



000(INI)

3.12.2019

AMENDMENTS

1 - 238

Draft opinion

on the Conference on the Future of Europe

Amendment 1
Pedro Silva Pereira

Draft opinion
Citation 1

Draft opinion

Amendment

– *having regard to its resolution of 16 February 2017 on improving the functioning of the European Union building on the potential of the Lisbon Treaty,*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 2
Pedro Silva Pereira

Draft opinion
Citation 2

Draft opinion

Amendment

– *having regard to its resolution of 16 February 2017 on possible evolutions of and adjustments to the current institutional set-up of the European Union,*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 3
Geert Bourgeois

Draft opinion
Citation 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

– *having regard to its resolution of 28 March 2018 on the implementation of the Treaty provisions concerning national parliaments,*

Amendment 4
Pedro Silva Pereira

Draft opinion
Citation 4

Draft opinion

Amendment

- *having regard to its resolution of 13 February 2019 on the state of the debate on the future of Europe,* **deleted**

Amendment 5
Geert Bourgeois

Draft opinion
Citation 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- *having regard to the report ‘Active Subsidiarity. A new way of working’ of 10 July 2018 of the Task Force on Subsidiarity, Proportionality and “Doing Less More Efficiently”, chaired by Vice-President of the European Commission Frans Timmermans,*

Amendment 6
Martina Anderson, Helmut Scholz

Draft opinion
Citation 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- *having regard to its resolution of 19 January 2017 on a European Pillar of*

Amendment 7
Gerolf Annemans

Draft opinion
Citation 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- *having regard to its resolution of 7 February 2018 on the composition of the European Parliament,*

Amendment 8
Geert Bourgeois

Draft opinion
Citation 4 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- *having regard to the report ‘Active Subsidiarity. A new way of working’ of 10 July 2018 of the Task Force on Subsidiarity, Proportionality and “Doing Less More Efficiently”, chaired by Vice-President of the European Commission Frans Timmermans,*

Amendment 9
Pedro Silva Pereira

Draft opinion
Citation 5

Draft opinion

Amendment

– *having regard to the statement by the President of the European Council, Donald Tusk, on 23 February 2018, as regards transnational lists, will come back to this issue in the future, with a view to the 2024 elections¹; having regard to the Meseberg declaration by the president of France, Emmanuel Macron, and the chancellor of Germany, Angela Merkel, of 19 June 2018 to put in place transnational lists for European elections as of 2024²,* *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 10
Loránt Vincze

Draft opinion
Citation 5

Draft opinion

Amendment

– *having regard to the statement by the President of the European Council, Donald Tusk, on 23 February 2018, as regards transnational lists, will come back to this issue in the future, with a view to the 2024 elections³; having regard to the Meseberg declaration by the president of France, Emmanuel Macron, and the chancellor of Germany, Angela Merkel, of 19 June 2018 to put in place transnational lists for European elections as of 2024⁴,* *deleted*

Or. en

¹ <https://www.consilium.europa.eu/en/meetings/european-council/2018/02/23>

² <https://archiv.bundesregierung.de/archiv-de/meta/startseite/meseberg-declaration-1140806>

³ <https://www.consilium.europa.eu/en/meetings/european-council/2018/02/23>

⁴ <https://archiv.bundesregierung.de/archiv-de/meta/startseite/meseberg-declaration-1140806>

Amendment 11
Geert Bourgeois

Draft opinion
Citation 5

Draft opinion

Amendment

– *having regard to the statement by the President of the European Council, Donald Tusk, on 23 February 2018, as regards transnational lists, will come back to this issue in the future, with a view to the 2024 elections⁵; having regard to the Meseberg declaration by the president of France, Emmanuel Macron, and the chancellor of Germany, Angela Merkel, of 19 June 2018 to put in place transnational lists for European elections as of 2024⁶,*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 12
Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Draft opinion
Citation 5

Draft opinion

Amendment

– *having regard to the statement by the President of the European Council, Donald Tusk, on 23 February 2018, as regards transnational lists, will come back to this issue in the future, with a view to the 2024 elections⁷; having regard to the Meseberg declaration by the president of France, Emmanuel Macron, and the chancellor of Germany, Angela Merkel, of 19 June 2018 to put in place transnational lists for European elections as of 2024⁸,*

deleted

⁵ <https://www.consilium.europa.eu/en/meetings/european-council/2018/02/23>

⁶ <https://archiv.bundesregierung.de/archiv-de/meta/startseite/meseberg-declaration-1140806>

⁷ <https://www.consilium.europa.eu/en/meetings/european-council/2018/02/23>

⁸ <https://archiv.bundesregierung.de/archiv-de/meta/startseite/meseberg-declaration-1140806>

Amendment 13

Danuta Maria Hübner, Paulo Rangel

Draft opinion

Citation 5

Draft opinion

– having regard to the *statement* by the President of the European Council, Donald Tusk, on 23 February 2018, *as regards transnational lists, will come back to this issue in the future, with a view to the 2024 elections⁹; having regard to the Meseberg declaration by the president of France, Emmanuel Macron, and the chancellor of Germany, Angela Merkel, of 19 June 2018 to put in place transnational lists for European elections as of 2024¹⁰,*

Amendment

– having regard to the *remarks* by the President of the European Council, Donald Tusk, *following the informal meeting of the 27 heads of state or government* on 23 February 2018,

Amendment 14

Geert Bourgeois

Draft opinion

Citation 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

– *having regard to the ‘new strategic agenda 2019-2024’ of the European Council,*

Amendment

⁹ <https://www.consilium.europa.eu/en/meetings/european-council/2018/02/23>

¹⁰ <https://archiv.bundesregierung.de/archiv-de/meta/startseite/meseberg-declaration-1140806>

Amendment 15
Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Draft opinion
Citation 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- *having regard to the lessons learnt with Brexit where many citizens voted to leave due to the fast integration strategy that the EU is following,*

Or. en

Amendment 16
Daniel Freund
Damian Boeselager
Gwendoline Delbos-Corfield
Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion
Citation 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- *having regard to the Franco-German non-paper on key questions and guidelines on the Conference on the Future of Europe discussed at the General Affairs Council meeting on 25 November 2019,*

Or. en

Amendment 17
Pascal Durand

Draft opinion
Citation 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- *having regard to the Franco-German non-paper on the Conference on the Future of Europe - key questions and*

guidelines,

Or. en

Amendment 18
Daniel Freund
Damian Boeselager
Gwendoline Delbos-Corfield
Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion
Citation 5 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

– *having regard to the Political Guidelines of the European Commission and the mission letters of Commissioners,*

Or. en

Amendment 19
Pedro Silva Pereira

Draft opinion
Citation 6

Draft opinion

Amendment

– *having regard to Rule 132 of its Rules of Procedure*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 20
Danuta Maria Hübner

Draft opinion
Citation 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

– *having regard to the Meseberg Declaration by the president of France,*

Emmanuel Macron, and the chancellor of Germany, Angela Merkel, of 19 June 2018;

Or. en

Amendment 21
Pedro Silva Pereira

Draft opinion
Recital A

Draft opinion

Amendment

A. whereas the Rules of Procedure of the European Parliament as modified in July 2019 which, in its Annex VI, Point 18, establishes AFCO exclusive competence for all matters related to the institutional aspects of the European integration process;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 22
Raphaël Glucksmann, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion
Recital -A (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

-A. Whereas the 2019 European Elections has delivered a large pro-European majority, thereby showing that most EU citizens are in favour of a stronger and more united Europe;

Or. en

Amendment 23
Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Draft opinion

Recital A a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Aa. whereas the Conference on the Future of Europe should take into account all national sensitivities, not only those willing to make more steps towards more integration;

Or. en

**Amendment 24
Pedro Silva Pereira**

**Draft opinion
Recital B**

Draft opinion

Amendment

B. whereas a commitment to integrate the institutional ideas of the above mentioned reports is of utmost importance for the European Parliament;

deleted

Or. en

**Amendment 25
Sven Simon
Rainer Wieland
László Trócsányi
Loránt Vincze
Kinga Gál
Paulo Rangel**

**Draft opinion
Recital B**

Draft opinion

Amendment

B. whereas a commitment to integrate the institutional ideas of the above mentioned reports is of utmost importance for the European Parliament;

B. whereas a commitment to integrate institutional ideas of the above mentioned reports is of utmost importance for the European Parliament;

Or. en

Amendment 26
Helmut Scholz
Martina Anderson

Draft opinion
Recital B

Draft opinion

B. whereas *a commitment to integrate the institutional ideas of the above mentioned reports is of utmost importance for the European Parliament;*

Amendment

B. whereas *the institutional ideas of the above mentioned reports should be part of the discussion; however, underlines that the priorities of the citizens should drive the agenda;*

Or. en

Amendment 27
Geert Bourgeois

Draft opinion
Recital B

Draft opinion

B. Whereas *a commitment to integrate the institutional ideas of the above mentioned reports is of utmost importance for the European Parliament;*

Amendment

B. Whereas the above mentioned reports *do not necessarily have the support of the majority of the current European Parliament, which has more than 50% new members who did not have the opportunity to give their opinion on these reports; whereas an open and thorough debate on the ideas and proposals in the mentioned reports is an absolute necessity when striving for a more democratic European Union;*

Or. en

Amendment 28
Domènec Ruiz Devesa
Juan Fernando López Aguilar
Raphaël Glucksmann

Draft opinion
Recital B a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Ba. Whereas the Eurobarometer surveys confirm that support for the EU and the Euro is at its highest level since the Brexit referendum of June 2016;

Or. en

Amendment 29
Pedro Silva Pereira

Draft opinion
Recital C

Draft opinion

Amendment

C. whereas the President-elect of the European Commission proposed in her political guidelines for the next European Commission 2019-2024 a Conference on the Future of Europe in order to give a say to the citizens; whereas the role of the European Parliament in the preparation of the scope and objectives of the Conference is clearly recalled, and the position of Chair of the Conference belonging to a member of the European Parliament is well-stated;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 30
Helmut Scholz
Martina Anderson

Draft opinion
Recital C

Draft opinion

Amendment

C. whereas the President-elect of the European Commission proposed in her

C. whereas the President-elect of the European Commission proposed in her

political guidelines for the next European Commission 2019-2024 a Conference on the Future of Europe in order to give a say to the citizens; whereas the role of the European Parliament in the preparation of the scope and objectives of the Conference is clearly recalled, and the position of **Chair of** the Conference belonging to a member of the European Parliament is well-stated;

political guidelines for the next European Commission 2019-2024 a Conference on the Future of Europe in order to give a say to the citizens; whereas the role of the European Parliament in the preparation of the scope and objectives of the Conference is clearly recalled, and the position of **chairing** the Conference belonging to **two or a small gender-balanced group of** members of the European Parliament is well-stated;

Or. en

Amendment 31 **Geert Bourgeois**

Draft opinion **Recital C**

Draft opinion

C. Whereas the President-**elect** of the European Commission proposed in her political guidelines for the next European Commission 2019-2024 a Conference on the Future of Europe in order to give a say to the citizens; whereas the role of the European Parliament in the preparation of the scope and objectives of the Conference is clearly recalled, **the position of Chair of Conference belonging to a member of the European Parliament;**

Amendment

C. Whereas the President of the European Commission proposed in her political guidelines for the next European Commission 2019-2024 a Conference on the Future of Europe in order to give a say to the citizens; whereas the role of the European Parliament in the preparation of the scope and objectives of the Conference is clearly recalled, and **should the European Parliament reach a majority to put forward a member to chair the Conference, the President of the European Commission stated she is open to this proposal;**

Or. en

Amendment 32 **Domènec Ruiz Devesa** **Domènec Ruiz Devesa**

Draft opinion **Recital C a (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

C a. Whereas citizen's dialogue and consultations on the future of the EU have been conducted in several Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 33

Daniel Freund

Damian Boeselager

Gwendoline Delbos-Corfield

Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion

Recital C

Draft opinion

C. whereas the ***President-elect*** of the European Commission proposed in her political guidelines for the next European Commission 2019-2024 a Conference on the Future of Europe in order to give a say to the citizens; whereas the role of the European Parliament in the preparation of the scope and objectives of the Conference is clearly recalled, and the position of Chair of the Conference belonging to a member of the European Parliament is well-stated;

Amendment

C. whereas the ***President*** of the European Commission proposed in her political guidelines for the next European Commission 2019-2024 a Conference on the Future of Europe in order to give a say to the citizens; whereas the role of the European Parliament in the preparation of the scope and objectives of the Conference is clearly recalled, and the position of Chair of the Conference belonging to a member of the European Parliament is well-stated;

Or. en

Amendment 34

Gabriele Bischoff

Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Raphaël Glucksmann

Draft opinion

Recital C

Draft opinion

C. whereas the ***President-elect*** of the European Commission proposed in her political guidelines for the next European Commission 2019-2024 a Conference on the Future of Europe in order to give a say to the citizens; ***whereas the role of the European Parliament in the preparation of the scope and objectives of the Conference is clearly recalled, and the position of Chair of the Conference belonging to a member of the European Parliament is well-stated;***

Amendment

C. whereas the ***President*** of the European Commission proposed in her political guidelines for the next European Commission 2019-2024 ***the organisation of*** a Conference on the Future of Europe in order to give a say to the citizens ***that brings together citizens, including a significant role for young people, civil society and European institutions as equal partners with a clear scope and clear objectives agreed between the Parliament, the Council and the Commission; whereas the European Parliament as the only directly elected European institution by European citizens should endorse a leading role in this Conference;***

Or. en

Amendment 35
Gabriele Bischoff

Draft opinion
Recital D

Draft opinion

D. whereas the Conference on the Future of Europe will certainly have an impact in every policy of the Union and encompass a broad variety of topics; whereas the Parliamentary Committees in the Parliament have an expertise and shall be consulted during the work of the Conference in their respective fields;

Amendment

D. whereas the Parliamentary Committees in the Parliament have an expertise and shall be consulted during the work of the Conference in their respective fields;

Or. en

Amendment 36
Sven Simon
Rainer Wieland
Othmar Karas
Loránt Vincze

Vladimír Bilčík
Brice Hortefeux
Danuta Maria Hübner
Paulo Rangel

Draft opinion
Recital D

Draft opinion

D. whereas the Conference on the Future of Europe will ***certainly*** have an impact in every policy of the Union and encompass a broad variety of topics; whereas the Parliamentary Committees in the Parliament have an expertise and shall be consulted during the work of the Conference in their respective fields;

