rozvoj – Zrýchlenie pokroku pri dosahovaní Miléniových rozvojových cieľov“ (KOM(2005) 134).

5 Podľa Záverov Rady integrácia migračných otázok do politík vonkajších vzťahov a rozvoja by mala byť založená na politickom dialógu a mala by sa premietnuť do strategického rámca regionálnych a štátnych strategických dokumentov.

možné opatrenia, ktoré by sa mohli uskutočňovať na úrovni EÚ v partnerstve s rozvojovými krajinami pôvodu[6].

Komisia už prijala niekoľko konkrétnych krokov, najmä v rámci pomoci ES tretím krajinám s cieľom zlepšiť dopady migrácie na rozvoj. Niektoré z týchto krokov sú podrobnejšie uvedené v prílohe 1.

2. Ďalšie kroky na zlepšenie dopadu migrácie na rozvoj

EÚ je s 25 členskými štátmi dôležitým činiteľom na globálnej scéne. S cieľom ďalej rozvíjať svoje politiky a zabezpečiť, aby migračná politika prispela k dosiahnutiu cieľa politiky súdržnosti pre rozvoj, EÚ musí stavať na osvedčenej praxi, ktorá bola alebo je rozvíjaná jednotlivými členskými štátmi alebo neštátnymi subjektami a mala by sa učiť zo skúseností v iných častiach sveta, vrátane rozvojových krajín.

Vychádzajúc z hlavných otázok v globálnej diskusii o migrácii a rozvoji a v súlade so Závermi Rady z mája 2003, Komisia určila konkrétne smery pre zlepšenie dopadu migrácie na rozvoj so zameraním sa na migráciu juh-sever. Pre každú z týchto oblastí je v prílohe uvedená stručná prezentácia predmetných otázok a podrobnosti iniciatív, ktoré Komisia plánuje alebo zvažuje, a/alebo obsahuje odporúčania členským štátom v neskorších oblastiach zodpovednosti.

2.1. Remitencie[7]

Nárast objemu zaznamenaných remitencií od migrantov za posledných niekoľko rokov a zlepšenie znalostí o týchto finančných tokoch zaznamenali veľa pozornosti od tvorcov politiky, keďže tieto toky môžu potenciálne pomôcť splniť rozvojové ciele krajín pôvodu migrantov. Samozrejme, že napriek ich objemu nevytvoria zázračné riešenia pre rozvoj. Sú súkromnými transfermi a nemôžu nahradiť ODA. Bez ohľadu na to, náklady realizácie remitencií a všeobecnejšie podmienky, na základe ktorých sú zasielané, sú často neprimerané.

Preto existujú dve hlavné oblasti pre politické opatrenia o remitenciách, najmä lacnejšie, rýchlejšie a bezpečnejšie uskutočnenie transferov a rozšírenie ich rozvojového dopadu v prijímateľských krajinách. Avšak, časový rozsah pre dosiahnutie oboch výsledkov nie je rovnaký: v druhej oblasti sú významné prekrývania a synergie pre politiky rozvoja vo všeobecnosti, najmä rozvoj finančného trhu a lepší regulačný rámec, ktoré sa môžu zlepšiť iba zo stredno-dlhodobého hľadiska. Na druhej strane, zlepšenie transferových podmienok by sa malo dosiahnuť v kratšom období.

2.1.1. Podpora lacných, rýchlych a bezpečných spôsobov realizácie remitencií

Plánované alebo predpokladané iniciatívy Komisie a jej odporúčania pre zabezpečenie lacných, rýchlych a bezpečných tokov remitencií môžu byť zhrnuté takto:

Lepšia kvalita údajov

Oficiálne údaje o tokoch remitencií sú nejednotné, často nedostatočné a neodrážajú alternatívne kanály remitencií. Komisia začne iniciatívy na zlepšenie zberu údajov z dôvodu

---

6 Tieto ciele vysvetľujú pôsobnosť tohto oznámenia: primárne sa zameriavajú na migráciu z rozvojových krajín do EÚ. Nepokrýva migráciu vo vnútri EÚ alebo migráciu medzi EÚ a ostatnými rozvinutými krajinami. Ani nepokrýva migráciu medzi rozvojovými krajinami, aj keď niektoré jej smery môžu byť tiež relevantné v kontexte týchto migračných tokov.

7 Pre účely tohto oznámenia definujeme remitencie veľmi široko, vrátane všetkých finančných transferov od migrantov prijímateľom v krajinách pôvodu. Toto je širšia definícia ako definícia používaná pre účely platobnej bilancie.

lepšieho poznania veľkosti tokov remitencií migrantov. Najmä, zlepší s členskými štátmi možnosť informovania o údajoch týkajúcich sa remitencií podľa miesta určenia.

V spolupráci s inštitúciami ako je Svetová banka, tiež podporí prieskumy domácností, ktoré môžu odhaliť neoficiálne kanály remitencií so zameraním na vybrané dvojstranné „koridory remitencií".

Okrem toho, Komisia začne štúdie s cieľom získať lepšie informácie o oblasti remitencií, jeho štruktúre nákladov, možných ukazovateľoch nedostatku konkurencie a technickej neefektívnosti.

Transparentnosť

Komisia plánuje prijať návrh smernice o platobných službách, ktorá zvýši konkurenciu a zlepší transparentnosť tým, že bude požadovať od poskytovateľov platobných služieb, aby zákazníkom úplne transparentne stanovili poplatky (vrátane prevodných kurzov pri prevode) a ďalšie podmienky (napr. lehoty pre uskutočnenie prevodu). Smernica bude tiež požadovať, aby členské štáty zriadili register všetkých autorizovaných platobných inštitúcií (vrátane operátorov finančných transferov), pravidelne ho aktualizovali a aby bol, najmä on-line, prístupný verejnosti na konzultáciu.

Komisia tiež vyzýva členské štáty na podporu iniciatív zameraných na poskytovanie akýchkoľvek doplňujúcich a relevantných informácií migrantom a podporí dialóg medzi členskými štátmi o identifikovaní najlepšej (osvedčenej) praxe v tejto oblasti.

Bude tiež uvažovať o podpore zriadenia celoeurópskej webovskej stránky poskytujúcej informácie o nákladoch a podmienkach, ktoré sa uplatňujú pri rôznych kanáloch remitencií, vrátane obvyklých kanálov.

Právny rámec

Aj v rozsahu EÚ s jej prevažne integrovanými finančnými trhmi, právna úprava služieb týkajúcich sa remitencií je veľmi rôznorodá v závislosti od krajiny. Komisia podporí vytvorenie rovnakých podmienok s harmonizovanými ustanoveniami o licenciách v rámci celej Únie. Uvedený návrh smernice o platobných službách zabezpečí, aby operátori finančných transferov podliehali vhodným regulačným podmienkam harmonizovaným v celej EÚ a prijatým podľa ich špecifického rizika.

Okrem toho, Komisia podporí výmenu informácií o trhových iniciatívach zameraných na zníženie nákladov tokov remitencií.

Komisia si želá diskutovať so zainteresovanými rozvojovými krajinami o spôsoboch odstránenia prekážok pre toky remitencií a uvažovať, v prípade potreby, či špecifická technická pomoc na zlepšenie ich finančnej a ekonomickej infraštruktúry by mohla byť k dispozícii zo strany Spoločenstva v rámci jeho programov, ako aj zo strany Európskej investičnej banky.

Technický rámec

V rámci programu Aeneas Komisia ďalej uvažuje o možnosti poskytovať finančnú podporu pilotným projektom podporujúcim používanie nových technológií s cieľom poskytnúť lacné, ľahko dostupné konkurencieschopné služby týkajúce sa remitencií, ktoré otvárajú ekonomické možnosti v rozvojových krajinách.

Prístup k finančným službám

Komisia nastolí otázku prístupu migrantov k finančným službám v jej kontaktoch s relevantnými zainteresovanými subjektami. V partnerstve s dotknutými krajinami je

Komisia tiež pripravená uvažovať o iniciatívach zameraných na zlepšenie prístupu k bankovým a finančným službám v rozvojových krajinách so zameraním na možnú úlohu mikrofinančných inštitúcií, ako aj o vhodných sprievodných opatreniach (informačné aktivity, atď.).

Tieto iniciatívy a odporúčania sú podrobnejšie uvedené v prílohe 2.

2.1.2 Možnosť prispievať remitenciami na rozvoj krajiny pôvodu migrantov.

Ako už bolo uvedené, remitencie sú súkromnými peniazmi a prúdia na produktívnu investíciu vtedy, ak beneficienti môžu urobiť informované rozhodnutia a ak existujú vhodné podnety. Rozvíjanie takýchto podnetov a zlepšovanie týchto rozhodnutí v prijímajúcich krajinách je časťou komplexnejšieho a dlhodobejšieho rozvojového prístupu.

Plánované alebo prezentované iniciatívy Komisie a jej odporúčania pre možnosť prispievať remitenciami na rozvoj krajín pôvodu môžu byť zhrnuté takto:

Finančné sprostredkovanie v rozvojových krajinách

V partnerstve s dotknutými krajinami a, kde je to relevantné, v spolupráci s EIB má Komisia záujem všímať si možnosti zlepšenia finančného sprostredkovania v rozvojových krajinách, ako aj prostredníctvom možnosti partnerstiev medzi mikrofinančnými inštitúciami a hlavnými finančnými inštitúciami.

Hoci ES nemôže založiť úverové/revolvingové fondy ani garantovať fondy pre mikrofinančné operácie, vrátane operácií migrantov, Komisia je pripravená zvážiť partnerstvo v týchto oblastiach so zainteresovanými medzinárodnými finančnými inštitúciami, vrátane EIB, za predpokladu, že dohoda bude s týmito inštitúciami dosiahnutá vopred a pod podmienkou, že prevezmú plnú zodpovednosť za operatívnu implementáciu úverového/garančného fondu.

Kolektívne remitencie a programy spolufinancovania

V nadväznosti na hodnotenie udržateľnosti, významu a efektívnosti pilotných projektov financovaných podľa predchádzajúcej rozpočtovej položky B7-667 (porovnaj prílohu 1), Komisia zváži možnosť financovania v súvislosti s vhodnými rozpočtovými položkami s cieľom spojiť projekty rozptýlených organizácií, najmä z dvoch alebo viacerých členských štátov, a miestnych organizácií pre podporu miestneho rozvoja.

Tieto iniciatívy a odporúčania sú podrobnejšie uvedené v prílohe 3.

