



**2018/0218(COD)**

12.12.2018

# **AMENDMENTS**

## **576 - 796**

**Draft report**  
**Eric Andrieu**  
(PE623.922v01-00)

Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council amending Regulations (EU) No 1308/2013 establishing a common organisation of the markets in agricultural products, (EU) No 1151/2012 on quality schemes for agricultural products and foodstuffs, (EU) No 251/2014 on the definition, description, presentation, labelling and the protection of geographical indications of aromatised wine products, (EU) No 228/2013 laying down specific measures for agriculture in the outermost regions of the Union and (EU) No 229/2013 laying down specific measures for agriculture in favour of the smaller Aegean islands

Proposal for a regulation  
(COM(2018)394 – C8-0246/2018 – 2018/0218(COD))



**Amendment 576**

**Stanisław Ożóg, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**26) In Part III Chapter VI, covering Articles 196 to 204, is deleted;** *deleted*

Or. pl

*Justification*

*The provisions on the possibility of applying export refunds should not be deleted. The possibility to support exports through export refunds should be maintained and a common system of export credits should be developed at EU level.*

**Amendment 577**

**Beata Gosiewska**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**26) In Part III Chapter VI, covering Articles 196 to 204, is deleted;** *deleted*

Or. pl

**Amendment 578**

**Thomas Waitz**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Ana Miranda**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Part III – Chapter VII a (new)

**(26a) In Part III, the following Chapter VIIa (new) is inserted:**

‘

**Chapter VIIa**

**Monitoring EU external trade in third country markets**

**Article 205a**

**1. For the purposes of applying this Regulation, monitoring, analysing and managing the market in agricultural products, increasing market transparency, and ensuring policy coherence for development and the promotion of gender equality, the Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 227, to establish a system for monitoring the external impact of EU trade, to be integrated with the existing Market Observatories.**

**The information obtained may be transmitted or made available to international organisations, the competent authorities of third countries and may be made public, subject to the protection of personal data.**

**2. The monitoring system shall observe at least the following:**

**a) export volume and export price data of milk and milk products to individual developing countries with dairy production or dairy development plans, presented per country;**

**b) export volume and export price data of poultry to individual developing countries where sensitivities in the poultry sector have been identified, presented per country;**

**c) export volume and export price data to individual developing countries where sensitivities in a specific sector have been**

*identified, presented per country (notably for milk powders and liquid milk, pigmeat, cereals and tomatoes).*

Or. en

*Justification*

*The specified sectors - milk (point a) and poultry (point b) - in certain developing countries are particularly sensitive to increased EU exports. In the existing observatories, the Commission should integrate monitoring of EU exports and their effects in these countries, to ensure that the objectives of development policy are not compromised by EU trade. In particular, the effects of such trade on gender equality elsewhere in the world should be monitored.*

**Amendment 579**

**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Article 206 – paragraph 1

*Present text*

Save as otherwise provided in this Regulation, and in accordance with Article 42 TFEU, Articles 101 to 106 TFEU and the implementing provisions thereto shall, subject to Articles 207 to 210 of this Regulation, apply to all agreements, decisions and practices referred to in Article 101(1) and Article 102 TFEU which relate to the production of, or trade in, agricultural products.

*Amendment*

***(26a) In Article 206, the first paragraph is amended as follows:***

“Save as otherwise provided in this Regulation, ***in particular in Article 152(1a) and (1b)***, and in accordance with Article 42 TFEU, Articles 101 to 106 TFEU and the implementing provisions thereto shall, subject to Articles 207 to 210 of this Regulation, apply to all agreements, decisions and practices referred to in Article 101(1) and Article 102 TFEU which relate to the production of, or trade in, agricultural products.”

Or. en

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:02013R1308-20180101&from=FR>)*

## *Justification*

*This amendment and my amendment pertaining to Article 152(1a), first subparagraph, to be found under (22), are complementary.*

### **Amendment 580**

**Michel Dantin**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Article 206 – paragraph 2

#### *Present text*

In order to ensure the functioning of the internal market and the uniform application of Union competition rules, the Commission and the competition authorities of the Member States shall **apply** the Union competition rules ***in close cooperation***.