Amendment

D. whereas the Conference on the Future of Europe ***focussing on developing concrete proposals to strengthen the EU's unity and capacity to act as a sovereign player on the world stage*** will have an impact in every policy of the Union and encompass a broad variety of topics; whereas the Parliamentary Committees in the Parliament have an expertise and shall be consulted during the work of the Conference in their respective fields;

Or. en

Amendment 37
Geert Bourgeois

Draft opinion
Recital D

Draft opinion

D. whereas the Conference on the Future of Europe ***will certainly*** have an impact ***in every policy*** of the Union and encompass a broad variety of topics; whereas the Parliamentary Committees in the Parliament have an expertise and shall be consulted during the work of the Conference in their respective fields;

Amendment

D. whereas the Conference on the Future of Europe ***could potentially*** have an impact ***on diverse policy areas*** of the Union and encompass a broad variety of topics, ***without pre-empting the outcome of the Conference***; whereas the Parliamentary Committees in the Parliament have an expertise and shall be consulted during the work of the Conference in their respective fields;

Or. en

Amendment 38
Domènec Ruiz Devesa
Juan Fernando López Aguilar

Draft opinion
Recital D a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

D a. Whereas Europe is facing difficult internal challenges and external threats, while the Union is not always perceived as close to ordinary citizens and their concerns;

Or. en

Amendment 39
Geert Bourgeois

Draft opinion
Recital D a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

D a. whereas national parliaments have an essential role in securing the democratic legitimacy of the European Union, in promoting its pluralism and diversity and in granting its constitutional functioning; whereas parliamentary assemblies of communities and regions may act as components of the national parliamentary system or chambers of the national parliament; whereas article 4, par. 2, TEU states that the EU shall respect the national identities of the Member States, inherent in their fundamental structures, political and constitutional, inclusive of regional and local self-government;

Or. en

Amendment 40
Pedro Silva Pereira

Draft opinion
Recital E

Draft opinion

Amendment

E. whereas the timeframe proposed by President-elect of the European Commission would entail the start of the Conference in early 2020 and the delivery of its first results on a new push for European democracy by the summer of 2020 by coming forward with proposals improving the lead candidate system and addressing the issue of transnational lists in view of the next 2024 European elections;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 41
Daniel Freund
Damian Boeselager
Gwendoline Delbos-Corfield

Draft opinion
Recital E

Draft opinion

Amendment

E. whereas the timeframe proposed by **President-elect** of the European Commission would entail the start of the Conference in early 2020 and the delivery of its first results on a new push for European democracy by the summer of 2020 by coming forward with proposals improving the lead candidate system and addressing the issue of transnational lists in view of the next 2024 European elections;

E. whereas the timeframe proposed by **President** of the European Commission would entail the start of the Conference in early 2020 and the delivery of its first results on a new push for European democracy by the summer of 2020 by coming forward with proposals improving the lead candidate system and addressing the issue of transnational lists in view of the next 2024 European elections;

Or. en

Amendment 42
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Draft opinion
Recital E

Draft opinion

E. whereas the timeframe proposed by President-elect of the European Commission would entail the start of the Conference in early 2020 and the delivery of its first results on a new push for European democracy by the summer of 2020 by coming forward with proposals **improving** the lead candidate system and addressing the issue of transnational lists in view of the next 2024 European elections;

Amendment

E. whereas the timeframe proposed by President-elect of the European Commission would entail the start of the Conference in early 2020 and the delivery of its first results on a new push for European democracy by the summer of 2020 by coming forward with proposals **to reform** the lead candidate system and addressing the issue of transnational lists in view of the next 2024 European elections;

Or. en

Amendment 43
Gerolf Annemans

Draft opinion
Recital E

Draft opinion

E. whereas the timeframe proposed by President-elect of the European Commission would entail the start of the Conference in early 2020 **and the delivery of its first results on a new push for European democracy by the summer of 2020 by coming forward with proposals improving the lead candidate system and addressing the issue of transnational lists in view of the next 2024 European elections;**

Amendment

E. whereas the timeframe proposed by President-elect of the European Commission would entail the start of the Conference in early 2020;

Or. en

Amendment 44
Gabriele Bischoff
Domènec Ruiz Devesa
Raphaël Glucksmann

Draft opinion

Recital E

Draft opinion

E. whereas the timeframe ***proposed*** by ***President-elect*** of the European Commission would entail the start of the Conference in early 2020 ***and the delivery of its first results on a new push for European democracy by the summer of 2020 by coming forward with proposals improving the lead candidate system and addressing the issue of transnational lists in view of the next 2024 European elections;***

Amendment

E. whereas the timeframe ***presented*** by the ***President*** of the European Commission would entail the start of the Conference in early 2020 ***with a duration of 2 years until 2022 thereby respecting the given deadlines to ensure the application of the lead candidate system and the introduction of transnational lists for the next European elections in 2024; this might entail interim-solutions below the level of treaty changes;***

Or. en

Amendment 45 Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Draft opinion Recital E

Draft opinion

E. whereas the timeframe proposed by President-elect of the European Commission would entail the start of the Conference in early 2020 and the delivery of its first results on a new push for European democracy by the summer of 2020 ***by coming forward with proposals improving the lead candidate system and addressing the issue of transnational lists in view of the next 2024 European elections;***

Amendment

E. whereas the timeframe proposed by President-elect of the European Commission would entail the start of the Conference in early 2020 and the delivery of its first results on a new push for European democracy by the summer of 2020;

Or. en

Amendment 46 Vladimír Bilčík Paulo Rangel

Draft opinion Recital E

Draft opinion

E. whereas the timeframe proposed by President-elect of the European Commission would entail the start of the Conference in early 2020 and ***the delivery of its first results on a new push for European democracy by the summer of 2020 by coming forward with proposals improving the lead candidate system and addressing the issue of*** transnational lists in view of the next 2024 European elections;

Amendment

E. whereas the timeframe proposed by President-elect of the European Commission would entail the start of the Conference in early 2020 and ***deliver*** a new push for European democracy by ***debating*** the lead candidate system and transnational lists in view of the next 2024 European elections;

Or. en

Amendment 47

Sven Simon

László Trócsányi

Loránt Vincze

Kinga Gál

Brice Hortefeux

Danuta Maria Hübner

Draft opinion

Recital E

Draft opinion

E. whereas the timeframe proposed by President-elect of the European Commission would entail the start of the Conference in early 2020 and the delivery of its first results ***on a new push for European democracy*** by the summer of 2020 by coming forward with proposals improving the lead candidate system and addressing the issue of transnational lists in view of the next 2024 European elections;

Amendment

E. whereas the timeframe proposed by President-elect of the European Commission would entail the start of the Conference in early 2020 and the delivery of first results by the summer of 2020 by coming forward with proposals improving the lead candidate system and addressing the issue of transnational lists in view of the next 2024 European elections;

Or. en

Amendment 48

Helmut Scholz

Draft opinion
Recital E

Draft opinion

E. whereas the timeframe proposed by President-elect of the European Commission would entail the start of the Conference in early 2020 and the delivery of its first results on a new push for European democracy by the summer of 2020 by coming forward with proposals improving the ***lead candidate system and addressing the issue of transnational lists*** in view of the next 2024 European elections;

Amendment

E. whereas the timeframe proposed by President-elect of the European Commission would entail the start of the Conference in early 2020 and the delivery of its first results on a new push for European democracy by the summer of 2020 by coming forward with proposals improving the ***EU-wide electoral legislation*** in view of the next 2024 European elections;

Or. en

Amendment 49
Geert Bourgeois

Draft opinion
Recital E

Draft opinion

E. whereas the timeframe proposed by President-elect of the European Commission would entail the start of the Conference in early 2020 and the delivery of its first results on a new push for democracy by the summer of 2020 ***by coming forward with proposals improving the lead candidate system and addressing the issue of transnational lists in view of the next 2024 European elections;***

Amendment

E. whereas the timeframe proposed by President-elect of the European Commission would entail the start of the Conference in early 2020 and the delivery of its first results on a new push for democracy by the summer of 2020; ***whereas more should be done to respect the irreplaceable constitutional function of national parliaments, as they contribute to the establishment of a European political space;***

Or. en

Amendment 50
Danuta Maria Hübner

Draft opinion
Recital E a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

E a. whereas the lead candidate process fosters the political awareness of European citizens in the run-up to the European elections and reinforces the political legitimacy of both Parliament and the Commission by connecting their respective elections more directly to the choice of the voters and should therefore become an institutional practice;

Or. en

Amendment 51
Pedro Silva Pereira

Draft opinion
Recital F

Draft opinion

Amendment

F. whereas there is a need to tackle new societal and transnational challenges, as identified by the President-Elect of the European Commission in her Six Priorities, and not entirely foreseen at the time of the Lisbon Treaty;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 52
Daniel Freund
Damian Boeselager
Gwendoline Delbos-Corfield
Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion
Recital F

Draft opinion

Amendment

F. whereas there is a need to tackle new societal and transnational challenges, as identified by the *President-Elect* of the

F. whereas there is a need to tackle new societal and transnational challenges, as identified by the *President* of the

European Commission in her Six Priorities, and not entirely foreseen at the time of the Lisbon Treaty;

European Commission in her Six Priorities, and not entirely foreseen at the time of the *adoption of the* Lisbon Treaty;

Or. en

Amendment 53
Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Draft opinion
Recital F

Draft opinion

F. whereas there is a need to tackle new societal and transnational challenges, *as identified by the President-Elect of the European Commission in her Six Priorities, and not entirely foreseen at the time of the Lisbon Treaty;*

Amendment

F. whereas there is a need to tackle new societal and transnational challenges;

Or. en

Amendment 54
Helmut Scholz
Martina Anderson

Draft opinion
Recital F

Draft opinion

F. whereas there is a need to tackle new societal and transnational challenges, *as*-identified by the President-Elect of the European Commission in her Six Priorities, and not entirely foreseen at the time of the Lisbon Treaty;

Amendment

F. whereas there is a need to tackle new societal and transnational challenges, *including those* identified by the President-Elect of the European Commission in her Six Priorities, and not entirely foreseen at the time of the Lisbon Treaty;

Or. en

Amendment 55
Geert Bourgeois

Draft opinion
Recital F

Draft opinion

F. *whereas there is a need to tackle new societal and transnational challenges, as identified by the President-Elect of the European Commission in her Six Priorities, and not entirely foreseen at the time of the Lisbon Treaty;*

Amendment

F. *whereas the Union faces both internal and external challenges and shocks; whereas the economic engine is under pressure and, as a result, the EU is confronted with a number of major socio-economic challenges and threats; whereas the Union needs to address important challenges where it has added value, such as security, defence, migration and climate change, and to enhance its actions in other areas such as research and innovation; whereas resources are limited and there is a clear need to reflect on how to prioritise activities and to use available resources more efficiently;*

Or. en

Amendment 56
Geert Bourgeois

Draft opinion
Recital F a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

F a. *whereas the European Union is based on the Rule of law, which in particular means that every action taken by the EU is founded on the Treaties that have been approved voluntarily and democratically by all EU Member States; whereas the limits of Union competences are governed by the principle of conferral and the use of Union competences is governed by the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality; whereas there is a need to improve current EU-policymaking, allowing national parliaments and regional authorities with legislative powers to make a more effective contribution to EU policy and*

legislation;

Or. en

Amendment 57
Vladimír Bilčík
Paulo Rangel

Draft opinion
Recital F a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

F a. whereas the Union is facing both internal and external challenges;

Or. en

Amendment 58
Vladimír Bilčík
Paulo Rangel

Draft opinion
Recital F b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

F b. whereas the Union has overcome a number of important crises, demonstrating that reform processes lie ahead in multiple governance areas;

Or. en

Amendment 59
Pedro Silva Pereira

Draft opinion
Recital G

Draft opinion

Amendment

G. whereas this Conference is an occasion to integrate the citizens into a

deleted

process leading to propose the concrete institutional and constitutional reforms the European Union has to undertake in order to be more democratic, more efficient, more transparent and foster a Union closer to its citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 60

Loránt Vincze

on behalf of the PPE Group

Draft opinion

Recital G

Draft opinion

G. whereas this Conference is an occasion to integrate the citizens into a process leading to propose the concrete institutional and constitutional reforms the European Union has to undertake in order to be more democratic, more efficient, more transparent and foster a Union closer to its citizens;

Amendment

G. whereas this Conference is an occasion to integrate the citizens into a process leading to propose the concrete institutional and constitutional reforms the European Union has to undertake in order to be **stronger**, more democratic, more efficient, more transparent and foster a Union closer to its citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 61

Helmut Scholz

Martina Anderson

Draft opinion

Recital G

Draft opinion

G. whereas this Conference is an occasion to integrate the citizens into a process leading to propose the concrete institutional and constitutional reforms the European Union has to undertake in order to be **more** democratic, **more** efficient, **more** transparent and foster a Union closer

Amendment

G. whereas this Conference is an occasion to integrate the citizens into a process leading to propose the concrete institutional and constitutional reforms the European Union has to undertake in order **to guarantee** to be democratic, **accountable**, efficient, transparent and

to its citizens;

foster a Union closer to its citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 62
Geert Bourgeois

Draft opinion
Recital G

Draft opinion

G. whereas this Conference is an occasion to **integrate** the citizens into a process leading to propose **the concrete institutional and constitutional** reforms the European Union **has to** undertake in order to be more democratic, more efficient, more transparent and foster a Union closer to its citizens;

Amendment

G. whereas this Conference is an occasion to **involve** the citizens into a process **potentially** leading to propose reforms the European Union **can** undertake in order to be more democratic, more efficient, more transparent and foster a Union closer to its citizens; **whereas, according to article 10, par. 1, TEU, the functioning of the European Union shall be founded on representative democracy; whereas the national parliaments and the European Parliament are the natural representatives of the European citizens;**

Or. en

Amendment 63
László Trócsányi
Kinga Gál
Sven Simon
Loránt Vincze

Draft opinion
Recital G

Draft opinion

G. whereas this Conference is an occasion to integrate the citizens into a process leading to propose the concrete institutional and constitutional reforms the European Union has to undertake in order to be more democratic, more efficient, more transparent and foster a Union closer

Amendment

G. whereas this Conference is an occasion to integrate the citizens **and Member States** into a process leading to propose the concrete institutional and constitutional reforms the European Union has to undertake in order to be more democratic, more efficient, more

to its citizens;

transparent and foster a Union closer to its citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 64
Maria Grapini
Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion
Recital G