2.2. Diaspóry ako činitele domáceho rozvoja krajiny

Diaspóry sa stále viac uznávajú ako dôležitý potenciálny činiteľ rozvoja krajín pôvodu, ako už bolo poznamenané v predchádzajúcom odseku týkajúcom sa remitencií. Plánované konkrétne alebo prezentované iniciatívy Komisie a jej odporúčania pre podporu silnejšieho zapojenia ochotných členov diaspóry do rozvoja ich domácich krajín môžu byť zhrnuté takto:

Pomoc rozvojovým krajinám zmapovať ich diaspóry a budovať s nimi väzby

Komisia uvažuje o podpore úsilia iniciovaného pôvodnými krajinami na národnej alebo regionálnej úrovni zriadiť databázy, kde sa dobrovoľne môžu zaregistrovať členovia diaspór zaujímajúci sa o prispievanie na rozvoj domácich krajín, a vo všeobecnosti o udržiavanie väzieb medzi týmito krajinami a ich diaspórami, v koordinácii s ďalšími darcami.

Komisia vyzýva tie členské štáty, ktoré tak ešte neurobili, aby identifikovali a zapojili organizácie v diaspórach, ktoré by mohli byť vhodným a reprezentatívnym účastníkmi rozhovorov o rozvojovej politike a/alebo možnými iniciátormi rozvojových projektov v krajinách pôvodu.

Rovnako by privítala kroky organizácií reprezentujúcich diaspóry zahrnuté do rozvoja krajín pôvodu smerujúce k vytvoreniu mechanizmu, ktorý by mohol zabezpečiť vhodné zastúpenie ich záujmov na úrovni EÚ, najmä pokiaľ ide o dotknuté záujmové politiky týchto krajín.

Mladí ľudia

Okrem už existujúcich iniciatív, najmä miestnych orgánov v rámci twiningových programov, ktoré by sa mali podporovať, Komisia zhodnotí možnosť programov výmeny mládeže zamerané najmä na komunity migrantov a založené na skúsenostiach získaných z existujúcich programov v rámci EÚ.

Tieto iniciatívy a odporúčania sú podrobnejšie uvedené v prílohe 4.

2.3. Okružná migrácia a migrácia mozgov

Návrat migrantov[8], aj dočasný alebo skutočný, môže zohrávať užitočnú úlohu pri podpore transferu zručností do rozvojového sveta spolu s ďalšími formami obehu mozgov. V tomto ohľade by uľahčenie okružnej migrácie mohlo tiež hrať kľúčovú úlohu. Okrem toho smery, ktoré Komisia identifikuje ako výsledok diskusie nadväzujúcej na uverejnenie svojej Zelenej knihy o ekonomickej migrácii, vytvoria možnosť pre ďalší rozvoj tohto aspektu a prehĺbia integráciu záležitostí rozvoja do prisťahovaleckej politiky Spoločenstva. Podrobne plánované alebo prezentované iniciatívy Komisie a jej odporúčania v týchto oblastiach môžu byť zhrnuté takto:

Potenciál dočasnej migrácie

S cieľom maximalizovať rozvojový dopad dočasnej migrácie by sa politiky, okrem všeobecných odporúčaní o remitenciách, mali sústrediť na podporu okružnej migrácie tým, že bude ich prioritou ďalšie dočasné zamestnávanie pracovníkov, ktorí už pracovali podľa týchto programov a vrátili sa po skončení ich zmluvy, a tiež na ponuku vhodných odmien zúčastneným migrantom. Pri tom by sa mohli napríklad využiť skúsenosti niektorých členských štátov pri úhrade dôchodkových príspevkov po skončení pracovnej zmluvy alebo by sa mohli zahrnúť platby krajiny pobytu do úspor pracovníka. Prihliadnuc na výsledky diskusie o Zelenej knihe o ekonomickej migrácii preskúma Komisia tiež možnosť určenia všeobecného rámca pre vstup a krátkodobý pobyt sezónnych migrantov v spoločnom priestore.

Uľahčenie migrácie návratu

Komisia vyzýva členské štáty a ostatné zainteresované subjekty, aby sa podelili so svojimi skúsenosťami z vypracovávania a riadenia programov asistovaného návratu a z podpory krajín pôvodu s úspešným opätovným začlenením (reintegráciou) vracajúcich sa migrantov. V rámci toho členské štáty zapojí do diskusie o otázkach súvisiacich s politikou krátkodobých víz.

Komisia preskúma možnosť navrhnúť opatrenia v oblastiach, ako je možnosť transferu dôchodkových práv, uznanie kvalifikácií alebo mechanizmov na zabezpečenie toho, aby výskumníci alebo iní odborníci, ktorí pracovali v EÚ, mohli byť v kontakte so svojimi bývalými kolegami, s cieľom uľahčiť ich dobrovoľný návrat a pomôcť im úspešne sa reintegrovať.

Dočasný alebo skutočný návrat

[8] V celom tomto odseku sa význam pojmu „návrat“ rozumie široko, ktorý sa neobmedzuje iba na repatriácie v súvislosti s nelegálnym prisťahovalectvom. Vo väčšine prípadov vlastne odkazuje na proces dobrovoľného návratu do krajiny pôvodu.

Komisia navrhuje stavať na existujúcich skúsenostiach pri programoch dočasných alebo skutočných návratov a rozšíriť ich, kde treba, na ďalšie časti rozvojového sveta. Pozerá sa tiež na možnú podporu, ktorá by sa mohla poskytnúť programom e-vzdelávania (e-learning) v rámci programov Spoločenstva o informačných a komunikačných technológiách (ICT).

Okrem toho, v rámci 7. Rámcového programu o výskume a rozvoji budú prijaté iniciatívy s cieľom podpory budovania sietí medzi zahraničnými výskumníkmi pracujúcimi v EÚ, najmä pracujúcimi v oblastiach priameho záujmu rozvojových krajín, a výskumnými organizáciami v ich krajinách pôvodu.

Komisia sa tiež pozerá na to, ako zabezpečiť, aby práva pobytu v EÚ pre členov diaspóry, ktorí sa rozhodli zamestnať sa v týchto činnostiach, neboli ovplyvnené dočasnými návratmi do krajín pôvodu.

Komisia bude pokračovať v tom, aby podľa programu Aeneas bola pomoc aj v budúcnosti k dispozícii projektom, prostredníctvom ktorých migranti alebo členovia diaspóry vytvoria trvalo udržateľné ekonomické činnosti v krajinách pôvodu, s preferovaním projektov v tretích krajinách zahrňujúcich diaspóry v dvoch alebo viacerých členských štátoch. Takéto projekty by mali byť zosúladené s rozvojovou stratégiou dotknutej tretej krajiny.

Okrem toho, Komisia ďalej preskúma spôsoby, na základe ktorých zainteresovaní podnikatelia z komunít migrantov môžu prispievať k rozvoju svojej krajiny pôvodu, prekážky, ktorým pri tomto čelia a politiky, ktoré by mali nasledovať s cieľom prekonať tieto prekážky.

Komisia podporí určenie najlepšej praxe v oblastiach ako vysielanie alebo dovolenky počas sviatkov a súvisiace aspekty sociálneho zabezpečenia, ktoré môžu uľahčiť dočasný návrat, ako časť dialógu, ktorý sa má začať s členskými štátmi, a ktorý nadväzuje na toto oznámenie.

Tieto iniciatívy a odporúčania sú podrobnejšie uvedené v prílohe 5.

2.4. Zmiernenie nepriaznivého efektu úniku mozgov

Dimenzia sever/juh je iba jedným príkladom problému úniku mozgov, ktorý má tiež dimenzie sever/sever (to tiež ovplyvňuje EÚ) a juh/juh. Ide o výraz širšieho problému globalizácie a neexistuje žiadna jednoznačná a jednoduchá politická odpoveď na túto veľkú výzvu. Podobne, nejde o problém, ktorý ovplyvňuje všetky rozvojové krajiny a sektory. Preto musia politické odpovede zodpovedať špecifickým potrebám a výzvam každej dotknutej krajiny. Okrem toho, ide o oblasť, v ktorej členské štáty majú významnú zodpovednosť a kde preto opatrenia na úrovni Spoločenstva sú relatívne obmedzené. Už v roku 2002 Komisia začala s myšlienkou kódexu správania sa EÚ so zreteľom na usmerňovanie náboru v prípadoch, ktoré by mali významné negatívne následky pre rozvojové krajiny. Doposiaľ táto myšlienka nezaznamenala žiadne ďalšie skúmanie zo strany členských štátov. Napriek tomu, Komisia je presvedčená, že sa môžu rozvíjať ďalšie iniciatívy venované otázke úniku mozgov spolu s nasledovným:

Údajová základňa

Komisia v spolupráci s ostatnými zainteresovanými organizáciami a darcami má záujem podporiť úsilie zainteresovaných rozvojových krajín na zlepšenie znalostí o ich pracovných trhoch, vrátane nedostatkov alebo prebytkov kvalifikácií na sektorálnej úrovni. Toto by slúžilo ako podklad pre krajiny s cieľom navrhnúť odpovede na identifikované problémy v rámci ich stratégií znižovania chudoby.

Ako príklad možných odpovedí Komisia v súčasnosti pripravuje samostatné oznámenie o „riešení kríz v ľudských zdrojoch zo zdravotných dôvodov“, ktoré sa zameriava na prípravu

súboru inovatívnych reakcií na krízy ľudských zdrojov v rozvojových krajinách so širšími zdravotnými súvislosťami týchto krajín, a vytvorenie rámca vytýčeného v tomto Oznámení.

Usmerňovanie náboru

Komisia povzbudzuje členské štáty, aby rozvíjali mechanizmy ako napríklad kódexy správania sa s cieľom obmedziť aktívny nábor v prípadoch, v ktorých by mal závažné negatívne dôsledky pre cieľové rozvojové krajiny, najmä v sektore starostlivosti o zdravie, a koordinovať ich príslušné úsilie v tejto oblasti.

Komisia sa tiež snaží presvedčiť ďalšie dôležité cieľové krajiny, aby pracovali na podobných dojednaniach. Mali by sa preskúmať možnosti globálneho prístupu pre náborové politiky a táto otázka by sa mala nastoliť na vhodnom medzinárodnom fóre, ako napríklad v Svetovej zdravotníckej organizácii (WHO).

Podpora inštitucionálnych partnerstiev

Podpora partnerstiev medzi inštitúciami v EÚ a v zainteresovaných rozvojových krajinách alebo regiónoch by mohla zohrávať úlohu pri riešení príčin úniku mozgov. V každom prípade presná kombinácia opatrení, ktoré by mali byť zavedené, by závisela od predbežného zhodnotenia problémov a potrieb krajiny alebo regiónu. Opatrenia by mohli zahŕňať podporu pre vytvorenie partnerstiev medzi vedeckými a univerzitnými inštitúciami v rozvojových krajinách a v EÚ s cieľom spoločne viesť výskumné činnosti.