#### *Amendment*

***(26a) in Article 206, the second subparagraph is replaced by the following:***

“In order to ensure the functioning of the internal market and the uniform ***interpretation and*** application of Union competition rules, the Commission and the competition authorities of the Member States shall ***cooperate closely and, so far as possible, shall coordinate their actions when applying*** the Union competition rules.”

Or. fr

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:02013R1308-20180101&from=EN>)*

## *Justification*

*This amendment seeks to reinforce the cooperation and coordination obligation between the Commission and the competition authorities of the Member States in order to ensure that the Union competition rules are interpreted and applied uniformly.*

### **Amendment 581**

**Thomas Waitz**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Ana Miranda**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26 b (new)**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Article 206 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(26b) In Chapter I of Part IV, the following Article is inserted:**

**‘Article 206a**

**Resale at loss**

**1. Agricultural products from a sector listed in Article 1(2) shall not be resold at a loss, unless when justified for the purposes of avoiding food waste.**

**Resale at loss means the sale of agricultural and food products below the purchase price as per invoice, less the proportional part of the discounts included in the invoice, plus the transport costs and the taxes charged on the transaction, by a first or subsequent purchaser**

**2. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts concerning the criteria for exemptions to paragraph 1, concerning cases where resale at loss is justified for the purposes of avoiding food waste.’**

Or. en

**Amendment 582**  
**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26 b (new)**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Article 207 – point a

*Present text*

*Amendment*

**(26b) in Article 207, point (a) is replaced by the following:**

(a) the relevant product market: for the purposes of this Chapter, “product market” means the market comprising all those products which are regarded as interchangeable or substitutable by the consumer by reason of the products’ characteristics, their prices and their intended use;

“(a) the relevant product market: for the purposes of this Chapter, “product market” means the market comprising all those products which are regarded as interchangeable or substitutable by the **customer and by the** consumer by reason of the products’ characteristics, their prices and their intended use;”

Or. fr

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:02013R1308-20180101&from=EN>)*

### *Justification*

*This amendment seeks to expand the definition of the relevant market by adding the concept of ‘customer’ in addition to ‘consumer’.*

## **Amendment 583**

**Michel Dantin**

### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26 c (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Article 208

#### *Present text*

Article 208

Dominant position

For the purposes of this Chapter, “dominant position” means a position of economic strength enjoyed by an undertaking which enables it to prevent effective competition being maintained in the relevant market by giving it the power to behave to an appreciable extent independently of its competitors, customers and ultimately of consumers.

#### *Amendment*

***(26c) Article 208 is replaced by the following:***

“Article 208

Dominant position

For the purposes of this Chapter, “dominant position” means a position of economic strength enjoyed by an undertaking which enables it to prevent effective competition being maintained in the relevant market by giving it the power to behave to an appreciable extent independently of its competitors, **suppliers**, customers and ultimately of consumers.”

Or. fr



*Justification*

*This amendment seeks to expand the concept of ‘dominant position’ as defined by the single CMO in order to protect the upstream part of the chain, namely farmers as suppliers of raw materials. Although the aim is to rebalance relations within the supply chain, there is no legal basis in this Regulation for protecting farmers acting as suppliers.*

**Amendment 584**

**Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26 b (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Article 209 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 3

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(26b) In Article 209(1), the third subparagraph is deleted.***

Or. es

**Amendment 585**

**Bas Belder**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Article 209 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 3

*Present text*

*Amendment*

***(26a) In Article 209, paragraph 1, the subparagraph 3 is replaced by the following:***

This paragraph shall not apply to agreements, decisions and concerted practices which entail an obligation to charge an identical price or by which competition is excluded.

“This paragraph shall not apply to agreements, decisions and concerted practices which entail an obligation to charge an identical price or by which competition is excluded, ***unless such prices have been agreed within a producer organisation or an association of***

*producer organisations for the products concentrated therein.”*

Or. en

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:02013R1308-20180101&from=EN>)*

## **Amendment 586**

**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

### **Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26 b (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Article 209 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 3

*Present text*

*Amendment*

This paragraph shall not apply to agreements, decisions and concerted practices which entail an obligation to charge an identical price or by which competition is excluded.