Draft opinion

G. whereas this Conference is an occasion to integrate the citizens into a process leading to propose the concrete institutional and constitutional reforms the European Union has to undertake in order to be more democratic, more efficient, more transparent, and foster a Union closer to its citizens;

Amendment

G. whereas this Conference is an occasion to integrate the citizens into a process leading to propose the concrete institutional and constitutional reforms the European Union has to undertake in order to be more democratic, more efficient, more transparent, **more solidary, more powerful** and foster a Union closer to its citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 65
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Draft opinion
Recital G

Draft opinion

G. whereas this Conference is an occasion to integrate the citizens into a process leading to propose the concrete institutional and constitutional reforms the European Union has to undertake in order to be more democratic, more efficient, more transparent and foster a Union closer to its citizens;

Amendment

G. whereas this Conference is an occasion to **fully** integrate the citizens into a process leading to propose the concrete institutional and constitutional reforms the European Union has to undertake in order to be more democratic, more efficient, more transparent and foster a Union closer to its citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 66
Gabriele Bischoff

Draft opinion
Recital G

Draft opinion

G. whereas this Conference is an occasion to integrate *the* citizens into a process *leading to propose the* concrete institutional and constitutional reforms the European Union *has to undertake in order* to be more democratic, more efficient, more transparent and *foster a Union* closer to its citizens;

Amendment

G. whereas this Conference is an occasion to integrate citizens into a process *that does not exclude* concrete institutional and constitutional reforms *of* the European Union to be more democratic, more efficient, more transparent and closer to its citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 67
Daniel Freund
Damian Boeselager
Gwendoline Delbos-Corfield
Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion
Recital G a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

G a. whereas the Conference provides the opportunity to integrate the political enthusiasm and the willingness of youth to take part in the public and political debate about the European project;

Or. en

Amendment 68
Gerolf Annemans

Draft opinion
Recital H

Draft opinion

Amendment

H. *whereas the Conference should be inspired by the “ever closer union” principle;* **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 69
Pedro Silva Pereira

Draft opinion
Recital H

Draft opinion

Amendment

H. *whereas the Conference should be inspired by the “ever closer union” principle;* **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 70
Geert Bourgeois

Draft opinion
Recital H

Draft opinion

Amendment

H. *whereas the Conference should be inspired by the “ever closer union” principle;*

H. *whereas the Conference should be inspired by the **EU motto “united in diversity”;***

Or. en

Amendment 71
Sven Simon
Rainer Wieland
László Trócsányi
Othmar Karas
Loránt Vincze
Vladimír Bilčík

Kinga Gál
Brice Hortefeux

Draft opinion
Recital H

Draft opinion

H. whereas the Conference should be inspired by the “ever closer union” principle;

Amendment

H. whereas the Conference should be inspired by the “ever closer union” principle, ***in those policies in which the EU’s capability to act needs urgent improvement;***

Or. en

Amendment 72
Martina Anderson, Helmut Scholz

Draft opinion
Recital H

Draft opinion

H. whereas the Conference should be inspired by the “ever closer union” principle;

Amendment

H. whereas the Conference should be inspired by ***the need to ensure that the EU serves the interests of the people by making it more social, more ecological and more democratic;***

Or. en

Amendment 73
Raphaël Glucksmann, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion
Recital H

Draft opinion

H. whereas the Conference should be inspired by the “ever closer union” principle;

Amendment

H. whereas the Conference should be inspired by the “ever closer union” principle, ***with a view to making the EU more effective, democratic, transparent, united and sovereign;***

Amendment 74
László Trócsányi
Kinga Gál
Sven Simon
Loránt Vincze

Draft opinion
Recital H

Draft opinion

H. whereas the Conference should be inspired by the “ever closer union” principle;

Amendment

H. whereas the Conference should be inspired by the “ever closer union” principle ***and having regard to the fact that one aim of the integration is the process of creating an ever closer union among the peoples of Europe but Member States remain the ones who confer competences to attain objectives they have in common;***

Or. en

Amendment 75
Maria Grapini
Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion
Recital H

Draft opinion

H. whereas the Conference should be inspired by the “ever closer union” principle;

Amendment

H. whereas the Conference should be inspired by the “ever closer union” principle, ***in order to strengthen democracy and democratic processes and to develop the feeling of belonging to a common European space;***

Or. en

Amendment 76
Daniel Freund
Damian Boeselager
Gwendoline Delbos-Corfield
Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion
Recital H

Draft opinion

H. whereas *the Conference should be inspired by the “ever closer union” principle*;

Amendment

H. whereas *the first principle of European integration since the creation of the European Economic Community in 1957, and subsequently reconfirmed unanimously by all heads of state and government as well as all national parliaments of member states during each round of successive integration and changes to the treaties has always been the creation of an “ever closer union” among the people of Europe*;

Or. en

Amendment 77
Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Draft opinion
Recital H

Draft opinion

H. whereas the Conference should be inspired by the “**ever closer union**” *principle*;

Amendment

H. whereas the Conference should be inspired by the “*voluntary cooperation*” *principle and by the respect of Member states national sovereignty*;

Or. en

Amendment 78
Gerolf Annemans

Draft opinion
Recital H a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Ha. whereas this conference must be as open as possible, both in its participants and in its debates, and whereas its conclusions must not be pre-empted by calls for more federalism but on the contrary that the intergovernmental path be truly explored;

Or. en

Amendment 79

László TrócsányiKinga GálSven SimonLoránt Vincze

Draft opinion

Recital H a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Ha. whereas proper inclusion of the Member States is essential with regard to their role as the Masters of the Treaties;

Or. en

Amendment 80

Danuta Maria Hübner, Paulo Rangel

Draft opinion

Recital H a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

H a. whereas the Conference is an opportunity to enhance the unity of the Member States and to reinvigorate the liberal democracy;

Or. en

Amendment 81
Danuta Maria Hübner, Paulo Rangel

Draft opinion
Recital H b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

H b. whereas the Conference is an opportunity to build bridges between the institutions and the citizens by proposing an agenda for a more inclusive and more united EU that supports the objectives of the Union and ensures the right balance between EU policies in the interest of citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 82
Alexander Alexandrov Yordanov

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

Amendment

1. Welcomes the proposal for a Conference on the Future of Europe and believes that, ten years after the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty, it is ***high*** time to give ***European*** citizens the opportunity to debate ***and*** build together the future of the European Union ***they*** want to live in;

1. Welcomes the proposal for a Conference on the Future of Europe and believes that, ten years after the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty, it is ***the appropriate*** time to give ***again our*** citizens the opportunity to debate ***on how to*** build together the future of the European Union ***we*** want to live in;

Or. en

Amendment 83
Mairead McGuinness

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Welcomes the proposal for a Conference on the Future of Europe and believes that, ten years after the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty, it is **high** time to give European citizens **the** opportunity to debate and build together the future of the European Union they want to live in;

Amendment

1. Welcomes the proposal for a Conference on the Future of Europe and believes that, ten years after the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty, it is time to give European citizens **a renewed** opportunity to debate and build together the future of the European Union they want to live in; **welcomes that voter turnout at the 2019 European Parliament elections increased by 8.06 percentage points to 50.66%, demonstrating citizen engagement and interest; believes the aim should be to engage citizens not only on a single occasion but on a continuous basis for the long-term;**

Or. en

Amendment 84
Loránt Vincze

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Welcomes the proposal for a Conference on the Future of Europe and believes that, **ten years after** the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty, **it is high time to give European citizens the opportunity to debate** and build together the future of the European Union they want to live in;

Amendment

1. Welcomes the proposal for a Conference on the Future of Europe and believes that **the Conference is an excellent opportunity to identify and recognize what the EU does well and what it needs to do better. Is of the opinion that the ten years that have passed since the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty already provide a good indication of the areas demanding political and institutional reform in order to make the Union stronger, more efficient and more democratic. Welcomes the opportunity given to European citizens to debate** and build together the future of the European

Union they want to live in;

Or. en

Amendment 85
Danuta Maria Hübner, Paulo Rangel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Welcomes the proposal for a Conference on the Future of Europe and believes that, ten years after the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty, it is high time to give European citizens the opportunity to debate and build together the future of the European Union they want to live in;

Amendment

1. Welcomes the proposal for a Conference on the Future of Europe and believes that, ten years after the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty, it is high time to give European citizens the opportunity to ***have a profound*** debate and build together the future of the European Union ***that*** they want to live in ***and shape it together***;

Or. en

Amendment 86
Geert Bourgeois

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Welcomes the proposal for a Conference on the Future of Europe and believes that, ***ten years after the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty***, it is ***high*** time to give European citizens the opportunity to debate and build together the future of the European Union they want to live in;

Amendment

1. Welcomes the proposal for a Conference on the Future of Europe and believes that, ***bearing in mind the outcome of the Brexit-referendum and recent electoral trends***, it is time to give European citizens the opportunity to debate and build together the future of the European Union they want to live in;

Or. en

Amendment 87
Gabriele Bischoff
Domènec Ruiz Devesa
Pedro Silva Pereira

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Welcomes the proposal for a Conference on the Future of Europe and believes that, ten years after the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty, it is high time to **give European citizens the opportunity to debate and build together the future of the European Union they want to live in;**

Amendment

1. Welcomes the proposal for a Conference on the Future of Europe and believes that, ten years after the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty, it is high time to **put Europe back on track by increasing its capacity to act. The best way to achieve this is a bottom-up-approach where European citizens get engaged in a meaningful dialogue on the future of the European Union. This procedure should include a clear set of objectives and a mechanism to take into account the outcome of the discussions taking place amongst citizens;**

Or. en

Amendment 88
Maria Grapini
Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Welcomes the proposal for a Conference on the Future of Europe and believes that, ten years after the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty, it is high time to give European citizens the opportunity to debate and build together the future of the European Union they want to live in;

Amendment

1. Welcomes the proposal for a Conference on the Future of Europe and believes that, ten years after the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty, it is high time to give European citizens the opportunity to debate **and to propose the new measures** and build together the future of the European Union they want to live in;

Or. en

Amendment 89
Pedro Silva Pereira

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Welcomes that the President of the European Commission proposed in her political guidelines for the next European Commission 2019-2024 a Conference on the Future of Europe which will give citizens a say in the European political debate; agrees with the President of the European Commission in giving to the European Parliament in the preparation of the scope and objectives of the Conference is clearly recalled, and in giving the position of Chair of the Conference to a member of the European Parliament;

Or. en

Amendment 90
Alexander Alexandrov Yordanov

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

Amendment

2. Stresses ***the fact*** that the Conference ***must go*** beyond ***an*** inter-institutional ***discussion***; believes that there should be an inclusive approach based on age, gender and geographically balanced participation ***in different pillars, of*** Members of the European Parliament, Members of national Parliaments, the European Commission, the Council, ***civil society***, social partners ***and*** citizens;

2. Stresses ***on the need*** that the Conference ***goes*** beyond ***a mere*** inter-institutional ***agreement***; believes that there should be an inclusive approach based on age, gender and geographically balanced participation ***in its various panels with the inclusion of all stakeholders***: Members of the European Parliament, Members of national Parliaments, the European Commission, the Council, ***NGOs***, social partners, citizens, ***media, etc.***;

Amendment 91
Rainer Wieland

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Stresses the fact that the Conference must go beyond an inter-institutional discussion; ***believes that there should be an inclusive approach based on age, gender and geographically balanced participation in different pillars, of*** Members of the European Parliament, Members of national Parliaments, the European Commission, the Council, civil society, social partners ***and*** citizens;

Amendment

2. Stresses the fact that the Conference must go beyond an inter-institutional discussion; ***considers that in order to ensure a broad participation and representativity, it should include*** Members of the European Parliament, Members of national Parliaments, ***Members of regional Parliaments with legislative power***, the European Commission, the Council, ***the Committee of Regions, the European Economic and Social Committee as well as all levels of governance, organisations of*** civil society ***with a sustainable and member-based approach***, social partners ***as well as*** citizens;

Amendment 92
Vladimír Bilčík, Esteban González Pons, Kinga Gál, Brice Hortefeux, Danuta Maria Hübner, Othmar Karas, Paulo Rangel, Sven Simon, László Trócsányi, Loránt Vincze, Rainer Wieland

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Stresses the fact that the Conference must go beyond an inter-institutional discussion; believes that there should be an inclusive approach based on age, gender and geographically balanced

Amendment

2. Stresses the fact that the Conference must go beyond an inter-institutional discussion; believes that ***in order to ensure a broad consultation*** there should be an inclusive approach based on

participation *in different pillars*, of Members of the European Parliament, Members of national Parliaments, the European Commission, the Council, civil society, social partners and citizens;

age, gender and geographically balanced participation, of Members of the European Parliament, Members of national Parliaments, the European Commission, the Council, civil society, *academia*, social partners and citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 93
Pedro Silva Pereira

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Stresses the fact that the Conference must go *beyond an inter-institutional discussion*; *believes that there should be an inclusive approach based on age, gender and geographically balanced participation in different pillars, of Members of the European Parliament, Members of national Parliaments, the European Commission, the Council, civil society, social partners and citizens*;

Amendment

2. Stresses the fact that the Conference must go *organized by the European Parliament together with the European Commission and the European Council*; *notes that the setup of the decision-making process of the Conference will be decided in close cooperation with the Commission and the Council at a later stages*;

Or. en

Amendment 94
Mairead McGuinness

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Stresses the fact that the Conference must go beyond an inter-institutional discussion; believes that there should be an inclusive approach based on age, gender and geographically balanced participation in different pillars, of

Amendment

2. Stresses the fact that the Conference must go beyond an inter-institutional discussion; believes that there should be an inclusive approach based on age, gender, *socio-economic background, disability, religion, ethnic background* and

Members of the European Parliament, Members of national Parliaments, the European Commission, the Council, civil society, social partners and citizens;

geographically balanced participation in different pillars, of Members of the European Parliament, Members of national Parliaments, the European Commission, the Council, civil society, **Article 17 TFEU dialogue partners**, social partners and citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 95
Loránt Vincze

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Stresses the fact that the Conference must go beyond an inter-institutional discussion; believes that there should be an inclusive approach based on age, gender and geographically balanced participation in different pillars, of Members of the European Parliament, Members of national Parliaments, the European Commission, the Council, civil society, social partners and citizens;

