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The Revision of the General Product Safety Directive and Market Surveillance
(2010/2085(INI))
Amendment 1  
Eija-Riitta Korhola, Ashley Fox  
Motion for a resolution  
Citation 14

Motion for a resolution  
Amendment

– having regard to the document deleted
produced by ANEC and BEUC on the
‘Revision of the General Product Safety
Directive: Key Issues from a Consumer
Perspective’, ANEC-GA-2010-G-001final,
BEUC X/031/2010 – 18-05/2010,

Or. en

Amendment 2  
Eija-Riitta Korhola, Ashley Fox  
Motion for a resolution  
Citation 15

Motion for a resolution  
Amendment

– having regard to the joint deleted
ANEC/ORGALIME position paper ‘Call
for an effective Pan-European market
surveillance system’, April 2009,

Or. en

Amendment 3  
Christel Schaldemose  
Motion for a resolution  
Recital A

Motion for a resolution  
Amendment

A. whereas it is essential to ensure that all A. whereas it is essential to ensure that all
products placed on the EU market are safe so as to guarantee high level of consumer protection,

Amendment 4
Christel Schaldemose

Motion for a resolution
Recital C

C. whereas the General Product Safety Directive 2001/95/EC (hereinafter - GPSD) which establishes at Community level general safety requirements for consumer products has to be reviewed and brought into conformity with NLF, in particular the Regulation on market surveillance,

Amendment

C. whereas the General Product Safety Directive 2001/95/EC (hereinafter - GPSD) which establishes at Community level general safety requirements for consumer products has to be reviewed and brought into conformity with NLF through integration, in particular the Regulation on market surveillance,

Amendment 5
Anna Maria Corazza Bildt

Motion for a resolution
Recital E

E. whereas the level of market surveillance differs considerably among Member States and a number of them fail to designate necessary resources for the efficient market surveillance and interpret ‘products posing serious risk’ differently,

Amendment

E. whereas the level of market surveillance differs considerably among Member States and a number of them fail to designate necessary resources for the efficient market surveillance and interpret ‘products posing serious risk’ differently, which can create barriers to the free movement of goods within the internal market;
Amendment 6
Kyriacos Triantaphyllides

Motion for a resolution
Recital 24 a (new)

Motion for a resolution  Amendment

Ea. whereas the effectiveness of the national surveillance authorities is central to the operation of an adequate market surveillance system.

Or. el

Amendment 7
Eija-Riitta Korhola, Ashley Fox, Anna Maria Corazza Bildt

Motion for a resolution
Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution  Amendment

Ea. Whereas the absence of efficient and proportionate market surveillance appears as one of the main reasons for unfair competition to legitimate and responsible market operators, especially SMEs;

Or. en

Amendment 8
Philippe Juvin, Eija-Riitta Korhola

Motion for a resolution
Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution  Amendment

Ea. Whereas the existing differences in the level of market surveillance between EU Member States may generate distortion of competition and jeopardize consumers’ safety;

Or. en
Amendment 9
Kyriacos Triantaphyllides

Motion for a resolution
Recital F

F. whereas cooperation among market surveillance authorities and joint market surveillance actions are essential and should therefore be strengthened further and resources delegated hereto,

Amendment
F. whereas cooperation among market surveillance authorities and joint market surveillance actions are essential and should therefore be strengthened further and community resources delegated hereto, which will strengthen the infrastructure of the national market surveillance authorities.

Or. el

Amendment 10
Kyriacos Triantaphyllides

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution
1. Believes that the current legislative framework for market surveillance has to be enforced in an effective manner and be further coordinated;

Amendment
1. Believes that the current legislative framework for market surveillance has to be enforced in an effective manner and be further coordinated so as to avoid loopholes in legislation which will be exploited.