***(26b) Article 209, paragraph 1, the subparagraph 3 is replaced by the following:***

“This paragraph shall not apply to agreements, decisions and concerted practices which entail an obligation to charge an identical price or by which competition is excluded. ***In the case of producer organisations and associations of producer organisations, this paragraph shall not apply to agreements, decisions and practices that entail a mandatory minimum price for sales by producer members outside the producer organisation.***”

Or. en

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:02013R1308-20180101&from=FR>)*

### *Justification*

*The ‘Endives’ Case C-671/15 clarified that agreements, decisions and concerted practices concerning prices within producer organisations and associations of producer organisations are allowed provided the producer organisations and associations are concentrating supply and placing on the market their members’ production and those agreements, decisions and practices do not entail a mandatory minimum price for sales outside the producer*

organisation. The third subparagraph should be amended for reasons of clarification.

**Amendment 587**

**Jan Huitema, Fredrick Federley**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26 d (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Article 209 – paragraph 2 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(26d) In Article 209, paragraph 2a is added:***

***2a. Sustainability initiatives contributing to the objectives of the CAP as set out in Article 39 TFEU and, more specific, in Article 5 and 6 of the CAP Strategic Plan Regulation may be exempted from article 101(1) when conducted by recognised producer organisations or associations of producer organisations. The Commission shall set clear preconditions and assess sectorial sustainability initiatives in a clearance procedure on basis of criteria that measure benefits for society as a whole, taken into account the functioning of the single market. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 227 to supplementing this Regulation with further rules on sustainability initiatives.***

Or. en

*Justification*

*This paragraph legally effectuates the request of ComAGRI in the Opinion to the Annual Report on Competition Policy (2018/2102(INI)).*

**Amendment 588**

**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26 d (new)**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Article 210

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(26d) Article 210 is deleted;**

Or. fr

*Justification*

*This amendment should be read in conjunction with the rewording of Article 210.*

**Amendment 589**  
**Eric Andrieu, Karine Gloanec Maurin**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26 b (new)**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Article 210 – paragraph 1

*Present text*

*Amendment*

**(26b) Article 210(1) is amended as follows:**

1. Article 101(1) TFEU shall not apply to agreements, decisions and concerted practices of interbranch organisations recognised under Article 157 of this Regulation ***with the object of carrying out the activities*** listed in point (c) of Article 157(1) and, for the milk and milk products sector, in point (c) of Article 157(3) of this Regulation, and, for the olive oil and table olives and tobacco sectors, in Article 162 of this Regulation.

“1. Article 101(1) TFEU shall not apply to agreements, decisions and concerted practices of interbranch organisations recognised under Article 157 of this Regulation ***which are necessary in order to meet the objectives*** listed in point (c) of Article 157(1) and, for the milk and milk products sector, in point (c) of Article 157(3) of this Regulation, and, for the olive oil and table olives and tobacco sectors, in Article 162 of this Regulation.

***Agreements, decisions and concerted practices which fulfil the conditions referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article shall apply without any prior decision to that effect being required. However, interbranch organisations recognised under Article 157 of this Regulation may ask the Commission for an opinion on the***

*compatibility of these agreements, decision and concerted practices with the objectives listed in Article 39 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union. The Commission shall deal with requests for opinions promptly and shall send the applicant its opinion within four months of receipt of a complete request. The Commission may, at its own initiative or at the request of a Member State, change the content of an opinion, in particular if the applicant has provided inaccurate information or misused the opinion.”*

Or. fr

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?qid=1543420057169&uri=CELEX:02013R1308-20180101>)*