Amendment

2. Stresses the fact that the Conference must go beyond an inter-institutional discussion **and should involve citizens to the largest degree possible**; believes that there should be an inclusive approach based on age, gender and geographically balanced participation in different pillars, of Members of the European Parliament, Members of national Parliaments, the European Commission, the Council, civil society, **local and regional authorities**, social partners and citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 96
Martina Anderson, Helmut Scholz

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Stresses the fact that the Conference must go beyond an inter-

Amendment

2. Stresses the fact that the Conference must go beyond an inter-

institutional discussion; believes that there should be an inclusive approach based on age, gender and geographically balanced participation in different pillars, of Members of the European Parliament, Members of national Parliaments, the European Commission, the Council, civil society, social partners and citizens;

institutional discussion; believes that there should be an inclusive approach based on age, gender and geographically balanced participation in different pillars, of Members of the European Parliament, Members of national Parliaments, the European Commission, the Council, civil society, **regional and local actors**, social partners and citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 97 **Geert Bourgeois**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 2**

Draft opinion

2. Stresses the fact that the Conference must go beyond an inter-institutional discussion; believes that there should be an inclusive approach, ***based on age, gender and geographically balanced participation in different pillars, of Members of the European Parliament, Members of national Parliaments, the European Commission, the Council, civil society, social partners and citizens;***

Amendment

2. Stresses the fact that the Conference must go beyond an inter-institutional discussion; believes that there should be an inclusive ***and transparant*** approach ***which guarantees a pluralistic representation ensuring diversity of opinions and freedom of expression; reiterates that the national parliaments and the European parliament are the natural representatives of the European citizens; notes that a minority position in one parliament can match a majority position in another;***

Or. en

Amendment 98 **Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 2**

Draft opinion

2. Stresses the fact that the Conference must go beyond an inter-institutional discussion; believes that there should be an inclusive approach based on age, gender and geographically balanced participation in different pillars, of Members of the European Parliament, Members of national Parliaments, the European Commission, the Council, civil society, social partners and citizens;

Amendment

2. ***Considers that the Conference needs to involve Parliament, Council, and Commission;*** stresses the fact that the Conference must go beyond an inter-institutional discussion; believes that there should be an inclusive approach based on age, gender and geographically balanced participation in different pillars, of Members of the European Parliament (***44 participants***), Members of national Parliaments (***56 participants, two per Member State***), the European Commission (***three participants***), the Council (***three participants***), civil society, social partners and citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 99
Gabriele Bischoff
Domènec Ruiz Devesa
Raphaël Glucksmann
Pedro Silva Pereira

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Stresses the fact that the Conference must go beyond an inter-institutional discussion; believes that there should be an inclusive approach based on age, gender and geographically balanced participation ***in different pillars***, of Members of the European Parliament, Members of national Parliaments, the European Commission, the Council, civil society, social partners and citizens;

Amendment

2. Stresses the fact that the Conference must go beyond an inter-institutional discussion; believes that there should be an inclusive approach based on age, gender and geographically balanced participation of Members of the European Parliament, Members of national Parliaments, the European Commission, the Council, ***local and regional authorities***, civil society, social partners and citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 100
Gabriele Bischoff

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Stresses *the fact* that the Conference *must go beyond an inter-institutional discussion; believes that there should be an inclusive approach based on age, gender and geographically balanced participation in different pillars, of Members of the European Parliament, Members of national Parliaments, the European Commission, the Council, civil society, social partners and citizens;*

Amendment

2. Stresses that the Conference *should encompass participants of the European Parliament, European Commission, Council, Committee of Regions, the European Economic and Social Committee, National Parliaments, Civil Society, social partners and citizens. In addition to this a representative citizens' panel should be established;*

Or. en

Amendment 101
Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Stresses the fact that the Conference must go beyond an inter-institutional discussion; believes that there should be an *inclusive approach based on age, gender and geographically* balanced participation in different pillars, of Members of the European Parliament, Members of national Parliaments, the European Commission, the Council, civil society, social partners and citizens;

Amendment

2. Stresses the fact that the Conference must go beyond an inter-institutional discussion; believes that there should be an *intergenerational and geographic* balanced *approach for the* participation in *the* different pillars, *with the involvement* of Members of the European Parliament, Members of national Parliaments, the European Commission, the Council, civil society, social partners and citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 102
Daniel Freund
Damian Boeselager

Gwendoline Delbos-Corfield

Draft opinion Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Stresses the fact that the Conference must go beyond an inter-institutional discussion; believes that there should be an inclusive approach based on age, gender and geographically balanced participation in different pillars, of Members of the European Parliament, Members of national Parliaments, the European Commission, the Council, civil society, social partners and citizens;

Amendment

2. Stresses the fact that the Conference must go beyond an inter-institutional discussion; believes that there should be an inclusive approach based on age, gender, **socio-economic status** and geographically balanced participation in different pillars, of Members of the European Parliament, Members of national **and regional** Parliaments **with legislative powers**, the European Commission, the Council, civil society, social partners and citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 103 Maria Grapini Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Stresses the fact that the Conference must go beyond an inter-institutional discussion; believes that **there should be** an inclusive approach **based on** age, gender and **geographically balanced participation in** different pillars, of Members of the European Parliament, Members of national Parliaments, the European Commission, the Council, civil society, social partners and citizens;

Amendment

2. Stresses the fact that the Conference must go beyond an inter-institutional discussion; believes that an inclusive approach **can be achieved if participation is guaranteed balanced along** age, gender and **geography and through** different pillars, of Members of the European Parliament, Members of national Parliaments, the European Commission, the Council, civil society, social partners and citizens **must to be adopted a regulation on the organization and functioning of the Conference;**

Or. en

Amendment 104
Gabriele Bischoff
Domènec Ruiz Devesa
Raphaël Glucksmann
Pedro Silva Pereira

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 a. Stresses that the Conference shall adopt a format that provides for a forum for discussion with a representative participation of citizens taking into account age, gender, socio-economic background and nationality;

Or. en

Amendment 105
Pedro Silva Pereira

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Recalls that the Rules of Procedure of the European Parliament as modified in July 2019, in its Annex VI, Point 18, establishes AFCO exclusive competence for all matters related to the institutional aspects of the European integration process;

Or. en

Amendment 106
Rainer Wieland

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. **Considers that the Committee of Regions, the European Economic and Social Committee as well as all levels of governance should be included in this process;**

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 107

Alexander Alexandrov Yordanov

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 3**

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. Considers that the Committee of Regions, the European Economic and Social Committee **as well as all levels of governance should be included** in this process;

3. Considers that the Committee of **the** Regions, the European Economic and Social Committee **should be invited to take part** in this process;

Or. en

Amendment 108

Martina Anderson, Helmut Scholz

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 3**

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. **Considers** that the Committee of Regions, the European Economic and Social Committee as well as all levels of governance should be included in this process;

3. **Underlines** that the Committee of Regions, the European Economic and Social Committee as well as all levels of governance should be included in this process;

Or. en

Amendment 109
Loránt Vincze

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Considers that the Committee of Regions, the European Economic and Social Committee *as well as all levels of governance* should be included in this process;

Amendment

3. Considers that the Committee of Regions, the European Economic and Social Committee should be included in this process;

Or. en

Amendment 110
Mairead McGuinness

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Considers that the Committee of Regions, the European Economic and Social Committee as well as all levels of governance should be included in this process;

Amendment

3. Considers that the Committee of Regions, the European Economic and Social Committee *and national Parliaments*, as well as all levels of governance should be included in this process;

Or. en

Amendment 111
Geert Bourgeois

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Considers that the Committee of the Regions, the European Economic and Social Committee as well as all levels of governance should be included in this process;

Amendment

3. Considers that the Committee of the Regions, the European Economic and Social Committee as well as all levels of governance **with legislative powers** should be included in this process;

Or. en

Amendment 112

Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 3**

Draft opinion

3. Considers that the Committee of Regions, the European Economic and Social Committee as well **as all levels of governance** should be included in this process;

Amendment

3. Considers that the Committee of Regions, the European Economic and Social Committee as well should be included in this process, **with three representatives each, as well as other levels of governance;**

Or. en

Amendment 113

Othmar Karas

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 3**

Draft opinion

3. Considers that the Committee of Regions, the European Economic and Social Committee as well as all levels of governance should be included in this process;

Amendment

3. Considers that the Committee of Regions, the European Economic and Social Committee as well as all levels of governance **and the EU institution representations in the member states** should be included in this process;

Or. en

Amendment 114
Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 a. Suggests the participation in the Conference of the European Central Bank, with one representative;

Or. en

Amendment 115
Pedro Silva Pereira

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Notes that the Conference on the Future of Europe will certainly have an impact in every policy of the Union and encompass a broad variety of topics; underlines that all relevant Parliamentary Committees in the Parliament shall follow closely the work of the Conference in their respective fields;

Or. en

Amendment 116
Alexander Alexandrov Yordanov

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Believes that *citizens* participation *to* the Conference should be organised in a way that *respects representativity* in order *to ensure* that the diversity of our societies is fully represented; Believes that consultations should be organised using the most *efficient*, innovative and appropriate platforms in order to guarantee that *any* citizen can have a say *during the work of the Conference*;

Amendment

4. Believes that *citizens'* participation *in the work of* the Conference should be organised in a way that *ensures* that the diversity of our societies is fully represented; Believes that consultations should be organised *in a creative manner* using the most *effective*, innovative and appropriate platforms/*channels* in order to *engage and* guarantee that *every* citizen can have a say;

Or. en

Amendment 117
Rainer Wieland

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Believes that *citizens participation to the conference should be organised in a way that respects representativity in order to ensure that the diversity of our societies is fully represented*; believes that consultations should be organised using the most efficient, innovative and appropriate platforms *in order to guarantee that any citizen can have a say during the work of the Conference*;

Amendment

4. Believes that *any citizen should have a say during the work of the Conference, believes that the concept of "random citizens" could play a crucial role in this respect where random citizens assess and legitimate the proposals made by citizens*; believes that consultations should be organised using the most efficient, innovative and appropriate platforms;

Or. en

Amendment 118
Kinga Gál, Danuta Maria Hübner, Othmar Karas, Paulo Rangel, Sven Simon, László Trócsányi, Loránt Vincze, Rainer Wieland

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Believes that citizens participation to the conference should be organised in a way that respects representativity in order to ensure that the diversity of our societies is **fully** represented; Believes that consultations should be organised using the most efficient, innovative and appropriate platforms in order to guarantee that any citizen can have a say during the work of the Conference;

Amendment

4. Believes that citizens participation to the conference should be organised in a way that respects representativity in order to ensure that the diversity of our societies is **broadly** represented; Believes that consultations should be organised using the most efficient, innovative and appropriate platforms in order to guarantee that any citizen can have a say during the work of the Conference;

Or. en

Amendment 119
Mairead McGuinness

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Believes that citizens participation to the conference should be organised in a way that respects representativity in order to ensure that the diversity of our societies is fully represented; Believes that consultations should be organised using the most efficient, innovative and appropriate platforms in order to guarantee that any citizen can have a say during the work of the Conference;

Amendment

4. Believes that citizens participation to the conference should be organised in a way that respects representativity in order to ensure that the diversity of our societies is fully represented; Believes that consultations should be organised using the most efficient, innovative and appropriate platforms in order to guarantee that any citizen can have a say during the work of the Conference; ***considers that, while technology should play an important role, it cannot replace physical consultation; believes that the Conference should work to reach citizens where they are;***

Or. en

Amendment 120
Martina Anderson, Helmut Scholz

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Believes that citizens participation to the conference should be organised in a way that respects representativity in order to ensure that the diversity of our societies is fully represented; Believes that consultations should be organised using the most efficient, innovative and appropriate platforms in order to guarantee that any citizen can have a say during the work of the Conference;

Amendment

4. Believes that citizens participation to the conference should be organised in a way that respects representativity in order to ensure that the diversity of our societies is fully represented ***including vulnerable and marginalised groups***; Believes that consultations should be organised using the most efficient, innovative and appropriate platforms in order to guarantee that any citizen can have a say during the work of the Conference;

Or. en

Amendment 121
Geert Bourgeois

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Believes that citizens participation to the conference should be organised in a way that respects representativity in order to ensure that the diversity of our societies is fully represented; ***Believes that consultations should be organised using the most efficient, innovative and appropriate platforms in order to guarantee that any citizen can have a say during the work of the Conference;***

Amendment

4. Believes that citizens participation to the conference should be organised in a way that respects representativity in order to ensure that the diversity of our societies is fully represented;

Or. en

Amendment 122
Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Believes that citizens participation to the conference should be organised in a way that respects representativity in order to ensure that the diversity of *our societies* is fully represented; Believes that consultations should be organised using the most efficient, innovative and appropriate platforms in order to guarantee that any citizen can have a say during the work of the Conference;

Amendment

4. Believes that citizens participation to the conference should be organised in a way that respects representativity in order to ensure that the diversity of *the European society* is fully represented; Believes that consultations should be organised using the most efficient, innovative and appropriate platforms, *including digital tools and deliberative polling*, in order to guarantee that any citizen can have a say during the work of the Conference; *in order to achieve this goal a citizen's convention of 150 members, representative of the full spectrum of European and randomly selected, will be established. The participants will receive elementary training in EU affairs for its effective participation. Three representatives will convey the conclusions of the citizen's convention to the Conference;*

Or. en

Amendment 123

Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Believes that citizens participation to the conference should be organised in a way that respects representativity in order to ensure that the diversity of our societies is fully represented; Believes that consultations should be organised using the most efficient, innovative and appropriate platforms in order to guarantee that any citizen can have a say during the work of the Conference;

Amendment

4. Believes that citizens participation to the conference should be organised in a way that respects representativity in order to ensure that the diversity of our societies is fully represented; Believes that consultations should be organised using the most efficient, innovative and appropriate platforms in order to guarantee that any citizen can have a say during the work of the Conference; *belives that national parliaments should be fully integrated*

during the work of the Conference;

Or. en

Amendment 124
Daniel Freund
Damian Boeselager
Gwendoline Delbos-Corfield
Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Believes that **citizens** participation to the conference should be organised in a way that respects representativity in order to ensure that the diversity of our societies is fully represented; **Believes** that consultations should be organised using the most efficient, innovative and appropriate platforms in order to guarantee that any citizen can have a say during the work of the Conference;

Amendment

4. Believes that **citizen** participation to the conference should be organised in a way that respects representativity **and accessibility** in order to ensure that the diversity of our societies is fully represented; **believes** that consultations should be organised using the most efficient, innovative and appropriate platforms in order to guarantee that any citizen can **engage in developing policy proposals and** have a say **in person and online** during the work of the Conference; **stresses that citizens should be involved at all stages;**