S cieľom zmierniť únik mozgov by ďalšie formy partnerstiev medzi výskumnými inštitúciami, univerzitami, nemocnicami alebo ďalšími orgánmi v rozvojových krajinách a členských štátoch EÚ mohli zahŕňať „zdieľané pracovné programy“, v ktorých vedci, výskumníci, lekári, technici alebo ďalší odborníci z rozvojových krajín by sa podieľali na svojej práci medzi inštitúciou v krajine ich pôvodu (univerzita, nemocnica, výskumné centrum, atď.) a partnerskou inštitúciou v členskom štáte EÚ. Možnosť poskytnutia podpory Spoločenstva týmto programom by mohla byť preskúmaná najmä v rámci 7. Rámcového programu o výskume a rozvoji.

Rozvojová spolupráca ako zdroj pracovných príležitostí pre kvalifikovaných odborníkov v rozvojových krajinách

V súvislosti s OECD/DAC je Komisia presvedčená, že darcovia by mohli vhodne preskúmať operatívne mechanizmy svojich programov technickej pomoci so špecifickým cieľom zhodnotiť, či by implementačnými orgánmi mohli byť zavedené silnejšie podnety pre zamestnanie kvalifikovaných odborníkov z rozvojových krajín.

Tieto iniciatívy a odporúčania sú podrobnejšie uvedené v prílohe 6.

3. Následné opatrenia vyplývajúce z tohto Oznámenia

V tomto oznámení a v jeho prílohách Komisia predkladá niekoľko konkrétnych smerov podpory EÚ pre účinný pokrok v niekoľkých otázkach týkajúcich sa vzťahu migrácie a rozvoja. Zatiaľ čo niektoré z týchto návrhov sú v podstate pripravené na implementáciu, alebo by mohli byť námetom projektov, ktoré môžu získať z financovania Spoločenstvom, napríklad v rámci programu Aeneas[9], ktorý pokračuje v existencii po skončení súčasných finančných výhľadov ako jeden z tematických programov pre pomoc Spoločenstva tretím krajinám[10] – ostatné vyžadujú ďalšiu diskusiu a analýzy. V niekoľkých oblastiach patrí pôsobnosť pre implementáciu týchto návrhov členským štátom. A implementácia týchto myšlienok zahŕňa hĺbkový dialóg so zainteresovanými krajinami alebo regiónmi pôvodu.

V zámeroch Komisie by následné opatrenia vyplývajúce z tohto Oznámenia mali zahŕňať nasledujúce prvky:

- Rada by mala vykonať hĺbkové preskúmanie tohto Oznámenia so zreteľom na koordinovanú implementáciu iniciatív a zahrnutých odporúčaní;
- Na tomto základe by sa mal konať multidisciplinárny dialóg medzi Komisiou a členskými štátmi o otázkach zahrnutých v tomto Oznámení. Mal by sa najmä zamerať na posilnenie koordinácie politík členských štátov navzájom a s politikami Spoločenstva;

---

[9] Nariadenie (ES) č. 491/2004 Európskeho parlamentu a Rady z 10.3.2004, ktorým sa ustanovuje program finančnej a technickej pomoci tretím krajinám v oblasti migrácie a azylu (AENEAS) (Ú. v. EÚ L 80, 18.3.2004, s. 1). Pozri tiež prílohu 1.

[10] Oznámenie Komisie Rade a Európskemu parlamentu „Externé opatrenia prostredníctvom tematických programov v rámci nadchádzajúcich finančných výhľadov na roky 2007-2013“ (KOM(2005) 324, 3.8.2005).

- V rámci existujúceho inštitucionálneho rámca a mechanizmov by sa mal tiež posilniť dialóg založený na partnerstve so zainteresovanými rozvojovými tretími krajinami a ich regionálnymi organizáciami. Mal by sa zamerať na podporu ochotných rozvojových krajín zhodnotiť ich alebo regionálnu situáciu o migrácii, potreby a problémy, ktoré z nej vznikajú; a pomôcť nájsť možné primerané reakcie. Kde je to relevantné, tieto otázky by sa mali odraziť v príslušných strategických dokumentoch. Migračné profily (porovnaj prílohu 8) by boli vhodným nástrojom pre podporu takéhoto dialógu a spolupráce;
- Posilnenie spolupráce Komisie s relevantnými medzinárodnými organizáciami;
- Výmeny s ďalšími zainteresovanými, najmä neziskovými organizáciami, sociálnymi partnermi a občianskou spoločnosťou, s osobitným zameraním na organizácie reprezentujúce diaspóry.

Tieto aspekty sú podrobnejšie uvedené v prílohe 7.

Implementácia smerov obsiahnutých v tomto Oznámení použije rámec už existujúcich finančných zdrojov a nástrojov, alebo takých, ktoré by ich mohli nahradiť v súvislosti s budúcimi finančnými výhľadmi.

4. Záver

Toto oznámenie sa zameralo na niektoré praktické aspekty migrácie a na spôsob, ako môže získať rozvojový proces v krajinách pôvodu s primárnym zameraním na migráciu juh-sever. Niektoré z iniciatív alebo odporúčaní obsiahnutých v tomto Oznámení sa môžu tiež uplatniť na migráciu juh-juh. Avšak, migrácia juh-juh, ktorá je stále viac významnejším javom pre rozvojové krajiny, má špecifické dopady na ekonomickú, sociálnu, politickú ako aj enviromentálnu situáciu týchto krajín. V najbližšej budúcnosti má preto Komisia v úmysle venovať sa dôkladnej úvahe o týchto otázkach. Toto oznámenie sa nezaoberalo špecifickými otázkami týkajúcimi sa utečencov. Napokon, nebol zhodnotený širší dopad prisťahovaleckej politiky EÚ na rozvojové krajiny alebo otázka integrácie záležitostí rozvoja v prisťahovaleckej politike EÚ. Obe skupiny otázok zostanú súčasťou politickej agendy Komisie[11].

V rámci tohto Oznámenia Komisia dúfa, že urobí prvý príspevok do globálnej diskusie o vzťahoch medzi migráciou a rozvojom, najmä vzhľadom na Dialóg na vysokej úrovni v roku 2006 v rámci Valného zhromaždenia OSN. Politika prezentovaná v tomto Oznámení, vypracovaná a implementovaná v úzkom partnerstve s krajinami pôvodu a tranzitu a prispôsobená ich potrebám, prispeje k väčšiemu súladu vonkajšej dimenzie prisťahovaleckej politiky EÚ s rozvojovou politikou.

[11] Komisia už pracuje na príspevku do diskusie o tom, ako reagovať na utečenecké krízy v rozvojovom svete v rámci svojho Oznámenia o programoch regionálnej ochrany (KOM(2005) 388), prijatým súčasne s týmto oznámením.

ANNEX 1:
STEPS FORWARD AT EU LEVEL SINCE 2002

1. Legislative or regulatory reforms

Directive 2004/114/EC on the admission to the EU of third country nationals for the purposes of studies, pupil exchange, unremunerated training, or voluntary service, was adopted on 13 December 2004[1]. This Directive lays down common conditions and rules for the admission of third-country students, thus facilitating entry and residence, without, however, containing a right to entry. It is left to Member States' discretion whether to apply the Directive to other categories of persons, such as school pupils, unremunerated trainees and people doing voluntary service in the EU. Member States must transpose the Directive by 12 January 2007. Furthermore, a Directive on a specific procedure for admitting third-country nationals for purposes of scientific research[2] is expected to be adopted by summer 2005. This procedure shall both speed up and ease the admission of researchers from third countries into the EU.

In addition, the recent Green Paper on economic migration[3] has triggered a debate on what rules should apply to the admission of migrants for economic purposes. It should lead to new Community initiatives in this field, which the Commission will set out in an Action Plan to be published before the end of 2005 (cf. point 1 of Annex 5).

2. Facilitating return migration

According to the Return Action Programme, approved by the Council on 28 November 2002, country-specific return programmes should be designed. The aim of such programmes should be to ensure effective and timely return. Furthermore, the question of creating sustainable solutions as a means to tackle root causes for illegal immigration should be considered.

Return, either temporary or permanent, can bring back human, financial, economic and social capital to developing countries. Return programmes should therefore explicitly take this dimension into account. Such was the case of the Afghanistan Return Plan, the first country-specific return programme adopted by the Council. Moreover, thanks to the financial support of the European Union, over 150 highly qualified and skilled Afghans have returned from Member States to their country of origin in order to support capacity building for relevant Ministries and overall reconstruction efforts. The Afghanistan experience demonstrated that return of nationals can give an important boost to reconstruction and development efforts. Ensuring a good matching between the needs of the developing country and the skills available can be an important factor in making returns successful.

The experience gained from the Afghanistan return programme will be used in the planning of similar future country-specific return programmes. Those programmes will also benefit from Community funding within the framework of preparatory actions for a financial instrument for return management in 2005/2006 and from the future European Return Fund for the period 2008-2013 as part of the General programme 'Solidarity and Management of Migration Flows'[4].

---

1 Council Directive 2004/114/EC - OJ L 375, 23.12.2004, p. 12.
2 Part of the package presented under COM(2004) 178, 16.3.2004.
3 COM(2004) 811, 11.1.2005.
4 Communication from the Commission to the Council and the European Parliament establishing a framework programme on Solidarity and Management of Migration flows for the period 2007-2013 - COM(2005) 123, 6.4.2005)

3. Provision of assistance to pilot projects in the area of migration with an impact on development

Since 2001, the Community has provided financial support, within the framework of the now discontinued budget line B7-667, to various preparatory actions for cooperation with third counties in the area of migration and asylum. A number of these preparatory actions seek to maximise the impact of migration on the development of countries of origin of migrants, by facilitating and enhancing the various types of contribution – financial contributions, but also skills or other forms of know-how – which migrants can make to these countries.

For instance, several projects seek to facilitate the involvement of migrants residing in EU Member States in development-friendly activities in their countries of origin, usually with a view to helping them set up or participate in small scale entrepreneurial activities there. In several of these projects migrants' organisations in EU Member States play an important role in mobilising and supporting potentially interested migrants. Some projects are oriented toward the permanent return of interested migrants to their country of origin while others are not. Some of these projects also include a component for making it easier for SMEs in countries of origin of migrants to reach out to markets in the EU. Other projects worth noting include an initiative for developing alternative, inexpensive remittance channels based on new technology (debit cards and use of automatic teller machines – ATMs); a project for assisting countries in the Mediterranean area and Africa to develop mechanisms for encouraging development-friendly forms of labour migration; and two projects for facilitating the return of Afghan nationals to their country for contributing to the reconstruction effort. The vast majority of these projects are still underway, and it is therefore too early to draw definitive conclusions. An independent evaluation of budget line B7-667 will be carried out; its results will certainly help to identify the activities that this type of instrument can usefully support.