#### *Justification*

*The aim of this amendment is to clarify the way in which the CMO rules on the responsibilities of interbranch organisations relate to competition law rules in line with the recent interpretation by the European Court of Justice. Article 210 is amended so it specifies that Article 101 does not apply to actions by interbranch organisations that are necessary in order to carry out their objectives as defined by the CMO, and an option is included for interbranch organisations to ask the Commission for an opinion. For the other activities not specified by the Regulation on the CMO the current notification procedure remains applicable.*

#### **Amendment 590**

**Eric Andrieu, Karine Gloanec Maurin**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26 c (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Article 210 – paragraph 2

*Present text*

*Amendment*

2. Paragraph 1 shall apply provided that:

*(26c) In Article 210, paragraph 2 is amended as follows:*

*“2. Article 101(1) TFEU shall **not** apply to agreements, decisions and concerted practices of interbranch organisations*

*recognised under Article 157 of this Regulation which concern activities other than the objectives listed in point (c) of Article 157(1) and, for the milk and milk products sector, in point (c) of Article 157(3), and, for the olive oil and table olives and tobacco sectors, in Article 162 of this Regulation provided that:*

(a) the agreements, decisions and concerted practices referred to therein have been notified to the Commission; and

(b) within two months of receipt of all the details required the Commission has not found that those agreements, decisions or concerted practices are incompatible with Union rules.

Where the Commission finds that the agreements, decisions or concerted practices referred to in paragraph 1 are incompatible with Union rules, it shall set out its finding without applying the procedure referred to in Article 229(2) or (3).

(a) the agreements, decisions and concerted practices referred to therein have been notified to the Commission; and

(b) within two months of receipt of all the details required the Commission has not found that those agreements, decisions or concerted practices are incompatible with Union rules.

Where the Commission finds that the agreements, decisions or concerted practices referred to in paragraph 2 are incompatible with Union rules, it shall set out its finding without applying the procedure referred to in Article 229(2) or (3).”

Or. fr

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?qid=1543420057169&uri=CELEX:02013R1308-20180101>)*

#### *Justification*

*The aim of this amendment is to clarify the way in which the CMO rules on the responsibilities of interbranch organisations relate to competition law rules in line with the recent interpretation by the European Court of Justice. Article 210 is amended so it specifies that Article 101 does not apply to actions by interbranch organisations that are necessary in order to carry out their objectives as defined by the CMO, and an option is included for interbranch organisations to ask the Commission for an opinion. For the other activities not specified by the Regulation on the CMO the current notification procedure remains applicable.*

#### **Amendment 591**

**Jan Huitema, Fredrick Federley**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(26b) In Article 210 a new paragraph is inserted:***

***4a. Sustainability initiatives contributing to the objectives of the CAP as set out in Article 39 TFEU and, more specific, in Article 5 and 6 of the CAP Strategic Plan Regulation may be exempted from article 101(1) when conducted by recognised interbranch organisations. The Commission shall set clear preconditions and assess sustainability initiatives in a clearance procedure on basis of criteria that measure benefits for society as a whole, taken into account the functioning of the single market. The Commission is empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 227 to supplementing this Regulation with further rules on sustainability initiatives.***

Or. en

*Justification*

*This paragraph legally effectuates the request of ComAGRI in the Opinion to the Annual Report on Competition Policy (2018/2102(INI)).*

## **Amendment 592**

**Eric Andrieu, Karine Gloanec Maurin**

### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26 d (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Article 210 – paragraph 4

*Present text*

*Amendment*

4. Agreements, decisions and concerted practices shall in any case be ***declared***

***(26d) In Article 210, paragraph 4 is amended as follows:***

***“4. Agreements, decisions and concerted practices shall in any case be incompatible***

incompatible with Union rules if they:

- (a) may lead to the partitioning of markets within the Union in any form;
- (b) may affect the sound operation of the market organisation;
- (c) may create distortions of competition which are not essential to achieving the objectives of the CAP pursued by the interbranch organisation activity;
- (d) entail the *fixing of prices* or *the fixing of quotas*;
- (e) may create discrimination or eliminate competition in respect of a substantial proportion of the products in question.

with Union rules if they:

- (a) may lead to the partitioning of markets within the Union in any form;
- (b) may affect the sound operation of the market organisation;
- (c) may create distortions of competition which are not essential to achieving the objectives of the CAP pursued by the interbranch organisation activity;
- (d) entail the *obligation to apply a fixed price* or *fixed volumes*;
- (e) may create discrimination or eliminate competition in respect of a substantial proportion of the products in question.”