Or. el

Amendment 11
Eija-Riitta Korhola, Ashley Fox

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1
Motion for a resolution

1. Believes that the current legislative framework for market surveillance has to be enforced in an effective manner and be further coordinated;

Amendment

1. Believes that the current legislative framework for market surveillance does not provide enough coherence and should therefore be reviewed and further coordinated;

Or. en

Amendment 12
Konstantinos Poupakis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

1a. Calls on the Commission to play a more active role in coordinating the activities of the European market surveillance authorities, customs authorities and the competent authorities of Member States in order to increase the effectiveness of market surveillance and allow the immediate recall and withdrawal from the European market of products which constitute a threat to the health and safety of consumers;

Amendment

2. Proposes to the Commission to establish a common European framework for market surveillance;

2. Proposes to the Commission to establish a common European authority for market surveillance coordination;

Or. el

Amendment 13
Kyriacos Triantaphyllides

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Proposes to the Commission to establish a common European framework for market surveillance;

Amendment

2. Proposes to the Commission to establish a common European authority for market surveillance coordination;

Or. el
Amendment 14  
Jürgen Creutzmann  
Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution  

2. Proposes to the Commission to establish a common European framework for market surveillance;

Amendment  

2. Urges the Commission in its multiannual action plan to further develop European market surveillance to strengthen the role of the European Union in coordinating the activities of national market surveillance authorities;

Or. de

Amendment 15  
Christel Schaldemose  
Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution  

2. Proposes to the Commission to establish a common European framework for market surveillance;

Amendment  

2. Proposes to the Commission to establish a common European framework for market surveillance concerning all products on the internal market or entering the EU market;

Or. en

Amendment 16  
Kyriacos Triantaphyllides  
Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution  

3. Calls on Member States to designate adequate financial and human resources to market surveillance activities; calls on the

Amendment  

3. Calls on Member States to deploy adequate financial and human resources for market surveillance activities; calls on the
Commission to put pressure on, assist and encourage the Member States to increase the resources for market surveillance; emphasizes that failing market surveillance systems undermine the citizen’s trust in the internal market;

Commission to examine the possibility of increasing funding for market surveillance authorities and to put pressure on Member States to use resources more rationally; emphasizes that an improvement in Member States’ market surveillance systems will have added value for the entire single market;

Amendment 17
Eija-Riitta Korhola

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Calls on Member States to designate adequate financial and human resources to market surveillance activities; calls on the Commission to put pressure on, assist and encourage the Member States to increase the resources for market surveillance; emphasizes that failing market surveillance systems undermine the citizen's trust in the internal market;

Amendment

3. Calls on Member States and the Commission to designate adequate financial resources to market surveillance activities; calls on the Member States to increase financial and human resources for market surveillance; emphasizes that failing market surveillance systems undermine the citizen's trust in the internal market; suggests that the Commission undertakes a full assessment of the entry points of products in the EU market;

Amendment 18
Jürgen Creutzmann

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Calls on Member States to designate adequate financial and human resources to market surveillance activities; calls on the Commission to put pressure on, assist and encourage the Member States to increase

Amendment

3. Calls on Member States and the Commission to designate adequate financial and human resources to market surveillance activities; calls on the Commission to put pressure on, assist and
the resources for market surveillance; emphasizes that failing market surveillance systems undermine the citizen’s trust in the internal market; encourage the Member States to increase the resources for market surveillance; calls on Member States and the Commission to enable financial support from the European Structural Funds to be given to those Member States whose geographical or economic situation makes it difficult for them to take measures against illegal products from third countries; points out in this connection that it is very important to secure the external borders of the single market, in particular the major sea ports; emphasizes that failing market surveillance systems undermine the citizen’s trust in the internal market;

Amendment 19
Philippe Juvin, Constance Le Grip

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Calls on Member States to designate adequate financial and human resources to market surveillance activities; calls on the Commission to put pressure on, assist and encourage the Member States to increase the resources for market surveillance; emphasizes that failing market surveillance systems undermine the citizen's trust in the internal market;

Amendment

3. Calls on Member States to designate adequate financial and human resources to market surveillance activities; calls on the Commission to put pressure on, assist and encourage the Member States to increase the resources for market surveillance; emphasizes that failing market surveillance systems generate a distortion of competition, jeopardize consumers' safety and undermine the citizen's trust in the internal market;

Amendment 20
Christel Schaldemose

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3
Motion for a resolution

3. Calls on Member States to designate adequate financial and human resources to market surveillance activities; calls on the Commission to put pressure on, assist and encourage the Member States to increase the resources for market surveillance; emphasizes that failing market surveillance systems undermine the citizen's trust in the internal market;

Amendment

3. Calls on Member States to designate adequate financial and human resources to market surveillance activities; calls on the Commission to put pressure on, assist and encourage the Member States to increase the resources for market surveillance; and to allocate resources in the Commission as well to coordinate the marked surveillance activities between member states; emphasizes that failing market surveillance systems undermine the citizen's trust in the internal market;