On the basis of these three years of preparatory actions, the Aeneas programme, for technical and financial assistance to third countries in the areas of asylum and migration, was established in March 2004 (for the period 2004-2008 with a total budget of € 250 million). This programme aims to support third countries' efforts in better managing migratory flows in all their dimensions (legal and illegal migration, readmission and reintegration of migrants, trafficking in human beings, international protection of refugees and displaced persons). It is intended to be applicable to any third country of interest for the Community. In particular, article 2.2 provides indications on the types of actions that could be undertaken in order to foster closer linkages between migration and development:

- development of actions aimed at maintaining links between local communities in the country of origin and their legal emigrants and facilitating the contribution of migrants to the social and economic development of communities in their country of origin, including facilitating the use of remittances for productive investments and development initiatives, as well as providing support to micro-credit programmes;
- facilitation of dialogue and exchange of information between the institutions of the third country and the nationals of that country who are considering emigrating;
- support for targeted socio-economic reintegration of returnees into their country of origin, including training and capacity-building aimed at facilitating their integration into the labour market.

The first call for proposals (2004) was closed on 30 March 2005 and allowed the submission of 83 projects.

4. Mainstreaming migration into development policy and assistance to third countries

Since the 2002 Communication, significant steps have been taken to mainstream migration issues into development policy and Community assistance to third countries. In many cases this can contribute to improving the impact of migration on development.

A dialogue on migration issues, also touching sometimes on development aspects, has been going on with a number of third countries in the EU's neighbourhood, in the framework of the relevant bodies and in a spirit of partnership. Migration is also increasingly part of the dialogue between the EU and ACP countries, both in a bilateral and regional context. In this context, all Country Strategy Papers, which constitute the framework under which Community assistance to its developing country partners is programmed, were reviewed to assess whether there was a case for addressing migration issues. Although the dialogue on migration issues has just started with some countries, it has already contributed to a better understanding of the migration and development dimension and allowed a number of developing countries to highlight issues such as the mobilisation of diasporas as priorities that should be the focus of Community assistance in the future.

ANNEX 2:
INITIATIVES AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FOSTERING CHEAP, FAST AND SECURE WAYS TO TRANSFER REMITTANCES

Over the past few years, the cost of sending remittances over a number of corridors[5] has come down significantly in some parts of the world. For example, in Latin America, according to the Inter-American Development Bank (IDB), average costs have almost halved from around 15 % at the end the 1990s to 7.9 % by February 2004. Still, such rates cannot be called cheap. In addition, progress is far from uniform and the high cost of remitting – especially if compared with the cost for intra-Euro zone transfers – certainly remains an obstacle to higher remittance flows through formal channels. The efforts the EU will make in this area are in line with the commitments taken by the G8 at the Sea Island Summit in June 2004 to ensure a decrease in the cost of remittances[6].

The Commission believes that action is needed in the five areas that are addressed in this annex[7]. Moreover, as financial institutions are key actors in the area of remittances, the Commission will propose to the European organisations representing this sector to discuss various aspects relating to remittances, in the context of the on-going dialogue within the framework of the European Payments Council.

1. Improving available data on remittance flows and services

Policy on remittances needs to be based on accurate data. Unfortunately, this is at present rarely available. Remittance flows through informal channels[8] are almost never covered, and there are indications that formal flows are often grossly under-estimated. In addition, most Member States do not provide a breakdown of remittance flows by receiving country. Improved data would need to cover informal flows, to address the underestimation of formal flows[9], and to provide a detailed breakdown of bilateral flows. Finally, the development of specific studies, such as longitudinal surveys, and ensuring more comparability of international statistics would allow the development of policies that are better targeted and adapted to the situation. More information is also needed about the ways in which money is being transferred and the reasons for doing so. Household surveys could be an important instrument in providing that knowledge[10]. Initiatives in this area are already being prepared with regard to remittance flows from the EU to Latin America, as a follow-up to the Conclusions of the Guadalajara Summit. Work in this area should be co-ordinated with other

---

5 The notion of 'corridor' refers to pairs of countries – a country that is the source of remittances and another country which receives them.

6 'Reduce the cost of remittance services through the promotion of competition, the use of innovative payment instruments, and by enhancing access to formal financial systems in sending and receiving countries. In some cases, remittance costs between sending and receiving countries have been reduced by up to 50 % or more. G8 countries believe that similar reductions of high costs could be realised in the case of other countries'.

7 In development terms it would make sense to apply all improvements in the transfer of remittances to all other retail financial flows from developed countries to developing countries, not only to those flows involving migrants.

8 i.e. those channels whose flows are not officially recorded, either because the money is transferred physically by the migrant him/herself or by another person he or she has entrusted with this task, or because they are performed by money transfer operators that are not officially registered. On certain corridors, it is estimated that informal flows exceed formal ones in volume.

9 In particular by including transfers by workers residing in a country for less than one year.

10 In compliance with relevant EC legislation on personal data protection in cases where such data would need to be included in the surveys.

institutions and international organisations active in this field[11]. In addition, it would also be useful to know more about the various types of use of remittances in countries of origin (consumption, investment or savings, and, in the latter case, the type of savings vehicles) and the respective share of the various economic activities for which remittances are used, in the case of investment.

Collecting and publishing better data has at least two functions: firstly, it helps policy-makers to take informed decisions; secondly, data on flows in particular remittance corridors can act as a wake-up call to the financial industry that there are business opportunities out there. The experiences of Turkish banks in Germany, Greek banks in countries of Greek emigration or, more recently, Spanish banks vis-à-vis the Latin American communities residing in Spain show that banking institutions, once aware of the potential market represented by remittances, can become quite competitive actors in these markets, thus fostering competition.

In the framework of the Inter-Agency Task Force on International Trade in services, the UN-Technical Subgroup on Movement of Natural Persons will carry out work on developing a new definition of remittances within the balance of payments framework, and on developing a statistical framework for the measurement of the economic impact of the movement of persons. The Technical Subgroup recommends changing the definition of "workers´ remittances" to a broader concept of personal transfers, which includes all household to household transfers.

Official data on remittance flows is patchy, often of mediocre quality and does not reflect alternative remittance channels. The Commission will launch initiatives to improve the collection of data to better understand the size of migrant remittance flows. In particular, it will raise with Member States the possibility of reporting on remittance data by destination.

In collaboration with institutions such as the World Bank, it will also promote household surveys which are able to capture informal remittance channels, focusing on selected bilateral "remittance corridors".

In addition, it will launch studies to gather better information on the remittance industry, its cost structures, possible indicators of a lack of competition and technical inefficiencies.

Such studies could shed light on possible ways to improve competition and realise efficiency gains. They should include the identification of main channels by destination (different channels are relevant for different countries of origin), as well as of the cost of a typical remittance through the different relevant channels and analyses of the cost structure of remittance providers and their margins.

2. Increasing transparency

A relatively simple but potentially forceful measure to increase competition is to make the information on the costs and other conditions – including the exchange rates used – that apply to each remittance channel more widely available to the public. Increasing the transparency of the remittance market will enable potential remitters to make better informed choices. A

[11] As far as the Mediterranean region is concerned, the EIB-managed FEMIP (Facility for Euro-Mediterranean Investment and Partnership) has recently launched a study on workers' remittances in eight Mediterranean Partner Countries. Its purpose is to identify the constraints of sending funds to Mediterranean countries, to provide innovative solutions to facilitate these flows and mobilize additional savings, as well as to promote their impact on economic development in recipient countries.

number of Member States have taken active steps to improve transparency in the remittance market[12].

The Commission is planning to adopt a proposal for a Directive on payment services which will increase competition and enhance transparency by requiring payment service providers to make charges (including exchange rate conversions) and other conditions (e.g. execution times) fully transparent to customers. It will also require Member States to establish a register of all authorised payment institutions (including money transfer operators), to be updated on a regular basis and publicly available for consultation, in particular online.

The Commission also calls on Member States to encourage initiatives aimed at providing any additional and relevant information to migrants and will foster a dialogue amongst Member States with a view to identifying best practices in this field.

It will also give consideration to supporting the setting-up of a Europe-wide website providing information on the cost and conditions applying to the various remittance channels, including conventional ones.

Such initiatives will make it easier for potential clients to compare the various options available. In addition to benefiting migrants, they would allow comparisons across Member States and help reveal limited competition or other market inefficiencies.

The Commission also supports joint efforts by the Committee on Payment and Settlement Systems of the Bank for International Settlements (BIS) and the World Bank to define remittance principles. Applied by money transfer operators on a voluntary basis, they would make it easier for remittance senders to understand what service they can expect for their money.

Pre-departure information initiatives in countries of origin, as provided for example in the Philippines, could also contribute to more transparency.

3. Improving the legal framework for remittances

* On the EU side, Article 56 of the EC Treaty already facilitates remittance flows by providing for freedom of capital movements between the EU and third countries. However, it is also necessary to ensure that no disproportionate requirement is placed on money transfer operators (MTOs). Whereas some countries only impose light registration requirements on MTOs, others operate licensing systems and some even impose the same requirements as on banking institutions, a step that does not appear justified in terms of prudential risk, since the money transfer business typically does not involve any lending. A lighter hand in regulating would allow a number of presently informal MTOs to be appropriately regulated, thus retaining their advantages in terms of cost, speed and reliability while addressing public authorities' legitimate security concerns. This would help foster competition on the market for formal remittance services.

Even within the EU with its largely integrated financial markets, the regulation of remittance services varies substantially from one country to another. The Commission plans to foster the creation of a level playing field with harmonised licensing provisions throughout the Union. The forthcoming proposal for a Directive on payment services will ensure that money transfer operators are subject to appropriate regulatory requirements, harmonised throughout the EU and adapted to their specific risk profile.

[12] For example the United Kingdom has sponsored a remittance product survey covering six countries of origin of migrants ('Sending money home? A survey of remittances products and services in the United Kingdom'). Some information on this initiative is available on www.sendmoneyhome.org.

Whilst ensuring that MTOs are subject to anti-money laundering/terrorist financing measures, this regulatory regime will establish a level playing field for the provision of payment services in the internal market and thus foster competition. The Commission has extensively consulted all stakeholders in preparing the draft Directive.

In addition, the Commission will support an exchange of information on market initiatives aimed at lowering the cost of remittance flows.

More generally, other steps that increase competition and encourage new entrants into the remittance market are highly beneficial. For example, exclusive agreements that tie up existing large-scale retail networks – such as national post offices – to a given MTO should be reconsidered, as they unduly limit competition.