Or. fr

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?qid=1543420057169&uri=CELEX:02013R1308-20180101>)*

#### *Justification*

*The aim of this amendment is to clarify the way in which the CMO rules on the responsibilities of interbranch organisations relate to competition law rules in line with the recent interpretation by the European Court of Justice. Article 210 is amended so it specifies that Article 101 does not apply to actions by interbranch organisations that are necessary in order to carry out their objectives as defined by the CMO, and an option is included for interbranch organisations to ask the Commission for an opinion. For the other activities not specified by the Regulation on the CMO the current notification procedure remains applicable.*

#### **Amendment 593**

**Eric Andrieu, Karine Gloanec Maurin**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26 e (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Article 210 – paragraph 5

*Present text*

*Amendment*

5. If, following the expiry of the two-

***(26e) In Article 210, paragraph 5 is amended as follows:***

“5. If, following the expiry of the two-



month period referred to in point (b) of the first subparagraph of paragraph 2, the Commission finds that the conditions for applying paragraph 1 have not been met, it shall, without applying the procedure referred to in Article 229(2) or (3), take a decision declaring that Article 101(1) TFEU applies to the agreement, decision or concerted practice in question.

That Commission decision shall not apply earlier than the date of its notification to the interbranch organisation concerned, unless that interbranch organisation has given incorrect information or abused the exemption provided for in *paragraph 1*.

month period referred to in point (b) of the first subparagraph of paragraph 2, the Commission finds that the conditions for applying paragraph 1 have not been met, it shall, without applying the procedure referred to in Article 229(2) or (3), take a decision declaring that *in the future* Article 101(1) TFEU applies to the agreement, decision or concerted practice in question.

That Commission decision shall not apply earlier than the date of its notification to the interbranch organisation concerned, unless that interbranch organisation has given incorrect information or abused the exemption provided for in *paragraphs 1 or 2.*”

Or. fr

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?qid=1543420057169&uri=CELEX:02013R1308-20180101>)*

#### *Justification*

*The aim of this amendment is to clarify the way in which the CMO rules on the responsibilities of interbranch organisations relate to competition law rules in line with the recent interpretation by the European Court of Justice. Article 210 is amended so it specifies that Article 101 does not apply to actions by interbranch organisations that are necessary in order to carry out their objectives as defined by the CMO, and an option is included for interbranch organisations to ask the Commission for an opinion. For the other activities not specified by the Regulation on the CMO the current notification procedure remains applicable.*

#### **Amendment 594**

**Michel Dantin**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26 e (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Article 210 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(26e) In Part IV, Chapter I, the following Article 210a is added:***

**Article 210a**

***Agreements and concerted practices of recognised interbranch organisations***

***1. Article 101(1) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union shall not apply to the agreements, decisions and concerted practices of interbranch organisations recognised under Article 157 of this Regulation which are necessary in order to meet the objectives listed in point (c) of Article 157(1) of this Regulation, and, for the olive oil and table olive and tobacco sectors, Article 162 of this Regulation.***

***Agreements, decisions and concerted practices which fulfil the conditions referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article shall apply without any prior decision to that effect being required.***

***However, interbranch organisations recognised under Article 157 of this Regulation may ask the Commission for an opinion on the compatibility of these agreements, decision and concerted practices with the objectives listed in Article 39 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union.***

***The Commission shall deal with requests for opinions promptly and shall send the applicant its opinion within two months of receipt of a complete request. The Commission may, at its own initiative or at the request of a Member State, change the content of an opinion, in particular if the applicant has provided inaccurate information or misused the opinion.***

***2. Article 101(1) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union shall not apply to the agreements, decisions and concerted practices of interbranch organisations recognised under Article 157 of this Regulation which relate to activities other than the objectives listed in point (c) of Article 157(1) of this Regulation, and, for the olive oil and table olive and tobacco sectors, Article 162 of***