Or. en

Amendment 21
Ashley Fox

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Calls on Member States to designate adequate financial and human resources to market surveillance activities; calls on the Commission to put pressure on, assist and encourage the Member States to increase the resources for market surveillance; emphasizes that failing market surveillance systems undermine the citizen's trust in the internal market;

Amendment

3. Calls on Member States and the Commission to designate adequate resources to market surveillance activities; calls on the Commission to put pressure on, assist and encourage the Member States to increase support and human resources for efficient market surveillance; emphasizes that failing market surveillance systems undermine the citizens' trust in the single market;

Or. en

Amendment 22
Eija-Riitta Korhola, Ashley Fox

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4
4. Stresses that the economic and financial crisis must not be used as an excuse for not designating necessary resources to market surveillance; deleted

Amendment 23
Jürgen Creutzmann

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

4a. Calls on Member States to introduce in a coordinated manner penalties, including large fines, for economic operators who deliberately introduce dangerous or non-compliant products in the single market; proposes that product bans should be made public as often as possible in order to increase the visibility of border controls and market surveillance and to deter criminal market operators; Or. de

Amendment 24
Eija-Riitta Korhola

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

4a. Notes that TAXUD has also underlined the need for a harmonised approach for customs controls in the area of product safety; welcomes therefore the creation of a project group between the Member States and the Commission
which will produce guidelines for customs controls in the area of product safety;

\textit{Or. en}

\textbf{Amendment 25}
\textit{Konstantinos Poupakis}

\textbf{Motion for a resolution}
\textbf{Paragraph 4 a (new)}

\textbf{Motion for a resolution} \textit{Amendment}

4a. Calls on the Commission with the participation of market surveillance authorities and of the customs authorities to co-fund further joint market surveillance actions;

\textit{Or. el}

\textbf{Amendment 26}
\textit{Christel Schaldemose}

\textbf{Motion for a resolution}
\textbf{Paragraph 5}

\textbf{Motion for a resolution} \textit{Amendment}

5. Emphasizes the necessity to share best practices among the Member States; calls for joint cooperation, pooling the know-how and sharing the best practices among market surveillance authorities; reminds of the importance of cooperation between customs and market surveillance authorities at the external borders to carry out appropriate checks of products entering the Community; \textit{suggests that Pro safe could be the network to be given this task}; reminds of the importance of cooperation between customs and market surveillance authorities at the external borders to carry out appropriate checks of products entering the Community;

\textit{Or. en}
Amendment 27
Jürgen Creutzmann

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Emphasizes the necessity to share best practices among the Member States; calls for joint cooperation, pooling the know-how and sharing the best practices among market surveillance authorities; reminds of the importance of cooperation between customs and market surveillance authorities at the external borders to carry out appropriate checks of products entering the Community;

Amendment

5. Emphasizes the necessity to share best practices among the Member States; calls for joint cooperation, pooling the know-how and sharing the best practices among market surveillance authorities; proposes to this end the introduction of a transparent procedure of 'reciprocal evaluation' of national market surveillance systems in which country reports will be subjected to a peer review procedure at European level and interest groups consulted; recalls the importance of cooperation between customs and market surveillance authorities;

Or. de

Amendment 28
Barbara Weiler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Emphasizes the necessity to share best practices among the Member States; calls for joint cooperation, pooling the know-how and sharing the best practices among market surveillance authorities; reminds of the importance of cooperation between customs and market surveillance authorities at the external borders to carry out appropriate checks of products entering the Community;

Amendment

5. Emphasizes the necessity to share best practices among the Member States; calls for joint cooperation and coordination, pooling the know-how and sharing the best practices among market surveillance authorities; reminds of the importance of cooperation between customs and market surveillance authorities at the external borders to carry out appropriate checks of products entering the Community;

Or. de
Amendment 29
Eija-Riitta Korhola, Anna Maria Corazza Bildt

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution  Amendment

5a. Calls upon the EU Member States to share product safety related inquiries and studies with other Member States. Reference numbers of concerned products should be included to facilitate product identification by other authorities, who could benefit from translating and using the information provided in the studies; calls upon Member States to allow their competent authorities to take market surveillance measures on the basis of test results or studies which have been delivered by other Member States in order to avoid duplication of works;