* On the side of recipient countries, a number of regulations can act in a direct or indirect way as obstacles to remittance flows. For example taxes on remittance flows will reduce the amount of formal remittances; restrictions on capital movements or dual exchange rates will also favour informal channels of remittance transfer; and insufficient competition in the banking sector can be a contributory factor in making remittance payments expensive.

The Commission is willing to discuss with interested developing countries ways to overcome obstacles to remittance flows and to consider, where appropriate, whether specific technical assistance to improve their financial and economic infrastructure could be made available by the Community in the framework of its programmes, as well as by the European Investment Bank.

The Commission will also continue to coordinate efforts with those Member States that provide similar types of assistance to developing countries.

4. Improving the technical framework for remittances

A first issue in this respect is the potential for creating links between EU payment systems and those of developing countries which are the source of significant migration flows to the EU. This could draw on the experience acquired by the EU in setting-up a Pan European Automated Clearing House for retail payments for the introduction of the euro. There is already a precedent in this area, with the establishment of an automated clearing house between the United States and Mexico. Some EU Member States are currently looking at the feasibility of similar schemes, and the Commission wishes to take part in such efforts.

The proposal for a Directive on payment services (see above), by supporting the creation of EU-wide infrastructure for the provision of payment services, will in itself make it easier to reach the critical mass that might make it profitable to link EU payment systems with those of third countries.

In addition, a number of schemes involving the use of state of the art technology[13] are currently being developed. The Commission believes that such systems can offer a potential, even though illiteracy and technological shortfalls could limit their relevance in some developing countries.

The Commission will, in the light of market developments and with the involvement of private operators, further consider the possibility of providing, within the framework of the Aeneas programme, financial support to pilot projects fostering the use of new technologies

[13] In particular money transfers involving credit or debit cards and allowing unbanked beneficiaries to withdraw the transferred money from ATMs. Other novel systems are based on mobile phone technology.

with a view to providing cheap, easily available competitive remittance services that open up economic opportunities in developing countries.

5. Improving access to banking/financial services

In sending countries, apart from transparency (see point 2. above), the main issue is facilitating the access of migrants to banking services. Better information about remittance flows may increase financial institutions' interest for migrants as potentially interesting customers. Several Spanish banks have taken significant steps to attract migrants, for example by opening off-hours banking facilities and hiring staff from the main migrant communities. But public authorities can have a role to play in facilitating this change of mindset, as was indeed the case in Spain. Measures to improve the financial inclusion of migrants should be inspired by good practice in the EU and beyond.

In recipient countries, insufficient access of the rural population and the poor to banking services often remains a critical issue, as banking networks rarely extend beyond large cities. Solutions include partnerships between 'mainstream' banking institutions and those networks that are present in rural areas, i.e. micro-finance institutions or post offices. Already effective or planned partnerships such as those between Spanish financial institutions and Latin American micro-finance institutions or between French and African institutions seem to point to the way forward. At the same time, recipient countries should look at regulatory obstacles that often make it difficult or impossible for micro-finance institutions to team up with mainstream institutions, including those that prevent the emergence of real competition in the banking sector.

The Commission will raise the issue of the access of migrants to financial services in its contacts with relevant stakeholders. It is also ready to consider – in partnership with the countries concerned – initiatives aimed at improving access to banking and financial services in developing countries, with a focus on the possible role of micro-finance institutions., as well as appropriate accompanying measures (information activities, etc.).

ANNEX 3:
INITIATIVES AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FACILITATING THE CONTRIBUTION OF REMITTANCES TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF MIGRANTS' COUNTRIES OF ORIGIN

The discussion of this issue raises an important caveat. Whereas remittances do constitute very important financial flows to developing countries, they have a specific characteristic when compared with other types of flow: they are private money.

The Commission believes that respecting the private nature of remittances must be the overarching principle underlying Community policy in this area. The objective in this area should therefore be to open opportunities for migrants who wish to use part of their remittances for any form of investment supporting development.

In addition, remittance flows are influenced by a number of factors on which policy makers have little influence. For example, the composition of migration will matter as much as its size for determining the size of remittance flows. Migrants remit money to those who are close to them, usually members of their family who stayed behind. This is why family reunion, for instance, tends to lead to a reduction in the volume of remittances from the EU to Turkey and North Africa. Looking ahead, the retirement of the first generation of migrants will also affect remittance flows to the Mediterranean region. Another example of the importance of the composition of migration flows relates to the fact that highly skilled migrants tend to send a smaller proportion of their income home. Therefore, selective immigration schemes can also affect remittance flows.

This being said, there are a number of ways in which a policy mix, which should be country specific, can support such objectives. In this respect, specific attention needs to be paid to so-called collective remittances, i.e. the transfers that migrants make collectively for philanthropic purposes via their organisations in the country of residence, also known as Hometown Associations (HTAs).

The Commission believes that action can be considered in the following four areas:

1. Improving the investment climate and fostering good governance

There is significant evidence that no incentive system will be as effective in persuading migrants – as indeed any other investor – to invest part of their remittances in business activities in their home country as a sound investment climate. This entails simplified business procedures, a transparent bureaucracy, a functioning judicial system that guarantees the rule of law and property rights, absence of corruption as well as a sound macro-economic framework and good quality infrastructure. All these factors also impact on brain drain, return and retention, three issues that are discussed in other parts of this Communication. Promoting these and other aspects of good governance is above all a responsibility for developing countries themselves, but donors can and should play a supportive role. The approach the Commission proposes to follow in these areas will be further explored in the forthcoming review of the EU Development Policy Statement[14].

2. Extending financial intermediation in developing countries[15]

Not all migrants are willing and/or able to become entrepreneurs – especially where, as they remain in their country of residence, they would have to manage their investment from a

---

[14] Proposal for a joint Council/EP/Commission statement on EU Development Policy, the 'Brussels Consensus', July 2005.

[15] This is closely linked to the issues addressed under point 5 of Annex 2.

distance. However, the Commission believes that there are ways to make it easier for migrants to contribute to the development of their country of origin, while reaping fair rewards for their hard-earned savings. The establishment of an efficient system of financial intermediation can play a key role in encouraging migrants or their relatives back home to entrust their savings to financial institutions. This could lead to a better allocation of resources promoting productive investment and therefore result in welfare gains for the country or region of origin. In a number of developing countries, especially in Africa, there is reportedly a 'financing gap' between micro-finance loans on the one hand (typically up to a few hundred euros) and loans to large SMEs (from a few tens of thousand euros upward), with small enterprises finding it difficult to obtain loans in the region of a few thousand euros. Guarantee mechanisms could play a useful role in this respect. In addition, there are in some cases rules that make it difficult for micro-finance institutions to offer a full range of financial services to poor or rural people. Addressing them would make it easier to 'bank the unbanked' and therefore help maximise the potential of remittances (for example by enabling recipients to have access to savings instruments). In addition to the assistance already being provided to some developing countries in developing their financial service sectors, the Commission could consider further assistance in this area. Community assistance in making micro-finance institutions more active in the area of remittances will need to take into account the ongoing work by other donors.

The Commission is willing to look – in partnership with the countries concerned and, where relevant, in cooperation with the EIB – at possibilities to enhance financial intermediation in developing countries, including through facilitating partnerships between micro-finance institutions and mainstream financial institutions.

Although the EC cannot fund credit lines/revolving funds and guarantee funds for microfinance operations, including those involving migrants, the Commission is ready to consider partnerships in these areas with interested IFIs, including the EIB, provided that an upfront agreement can be reached with such institutions and under the condition that they take full responsibility for the operational implementation of the credit line/guarantee fund.

3. Collective remittances and co-funding schemes

Hometown Associations (HTAs) can play an important role in helping allocate part of the savings of individual migrants towards initiatives that either are directed towards productive activities (industry, agriculture) or at least play a role in improving the living conditions of people in communities of origin, for example via the financing of small-scale local infrastructure (water sanitation, health infrastructure, etc.). There is now significant evidence that, in order for such efforts to bear fruit, migrants' organisations and the relevant local communities need to be at the origin of the projects. Support from local authorities and other donors can however be an asset. Co-funding to top up the efforts of migrants is sometimes provided by public authorities, either from the receiving country[16] or from the country of origin (this is the case of the 'tres por uno' scheme, initiated by the State of Zacatecas and later emulated in other Mexican States).

[16] This type of scheme is an important component of the co-development policy that has been devised by France. In particular, programmes to support initiatives by migrants from Senegal and Mali residing in France in their country of origin are underway. In Italy and Spain similar schemes – often initiated at regional or city level (Italy) or at the level of regional communities (Spain) – have been launched to support projects in various countries. In the Netherlands, migrants' projects are supported via the so-called 'Front Office' facility, which is managed on behalf of the Dutch government by five co-financing NGOs. The Community has also provided support to such projects in the framework of budget line B7-667 (cf. Annex 1).

Following an evaluation of the sustainability, relevance and effectiveness of the pilot projects funded under former budget line B7-667 (cf. Annex 1), the Commission will consider the possibility of providing funding in the context of the appropriate budget lines to joint projects by diaspora organisations – preferably from two or more Member States – and local organisations interested in supporting local development.

It also invites Member States which run similar schemes or consider doing so to exchange experiences and best practices.

4. Incentive-based policies

Many countries of origin have developed special incentive schemes to encourage migrants to invest part of the funds they remit in savings vehicles that are used for funding development-friendly infrastructure or productive activities, such as Government bonds or savings accounts offering privileged interest-rates. The record of such incentive schemes is somewhat mixed, however, and the Commission does not wish to recommend this sort of action, given the variety of possible schemes. Nonetheless it is clear that the governments of countries of origin of migrants should refrain from creating or maintaining disincentives to such investments (i.e. treating them less favourably than purely domestic investments).

ANNEX 4:
INITIATIVES AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FACILITATING THE INVOLVEMENT OF DIASPORAS IN HOME COUNTRY DEVELOPMENT

As part of transnational communities linking countries of origin and countries of residence, diasporas[17] can make an important contribution to the development of their home countries. The various ways in which their possible forms of contribution – remittances, skill or know-how transfers, etc. – can be facilitated are addressed in the various sections of Chapter 2 and the relevant annexes. This annex, however, looks at how – if appropriate – public authorities can encourage diaspora members to become more involved in the development of their country or region of origin.

Of course, it is up to each diaspora member to decide whether, to what extent and in what form (s)he wishes to contribute to the development of his/her country of origin. Public policies in this area can only provide incentives, facilitate and be responsive to people's own initiatives.