*this Regulation, when:*

*(a) the agreements, decisions and concerted practices have been notified to the Commission; and*

*(b) within two months of receipt of all the details required the Commission has not found that those agreements, decisions or concerted practices are incompatible with Union rules.*

*Where the Commission finds that the agreements, decisions or concerted practices referred to in paragraph 1 are incompatible with Union rules, it shall set out its finding without applying the procedure referred to in Article 229(2) or (3).*

*The agreements, decisions and concerted practices referred to in paragraph 2 shall take effect when the two-month period referred to in point (b) of the first subparagraph of paragraph 2 has elapsed.*

*3. Agreements, decisions and concerted practices shall in any case be declared incompatible with Union rules if they:*

*(a) may lead to the partitioning of markets within the Union in any form;*

*(b) may affect the sound operation of the market organisation;*

*(c) may create distortions of competition which are not essential to achieving the objectives of the CAP pursued by the interbranch organisation activity;*

*(d) entail the fixing of prices or the fixing of quotas;*

*(e) may create discrimination or eliminate competition in respect of a substantial proportion of the products in question.*

*That Commission decision shall not apply earlier than the date of its notification to the interbranch organisation concerned, unless that interbranch organisation has given incorrect information or abused the exemption provided for in paragraph 1.*

***3. If the Commission finds that the conditions for applying paragraph 1 or, following the expiry of the two-month period referred to in point (b) of the first subparagraph of paragraph 2, the conditions for applying paragraph 2 have not been met, or are no longer met, it shall, without applying the procedure referred to in Article 229(2) or (3), take a decision declaring that in future Article 101(1) TFEU applies to the agreement, decision or concerted practice in question.***

***In the case of multiannual agreements, the notification for the first year shall be valid for the subsequent years of the agreement. However, in that event, the Commission may, on its own initiative or at the request of another Member State, issue a finding of incompatibility at any time.***

Or. fr

#### *Justification*

*This amendment seeks to extend the logic that has been applied since the agreement on the Omnibus regulation to Article 209, namely the possibility for interbranch organisations to obtain a letter of comfort for tasks which are defined by the CMO and given to those organisations. This would be in line with the European Parliament's positions in its various reports on competition policy, and with the case-law of the European Court of Justice. Nonetheless, the amendment proposes retaining the notification procedure with regard to tasks outside the scope defined by the CMO.*

#### **Amendment 595**

**Michel Dantin, Petri Sarvamaa, Albert Deß, Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Article 214 a – introductory part

*Present text*

*Amendment*

***(26a) In Article 214a, the introductory part is replaced by the following :***

Subject to authorisation by the Commission, for the period **2014-2020**, Finland may continue to grant national aids which it granted in **2013** to producers on the basis of **Article 141 of the 1994 Act of Accession**, provided that:

“Subject to authorisation by the Commission, for the period **2021-2027**, Finland may continue to grant national aids which it granted in **2020** to producers on the basis of **Commission Decision C(2014) 510** provided that”

Or. en

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:02013R1308-20180101&from=EN>)*

#### *Justification*

*This amendment aims at safeguarding the continuation of production in certain specific sectors in Southern Finland, the aid scheme based on Article 214a of the Single CMO.*

### **Amendment 596**

**Eric Andrieu, Karine Gloanec Maurin**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Article 214a

#### *Present text*

Subject to authorisation by the Commission, for the period **2014-2020**, Finland may continue to grant national aids which it granted in **2013** to producers on the basis of **Article 141 of the 1994 Act of Accession**, provided that:

(a) the amount of income aid is degressive over the whole period and **in 2020 does not exceed 30 % of the amount granted in 2013; and**

(b) prior to any recourse to this possibility, full use has been made of the support schemes under the CAP for the sectors concerned.