Or. en

Amendment 125
Gabriele Bischoff
Pedro Silva Pereira

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Believes that citizens participation to the conference should be organised **in a way that respects representativity in order to ensure that the diversity of our societies is fully represented; Believes that**

Amendment

4. Believes that citizens participation to the conference should be organised using the most efficient, innovative and appropriate platforms;

consultations should be organised using the most efficient, innovative and appropriate platforms *in order to guarantee that any citizen can have a say during the work of the Conference;*

Or. en

Amendment 126
Maria Grapini
Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Believes that citizens participation to the conference should be organised in a way that respects representativity in order to ensure that the diversity of our societies is fully represented; believes that consultations should be organised using the most efficient, innovative and appropriate platforms in order to guarantee that any citizen can have a say during the work of the Conference;

Amendment

4. Believes that citizens participation to the conference should be organised in a way that respects representativity ***using information campaigns to inform European citizens and to promote the objectives of the conference,*** in order to ensure that the diversity of our societies is fully represented; believes that consultations should be organised using the most efficient, innovative and appropriate platforms in order to guarantee that any citizen can have a say during the work of the Conference; ***also, the participation should be organized online;***

Or. en

Amendment 127
Brice Hortefeux

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Believes that citizens participation to the conference should be organised in a way that ***respects*** representativity in order to ensure that the diversity of our societies

Amendment

4. Believes that ***effective*** citizens participation to the conference ***can only be achieved with the support of media communication through traditional and***

is fully represented; Believes that consultations should be organised using the most efficient, innovative and appropriate platforms in order to guarantee that any citizen can have a say during the work of the Conference;

modern channels; insists on the importance of reaching all parts of Europe, including the most remoted areas and overseas; believes that such participation should be organised in a way that guarantees representativity in order to ensure that the diversity of our societies as well as the diversity of opinions are fully respected;

Or. en

Amendment 128
Pedro Silva Pereira

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 a. Recalls that the Parliament on its resolutions of 16 February 2017 (on improving the functioning of the European Union building on the potential of the Lisbon Treaty; on possible evolutions of and adjustments to the current institutional set-up of the European Union; on the budgetary capacity for the euro area) has already put forward a number of political suggestions to enhance the EU functioning institutional framework and boost democratic legitimacy;

Or. en

Amendment 129
Loránt Vincze

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 a. *Considers that engaging citizens to play an as active role as possible in this process would require an intensive communication campaign about the scope the Conference and on the way they can provide their input to the Conference's work;*

Or. en

Amendment 130

Alexander Alexandrov Yordanov

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Is of the opinion that the Conference, whose concept, structure, timing and scope should be decided by European Parliament, the Commission and the Council in an inter-institutional agreement, shall be mandated to cover a wide range of topics that are of major importance for the future of Europe and *core matters of concerns for EU citizens;*

Amendment

5. Is of the opinion that the Conference, whose structure, timing and scope should be decided by the European Parliament, the **European** Commission and the Council in an inter-institutional agreement, shall be mandated to cover a wide range of topics that are of a major importance for the future of Europe and *represent core concerns for the citizens of the EU;*

Or. en

Amendment 131

Vladimír Bilčík, Paulo Rangel

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Is of the opinion that the Conference, whose concept, structure,

Amendment

5. Is of the opinion that the Conference, whose concept, structure,

timing and scope should be decided by European Parliament, the Commission and the Council in an inter-institutional agreement, shall be mandated to cover a wide range of topics that are of major importance for the future of Europe and core matters of concerns for EU citizens;

timing and scope should be decided by European Parliament, the Commission and the Council in an inter-institutional agreement, shall be mandated to cover a wide range of topics that are of major importance for the future of Europe and core matters of concerns for EU citizens; ***underlines the importance of tackling future challenges in a more integrated manner and supporting full cooperation between all EU institutions; considers that inter-institutional matters should be reflected on as a horizontal issue in the Conference;***

Or. en

Amendment 132

Vladimír Bilčík, Esteban González Pons, Brice Hortefeux, Othmar Karas, Paulo Rangel, Sven Simon, Loránt Vincze, Rainer Wieland

Draft opinion Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Is of the opinion that the Conference, whose concept, structure, timing and scope should be decided by European Parliament, the Commission and the Council in an inter-institutional agreement, shall be mandated to cover a wide range of **topics** that are of major importance for the future of Europe and core matters of concerns for EU citizens;

Amendment

5. Is of the opinion that the Conference, whose concept, structure, timing and scope should be decided by European Parliament, the Commission and the Council in an inter-institutional agreement, shall be mandated to **identify and** cover a wide range of **policy areas** that are of major importance for the future of **a sovereign** Europe **in the 21st century** and core matters of concerns for EU citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 133

Mairead McGuinness

Draft opinion Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Is of the opinion that the Conference, whose concept, structure, timing and scope should be decided by European Parliament, the Commission and the Council in an inter-institutional agreement, shall be mandated to cover a wide range of topics that are of major importance for the future of Europe and core matters of concerns for EU citizens;

Amendment

5. Is of the opinion that the Conference, whose concept, structure, timing and scope should be decided by European Parliament, the Commission and the Council in an inter-institutional agreement, shall be mandated to cover a wide range of topics that are of major importance for the future of Europe and core matters of concerns for EU citizens; ***suggests that the Conference should first decide on the future objectives of the EU and then consider the most appropriate changes to follow, including the possibility of legislative proposals and revision of the Treaties;***

Or. en

Amendment 134
Geert Bourgeois

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Is of the opinion that the Conference, whose concept, structure, timing ***and scope*** should be decided by European Parliament, the Commission and the Council in an inter-institutional agreement, shall be mandated to ***cover*** a wide range of topics that are of major importance for the future of Europe and core matters of concerns for EU citizens;

Amendment

5. Is of the opinion that the Conference, whose concept, structure ***and*** timing should be decided by European Parliament, the Commission and the Council in an inter-institutional agreement, shall be mandated to ***discuss*** a wide range of topics that are of major importance for the future of Europe and core matters of concerns for ***the Member States and the*** EU citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 135
Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Is of the opinion that the Conference, whose concept, structure, timing and scope should be decided by European Parliament, the Commission and the Council in an inter-institutional agreement, shall be mandated to cover a wide range of topics that are of major importance for the future of Europe and core matters of concerns for EU citizens;

Amendment

5. Is of the opinion that the Conference, whose concept, structure, timing and scope should be decided by European Parliament, the Commission and the Council in an inter-institutional agreement, shall be mandated to cover a wide range of topics that are of major importance for the future of Europe and core matters of concerns for EU citizens; ***in particular, proposes the creation of a Conference Board chaired by the Conference president, and composed by two vice-presidents (from Council and Commission), two members of the European Parliament, two members of the national parliaments, one representative of the Economic and Social Council, and 1 representative of the Committee of the Regions. A representative of the Commission will act as Secretary-General of the Conference. The secretariat will be composed by officials from Parliament, Council, and Commission;***

Or. en

Amendment 136
Pascal Durand

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Is of the opinion that the Conference, whose concept, structure, timing and scope should be decided by

Amendment

5. Is of the opinion that the Conference, whose concept, structure, timing and scope should be decided by

European Parliament, the Commission and the Council in an inter-institutional agreement, shall be mandated to cover a wide range of topics that are of major importance for the future of Europe and core matters of concerns for EU citizens;

European Parliament, the Commission and the Council in an inter-institutional agreement, shall be mandated to cover a wide range of topics that are of major importance for the future of Europe and core matters of concerns for EU citizens; ***underlines the importance of ensuring that all participants will be able to suggest topics to be covered;***

Or. en

Amendment 137
Gabriele Bischoff
Domènec Ruiz Devesa
Pedro Silva Pereira

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Is of the opinion that the Conference, whose concept, structure, timing and scope should be decided by European Parliament, the Commission and the Council ***in an inter-institutional agreement, shall be mandated to cover*** a wide range of topics that are of major importance for the future of Europe and core matters of concerns for EU citizens;

Amendment

5. Is of the opinion that the Conference, whose concept, structure, timing and scope should be decided ***together*** by European Parliament, the Commission and the Council, ***shall enable an open dialogue with citizens on*** a wide range of topics that are of major importance for the future of Europe and core matters of concerns for EU citizens ***without limiting the scope to some pre-defined policy priorities in order to ensure a bottom-up approach;***

Or. en

Amendment 138
Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 a. *Likewise, a civil society and youth conventions could be established, in order report back to the Conference, my way of three representatives each;*

Or. en

Amendment 139

Brando Benifei, Giuliano Pisapia, Miroslav Číž

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 6**

Draft opinion

6. ***Takes note of*** the creation of a governance working group by the Conference of Presidents in the European Parliament which aim is to define the Parliament priorities and objectives for the Conference and stresses that the outcome of this work should be endorsed by the Parliament in a resolution to guarantee a broad support among the House;

Amendment

6. ***Welcomes*** the creation of a governance working group by the Conference of Presidents in the European Parliament which aim is to define the Parliament priorities and objectives for the Conference and stresses that the outcome of this work should be endorsed by the Parliament in a resolution to guarantee a broad support among the House;

Or. en

Amendment 140

Geert Bourgeois

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 6**

Draft opinion

6. Takes note of the creation of a governance working group by the Conference of Presidents in the European Parliament which aim is to define the Parliament priorities and objectives for the Conference and stresses that the outcome

Amendment

6. Takes note of the creation of a governance working group by the Conference of Presidents in the European Parliament which aim is to define the Parliament priorities and objectives for the Conference and stresses that the outcome

of this work should be *endorsed by the Parliament in a resolution to guarantee a broad support among the House;*

of this work should be *discussed in an open and transparent procedure in the AFCO-committee; considers that no conclusions can represent the view of the European Parliament unless they are endorsed by Parliaments' plenary;*

Or. en

Amendment 141

Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Takes note of the creation of a governance working group by the Conference of Presidents in the European Parliament which aim is to define the Parliament priorities and objectives for the Conference and stresses that the outcome of this work should be endorsed by the Parliament in a resolution to guarantee a broad support among the House;

Amendment

6. Takes note of the creation of a *technical* governance working group by the Conference of Presidents in the European Parliament which aim is to define the Parliament priorities and objectives for the Conference and stresses that the outcome of this work should be endorsed by the Parliament in a resolution to guarantee a broad support among the House, *including, inter alia, this opinion of the AFCO Committee;*

Or. en

Amendment 142

Pascal Durand

Draft opinion Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Takes note of the creation of a governance working group by the Conference of Presidents in the European Parliament *which* aim is to define the Parliament priorities and objectives for the Conference and stresses that the outcome

Amendment

6. Takes note of the creation of a governance working group by the Conference of Presidents in the European Parliament *whose* aim is to define the Parliament priorities and objectives for the Conference and stresses that the outcome

of this work should be endorsed by the Parliament in a resolution to guarantee a broad support among the House;

of this work should be endorsed by the Parliament in a resolution to guarantee a broad support among the House;

Or. en

Amendment 143

Othmar Karas

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Takes note of the creation of a governance working group by the Conference of Presidents in the European Parliament which aim is to define the Parliament priorities and objectives for the Conference and stresses that the outcome of this work should be endorsed by the Parliament in a resolution to guarantee a broad support among the House;

Amendment

6. Takes note of the creation of a governance working group by the Conference of Presidents in the European Parliament which aim is to define the Parliament priorities and objectives for the Conference and stresses that the outcome of this work should be endorsed by the Parliament in a resolution to guarantee a broad support among the House ***to make the Parliament a credible key actor in the process;***

Or. en

Amendment 144

Daniel Freund

Damian Boeselager

Gwendoline Delbos-Corfield

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Takes note of the creation of a ***governance*** working group by the Conference of Presidents in the European Parliament which aim is to define the Parliament ***priorities and objectives for*** the Conference and stresses that the outcome of this work should be endorsed by the Parliament in a resolution to guarantee a

Amendment

6. Takes note of the creation of a working group by the Conference of Presidents in the European Parliament which aim is to define the Parliament's ***position on the structure, governance and functioning of*** the Conference and stresses that the outcome of this work should be endorsed by the Parliament in a resolution

broad support *among* the House;

to guarantee a broad support *in* the House;

Or. en

Amendment 145
Gabriele Bischoff
Pedro Silva Pereira

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Takes note of the creation of a governance working group by the Conference of Presidents in the European Parliament mandated to define the Parliament's priorities and objectives for the Conference and stresses that the outcome of this work should be endorsed by the Parliament in a resolution to guarantee a broad support among the House;

Amendment

6. Welcomes the set up of a "Governance Working Group", which aims to define the Parliament's priorities and objectives for the Conference. The Working Group's task will be to define the Conference's objectives, concept, governance, scope, timeframe, structure and format;

Or. en

Amendment 146
Gerolf Annemans

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Takes note of the creation of a governance working group by the Conference of Presidents in the European Parliament which aim is to define the Parliament priorities and objectives for the Conference and stresses that the outcome of this work should be endorsed by the Parliament in a resolution to guarantee a broad support among the House;

Amendment

6. Takes note that the European Parliament has to define its priorities and objectives for the Conference and stresses that the outcome of this work should have a broad support among the House;

Or. en

Amendment 147
Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6 a. Considers that there should be an open debate without a predetermined outcome in which every idea is taken into account. Excluding from the Conference citizens not willing to give further steps towards more integration would make the Conference meaningless;

Or. en

Amendment 148
Pascal Durand

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6 a. Believes the outcomes of the Conference should not be decided a priori, but should follow from the deliberations within the Conference;

Or. en

Amendment 149
Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Suggests the launching of a dedicated website for the Conference,

including the possibility for sending online contributions to the debate, and a strong communication campaign, including in social media;

Or. en

Amendment 150
Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

Amendment

7. Considers, therefore, that at least the following topics should be discussed in the framework of the Conference: European values, fundamental rights and freedoms, the democratic and institutional aspects of the European integration, environmental challenges and climate crisis, social justice and equality, economic issues including taxation, digital transformation, security and the role of the EU in the world;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 151
Daniel Freund
Damian Boeselager
Gwendoline Delbos-Corfield
Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

Amendment

7. Considers, *therefore*, that at least the following topics should be discussed in the framework of the Conference: European values, fundamental rights and freedoms, the democratic and institutional