The Commission believes that action in this area should concentrate on the following three sets of issues:

1. Helping developing countries map their diasporas and build links with them

A key difficulty that sending and receiving country governments are often faced with in terms of dealing with the diaspora is precisely knowing the diaspora. There is therefore a case for a policy to support the creation of databases of the skills available in a given country's or region's diaspora abroad, where interested members can register. A number of projects along these lines have already been launched – for example a database where skilled members of the Senegalese diaspora abroad can register their interest in contributing to the country's development and provide details of their skills – and such initiatives could be used as sources of inspiration by other countries. Another example is the support provided by Italy to Egypt[18] or to Ghana and Ethiopia (via the MIDA-Italy programme, managed by the International Organisation for Migration), to improve their links with their respective diasporas, notably in Italy.

In addition, governments in receiving countries that would like to involve foreign diasporas in their work with developing countries, or to support their initiatives, are faced with the additional difficulty of identifying 'the right interlocutors' among the various organisations representing diasporas. France, for example, has addressed this problem by encouraging diaspora organisations involved in the development of countries of origin to pool their efforts within the framework of an umbrella organisation, FORIM[19]. Other Member States are moving in the same direction, for example the Netherlands, which has set up a 'National Ethnic Minorities Consultative Committee' (LOM), which groups together seven ethnic minority organisations and which will in future be consulted on important development policy initiatives, or Germany's GTZ, which has taken steps to build a working relationship with

---

17 For the purposes of this Communication, we use a broad definition of the diaspora. The diaspora from a given country therefore includes not only the nationals from that country living abroad, but also migrants who, living abroad, have acquired the citizenship of their country of residence (often losing their original citizenship in the process) and migrants' children born abroad, whatever their citizenship, as long as they retain some form of commitment to and/or interest in their country of origin or that of their parents. In some extreme cases, such as the Chinese diaspora, people may still feel part of a country's diaspora even though their family has been living in another country for several generations.

18 Cf. the website http://www.emigration.gov.eg.

19 Forum des organisations de solidarité internationale issues des migrations.

organisations representing the diaspora. The UK is also taking steps in the same direction, through support to an umbrella organisation (Connections for Development).

The Commission will consider supporting efforts initiated by source countries at national or regional level to set up databases where members of diasporas interested in contributing to home countries' development can register on a voluntary basis, and more generally to maintain links between these countries' and their diasporas, in coordination with other donors.

The Commission invites those Member States that do not already do so to identify and engage diaspora organisations which could be suitable and representative interlocutors in development policy and/or possible initiators of development projects in countries of origin. It would also welcome steps by the organisations representing diasporas involved in the development of countries of origin to set up a mechanism that could ensure appropriate representation of their interests at EU level, in particular as far as policies of interest to these countries are concerned.

2. The role of young people

Helping young people from migrant communities maintain some form of relationship with the country of origin of their parents can both contribute to their integration – by helping avoid a sense of not belonging anywhere – and encourage them to maintain a commitment to the development of that country[20], for example by encouraging them to visit it and get acquainted with the challenges it faces. Community policy, based on existing intra-EU experience, could play a role in this respect.

In addition to already existing initiatives, notably by local authorities in the framework of twinning schemes, which should be encouraged, the Commission will assess the feasibility of youth exchange schemes focused in particular on migrant communities, based on the experience gathered with existing intra-EU schemes.

3. Integration and citizenship

Integration of migrants is much more than just a part of a diaspora mobilisation policy; it is one of the central pillars of EU immigration policy, and its main features are covered in a number of recent policy documents[21]. This policy, by allowing migrants to feel that their cultural identity is recognised and valued, should encourage them to remain committed to their country of origin and those they have left behind. In addition, it is also increasingly being recognised that migrants' personal involvement in the development of their country of origin in turn facilitates their integration in the country of residence. The Commission is about to propose new orientations in the area of integration, by way of a Communication that will be adopted simultaneously with this Communication.

---

20 Even if there is evidence that second-generation diaspora members have forms of commitment that differ from those of the first generation: skill transfers or productive investment tend to replace remittances.

21 In particular the Common Basic Principles adopted by the Council on 19 November 2004. In addition, there is Community legislation already in force on various important issues such as the rights of long term residents, family reunification, and the fight against discrimination, racism and xenophobia. A handbook on integration for policy-makers and practitioners was also published in November 2004, and further efforts will be undertaken to encourage exchanges of experiences between Member States. In addition, a second Annual Report on Migration and Integration will soon be published.

ANNEX 5:
INITIATIVES AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FOSTERING CIRCULAR MIGRATION AND BRAIN CIRCULATION

The development of new, faster modes of transportation and communication as well as the emergence of transnational communities mean that circular migration, in which migrants tend to go back and forth between the source country and the destination country, and return migration will become increasingly widespread. From a development standpoint this is good news, as circular and return migration offer a significant potential for both source and destination countries, the migrant and his/her relatives in the country of origin. Return, even temporary, is likely to lead to the transfer of skills, know how and/or new cultural attitudes. Both circular migration and return can help mitigate the impact of brain drain. Both also foster brain circulation, which can be defined for the purpose of this Communication as the possibility for developing countries to draw on the skills, know-how and other forms of experience gained by their migrants – whether they have returned or not – and members of their diaspora abroad. However, there are still obstacles to circular migration and to the return of migrants to their country of origin. Removing them would help liberate the potential of brain circulation for development.

The Commission believes that action is necessary in the four following areas:

1. Managed migration as an instrument of brain circulation

The Commission recently published a Green Paper on an EU approach to managing economic migration[22], the aim of which is to actively contribute to the on-going debate on the most appropriate form of Community rules for admitting economic migrants.

The Green Paper stresses the need for the Community to manage migration flows in cooperation with countries of origin, thereby taking into account the challenges they face– including brain drain in particular – and their needs. It specifically asks the question of whether preference, in terms of admission, should be granted to certain third countries and how[23].

As a result of the comprehensive debate launched by the Green Paper, which culminated with a hearing organised on 14 June 2005, the Commission will present, by the end of 2005, a policy plan on legal migration. This plan will include ideas on how the joint management of economic migration flows between countries of origin and destination can contribute to improving the benefits of migration for the development of countries of origin

2. Maximising the potential of temporary migration

Temporary migration – sometimes even seasonal migration – is becoming an increasingly popular way of meeting the demand for unskilled or relatively low skilled labour in a number of Member States. Whilst it is true that such temporary migration has limited advantages in terms of skills enhancement, especially as far as low-skilled workers are concerned, its potential positive impact on development or at least poverty alleviation should not be dismissed too quickly. It can help relieve excess labour supply in developing countries, and the remittances which workers send to their relatives often represent a significant share of families' overall income – especially since temporary migrants tend to remit more than longer-term migrants as a percentage of their income.

---

22 COM(2004) 811, 11.1.2005

23 Bilateral agreements between a country of destination and a country of origin, aimed at joint management of economic migration flows – as concluded by some EU Member States with a number of third countries – are one possible way in which such preferences can be granted.

Policies to maximise the developmental impact of temporary migration, in addition to the general recommendations on remittances[24], should focus on encouraging circular migration, by giving a priority for further temporary employment to workers who have already worked under such schemes and have returned at the end of their contract, and also on offering appropriate rewards to participating migrants. This could for instance build on the experience of some Member Starts in reimbursing pension contributions at the end of the worker's contract or include the payment by the country of residence of a top up on the worker's savings. Taking into account the results of the debate on the Green Paper on economic migration, the Commission will also examine the possibility of defining a general framework for the entrance and short-term stay within the common area of seasonal migrants. The Commission will continue discussions with Member States, social partners and civil society on these issues.

In addition, temporary migration linked to the cross-border provision of services is also starting to feature highly on the international trade policy agenda, within the framework of the General Agreement on Trade in Services (GATS – the so-called Mode 4), with a focus on skilled labour. However the numbers of people covered by Mode 4 commitments, or taking advantage of them, are extremely small in comparison with the numbers of other temporary migrants or of long-term migrants.

Whilst Mode 4 is only one form of temporary migration - and is essentially an aspect of trade rather than migration policy – it may offer insights into the development of temporary migration schemes that would help both to maximize brain circulation in the interests of developing countries and contribute to well managed migration through clear rules on period and purpose of stay. The Community is promoting an approach to Mode 4 which adopts common terminology and transparent arrangements governing the categories of people covered and length of stay. This model could be built on for the further development of Mode 4.

In addition, the Commission already proposed in March 2004 a Recommendation concerning the facilitation of issuing conditions for uniform short stay visas for researchers from third countries travelling within the EU for the purpose of carrying out scientific research.

3. Facilitating return migration

The return of migrants to their country of origin can have a significant positive impact in development terms, provided that it is well prepared and that the migrant is an active protagonist in his/her own return, with a project – entrepreneurial for example – (s)he intends to carry out. While schemes to assist the return of migrants will usually be focused on legal migrants, they should not necessarily be reserved to them. The experience of the French "aide à la réinsertion" scheme shows that such schemes sometimes offer an 'honourable way out' to migrants whose migration project has failed, including some illegal migrants.

A number of general lessons can be drawn from the various return schemes that have been developed, notably at the level of EU Member States[25], by the Community[26] and by international organisations such as the International Organisation for Migration (IOM). A first

---

24 Cf. section 2.1. in the main text and Annexes 2 and 3.

25 An interesting example is the scheme run by the 'Gothenburg Initiative' (Göteborg Initiativet), an NGO supported by the City of Gothenburg and the Swedish authorities, which has devised a scheme whereby willing refugees, notably from Bosnia and Herzegovina and Somalia, living in Gothenburg are being supported in developing self-employing business activities upon returning to their country of origin.

26 Especially the Afghanistan return scheme (cf. point 2 of Annex 1).

lesson is that financial support is not enough to make return a success[27]. Advice and other forms of non-financial assistance, both before and after the migrant's return, play an indispensable role, especially when the migrant – who does not necessarily have directly relevant experience – intends to start his/her own business in the country of origin. Another lesson is that migrants are often reluctant to envisage a return to their home country unless they have some guarantee that they will be able to continue to travel back and forth between the country of origin and the (former) country of destination. This is obviously the case when the migrant plans to continue some form of business activity in his/her former country of residence, but such a possibility can also play the role of an insurance mechanism, especially when the migrant – be he or she a former refugee or asylum seeker or not – comes from a country whose future stability – economic or political – remains problematic. This problem can be addressed, at least on a temporary basis, by granting the returnee a multi-entry visa allowing him/her to return to his/her former country of residence. A number of Member States have taken steps in this direction. Another possibility that has similar effects is to maintain the validity of the returning migrant's residence permit for a certain amount of time after his/her return. Such a system exists in Denmark, where, in addition, refugees potentially willing to return to their country of origin are given the possibility of returning temporarily to assess the situation and prospects before they make a final decision to return, and refugees and their families who return voluntarily are allowed to go back to Denmark within one year of their return.

The Commission will invite Member States and other interested stakeholders to share their experience of the elaboration and management of assisted return programmes and of support of countries of origin with the successful reintegration of return migrants. Within this framework, it will examine relevant short-term visa policy issues.