The Commission shall adopt its authorisation without applying the

#### *Amendment*

**(26a) Article 214a is amended as follows:**

“Subject to authorisation by the Commission, for the period **2021-2027**, Finland may continue to grant national aids which it granted in **2020** to producers on the basis of **Commission Decision C(2014) 510**, provided that:

(a) the amount of income aid is degressive over the whole period, and;

(b) prior to any recourse to this possibility, full use has been made of the support schemes under the CAP for the sectors concerned.

The Commission shall adopt its authorisation without applying the

procedure referred to in Article 229(2) or (3) of this Regulation.

procedure referred to in Article 229 of this Regulation.”

Or. fr

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?qid=1543420057169&uri=CELEX:02013R1308-20180101>)*

*Justification*

*In order to ensure that production is maintained in certain sectors in southern Finland, the aid scheme based on Article 214a of the single CMO must be extended under the next financial period.*

**Amendment 597**

**Michel Dantin, Petri Sarvamaa, Albert Deß, Elsi Katainen, Ulrike Müller**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26 b (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Article 214 a – point a

*Present text*

*(a) the amount of income aid is degressive over the whole period and **in 2020 does not exceed 30 % of the amount granted in 2013; and***

*Amendment*

***(26b) In Article 214a, the point a is replaced by the following :***

***“a) the total amount of income aid is degressive over the whole period and”***

Or. en

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:02013R1308-20180101&from=EN>)*

*Justification*

*This amendment aims at safeguarding the continuation of production in certain specific sectors in Southern Finland, the aid scheme based on Article 214a of the Single CMO.*

**Amendment 598**

**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26 f (new)**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Chapter – I (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(26f) in Part V, the following Chapter -I is inserted:**

**CHAPTER -I - Transparency of the markets in agricultural products**

Or. fr

*Justification*

*This amendment seeks to add a new chapter to Part V of the single CMO regulation on the transparency of the markets in agricultural products.*

**Amendment 599**  
**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26 g (new)**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Article 218 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(26g) In Chapter -I of Title V, the following Article is inserted:**

**Article 218a**

**European Markets in Agricultural Products Observatory**

**1. In order to improve transparency within the agri-food supply chain, to illuminate the choices of economic operators and all public authorities and to facilitate the identification and recording of market developments, the Commission shall establish a European observatory of markets in agricultural products (hereinafter: the Observatory).**

***2. The Observatory shall cover, as a minimum, the following agricultural sectors as defined in Article 1(1):***

- (a) cereals;***
- (b) sugar, sugar beet and sugar cane;***
- (c) olive oil;***
- (d) fruit and vegetables;***
- (e) wine;***
- (f) milk and milk products;***
- (g) beef and veal;***
- (h) pigmeat;***
- (i) sheepmeat and goatmeat;***
- (j) poultrymeat.***

***3. The Observatory shall collect the statistical data and information necessary for producing analyses and studies concerning:***

- (a) production and supply;***
- (b) price formation mechanisms and, as far as possible, profit margins throughout the agri-food supply chain in the Union and the Member States;***
- (c) price trends and, as far as possible, profit margins at all levels of the food supply chain in the Union and the Member States and in all agricultural and agri-food sectors;***
- (d) short- and medium-term market forecasts;***
- (e) trends in imports and exports of agricultural products, in particular the filling of tariff quotas for the importing of agricultural products into Union territory.***

***The Observatory shall produce annual reports containing the elements referred to in the first subparagraph and shall send them to the European Parliament and the Council.***

***4. The Member States shall collect the information referred to in paragraph 3 from agricultural products processing***



*enterprises or other operators active in the trade of agricultural products, and shall send it to the Observatory.*

*This information shall be deemed confidential and the Observatory shall ensure that specific prices or names of individual economic operators are not published.*

*The Commission may adopt implementing acts to put in place a system of notification and reports in order to apply this paragraph. Those implementing acts shall be adopted in accordance with examination procedure referred to in Article 229(2).*

Or. fr

#### *Justification*

*This amendment seeks to add to the single CMO regulation an observatory of markets in agricultural products, based on the work of the various sectoral observatories established since 2012, in order to improve transparency within the agri-food supply chain, to illuminate the choices of economic operators and all public authorities and to facilitate the identification and recording of market developments.*