7. Considers that at least the following topics should be discussed in the framework of the Conference: European values, fundamental rights and freedoms, the democratic and institutional aspects of

aspects of the European integration, environmental challenges and climate crisis, social justice and equality, economic issues including taxation, digital transformation, security and the role of the EU in the world;

the European integration, environmental challenges and climate crisis, **gender equality**, social justice and equality, economic issues including taxation, digital transformation, security and the role of the EU in the world;

Or. en

Amendment 152
Maria Grapini

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Considers, therefore, that at least the following topics should be discussed in the framework of the Conference: European values, fundamental rights and freedoms, the democratic and institutional aspects of the European integration, environmental challenges and climate crisis, social justice and equality, economic issues including taxation, digital transformation, security and the role of the EU in the world;

Amendment

7. Considers, therefore, that at least the following topics should be discussed in the framework of the Conference: European values, fundamental rights and freedoms, the democratic and institutional aspects of the European integration, environmental challenges and climate crisis, social justice and equality, **eradicating poverty, combating discrimination and illegal migration, citizen** security, economic issues including taxation, digital transformation, security and the role of the EU in the world; **the relationship with national Parliaments should be strengthened;**

Or. en

Amendment 153
Gabriele Bischoff

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. **Considers, therefore, that at least the following topics should be discussed in the framework of the Conference:**

Amendment

7. **Stresses that the AFCO committee shall concentrate on constitutional aspects of the Conference on the Future**

European values, fundamental rights and freedoms, the democratic and institutional aspects of the European integration, environmental challenges and climate crisis, social justice and equality, economic issues including taxation, digital transformation, security and the role of the EU in the world;

of Europe in line with its exclusive competences with a particular focus on institutional questions to be dealt with during the Conference such as the Spitzenkandidaten principle, transnational lists, transparency and right of initiative;

Or. en

Amendment 154
Gerolf Annemans

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Considers, therefore, that at least the following topics should be discussed in the framework of the Conference: European values, fundamental rights and freedoms, the democratic and institutional aspects of the European **integration**, environmental challenges and climate crisis, social justice and equality, economic issues including taxation, digital transformation, security and the role of the EU in the world;

Amendment

7. Considers, therefore, that at least the following topics should be discussed **amongst others** in the framework of the Conference: European values, fundamental rights and freedoms, the democratic and institutional aspects of the European **Union, migration and security**, environmental challenges and climate crisis, social justice and equality, economic issues including taxation, digital transformation, security and the role of the EU in the world;

Or. en

Amendment 155
László Trócsányi
Kinga Gál
Sven Simon
Loránt Vincze

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Considers, therefore, that at least

Amendment

7. Considers, therefore, that at least

the following topics should be discussed in the framework of the Conference: European values, fundamental rights and freedoms, the democratic and institutional aspects of the European integration, environmental challenges and climate crisis, social justice and equality, economic issues including taxation, digital transformation, security and the role of the EU in the world;

the following topics should be discussed in the framework of the Conference: European values, fundamental rights and freedoms, the democratic and institutional aspects of the European integration, environmental challenges and climate crisis, social justice and equality, economic issues including taxation, digital transformation, security and the role of the EU in the world ***and the promotion of the European Way of Life, assessing demographic issues of the European Union, reflecting on the relation with the neighbours of the EU and the enlargement countries and their place in the Treaties;***

Or. en

Amendment 156
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Considers, therefore, that at least the following topics should be discussed in the framework of the Conference: European values, fundamental rights and freedoms, the democratic and institutional aspects of the European integration, environmental challenges and climate crisis, social justice and equality, economic issues including taxation, digital transformation, security and the role of the EU in the world;

Amendment

7. Considers, therefore, that at least the following topics should be discussed in the framework of the Conference: European values, fundamental rights and freedoms, the democratic and institutional aspects of the European integration, ***the relationships between the European Council and the European Institutions, the governance of the eurozone, transparency of the EU Institutions and access to documents, the reform of the Parliament's scrutiny powers and its right to initiative, the reform of the European Citizens Initiative, challenges related to migration and the reform of the Common European Asylum System,*** environmental challenges and climate crisis, social justice and equality, economic issues including taxation, digital transformation, security and the role of the EU in the world;

Amendment 157

Richard Corbett

Pedro Silva Pereira

Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Brando Benifei

Raphaël Glucksmann

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Considers, therefore, that at least the following topics should be discussed in the framework of the Conference: European values, fundamental rights and freedoms, the democratic and institutional aspects of the European integration, environmental challenges and climate crisis, social justice and equality, economic issues including taxation, digital transformation, security and the role of the EU in the world;

Amendment

7. Considers, therefore, that at least the following topics should be discussed in the framework of the Conference: European values, fundamental rights and freedoms, the democratic and institutional aspects of the European integration, environmental challenges and climate crisis, social justice and equality, economic issues including taxation, digital transformation, security and the role of the EU in the world; ***hopes that the Conference will identify the key legal and institutional obstacles in the EU system that prevent more effective EU action in these areas, and proposes remedies to them;***

Or. en

Amendment 158

Danuta Maria Hübner, Paulo Rangel

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Considers, therefore, that at least the following topics should be discussed in the framework of the Conference: European values, fundamental rights and

Amendment

7. Considers, therefore, that at least the following topics should be discussed in the framework of the Conference: European values, fundamental rights and

freedoms, the democratic and institutional aspects of the European integration, environmental challenges and climate crisis, social justice and equality, economic issues including taxation, digital transformation, security and the role of the EU in the world;

freedoms, the democratic and institutional aspects of the European integration, environmental challenges and climate crisis, social justice and equality, economic issues including taxation, digital transformation, **demography**, security and the role of the EU in the world;

Or. en

Amendment 159

Loránt Vincze

on behalf of the PPE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Considers, therefore, that at least the following topics should be discussed in the framework of the Conference: European values, fundamental rights and freedoms, the democratic and institutional aspects of the European integration, environmental challenges and climate crisis, social justice and equality, economic issues including taxation, digital transformation, security and the role of the EU in the world;

Amendment

7. Considers, therefore, that at least the following topics should be discussed in the framework of the Conference: European values, fundamental rights and freedoms, the democratic and institutional aspects of the European integration, environmental challenges and climate crisis, **trade and investment, economic cohesion**, social justice and equality, economic issues including taxation, digital transformation, security **and defence, enlargement** and the role of the EU in the world;

Or. en

Amendment 160

Mairead McGuinness

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Considers, therefore, that at least the following topics should be discussed in

Amendment

7. Considers, therefore, that at least the following topics should be discussed in

the framework of the Conference:
European values, fundamental rights and freedoms, the democratic and institutional aspects of the European integration, environmental challenges and climate crisis, social justice and equality, economic issues including taxation, digital transformation, security and the role of the EU in the world;

the framework of the Conference:
European values, fundamental rights and freedoms, the democratic and institutional aspects of the European integration, environmental challenges and climate crisis, social justice and equality, **demographic change, migration, development, trade**, economic issues including taxation, digital transformation, security and the role of the EU in the world;

Or. en

Amendment 161
Martina Anderson, Helmut Scholz

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Considers, therefore, that at least the following topics should be discussed in the framework of the Conference: European values, fundamental rights and freedoms, the democratic and institutional aspects of the European **integration**, environmental challenges **and** climate **crisis**, social justice and equality, economic issues including taxation, digital transformation, security and the role of the EU in the world;

Amendment

7. Considers, therefore, that at least the following topics should be discussed in the framework of the Conference: European values, fundamental rights and freedoms, the democratic and institutional aspects of the European **Union**, environmental challenges **and the** climate **emergency**, social justice and equality, economic issues including taxation, digital transformation, security and the role of the EU in the world, **and planning and preparation measures for possible enlargement**;

Or. en

Amendment 162
Esteban González Pons, Kinga Gál, Othmar Karas, Sven Simon, László Trócsányi, Rainer Wieland

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Considers, therefore, that **at least** the following topics should be discussed in the framework of the Conference: **European values, fundamental rights and freedoms, the democratic and institutional aspects of the European integration**, environmental challenges and climate crisis, social justice and equality, **economic** issues including taxation, digital transformation, security and the role of the EU in the world;

Amendment

7. Considers, therefore, **that the conference should be focused on fostering the EU's capacity to act (Handlungsfähigkeit) and therefore suggests** the following topics should be discussed in the framework of the Conference: **trade and investment**, environmental challenges and climate crisis, social justice and equality, **common market** issues including taxation, digital transformation **and innovation**, security **and defence**, and the role of the EU in the world, **European values, fundamental rights and freedoms; acknowledges the horizontal nature of the democratic and institutional aspects of the European integration;**

Or. en

Amendment 163

Vladimír Bilčík, Paulo Rangel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Considers, therefore, that at least the following topics should be discussed in the framework of the Conference: European values, fundamental rights and freedoms, the democratic and institutional aspects of the European integration, environmental challenges and climate crisis, social justice and equality, economic issues including taxation, digital transformation, security and **the role of the EU in the world;**

Amendment

7. Considers, therefore, that at least the following topics should be discussed in the framework of the Conference: European values, **rule of law**, fundamental rights and freedoms, the democratic and institutional aspects of the European integration, environmental challenges and climate crisis, social justice and equality, economic issues including taxation, digital transformation, **fight against disinformation and propaganda**, security and **strengthening EU's role in the world;**

Or. en

Amendment 164

Brando Benifei, Giuliano Pisapia, Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Miroslav Číž

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. **Considers**, therefore, that **at least** the following topics **should** be discussed in the framework of the Conference: European values, fundamental rights and freedoms, the democratic and institutional aspects of the European integration, environmental challenges and climate crisis, social justice and equality, economic issues including taxation, digital transformation, security and the role of the EU in the world;

Amendment

7. **Recommends**, therefore, that the following topics **also** be discussed in the framework of the Conference: European values, fundamental rights and freedoms, the democratic and institutional aspects of the European integration, environmental challenges and climate crisis, social justice and equality, economic issues including taxation, digital transformation, security and the role of the EU in the world; ***underlines that this list of topics is not exhaustive and that the work of the Conference could lead to changes and additions to the suggested topics;***

Or. en

Amendment 165

Geert Bourgeois

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Considers, ***therefore***, that **at least** the following topics **should** be discussed in the framework of the Conference: European values, fundamental rights and freedoms, the democratic and institutional aspects of the European integration, environmental challenges and climate crises, ***social justice and equality, economic issues including taxation,*** digital transformation, security and the role of the EU in the world;

Amendment

7. Considers that the following topics ***could*** be discussed in the framework of the Conference: European values, fundamental rights and freedoms, the democratic and institutional aspects of the European integration, environmental challenges and climate crises, ***the social market economy, research and development*** and the role of the EU in the world;

Or. en

Amendment 166
Daniel Freund
Damian Boeselager
Gwendoline Delbos-Corfield
Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 a. *Notes that the Conference should organise the discussion in thematic areas flexible enough to allow citizens to prioritize the topics covered by them;*

Or. en

Amendment 167
Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 a. *Is of the opinion that the main points of discussion should be those covering areas in which there is a proven added value when regulated at European level, provided that the key aspects of national sovereignty are respected;*

Or. en

Amendment 168
Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 a. *Proposes the elaboration of an special Eurobarometer survey on EU citizens attitudes to the identified policy challenges and possible alternatives, in order to inform the work of the Conference;*

Or. en

Amendment 169
Geert Bourgeois

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 a. *reiterates that EU powers are not inherent, as in a State, but must be conferred by the Treaties; stresses that the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality govern the exercise of the EU's competences to ensure that powers are exercised as close to the citizens as possible, in accordance with the proximity principle referred to in article 10, par. 3, TEU;*

Or. en

Amendment 170
Geert Bourgeois

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 b. *Considers that it is the overall aim of the Conference to strengthen democracy in the EU; believes, therefore,*

that the Conference should have a profound debate on how to improve the EU policy making processes; recalls in this regard the Treaty obligation, enshrined in article 4, par. 2, TEU, to respect the national identities of the Member States and stresses, therefore, that more should be done to boost the participation of national, regional and local authorities in EU policy making;

Or. en

Amendment 171
Geert Bourgeois

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

Amendment

8. *Believes that, in order to prepare well in advance the next European elections in 2024, the work on improving the lead candidate system and on the issue of transnational lists should take place during the first six months of the Conference;*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 172
Gerolf Annemans

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

Amendment

8. *Believes that, in order to prepare well in advance the next European elections in 2024, the work on improving the lead candidate system and on the issue of transnational lists should take place during the first six months of the*

deleted

Conference;

Or. en

Amendment 173
Jorge Buxadé Villalba

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

Amendment

8. *Believes that, in order to prepare well in advance the next European elections in 2024, the work on improving the lead candidate system and on the issue of transnational lists should take place during the first six months of the Conference;*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 174
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

Amendment

8. Believes that, in order to prepare well in advance the next European elections in 2024, the work on ***improving*** the lead candidate system and on the issue of transnational lists should take place during the first six months of the Conference;

8. Believes that, in order to prepare well in advance the next European elections in 2024, the work on ***reforming*** the lead candidate system and on the issue of transnational lists should take place during the first six months of the Conference;

Or. en

Amendment 175
Gabriele Bischoff

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Believes that, in order to *prepare well in advance* the next European elections in 2024, the *work on improving the lead candidate system and on the issue of transnational lists should take place during the first six months of the Conference;*

Amendment

8. Believes that, in order to *ensure the application of the lead candidate system and the introduction of transnational list during* the next European elections in 2024, the *existing deadlines have to be taken into consideration that might make interim solutions necessary below the level of treaty changes;*

Or. en

Amendment 176
Vladimír Bilčík, Paulo Rangel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Believes that, in order to prepare *well in advance* the next European elections *in* 2024, *the work* on improving the lead candidate system and *on the issue of* transnational lists should take place during *the first six months of* the Conference;

Amendment

8. *Underlines that the 2019 European elections have registered the highest turnout since the 1994 elections; welcomes that the 2019 European elections recorded a larger turnout among young and first-time voters; believes that the Conference should take stock of the successful initiatives used in the run-up to the 2019 elections;* believes that, in order to prepare the next European elections *of* 2024, *the debate* on improving the lead candidate system and transnational lists should take place during the Conference;

Or. en

Amendment 177
Kinga Gál, Brice Hortefeux, Sven Simon, László Trócsányi, Loránt Vincze

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Believes that, in order to prepare well in advance the next European elections in 2024, ***the work on improving the lead candidate system and on the issue of transnational lists should take place during the first six months of the Conference;***