A number of practical steps should also be taken to facilitate the return of migrants to their country of origin:

- Member States should ensure that the pensions obtained in a migrant's former country of residence can be paid to him/her in the country of origin after his/her return; or that the contributions made by a migrant and by his/her employers into public pension schemes can be paid back to him/her as a lump sum upon his/her return to the country of origin in cases where the total amounts paid are not enough to entitle him/her to a pension.
- Steps should be taken to ensure that a migrant's education and qualifications received in the country of destination can be easily recognised by authorities in the country of origin, as this will facilitate his/her professional integration upon returning[28].
- Member States could offer the possibility for financial institutions to open up special savings accounts accessible to migrants intending to return to their home country at the end of their work experience. These products could benefit from a favourable tax treatment, and the Member State of residence could also grant an interest rate subsidy and/or a bonus at the time of return.

---

[27] In addition, exclusively financial support might attract purely opportunistic applicants with no serious interest in developing and implementing a personal project for a successful return.

[28] Conversely, steps to facilitate the recognition of the skills acquired by migrants outside of the EU, if feasible, could make it easier to fully use their potential and avoid the risk of 'brain waste'. The development of co-operation with a number of third countries – notably the EU's immediate neighbours – in the area of education could allow for some progress in this respect, at least as far as formal qualifications (diplomas) are concerned.

- As far as researchers and other skilled professionals are concerned, it is essential that they can, upon return, continue to have exchanges with their former colleagues. Fellowships for returning researchers and instruments such as web portals to allow continuous interactions within trans-national research communities are among the instruments that will be supported under the 7th Framework Programme on R&D[29].

The Commission will look at the possibility of proposing measures in areas such as the transferability of pension rights, the recognition of qualifications or mechanisms to ensure that researchers or other professionals who have worked in the EU can keep in touch with their former colleagues to facilitate voluntary returns and help them reintegrate successfully.

4. Temporary or virtual return

Migrants do not necessarily need to return permanently to their country of origin to contribute usefully to its development. Indeed, temporary return appears as a promising way in which diasporas can be mobilised in favour of countries of origin (cf. section 2.2. in the main text). Obviously, it is up to individual diaspora members to decide whether and how they want to get involved. However, available evidence shows that many diaspora members are already active, and many more would be willing to follow suit provided that more appropriate conditions were in place. This is where public policy can play an important role.

There are several ways in which temporary return can allow diaspora members to contribute to the development of their country or region of origin. Short or medium term secondments of scientists, doctors, engineers or other qualified professionals in institutions in their countries of origin can enable them to share their skills and knowledge with those who have remained "at home". Diaspora skills databases (see point 1 in Annex 4) can enable authorities or institutions in countries to tap into the available skills potential. International organisations such as IOM (with the Migration for Development in Africa programme – MIDA) and UNDP (with the Transfer of Knowledge through Expatriate Nationals scheme – TOKTEN) have developed significant expertise in this area, and the activities they manage are often supported financially by one or several EU Member States[30] or the Community. In this case, temporary return can be complemented or in some cases replaced by "virtual return", relying on electronic communications (for example, via distance learning, a University professor in an EU Member State can also teach to students in a University in his/her country of origin, where (s)he could also be physically present for a couple of months each year).

The Commission proposes to build upon existing experience in temporary or virtual return programmes and extend it, where necessary, to other parts of the developing world. It will also look at the possible support that could be provided to e-learning schemes within the framework of Community programmes on information and communication technologies (ICT).

---

29 Moreover, the Commission has already issued, as part of the package on the admission of third country nationals to carry out scientific research in the European Community - COM(2004) 178, 16.3.2004 -, a draft recommendation encouraging Member States to 'promote the international mobility of researchers from third countries needing to travel frequently within the European Union by issuing them with multiple entry visas'. In addition to ensuring brain circulation, such measures can also contribute to limiting the brain drain of scientists from developing countries (cf. section 2.4. in the main text and Annex 6), by lowering the disadvantages for researchers of residing and working in developing countries.

30 For instance, a MIDA project for the Great Lakes region was funded by Belgium, and another project for Ghana was funded by the UK and the Netherlands.

Furthermore, initiatives will be taken within the framework of the 7th Framework Programme on Research and Development to facilitate networking between foreign researchers working in the EU – especially those working in areas of direct interest to developing countries – and research organisations in their countries of origin.

The Commission will also look at how to ensure that the residence rights in the EU of diaspora members who decide to engage in such activities are not affected by temporary returns to countries of origin.

Temporary return, and more generally circular migration, can also allow migrants or diaspora members who have succeeded in business activities in the EU to set up additional business activities – either directly connected or not to their main activity in the EU – in their country of origin, thereby actively contributing to the latter's development through innovation and job creation. The work conducted by the Commission in the area of ethnic entrepreneurship[31] shows that migrants and more generally persons of foreign origin are often likelier than other people to engage in entrepreneurial activities. They therefore constitute an important skills potential for their countries of origin, provided that appropriate policies are implemented. Their knowledge of both the country of origin and the country of residence can be an important business asset, in particular for marketing in the EU products from the country of origin[32] – or even the country itself as a tourist destination. Some initiatives have been developed with a view to facilitating the investments of such people in their country of origin, for example a project – funded under EC budget line B7-667 – to help highly skilled members of the Moroccan diaspora in France set up innovative start-ups in Morocco.

The Commission will continue to make support available in future, under the Aeneas programme, to projects by which migrants or diaspora members set up sustainable economic activities in countries of origin, with a preference for projects in third countries involving diasporas in two or more Member States. Such projects should be coherent with the development strategy of the third country concerned.

In addition, it will further study the ways in which interested entrepreneurs from migrant communities can contribute to the development of their country of origin, the obstacles they face in doing so and the policies that should be followed to overcome these obstacles.

Perhaps more importantly, in addition to direct financial support for such involvement of diaspora members, public authorities can also contribute to such efforts by removing a number of obstacles, in particular by:

- in the public sector, offering the possibility for secondments to institutions in developing countries for migrants or diaspora members wishing to engage in such activities;

---

31 Following preparatory work, a Commission study on 'Promoting Ethic Minority Entrepreneurs' is about to be carried out in the framework of the Multi-annual programme for enterprise and entrepreneurship 2001-2005. In addition, the Commission's Action Plan on Entrepreneurship - COM(2004) 70, presented on 11 February 2004 - foresees a key action on «Providing tailor-made support for ethnic minority entrepreneurs» and commits the Commission to collaborate with national and regional authorities to address those areas where the needs of ethnic entrepreneurs are still insufficiently met, namely access to finance and entrepreneurial networks. It foresees that the Commission will encourage networks among policy-makers and seek the active involvement of representative bodies of ethnic minorities for building a better dialogue at EU, national and regional level.

32 Migrants and more generally diasporas can therefore play an important role in helping their countries of origin take advantage of the important trade concessions granted by the Community to developing countries, notably in the context of the 'Everything But Arms' (EBA) initiative, and which at present are far from being fully used by beneficiary countries.

- in the private sector, removing legal obstacles to unpaid sabbatical leaves and encouraging businesses to enable their employees of foreign origin to take unpaid leave for engaging in such activities[33];
- in both cases, ensuring that the persons in question can continue to contribute to and benefit from social security schemes, in particular health insurance and pension schemes.

The Commission will foster the identification of best practices in areas such as secondments or sabbatical leaves, and the related social security aspects, that can facilitate temporary return, as part of the dialogue to be launched with Member States as a follow-up to this Communication.

[33] This issue may be raised by the Commission with the Social Partners in the framework of the discussions underway on Corporate Social Responsibility.

ANNEX 6:
INITIATIVES AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR MITIGATING THE ADVERSE EFFECT OF BRAIN DRAIN ON DEVELOPING COUNTRIES

Whereas there are many cases where migration is profitable for countries of origin, it can cause damage in specific situations. This is particularly so when emigration leads to significant skills shortages in sectors that are essential for the social or economic development of the countries in question. Such cases are best documented in the healthcare and education sector and the worst affected countries appear to be in Africa and in the Caribbean, but problems could also appear in other sectors or parts of the world. The phenomenon of brain drain affects particularly poorer countries because emigrants tend to have a better education than those who stay at home (the greater the distance, the greater the difference tends to be) and because selective immigration policies in developed countries have contributed to the acceleration of the emigration of skilled professionals.

It must be noted that education abroad can also contribute to brain drain if students do not return at the end of their studies. This phenomenon can become self-sustaining if emigration, by depriving universities of their best students, leads to lower quality levels, encouraging further emigration.

While there is no such thing as a 'right to migrate', it must be acknowledged that the decision to look for and take up employment abroad is largely based on an individual assessment by the persons concerned of the costs and benefits of such a choice. Public policies often have little influence on this decision. In addition, Member States retain significant competences in the area of economic migration and there are obvious limits to the scope for Community action in this area. The Commission believes, however, that action in this area should encompass the following issues:

1. Improving the evidence base

The sensitivity to brain drain-type problems varies enormously from country to country and from sector to sector. Whereas some developing countries suffer from very significant shortages of critical skills, others have an excess supply of these skills[34], and some even have a deliberate policy of exporting skilled people, often trained in specialised, private educational institutions. Any sensible policy on mitigating brain drain should therefore be based on sound data at sectoral and country (or even sub-national, in the case of large countries) level.

The Commission, in collaboration with other interested organisations and donors, is willing to support efforts by interested developing countries to improve their knowledge of their labour markets, including shortages or excesses of skills at sectoral level. This would serve as a basis for countries to devise responses to the identified problems, in the framework of their poverty reduction strategies.

The Commission is ready to support such responses, in the framework of its assistance to these countries. In addition, it would also make such information available to Member States, so as to help them steer their recruitment policies in a way that does not harm the development of countries of origin, as well as to the general public.

---

[34] In other words, a situation where there are not enough suitable employment opportunities for graduates. In such situations the emigration of skilled professionals can be characterised as 'brain overflow' rather than as brain drain.

As an example of possible responses, the Commission is currently preparing a separate Communication on 'Addressing the Crisis in Human Resources for Health', which will aim to prepare a set of innovative responses to human resource crisis in developing countries, within the broader health context of these countries, and building upon the framework set out in this Communication.

2. Disciplining recruitment

The issue of limiting recruitment of qualified professionals from developing countries suffering from severe skills shortages in key sectors was raised previously by the Commission in the December 2002 Communication. While it is not desirable to prevent individual would-be migrants from looking for suitable employment in the EU, there is a case for limiting active recruitment by or on behalf of EU employers in those developing countries and sectors that suffer from severe skills shortages. Especially at a time when the need for skills in developed countries is expected to increase, a situation where recruitment is carried out at the expense of development objectives must be avoided. One Member State, the United Kingdom, has developed a voluntary instrument in the healthcare sector[35] and there is therefore already some experience in the EU. In addition, the World Health Assembly has called for international action in this area[36].