Or. en

Amendment 30
Jürgen Creutzmann

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution  Amendment

5a. Recognises the important contribution made by PROSAFE as regards the coordination of joint market surveillance actions and the exchange of tried and tested practices; points out, however, that coordination through PROSAFE is restricted by limited resources and its informal structure; proposes therefore the establishment of a specialised, independent EU agency which, acting in close cooperation with PROSAFE, could carry out additional tasks alongside the operational coordination between market surveillance and customs authorities of
the Member States, for instance monitoring the implementation of joint operational standards and supporting Member States in training market surveillance and customs officials;

Or. de

Amendment 31
Ashley Fox

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

5a. Calls upon Member States to share best practice and to allow their competent authorities to take market surveillance measures on the basis of test results or studies which have been delivered by other Member States in order to avoid duplication of work, to improve consistency and to ensure better coordination of the Single Market;

Or. en

Amendment 32
Eija-Riitta Korhola, Ashley Fox, Anna Maria Corazza Bildt

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

7. Urges the Commission to establish a public Consumer Product safety Information Database, including a platform for complaints which will raise awareness of dangerous products across the borders in the internal market; calls for the establishment of a accident statistical system wherefrom mandatory annual reports will be published, coordinated and funded by the European Commission,

7. Urges the Commission to establish a public Consumer Product safety Information Database, which the RAMs Article 23 database might serve as a basis for, including a platform for complaints which will raise awareness of dangerous products across the borders in the internal market; insists that careful attention must be paid in defining the rules of the use of the database and in developing key
where Member States shall report products that have caused accidents within their territory; definitions in order to prevent negative collateral effects; calls on all Member States to join the Injury Database (IDB) so that information of health related incidents can be better coordinated at EU level; calls on the Member States to ensure that consumers are given the opportunity to complain of dangerous products to national authorities and that the complaints are looked into in an effective and professional manner;

Amendment 33
Jürgen Creutzmann

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Urges the Commission to establish a public Consumer Product safety Information Database, including a platform for complaints which will raise awareness of dangerous products across the borders in the internal market; calls for the establishment of an accident statistical system wherefrom mandatory annual reports will be published, coordinated and funded by the European Commission, where Member States shall report products that have caused accidents within their territory;

Amendment

7. Urges the Commission to improve and give greater publicity to the ICSMS data bank which provides consumers and authorities with information about dangerous products in the internal market and allows consumers electronically to notify the competent authorities of dangerous products; calls on those Member States which do not yet use the ICSMS to take part in this important project; calls on Member States, with the coordination of the Commission, to increase the scope, the reliability and the relevance of the 'EU injury data base' under which a representative group of hospitals from Member States voluntarily report accidents occurring in connection with certain products;

Or. de
Amendment 34
Christel Schaldemose

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Urges the Commission to establish a public Consumer Product safety Information Database, including a platform for complaints which will raise awareness of dangerous products across the borders in the internal market; calls for the establishment of a accident statistical system wherefrom mandatory annual reports will be published, coordinated and funded by the European Commission, where Member States shall report products that have caused accidents within their territory;

Amendment

7. Urges the Commission to establish a public Consumer Product safety Information Database, including a platform for complaints which will raise awareness of dangerous products across the borders in the internal market; calls for the establishment of a accident statistical system wherefrom mandatory annual reports will be published; believes this database could be the injury database under development; believes the database needs a legal base and it shall be a database which is mandatory for member states to report to; coordinated and funded by the European Commission, where Member States shall report products that have caused accidents within their territory;

Amendment 35
Jürgen Creutzmann

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

7a. Calls on the Commission to intensify international cooperation in the international Consumer Product Safety Caucus so as to exchange tried and tested practices and jointly to prevent the production in third countries of dangerous substances intended for export to the European single market;

Or. de
Amendment 36
Eija-Riitta Korhola

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Supports the review of the GPSD and calls for an alignment of the definitions of the GPSD with the Regulation on Market Surveillance in regards to definitions and in regards to the obligations for economic operators;

Amendment

8. Supports the revision of the GPSD and NLF at the same time; merging them into one single regulation on Market Surveillance for all products based on the Regulation 765/2008; considers that such revision should be done with a view to reach a high level of product safety and market surveillance;