Amendment

8. Believes that, in order to prepare well in advance the next European elections in 2024, ***evaluating*** the lead candidate system ***is a priority;***

Or. en

Amendment 178
Martina Anderson, Helmut Scholz

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Believes that, in order to prepare well in advance the next European elections in 2024, the work on improving the lead candidate system and on the issue of transnational lists should take place during the first ***six months*** of the Conference;

Amendment

8. Believes that, in order to prepare well in advance the next European elections in 2024, the work on improving ***European electoral legislation including past and current experiences with*** the lead candidate system and ***debates*** on the issue of transnational lists should take place during the first ***stage*** of the Conference ***and should be combined with improving the democratic functioning of transparent decision-making processes in European Union's governance;***

Or. en

Amendment 179
Brando Benifei, Giuliano Pisapia, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Believes that, in order to prepare well in advance the next European elections in 2024, the work on improving *the lead candidate system and on the issue of transnational lists should take place during the first six months of the Conference*;

Amendment

8. Believes that, in order to prepare well in advance the next European elections in 2024, the work on improving *the European electoral system and its functioning should take place in the first phase of the Conference, preferably during the first six months; stresses that this work should include a reflection on the lead candidate system, the issue of transnational lists and preferential voting systems*;

Or. en

Amendment 180
Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Believes that, in order to prepare well in advance the *next* European elections *in* 2024, the *work* on improving the lead candidate system and on the *issue* of transnational lists should take place during the first six months of the Conference;

Amendment

8. Believes that, in order to prepare well in advance the European elections *of* 2024, the *debate* on improving the lead candidate system and on the *proposal* of *introducing* transnational lists should take place during the first six months of the Conference;

Or. en

Amendment 181
Danuta Maria Hübner, Paulo Rangel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8 a. *Considers that the Conference should*

advance the notion of European citizenship;

Or. en

Amendment 182
Gabriele Bischoff, Pedro Silva Pereira

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

Amendment

9. *Considers that the size of the Conference should be decided in order to ensure a broad participation and representativity while at the same time guaranteeing the efficiency of its work; considers that the Conference could include setting up of thematic committees and plenary sittings and meetings regular enough to deliver concrete proposals;*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 183
Gerolf Annemans

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

Amendment

9. Considers that the size of the Conference should be decided in order to ensure a broad participation and representativity while at the same time guaranteeing the efficiency of its work; considers that the Conference could include setting up of thematic committees and plenary sittings and meetings regular enough to deliver concrete proposals;

9. Considers that the size of the Conference should be decided in order to ensure a broad participation and representativity while at the same time guaranteeing the efficiency of its work ***without prejudice to a fair representation of the different political tendencies represented in the European Parliament and in national parliaments***; considers that the Conference could include setting up of thematic committees and plenary

sittings and meetings regular enough to deliver concrete proposals;

Or. en

Amendment 184

Damian Boeselager, Gwendoline Delbos-Corfield, Daniel Freund, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Considers that the size of the Conference should be decided in order to ensure a broad participation and representativity while at the same time guaranteeing the efficiency of its work; considers that the Conference could include setting up of thematic committees and plenary sittings and meetings **regular** enough to deliver concrete proposals;

Amendment

9. Considers that the size of the Conference should be decided in order to ensure a broad participation and representativity while at the same time guaranteeing the efficiency of its work; considers that the Conference could include setting up of thematic committees and plenary sittings and meetings **regularly** enough to deliver concrete proposals;

Or. en

Amendment 185

Mairead McGuinness

Draft opinion Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Considers that the size of the Conference should be decided in order to ensure a broad participation and representativity while at the same time guaranteeing the efficiency of its work; considers that the Conference could include setting up of thematic committees and plenary sittings and meetings regular enough to deliver concrete proposals;

Amendment

9. Considers that the size of the Conference should be decided in order to ensure a broad participation and representativity while at the same time guaranteeing the efficiency of its work; ***recommends that the Conference draw on the experiences of citizens' assemblies and citizens' consultations in member states;*** considers that the Conference could include setting up of thematic committees and plenary sittings and meetings regular

enough to deliver concrete proposals;

Or. en

Amendment 186
Vladimír Bilčík, Paulo Rangel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Considers that the size of the Conference should be decided in order to ensure a broad participation and representativity while at the same time guaranteeing the efficiency of its work; considers that the Conference could include setting up of thematic committees and plenary sittings and meetings regular enough to deliver concrete proposals;

Amendment

9. Considers that the size of the Conference should be decided in order to ensure a broad participation and representativity *of Union's diversity* while at the same time guaranteeing the efficiency of its work; considers that the Conference could include setting up of thematic committees and plenary sittings and meetings regular enough to deliver concrete proposals;

Or. en

Amendment 187
Alexander Alexandrov Yordanov

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Considers that the size of the Conference should be decided in order to ensure a broad participation and *representativity* while at the same time guaranteeing the *efficiency* of its work; considers that the Conference could include setting up of thematic committees and plenary sittings *and meetings regular* enough to deliver concrete proposals;

Amendment

9. Considers that the size of the Conference should be decided *well in advance* in order to ensure a broad participation and *representation* while at the same time guaranteeing *the effectiveness* of its work; considers that the Conference could include setting up thematic committees and plenary sittings *that gather regularly* enough *in order* to deliver concrete proposals;

Amendment 188
Geert Bourgeois

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Considers that the size of the Conference should be decided in order to ensure a broad participation and representativity, *while at the same time* guaranteeing the efficiency of its work; considers that the Conference could include setting up of thematic committees and plenary sittings and meetings regular enough to deliver *concrete proposals*;

Amendment

9. Considers that the size of the Conference should be decided in order to ensure a broad *pluralistic* participation and representativity, guaranteeing *both diversity and* the efficiency of its work; considers that the Conference could include setting up of thematic committees and plenary sittings and meetings regular enough to deliver;

Or. en

Amendment 189
Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9 a. Proposes that the Conference Board will guide the work of the Conference, in particular by coordinating the work of the thematic clusters and by providing a horizontal approach to the institutional dimension; the Board could draw rules of procedure;

Or. en

Amendment 190
Danuta Maria Hübner, Paulo Rangel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9 a. *Is of the opinion that a permanent mechanism for engaging with the citizens in the reflection on the future of Europe should be envisaged in the long run;*

Or. en

Amendment 191
Damian Boeselager, Gwendoline Delbos-Corfield, Daniel Freund, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9a. *Stresses the need to provide an extra support to the citizens pillar through preparatory sessions and material in order to ensure that citizens will be given a real and through opportunity to participate in equal conditions as the institutional representatives;*

Or. en

Amendment 192
Damian Boeselager, Gwendoline Delbos-Corfield, Daniel Freund, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9b. *Believes that encouraging children and young adults to voice their concerns, opinions and ideas is particularly important regarding the long-lasting impact the Conference's results will have on their lives in particular; proposes that*

in parallel to the Conference on the Future of Europe, a multifaceted Youth Conference shall be convened, whose findings shall be considered with explicit priority in the follow-up of the Conference;

Or. en

Amendment 193

Damian Boeselager, Gwendoline Delbos-Corfield, Daniel Freund, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion

Paragraph 9 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9c. Believes that the Plenary of the Conference should be its highest decision-making body; considers that the Plenary of the Conference should be led by a group of facilitators representing each of the Conference pillars; suggests that the leadership of the Conference is elected by the Conference Plenary;

Or. en

Amendment 194

Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Draft opinion

Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

Amendment

10. Offers to host the Conference in the premises of the European Parliament; activities of the conference should also take place in all Member states including outside of capitals; commits to ensure transparency of its work by web-streaming meetings and by publication of all **relevant** documents the latest after their debate in the conference or its bodies; offers own staff to support the conference, its

10. Offers to host the Conference in the premises of the European Parliament; activities of the conference should also take place in all Member states including outside of capitals; commits to ensure **full** transparency of its work by web-streaming meetings and by publication of all documents the latest after their debate in the conference or its bodies; offers own staff to support the conference, its

committees and pillars;

committees and pillars;

Or. en

Amendment 195

Damian Boeselager, Gwendoline Delbos-Corfield, Daniel Freund, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion

Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Offers to host the Conference in the premises of the European Parliament; activities of the conference should also take place in all Member states including outside of capitals; commits to ensure transparency of its work by web-streaming meetings and by publication of all relevant documents the latest after their debate in the conference or its bodies; offers own staff to support the conference, its committees *and* pillars;

Amendment

10. Offers to host the Conference in the premises of the European Parliament; activities of the conference should also take place in all Member states including outside of capitals; commits to ensure transparency of its work by web-streaming meetings and by publication of all relevant documents the latest after their debate in the conference or its bodies; offers *its* own staff to support the conference, its committees, pillars *and plenary sittings*;

Or. en

Amendment 196

Brice Hortefeux

Draft opinion

Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Offers to host the Conference in the premises of the European Parliament; activities of the conference should also take place in all Member states including outside of capitals; commits to ensure transparency of its work by web-streaming meetings and by publication of all relevant documents the latest after their debate in the conference or its bodies; offers own staff to support the conference, its

Amendment

10. Offers to host the Conference in the premises of the European Parliament; activities of the conference should also take place in all Member states including outside of capitals; commits to ensure transparency of its work by web-streaming meetings and by publication of all relevant documents *in all official languages* the latest after their debate in the conference or its bodies; offers own staff to support the

committees and pillars;

conference, its committees and pillars;

Or. en

Amendment 197

Othmar Karas

Draft opinion

Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Offers to host the Conference in the premises of the European Parliament; activities of the conference should also take place in all Member states including outside of capitals; commits to ensure transparency of its work by web-streaming meetings and by publication of all relevant documents the latest after their debate in the conference or its bodies; offers own staff to support the conference, its committees and pillars;

Amendment

10. Offers to host the Conference in the premises of the European Parliament; activities of the conference should also take place in all Member states including outside of capitals **with support by local and regional bodies and stakeholders as well as the EU representation offices**; commits to ensure transparency of its work by web-streaming meetings and by publication of all relevant documents the latest after their debate in the conference or its bodies; offers own staff to support the conference, its committees and pillars;

Or. en

Amendment 198

Alexander Alexandrov Yordanov

Draft opinion

Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Offers to host the Conference in the premises of the European Parliament; activities of the conference should also take place in all Member states including outside of capitals; commits to ensure transparency of its work by web-streaming meetings and by **publication of** all relevant documents **the latest** after their debate in the conference or its bodies; offers own

Amendment

10. Offers to host the Conference in the premises of the European Parliament - **the public forum of Europe; however**, activities of the Conference should also take place in all Member states including outside of **their** capitals; commits to ensure **full** transparency of its work by web-streaming meetings and by **publishing** all relevant documents **shortly** after their

staff to support the conference, its committees and *pillars*;

debate in the Conference or its bodies; offers own staff to support the Conference, its committees and *pannels*;

Or. en

Amendment 199
Rainer Wieland

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Offers to host the Conference in the premises of the European Parliament; activities of the conference should also take place in all Member states including outside of capitals; commits to ensure transparency of its work by web-streaming meetings and by publication of all relevant documents the latest after their debate in the conference or its bodies; offers own staff to support the conference, its committees and pillars;

Amendment

10. Offers to host the Conference in the premises of the European Parliament *especially in Strasbourg outside plenary sessions*; activities of the conference should also take place in all Member states including outside of capitals; commits to ensure transparency of its work by web-streaming meetings and by publication of all relevant documents the latest after their debate in the conference or its bodies; offers own staff to support the conference, its committees and pillars;

Or. en

Amendment 200
Esteban González Pons, Kinga Gál, Brice Hortefeux, Danuta Maria Hübner, Sven Simon, László Trócsányi, Loránt Vincze, Rainer Wieland

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Offers to host the Conference in the premises of the European Parliament; activities of the conference should also take place in all Member states including outside of capitals; commits to ensure transparency of its work by web-streaming

Amendment

10. Offers to host the Conference in the premises of the European Parliament; *however*, activities of the conference should also take place in all Member states including outside of capitals; commits to ensure transparency of its work by web-

meetings and by publication of all relevant documents the latest after their debate in the conference or its bodies; offers own staff to support the conference, its committees and pillars;

streaming meetings and by publication of all relevant documents the latest after their debate in the conference or its bodies; offers own staff to support the conference, its committees and pillars;

Or. en

Amendment 201
Mairead McGuinness

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Offers to host the Conference in the premises of the European Parliament; activities of the conference should also take place in all Member states including outside of capitals; commits to ensure transparency of its work by web-streaming meetings and by publication of all relevant documents the latest after their debate in the conference or its bodies; offers own staff to support the conference, its committees and pillars;

Amendment

10. Offers to host the Conference in the premises of the European Parliament; activities of the conference should also take place in all Member states including outside of capitals; ***notes the potential of European Parliament liaison offices in member states to assist in this effort;*** commits to ensure transparency of its work by web-streaming meetings and by publication of all relevant documents the latest after their debate in the conference or its bodies; offers own staff to support the conference, its committees and pillars;

Or. en

Amendment 202
Geert Bourgeois

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Offers to host the Conference in the premises of the European Parliament; activities of the conference should also take place in all Member states including

Amendment

10. Offers to host the Conference in the premises of the European Parliament; activities of the conference should also take place in all Member states including

outside of capitals; commits to ensure transparency of its work by web-streaming meetings and by publication of all relevant documents the latest after their debate in the conference or its bodies; offers own staff to support the conference, its committees *and pillars*;

outside of capitals; commits to ensure transparency of its work by web-streaming meetings and by publication of all relevant documents the latest after their debate in the conference or its bodies; offers own staff to support the conference *and its thematic* committees;

Or. en

Amendment 203

Raphaël Glucksmann, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion

Paragraph 10 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

10 a Believes that well-established and experienced pro-European CSOs could be called upon to facilitate different phases of the Conference, particularly regarding citizen's participation and deliberative polling;

Or. en

Amendment 204

Maria Grapini, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion

Paragraph 11

Draft opinion

Amendment

11. Believes that the credibility of the Conference and its political legitimacy derives from its capacity to go beyond a mere listening or dialogue exercise and to initiate real change;

11. Believes that the credibility of the Conference and its political legitimacy derives from its capacity to go beyond a mere listening or dialogue exercise and to initiate real change, ***for a stronger, more united and more democratic Europe for the benefit of European citizen;***