It is the Commission's view that isolated action by one or a few countries is unlikely to be very effective if other developed countries do not show the same degree of self-discipline.

The Commission encourages Member States to develop mechanisms such as codes of conduct to limit active recruitment in cases where it would have significantly negative repercussions for targeted developing countries, especially in the healthcare sector, and to coordinate their respective efforts in this area.

The Commission will also strive to persuade other important destination countries to work towards similar arrangements. The possibilities for a global approach to recruitment policies should be explored and this issue be raised in the appropriate international fora, such as the WHO.

The orientations which the Commission will issue as a follow-up to its Green Paper on economic migration could also further contribute to the debate on disciplining recruitment[37].

3. Helping developing countries replenish their skills base

For source countries the phenomenon of brain drain often represents a loss of significant investment in training and education, at least when the people who migrate have been trained by public sector institutions. It is difficult, from a human rights perspective, to restrict people's mobility by preventing them from looking for better paid employment or better living and working conditions elsewhere[38]. However, the question arises whether destination countries could not, in one way or another, help developing countries that suffer from significant skills shortages as a result of migration to replenish their skills base.

---

35 A revised, strengthened version of the Code of conduct on recruitments in the healthcare sector was issued in December 2004.

36 'International migration of health personnel: a challenge for health systems in developing countries'. Resolution adopted by the 57th World Health Assembly on 22 May 2004.

37 See Section 2.3 of the main text and Annex 5.

38 Even though some developing countries have devised a number of partial solutions. Indian medical students, for example, are obliged to spend a certain amount of time working in rural or poor areas before they get their diploma.

Some Member States are already providing financial support for the training of health professionals in developing countries where they have been actively recruiting. In the Commission's view this type of 'compensation-schemes' should not be viewed as a substitute for a policy for disciplining recruitment. However, the Commission encourages Member States to consider skill replenishment schemes in countries that, as a result of brain drain processes, need to upgrade their healthcare and/or education human resource basis.

4. Better use of existing skills resources within the EU

An obvious response to the phenomenon of brain drain is for the EU to better use its own, existing skills resources. In this respect, the Lisbon strategy's general objective of raising the activity rate in the EU offers a first response. At a more specific level, a well designed integration policy[39] should also make it easier for the EU to tap into the partly unused potential represented by the migrants already residing in the EU. However, the maintenance by many Member States of transitional restrictions on the free movement of workers from the new Member States can also act in some cases as an obstacle to the optimal use of available skills resources[40] in the enlarged EU. This would appear to militate in favour of a relaxation of temporary restrictions on free movement of workers from the new Member States.

5. Fostering institutional partnerships

It is often difficult for developing countries seeking to encourage skilled professionals to stay in these countries rather than to emigrate or to encourage their return to compete against the wages and working conditions available in developed countries. Still, wages are only part of the equation and the Commission believes that providing such professionals with career prospects and an adequate infrastructure could play an important role in changing the working conditions. Nowhere is this truer than in the research area.

Fostering partnerships between institutions in the EU and in interested developing countries or region could play a role in addressing the causes of brain drain. In each case the precise mix of measures to be put in place would depend on a preliminary assessment of the country or region's specific problems and needs. Measures could include support for the creation of partnerships between scientific or university institutions in developing countries and in the EU to conduct research activities jointly[41].

Other forms of partnerships between research institutions, universities, hospitals or other bodies in developing countries and EU Member States to mitigate brain drain could include 'shared work schemes' in which scientists, researchers, doctors, technicians or other professionals from developing countries would share their work between an institution in their country of origin (University, hospital, research centre, etc.) and a partner institution in an EU Member State[42]. The possibility of providing Community support to such schemes could be assessed, notably in the framework of the 7th Framework Programme on R&D.

---

39 Cf. point 3 of Annex 4.

40 However, consideration must also be taken of the fact that some of the new Member States may themselves be suffering from a situation of brain drain in specific sectors, as a result of an outflow of skilled labour to the EU-15 or other developed countries.

41 Support to such partnerships is already an important component of EU policy on research and development and will be further developed under the upcoming 7th Framework Programme.

42 Such schemes constitute one possible form of 'circular migration' (see section 2.3 in the main text and Annex 5).

6. Development cooperation as a source of employment opportunities for skilled professionals in developing countries.

Tens of thousands of skilled professionals from developed countries are employed in the elaboration, management and implementation of ODA-funded assistance projects in developing countries. If a significant number of these professionals could be replaced by nationals from the beneficiary countries or regions, this would create important employment opportunities for these nationals. Most donors have already taken some steps in this direction, but more can be done.

The Commission believes that donors, in the context of OECD/DAC, could usefully review the operational mechanisms of their technical assistance programmes with the specific objective of assessing whether stronger incentives for the employment of skilled professionals from developing countries by implementing bodies could be introduced.

With regard to projects funded by the European Development Fund (EDF) in ACP countries, article 26 of Annex IV of the Cotonou Agreement already provides that, 'in respect of service contracts, given the required competence, preference shall be given to 1) experts … from ACP States where tenders of equivalent economic and technical quality are compared…".

ANNEX 7
COMMISSION INTENTIONS WITH REGARD TO THE FOLLOW-UP OF THIS COMMUNICATION

1. Dialogue with Member States

Once the Council has adopted Conclusions on the implementation of this Communication, the Commission is in favour of initiating a multidisciplinary dialogue with and between Member States on migration and development, in which Member States' Ministries dealing with migration in its various aspects – Development, Employment, External Relations, and Justice and Home Affairs – would be represented. This dialogue would aim at reinforcing coherence between the two policy areas. Its tasks would be threefold:

- informing Member States on the implementation of this Communication in general and more specifically on progress on a number of initiatives taken in this context;
- serving as a forum to exchange experiences and practices in the various areas covered by this Communication;
- and, in doing so, contributing to improve overall coherence of these activities, in particular to maximise EU leverage at the global level.

This dialogue could be complemented by an electronic forum for communication between members and an internet portal, also open to all interested parties, which would help access the various studies and research papers on issues related to the migration and development nexus prepared by or on behalf of the Member States, EU institutions or international organisations. This would help ensure that the significant amount of already available information is best used and avoid duplications.

At a later stage, this internet portal could be extended to include identified best practices in the various areas covered by this Communication.

2. Dialogue with Third Countries

As developing countries are directly affected by EU policy on migration and development, the Commission will continue to integrate migration related topics in the dialogue with these countries. A number of the orientations presented in this communication and its annexes will require further debate and cooperation with interested developing countries, either individually or as members of regional groupings. These dialogues should use the existing institutional frameworks[43].

Policy initiatives, including assistance projects, should be based on an analysis of the situation of the country or group of countries concerned and designed and carried out in a spirit of partnership with these countries. Where appropriate, issues linked to the migration and development nexus should also be reflected in the relevant country strategy papers (CSPs) or regional strategy papers (RSPs).

The Commission also proposes the establishment of a Migration Profile (MP) for each interested developing country. Such a document would bring together all information relevant

---

[43] For example the dialogues with individual ACP countries provided for in Article 8 of the Cotonou Agreement, the bodies set up under the Association or Cooperation Agreements, or the mechanisms of the Euro-Mediterranean Partnership. Another example, is that of the countries of South East Asia, with whom the new bilateral agreements which will be concluded will provide for a "comprehensive dialogue on all migration-related issues" which could be used for addressing migration and development issues, among others.

to the design and management of an effective policy on migration and development[44]. This could help define a policy response which would tailor to the situation and needs of the country or countries concerned the instruments presented in a generic manner in this Communication and its annexes. (the proposed MP is further described in Annex 8).

In addition, the Commission is ready to discuss with interested developing countries and their regional groupings the possibility and appropriateness of better reflecting – where appropriate – issues related to the migration and development nexus in Poverty Reduction Strategy Papers (PRSPs).

3. Cooperation with international organisations

In devising and implementing strategies to maximise the contribution of migration to development, EU institutions should take proper account of the work already done by a number of international organisations in these areas and the expertise available there in order to avoid duplications of efforts. In addition, the Commission does not necessarily possess the specialist expertise available in these organisations. The Commission will therefore reinforce its on-going dialogue on issues of migration and development with organisations such as the World Bank, ILO, UNHCR, the OECD, UNDP or IOM.

4. Other stakeholders

The Commission will maintain a dialogue with non-governmental actors, including social partners, on issues related to migration and development. In particular, it will welcome efforts by diaspora organisations engaged in the development of countries of origin to set up mechanism or structures to ensure their representation at EU level[45]. This should help these organisations express their views on the issues and initiatives set out in this Communication and its annexes and to contribute actively to its follow-up.

---

[44] Including aspects such as the gender dimension of migration, refugee aspects or the factors that might facilitate illegal migration, smuggling and trafficking.

[45] Cf. point 1 of Annex 4.

ANNEX 8:
MIGRATION PROFILES

Given the impact of initiatives in the area of migration and development, an evidence-based approach which takes into account the realities of developing countries is necessary. In order to develop a tailor-made, partnership approach for interested developing countries, a better understanding of the migration situation and related issues is a pre-condition. With this in mind the Commission proposes the establishment of a Migration Profile (MP) for each interested developing country. Such a document, which would be prepared by the Commission in cooperation with the relevant country, would bring together all information relevant to migration and development, thus allowing for a more appropriate policy approach and contributing to a better understanding of the results of the policies implemented.

Each MPs would aim to gather information on issues such as the labour market situation, unemployment rates, labour demand and supply and present or potential skill shortages by sector and occupation, skills needs in the country, skills available in the diaspora, migration flows, incoming and outgoing financial flows linked with migration, including migrant remittances, as well as relevant gender aspects and those related to minors.

MPs would also include an overview of the policy framework of the country considered, including legal instruments relevant to migration. An overview of relevant projects and other initiatives in the country could also be included. They should finally aim to assess both the short- and long-term impact on developing countries' labour markets of various relevant migration-related policies, if possible at a sectoral and occupational level.

A large amount of information required for devising MPs is already available, although not from a single source and not systematically for each country. MPs would provide a framework for bringing existing information from different sources together in a structured manner. In the beginning, MPs would be incomplete, but by revealing the gaps in the available information, they would make it easier to fill these gaps as time went on.

Besides this factual information MPs should also review the results of analyses of the various areas that are relevant for migration policy. They would help respond to challenges such as how to deal with skills shortages or on the contrary labour surpluses, how to tap into the skills of the diaspora or how to maximise inflows of remittances and make more productive use thereof.