Considers that having one single regulation is the only way to have one single system for all products; calls upon the Commission to include in this revision the provisions of the GPSD which are more developed than in the NLF, such as the requirement to provide information to the consumers or to keep a register for complaints;

Or. en

Amendment 37
Jürgen Creutzmann

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Supports the review of the GPSD and calls for an alignment of the definitions of the GPSD with the Regulation on Market Surveillance in regards to definitions and in regards to the obligations for economic operators;

Amendment

8. Supports the review of the GPSD and calls for an alignment of the definitions of the GPSD with the Regulation on Market Surveillance in regards to definitions;

Or. de
Amendment 38
Christel Schaldemose

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Supports the review of the GPSD and calls for an alignment of the definitions of the GPSD with the Regulation on Market Surveillance in regards to definitions and in regards to the obligations for economic operators;

Amendment

8. Supports the review of the GPSD and calls for an alignment of the definitions of the GPSD with the Regulation on Market Surveillance in regards to definitions and in regards to the obligations for economic operators as defined in the decision 768/2008;

Or. en

Amendment 39
Jürgen Creutzmann

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Calls for alignment between traceability requirements in GPSD and the NLF so as to guarantee the coherent traceability system;

Amendment
deleted

Or. de

Amendment 40
Eija-Riitta Korhola

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Calls for alignment between traceability requirements in GPSD and the NLF so as to guarantee the coherent traceability system; avoiding the creation of new red tape;

Amendment

9. Calls for alignment between traceability requirements in GPSD and the NLF so as to guarantee the coherent traceability system;
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Amendment 41
Jürgen Creutzmann

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

10. Stresses the importance of uniform definitions and assessments in Member States of products posing serious risk in order to unify the safety level throughout the Union and the traceability system;
12. Insists that a common definition of “child-appealing product” should be included in the current revision of the GPSD and in sector specific legislation on harmonised products; welcomes the work of an ad-hoc working group on child appealing appliances; Calls for more transparency throughout that process and wishes to be more informed on the progress achieved so far;

12. "Insists that a common definition of 'child-appealing product' is essential for achieving integrated protection for the most vulnerable group of consumers and that it should therefore be included in the current revision of the GPSD and in sector specific legislation on harmonised products; welcomes the work of an ad-hoc working group on child appealing appliances; Calls for more transparency throughout that process and wishes to be more informed on the progress achieved so far;"

Amendment

Jürgen Creutzmann

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

12. Calls on the Commission to examine to what extent a definition of 'child-appealing product' could be included in the current revision of the GPSD in order to assist producers in their own risk assessments; stresses that such a definition should not be allowed to serve as a basis for withdrawing products from the market; welcomes the work of an ad-hoc working group on child appealing appliances; calls for more transparency throughout that process and wishes to be more informed on the progress achieved so far;

Amendment

Or. de
Amendment 45
Christel Schaldemose

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

12. Insists that a common definition of ‘child-appealing product’ should be included in the current revision of the GPSD and in sector specific legislation on harmonised products; welcomes the work of an ad-hoc working group on child appealing appliances; Calls for more transparency throughout that process and wishes to be more informed on the progress achieved so far;

Amendment

12. Insists that a common market surveillance approach on ‘child-appealing products’ should be included in the current revision of the GPSD and in sector specific legislation on harmonised products; welcomes the work of PROSAFE on the “Joint Action on Child Appealing Designs” for electrical products; Calls the Commission to pursue this work and broaden the scope to cover also non-electrical products; asks for more transparency throughout that process and wishes to be more informed on the progress achieved so far;

Or. en

Amendment 46
Constance Le Grip

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

14. Calls for the simplification of the European product safety legislation and encourages the provisions on the Food-Imitating Products to be included in the revised proposal;

Amendment

14. Calls for the simplification of the European product safety legislation, in particular as regards the Commission objectives 'better law-making' and 'think small first' as set out in the Communication 'Towards a Single Market Act' and encourages the provisions on the Food-Imitating Products to be included in the revised proposal;

Or. fr
Amendment 47
Eija-Riitta Korhola, Ashley Fox

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

16. Calls on the Commission to include an obligation for manufacturers to do a risk analysis in their design phase; urges that if any risks are identified they should be documented with the product when it is marketed;

16. Calls on the Commission to include an obligation for manufacturers to do a risk analysis in their design phase; urges that if any risks are identified they should be documented and made available to the public authorities;