Or. en

Amendment 205
Alexander Alexandrov Yordanov

Draft opinion
Paragraph 11

Draft opinion

11. Believes that the credibility of the Conference and its political legitimacy derives from its capacity to go beyond a mere listening or dialogue exercise **and to initiate real change;**

Amendment

11. Believes that the credibility of the Conference and its political legitimacy derives from its capacity to go beyond a mere listening or dialogue exercise; ***the Conference will be able to better engage with the citizens, to capture their attention, to make them identify more with the EU polity and as a result - deliver concrete proposals after free and open public deliberations in the framework of the Conference;***

Or. en

Amendment 206
Vladimír Bilčík, Paulo Rangel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 11

Draft opinion

11. Believes that the credibility of the Conference and its political legitimacy derives from its capacity to go beyond a mere listening or dialogue exercise and to initiate real change;

Amendment

11. Believes that the credibility of the Conference and its political legitimacy derives from its capacity to go beyond a mere listening or dialogue exercise and to initiate real change; ***underlines the importance of promoting the active involvement of citizens through a bottom-up approach;***

Or. en

Amendment 207

Vladimír Bilčík, Esteban González Pons, Kinga Gál, Brice Hortefeux, Danuta Maria Hübner, Othmar Karas, Paulo Rangel, Sven Simon, László Trócsányi, Loránt Vincze, Rainer Wieland

Draft opinion

Paragraph 11

Draft opinion

11. Believes that the credibility of the Conference and its political legitimacy derives from its capacity to go beyond a mere listening or dialogue exercise and to initiate real change;

Amendment

11. Believes that the credibility of the Conference and its political legitimacy derives from its capacity to go beyond a mere listening or dialogue exercise and to initiate real change ***and produce tangible policy proposals capable of strengthening the EU's political efficacy;***

Or. en

Amendment 208

Martina Anderson, Helmut Scholz

Draft opinion

Paragraph 11

Draft opinion

11. Believes that the credibility of the Conference and its political legitimacy derives from its capacity to go beyond a mere listening or dialogue exercise and to initiate real change;

Amendment

11. Believes that the credibility of the Conference and its political legitimacy derives from its capacity to go beyond a mere listening or dialogue exercise and to initiate real change ***and engage actively and directly with citizens, bringing the EU closer to people;***

Or. en

Amendment 209

Geert Bourgeois

Draft opinion

Paragraph 11

Draft opinion

11. Believes that the credibility of the Conference ***and its political legitimacy derives from its capacity to go beyond a mere listening or dialogue exercise and to initiate real change;***

Amendment

11. Believes that the credibility of the Conference ***will depend on its open-minded approach and its willingness to let go of initial ambitions and believes; stresses that the Conference can only achieve results if the outcome is not pre-empted in any way;***

Or. en

Amendment 210

Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion

Paragraph 11 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

11 a. Suggests that an Advisory Council composed by experts from academia, think-tanks, and civil society is established to support the work of the Conference;

Or. en

Amendment 211

Pascal Durand

Draft opinion

Paragraph 12

Draft opinion

Amendment

12. Proposes to insert into the IIA that the three EU Institutions commit to a genuine follow-up of the Conference, with legislative proposals, initiating treaty change or otherwise;

12. Proposes to insert into the IIA that the three EU Institutions commit to a genuine ***and immediate*** follow-up of the Conference, with legislative proposals, initiating treaty change or otherwise;

Or. en

Amendment 212
Alexander Alexandrov Yordanov

Draft opinion
Paragraph 12

Draft opinion

12. Proposes to insert into the IIA that the three EU Institutions commit to a genuine follow-up of the Conference, with legislative proposals, initiating treaty *change or otherwise*;

Amendment

12. Proposes to insert into the IIA that the three EU Institutions commit to a genuine follow-up of the Conference, with legislative proposals *or/and* initiating treaty *changes if required by the outcome of the Conference*;

Or. en

Amendment 213
Rainer Wieland

Draft opinion
Paragraph 12

Draft opinion

12. Proposes to insert into the IIA that the three EU Institutions commit to a genuine follow-up of the Conference, with legislative proposals, initiating treaty change or otherwise;

Amendment

12. Proposes to insert into the IIA that the three EU Institutions commit to a genuine follow-up of the Conference, with legislative proposals, initiating treaty change or otherwise, *if appropriate*;

Or. en

Amendment 214
Brando Benifei, Giuliano Pisapia, Domènec Ruiz Devesa, Pedro Silva Pereira

Draft opinion
Paragraph 12

Draft opinion

12. *Proposes to insert into the IIA that the three EU Institutions commit to a*

Amendment

12. *Welcomes the President of the European Commission's declaration of*

genuine follow-up of the Conference, with legislative proposals, initiating treaty change or otherwise;

*being ready to “follow up on what is agreed [at the Conference], including by legislative action if appropriate” and of being “open to Treaty change”;*¹¹ proposes that the three EU Institutions commit to a genuine follow-up of the Conference, with legislative proposals, initiating treaty change or otherwise; ***underlines that this commitment could also be included into a possible inter-institutional agreement;***

Or. en

Amendment 215
Geert Bourgeois

Draft opinion
Paragraph 12

Draft opinion

12. Proposes to insert into the IIA that the three EU institutions commit to a genuine follow-up of the Conference, with legislative proposals, initiating treaty change or otherwise;

Amendment

12. Proposes to insert into the IIA that the three EU institutions commit to a genuine follow-up of the Conference, with legislative proposals, initiating treaty change or otherwise, ***but only if demonstrated to be necessary, while fully respecting the procedures foreseen in the Treaty, especially in article 48 TEU;***

Or. en

Amendment 216
Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion
Paragraph 12 a (new)

¹¹ *Political guidelines for the next European Commission (2019-2024): A Union that strives for more*, p. 19
https://ec.europa.eu/commission/sites/beta-political/files/political-guidelines-next-commission_en.pdf

Draft opinion

Amendment

12 a. Proposes the Conference to start in early 2020, and to end in early 2022;

Or. en

Amendment 217
Alexander Alexandrov Yordanov

Draft opinion
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

12 a. Believes that the Conference will enhance the creation of a true European public sphere as well as a feeling of European identity;

Or. en

Amendment 218
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 13

Draft opinion

Amendment

13. Commits itself, if the Conference adopts **by a clear majority** a proposal to change the EU treaties, to initiate a revision of the Treaties as foreseen in Article 48 (TEU);

13. Commits itself, if the Conference adopts a proposal to change the EU treaties, to initiate a revision of the Treaties as foreseen in Article 48 (TEU);

Or. en

Amendment 219
Damian Boeselager, Gwendoline Delbos-Corfield, Daniel Freund

Draft opinion

Paragraph 13

Draft opinion

13. Commits itself, if the Conference adopts **by a clear majority** a proposal to change the EU **treaties**, to initiate a revision of the Treaties as foreseen in Article 48 (TEU);

Amendment

13. Commits itself, if the Conference adopts a proposal to change the EU **Treaties**, to initiate a revision of the Treaties as foreseen in Article 48 (TEU);

Or. en

Amendment 220

Kinga Gál, Sven Simon, László Trócsányi

Draft opinion Paragraph 13

Draft opinion

13. **Commits itself, if the** Conference adopts by a clear majority a proposal to change the EU treaties, **to initiate** a revision of the Treaties as foreseen in Article 48 (TEU);

Amendment

13. **Proposes if the** Conference adopts by a clear majority a proposal to change the EU treaties, **initiating** a revision of the Treaties as foreseen in Article 48 (TEU);

Or. en

Amendment 221

Geert Bourgeois

Draft opinion Paragraph 13

Draft opinion

13. Commits itself, if the Conference adopts by a clear majority a proposal to change the EU treaties, to **initiate a revision** of the Treaties **as** foreseen in Article 48 (TEU);

Amendment

13. Commits itself, if the Conference adopts by a clear majority a proposal to change the EU treaties, to **present this conclusion to Parliament's plenary and, if supported by the majority of its members, to submit to the Council a proposal for amendment** of the Treaties **with full respect for the rules** foreseen in Article 48 (TEU);

Amendment 222

Brando Benifei, Giuliano Pisapia, Miroslav Číž

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 13**

Draft opinion

13. *Commits itself*, if the Conference *adopts by a clear majority* a proposal to change the EU treaties, to initiate *a* revision of the Treaties as foreseen in Article 48 (TEU);

Amendment

13. *Suggests to the Parliament's governance working group to include in its recommendations a call*, if the Conference *supports* a proposal to change the EU treaties, to initiate *the procedure for the* revision of the Treaties as foreseen in Article 48 (TEU);

Or. en

Amendment 223

Danuta Maria Hübner

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 13**

Draft opinion

13. Commits itself, if the Conference adopts *by a clear majority* a proposal to change the EU treaties, to initiate a revision of the Treaties as foreseen in Article 48 (TEU);

Amendment

13. Commits itself, if the Conference adopts a proposal to change the EU treaties, to initiate a revision of the Treaties as foreseen in Article 48 (TEU);

Or. en

Amendment 224

Alexander Alexandrov Yordanov

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 13**

Draft opinion

13. Commits itself, *if* the Conference adopts by a clear majority a proposal to change the EU treaties, to initiate a revision of the Treaties as foreseen in Article 48 (TEU);

Amendment

13. Commits itself, *should* the Conference adopts by a clear majority a proposal to change the EU treaties, to initiate *such* a revision of the Treaties as foreseen in Article 48 (TEU);

Or. en

Amendment 225
Geert Bourgeois

Draft opinion
Paragraph 14

Draft opinion

14. Calls on a general commitment from all participants to the Conference to ensure, according to their respective competence and role, a proper follow-up of its outcome at their level;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 226
Damian Boeselager, Gwendoline Delbos-Corfield, Daniel Freund, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion
Paragraph 14

Draft opinion

14. Calls on a general commitment from all participants to the Conference to ensure, according to their respective competence and role, a proper follow-up of its outcome at their *level*;

Amendment

14. Calls on a general commitment from all participants to the Conference to ensure, according to their respective competence and role, a proper follow-up of its outcome at their *respective levels*;

Or. en

Amendment 227
Geert Bourgeois

Draft opinion
Paragraph 15

Draft opinion

15. Commits itself to propose without delay to the Commission and to the Council a draft inter-institutional agreement based on this resolution;

Amendment

15. Commits itself to propose without delay to the Commission and to the Council a draft inter-institutional agreement based on this resolution, ***while in no way pre-empting the outcome of the Conference;***

Or. en

Amendment 228
Danuta Maria Hübner

Draft opinion
Paragraph 15

Draft opinion

15. Commits itself to propose without delay to the Commission and to the Council a draft inter-institutional agreement based on ***this*** resolution;

Amendment

15. Commits itself to propose without delay to the Commission and to the Council a draft inter-institutional agreement based on ***the European Parliament's*** resolution;

Or. en

Amendment 229
Pedro Silva Pereira

Draft opinion
Paragraph 15

Draft opinion

15. Commits itself to propose without delay to the Commission and to the

Amendment

15. Commits itself to propose without delay to the Commission and to the

Council a draft inter-institutional agreement based on *this resolution*;

Council a draft inter-institutional agreement based on *the Conference of the Future of Europe*;

Or. en

Amendment 230

Damian Boeselager, Gwendoline Delbos-Corfield, Daniel Freund, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion

Paragraph 15

Draft opinion

15. Commits itself to propose without delay to the Commission and to the Council a draft inter-institutional agreement based on *this* resolution;

Amendment

15. Commits itself to propose without delay to the Commission and to the Council a draft inter-institutional agreement based on *a* resolution;

Or. en

Amendment 231

Alexander Alexandrov Yordanov

Draft opinion

Paragraph 15

Draft opinion

15. Commits itself to propose without delay to the Commission and to the Council a draft inter-institutional agreement based on this resolution;

Amendment

15. Commits itself to propose without delay to the *European* Commission and to the Council a draft inter-institutional agreement based on this resolution;

Or. en

Amendment 232

Brando Benifei, Giuliano Pisapia, Miroslav Číž

Draft opinion

Paragraph 15

Draft opinion

15. ***Commits itself to propose without delay*** to the Commission and ***to*** the Council a draft inter-institutional agreement ***based on*** this resolution;

Amendment

15. ***Suggests to the Parliament's governance working group to include in its recommendations a proposal*** to the Commission and the Council ***to come to an agreement with the Parliament on the structure and objectives of the Conference; notes that this agreement could also be reached through the presentation of*** a draft inter-institutional agreement ***in line with*** this resolution ***and the recommendations of the Parliament's governance working group;***

Or. en

Amendment 233

Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion

Paragraph 15 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

15 a. The Conference should identify any institutional updates and financial needs required to effectively tackle at the European level the said transnational challenges;

Or. en

Amendment 234

Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion

Paragraph 15 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

15 b. The following thematic clusters are proposed:

- 1. Migration.*
- 2. Climate crisis and energy.*
- 3. Digitalisation, competitiveness, investment, and innovation.*
- 4. Social Europe.*
- 5. Completing the monetary union.*
- 6. Global Europe: Foreign policy, security, defence, neighbourhood, and enlargement.*
- 7. European Values and rule of law.*
- 8. European democracy and citizenship;*

Or. en

Amendment 235
Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion
Paragraph 15 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

15 c. The final outcome of the Conference, which should be concrete and tangible, will be forwarded to the European Parliament, the European Commission, the Council of the European Union, and the European Council, for debate and further action;

Or. en

Amendment 236
Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Domènec Ruiz Devesa

Draft opinion
Paragraph 15 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

15 d. Suggests to use the 70th anniversary of the Schuman Declaration on 9 May 2020 to providing further impetus to the Conference;

Amendment 237
Pedro Silva Pereira

Draft opinion
Recital D

Draft opinion

D. whereas the Conference on the Future of Europe will certainly have an impact in every policy of the Union and encompass a broad variety of topics; whereas the Parliamentary Committees in the Parliament have an expertise and shall be consulted during the work of the Conference in their respective fields;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 238
Brice Hortefeux

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Stresses the fact that the Conference must go beyond an inter-institutional discussion; believes that there should be an inclusive approach based on age, gender and geographically balanced participation *in different pillars*, of Members of the European Parliament, Members of national Parliaments, the European Commission, the Council, civil society, social partners and citizens;

Amendment

2. Stresses the fact that the Conference must go beyond an inter-institutional discussion; believes that there should be an inclusive approach based on age, gender and geographically balanced participation of Members of the European Parliament, Members of national Parliaments, the European Commission, the Council, *local and regional authorities*, civil society, social partners and citizens;

Or. en