Or. en

Amendment 48
Jürgen Creutzmann

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

16. Calls on the Commission to include an obligation for manufacturers to do a risk analysis in their design phase; urges that if any risks are identified they should be documented with the product when it is marketed;

16. Calls on the Commission to include an obligation for manufacturers to do a risk analysis appropriate to the product in the design phase; urges that if any risks are identified they should be documented and reported to the authorities on request;

Or. de

Amendment 49
Jürgen Creutzmann

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

17. Is concerned about the temporary character of emergency measures as this leads to legal uncertainties; Stresses the need for a more effective regulatory framework, allowing quick interventions and reliable long-term solutions, without delegating political
framework, allowing quick interventions and reliable long-term solutions, without delegating political decisions to the standardisation bodies. The GPSD should allow for the establishment of product specific rules without limitations, either in terms of content or the period of applicability;

decisions to the standardisation bodies or the Commission. The GPSD should allow for the establishment of product specific rules without limitations, either in terms of content or the period of applicability;

Amendment 50
Eija-Riitta Korhola

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

17. Is concerned about the temporary character of emergency measures as this leads to legal uncertainties; Stresses the need for a more effective regulatory framework, allowing quick interventions and reliable long-term solutions, without delegating political decisions to the standardisation bodies. The GPSD should allow for the establishment of product specific rules without limitations, either in terms of content or the period of applicability;

17. Stresses the need for a more effective regulatory framework, allowing quick interventions and reliable long-term solutions, without delegating political decisions to the standardisation bodies or to the Commission without a clear set of essential policy requirements, as is in the case for harmonised legislation;

Amendment 51
Christel Schaldemose

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

18. Stresses that products posing serious risk must be permanently withdrawn or recalled from the market as quickly as possible and traceability throughout the
supply chain must be ensured which calls for sufficient resources for the marked surveillance authorities;

Amendment 52
Eija-Riitta Korhola, Ashley Fox

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 – introductory part

19. Insists on effective enforcement of the identification procedures that are already in place; encourages the Commission to make assessments and evaluations on the use of new technologies, e.g. Radio Frequency Identification (RFID), technology tags and nano-printed intelligent packaging, however considers that the usage of those technologies should not endanger the privacy, security and safety of the consumer;

Amendment

19. Insists on effective enforcement of the identification procedures that are already in place; encourages the Commission to make assessments and evaluations on the use of new technologies, e.g. Radio Frequency Identification (RFID), technology tags and nano-printed intelligent packaging, while considering that the usage of those technologies should be proportionate and not endanger the privacy, security and safety of the consumer;

Amendment 53
Christel Schaldemose

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

19. Insists on effective enforcement of the identification procedures that are already in place; encourages the Commission to make assessments and evaluations on the use of new technologies, e.g. Radio Frequency Identification (RFID), technology tags and nano-printed intelligent packaging, however considers that the usage of those technologies should not endanger the privacy, security and safety of the consumer;

Amendment

19. Insists on effective enforcement of the identification procedures that are already in place; encourages the Commission to make assessments and evaluations on the use of new technologies, however considers that the usage of new technologies should not endanger the privacy, security and safety of the consumer;
consumer;

Amendment 54
Eija-Riitta Korhola, Ashley Fox

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 a

Motion for a resolution  
Amendment

19a. Stresses however, that one technical solution should not be forced as the official traceability system/method within the EU market; and calls for overall proportionality;

Amendment 55
Konstantinos Poupakis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 a (new)

Motion for a resolution  
Amendment

20a. Emphasizes the need to improve and further strengthen RAPEX exchanges of information in respect of dangerous products from Third countries (China/India) and for its latest studies to be evaluated.

Amendment 56
Eija-Riitta Korhola, Ashley Fox

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21
21. Calls on Commission to allow product safety professionals, consumer organisations and national authorities to have access to all relevant information;
Amendment 59  
Constance Le Grip

Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 21

**Motion for a resolution**  
21. Calls on Commission to allow product safety professionals, consumer organisations and national authorities to have access to all relevant information;

**Amendment**  
21. Calls on Commission to allow manufacturers, product safety professionals, consumer organisations and national authorities to have access to all relevant information;

Or. fr

Amendment 60  
Christel Schaldemose

Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 21

**Motion for a resolution**  
21. Calls on Commission to allow product safety professionals, consumer organisations and national authorities to have access to all relevant information;

**Amendment**  
21. Calls on Commission to allow product safety professionals, consumer organisations and national authorities to have access to all relevant information while ensuring the necessary confidentiality;

Or. en

Amendment 61  
Constance Le Grip

Motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 22

**Motion for a resolution**  
22. Welcomes the new RAPEX guidelines which contribute to the improvement of

**Amendment**  
22. Welcomes the new RAPEX guidelines which contribute to the improvement of
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22

22. Welcomes the new RAPEX guidelines which contribute to the improvement of operation of RAPEX; Invites the Commission to streamline the new risk assessment method with those in place for harmonised consumer products to assist market surveillance authorities in their work;

Amendment
22. Welcomes the new RAPEX guidelines which contribute to the improvement of operation of RAPEX; Invites the Commission to streamline the new risk assessment method with those in place for harmonised products to assist market surveillance authorities in their work, and ensure that its results are publicised;

Amendment 62
Christel Schaldemose

Motion for a resolution Amendment
22a. Calls on the Commission to explain the classification of products as a 'serious risk' for RAPEX notifications;

Amendment
22. Welcomes the new RAPEX guidelines which contribute to the improvement of operation of RAPEX; Invites the Commission to streamline the new risk assessment method with those in place for harmonised products to assist market surveillance authorities in their work;

Amendment 63
Jürgen Creutzmann
Amendment 64
Christel Schaldemose

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Is particularly concerned that each year there is an increase of RAPEX notifications relating to products of Chinese origin, which is more than half of the RAPEX notifications, and where it appears that it is not possible to identify the manufactures of those products in 20 per cent of cases; therefore calls on enhanced efforts to be made internationally and welcomes EU-China-US cooperation on product traceability strategies; welcomes any support, training, seminars organised by EU and Chinese authorities to improve product safety;

Amendment

23. Is particularly concerned that each year there is an increase of RAPEX notifications relating to products of Chinese origin, which is more than half of the RAPEX notifications, and where it appears that it is not possible to identify the manufactures of those products in 20 per cent of cases; therefore calls on enhanced efforts to be made internationally and welcomes EU-China-US cooperation on product traceability strategies; welcomes any support, training, seminars organised by EU and Chinese authorities to improve product safety; underlines that there is a need for multiannual programmes to face these challenges;

Or. en

Amendment 65
Christel Schaldemose

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Calls on the Commission and Member States Authorities to ensure proper training of officers so products presenting a risk can be further detected; urges for better cooperation between customs and market surveillance authorities before products are released on the market;

Amendment

26. Calls on the Commission and Member States Authorities to ensure proper training of officers so products presenting a risk can be further detected; urges for better cooperation between customs and market surveillance authorities before products are released on the market which calls for a multiannual programme here as well;

Or. en
Amendment 66
Philippe Juvin, Eija-Riitta Korhola, Constance Le Grip

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

26a. Calls on the Commission and national competent authorities to further develop awareness-raising campaigns targeting consumers to inform them about the risk of buying counterfeited products online;

Or. en

Amendment 67
Kyriacos Triantaphyllides

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution

27. Stresses the need for the market surveillance authorities to fully participate in the process of standard development, as this is a suitable mean to ensure that the voluntary application of standards will increase consumer safety;

27. delete

Or. el

Amendment 68
Jürgen Creutzmann

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution

27. Stresses the need for the market surveillance authorities to fully participate in the process of standard development, as this is a suitable mean to ensure that the

27. Stresses the need for the market surveillance authorities to systematically participate in the process of security-relevant standard development, as this is a
voluntary application of standards will increase consumer safety; suitable means to ensure that their knowledge informs the standardisation process and to generate greater understanding for standards, thereby ensuring that the voluntary application of standards will increase consumer safety;

Amendment 69
Eija-Riitta Korhola

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

27. Stresses the need for the market surveillance authorities to fully participate in the process of standard development, as this is a suitable mean to ensure that the voluntary application of standards will increase consumer safety;

27. Stresses the need for the market surveillance authorities to fully participate in the process of standard development, as this is a suitable mean to ensure that the voluntary application of standards will contribute to increase consumers' safety and health as well as legal certainty by allowing a correct interpretation and application of the European Standards by Member States authorities;

Amendment 70
Jürgen Creutzmann

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28

28. Urges for improvement of the currently applicable procedures for establishing the European standards so as to guarantee the timely reaction to new or emerging risks in a more efficient manner; emphasises, however, that new or amended procedures should also include be subject to the Parliament's
Amendment 71
Eija-Riitta Korhola

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28

Motion for a resolution

28. Urges for improvement of the currently applicable procedures for establishing the European standards so as to guarantee the timely reaction to new or emerging risks in a more efficient manner; emphasises, however, that new or amended procedures should also include be subject to the Parliament's scrutiny; stresses that Parliament should also be entitled to scrutinise the procedures of take over/application of international, non-European and other standards;

Amendment

28. Urges for improvement of the currently applicable Commission procedures for establishing mandates for the development of European standards so as to guarantee the timely reaction to new or emerging risks in a more efficient manner; emphasises, however, that new or amended procedures should also include be subject to the Parliament's scrutiny; stresses that Parliament should also be entitled to scrutinise the procedures of take over/application of international, non-European and other standards;

Amendment 72
Eija-Riitta Korhola

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

28a. Calls for the European standardisation organisations and the Commission to investigate all possible systems able to speed up the process of standards development, while ensuring a proper involvement of all relevant
stakeholders, such as the introduction of a fast track procedure or the possibility for the Commission of publishing existing European or ISO standards reference, developed outside a Commission mandate, if such standards are deemed to provide a high level of consumer protection or to address a specific risk as an interim measure until a permanent solution becomes available;

Amendment 73
Christel Schaldemose

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29

Motion for a resolution
29. Calls for standardisation to be limited to providing the technical means through which compliance with a political decision is achieved or evaluated. Political issues which have a direct impact on the protection of welfare of consumers should be dealt with at the political level and not delegated to the standardisation bodies;

Amendment
29. Calls for standardisation to be limited to providing the technical means through which compliance with a political decision is achieved or evaluated. Political issues which have a direct impact on the protection of welfare of consumers/users should be dealt with at the political level and not delegated to the standardisation bodies;

Amendment 74
Eija-Riitta Korhola

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29

Motion for a resolution
29. Calls for standardisation to be limited to providing the technical means through which compliance with a political decision is achieved or evaluated. Political issues which have a direct impact on the

Amendment
29. Calls for Commission's mandates for standardisation to be improved in order to allow the European standardisation organisation to develop European Standards fulfilling the technical
The protection of welfare of consumers should be dealt with at the political level and not delegated to the standardisation bodies; requirements for which compliance with a political decision is achieved or evaluated; in this respect, considers that a better involvement and cooperation between the European Commission and the European standardisation organisations in the drafting is needed; bearing in mind that these organisations work on the basis of consensus, considers crucial for a good functioning of the system that political issues are dealt with at the policy-making level and not delegated to the European Commission, the standardisation bodies or any enforcement administrations;

Amendment 75
Christel Schaldemose

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. Calls for the introduction of a safeguard procedure which would allow Member States to express a formal objection to a standard (such as Article 14 of the Toy Safety Directive 2009/48/EC). The use of a safeguard procedure should be possible even before a standard is cited in the OJEU;

Amendment

30. Calls for the introduction of a safeguard procedure as the one in the decision 768/2008 to be included in all harmonisation legislation. This allows for a formal objection to a standard. The use of a safeguard procedure should be possible even before a standard is cited in the OJEU;

Amendment 76
Eija-Riitta Korhola

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. Calls for the introduction of a safeguard procedure which would allow

Amendment

30. Calls for the introduction of a safeguard procedure which would allow
Member States to express a formal objection to a standard (such as Article 14 of the Toy Safety Directive 2009/48/EC). The use of a safeguard procedure should be possible even before a standard is cited in the OJEU;

Member States to express a formal objection to a standard (such as Article 14 of the Toy Safety Directive 2009/48/EC); underlines however that this procedure should be introduced only if the Commission gets evidences of a better involvement of market surveillance authorities in the standardisation system; considers indeed that the use of a safeguard procedure should not be a substitute for Member States to make their point towards the standardisation system;

Amendment 77
Eija-Riitta Korhola

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

31. Calls for the Commission to take further steps as soon as possible, so the necessary revisions can be enhanced, which will be crucial to consumer safety;

Amendment

31. Calls for the Commission to take further steps in coherence with the new legislative framework, so the necessary revisions can be enhanced;

Or. en