**Amendment 600**  
**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26 h (new)**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Article 218 b (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(26h) in Section 1 of Chapter I of Part V, the following Article is inserted:*

*Article 218b*

*Early warning mechanism for market disruptions*

*The Observatory, established pursuant to Article 218a, shall set up an early warning mechanism and shall notify the*

*Commission, the European Parliament and the Council of threats of market disruptions caused, in particular, by significant price rises or falls on internal or external markets or by other events or circumstances having similar effects.*

*This notification shall be accompanied by a recommendation concerning the responses to be made to prevent such threats or, if applicable, to address ongoing market disruptions in a concrete and effective manner.*

*The Commission shall have 30 days from the date of the Observatory's notification to submit to the European Parliament and the Council appropriate measures to be adopted under this Regulation to tackle such market disruptions, or to give reasons for not taking such measures.*

Or. fr

#### *Justification*

*This amendment proposes the establishment by the European Observatory of markets in agricultural products an early warning mechanism designed to alert the Commission, the European Parliament and the Council to threats of market disruptions caused, in particular, by significant price rises or falls on internal or external markets or by other events or circumstances having a similar effect. It is proposed that such a notification shall trigger a response from the Commission to the co-legislators within the following 30 days.*

#### **Amendment 601**

**Eric Andrieu**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26 f (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Article 219 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 4

#### *Present text*

Such measures may to the extent and for the time necessary to address the market

#### *Amendment*

**(26f) in Article 219(1), subparagraph 4 is amended as follows:**

“Such measures may to the extent and for the time necessary to address the market

disturbance or threat thereof extend or modify the scope, duration or other aspects of other measures provided for under this Regulation, *or provide for export refunds, or suspend* import duties in whole or in part including for certain quantities or periods as necessary.

disturbance or threat thereof extend or modify the scope, duration or other aspects of other measures provided for under this Regulation, *and other measures provided for in Articles 39 to 63 of Chapter III of the ‘Strategic Plans’ Regulation, or reinforce import controls, or downwardly or upwardly adjust* import duties in whole or in part including for certain quantities or periods as necessary. *They may also concern the adaptation of the entry scheme for fruit and vegetables through consultation with third countries that export to the European Union. Finally, they may provide for financial support in exchange for a voluntary undertaking to reduce production.”*

Or. fr

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?qid=1543601391583&uri=CELEX:02013R1308-20180101>)*

#### *Justification*

*The Commission has removed export subsidies, and the reference to these in Article 219 must therefore also be deleted. The measures also cover all the measures for preventing and managing crises contained in the sectoral interventions of the Strategic Plans for wine and fruit and vegetables. Reference should also be made to the possibility of downwardly or upwardly adjusting import duties following the removal of export subsidies. Finally, these measures may finance a reduction in production.*

#### **Amendment 602**

**Thomas Waitz**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Ana Miranda**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26 c (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Article 219 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 4

*Present text*

*Amendment*

*(26c) In the Article 219, paragraph 1, the subparagraph 4 is replaced by the*

Such measures may to the extent and for the time necessary to address the market disturbance or threat thereof extend or modify the scope, duration or other aspects of other measures provided for under this Regulation, or **provide for export refunds, or suspend import duties in whole or in part including for certain quantities or periods as necessary.**

**following:**

“Such measures may to the extent and for the time necessary to address the market disturbance or threat thereof extend or modify the scope, duration or other aspects of other measures provided for under this Regulation, or suspend import duties in whole or in part including for certain quantities or periods as necessary.”

Or. en

(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:02013R1308-20180101>)

#### *Justification*

*The text removes references to export refunds.*

### **Amendment 603**

**Norbert Erdős**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26 b (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Article 219 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 4

#### *Present text*

Such measures may to the extent and for the time necessary to address the market disturbance or threat thereof extend or modify the scope, duration or other aspects of other measures provided for under this Regulation, or **provide for export refunds, or suspend** import duties in whole or in part including for certain quantities or periods as necessary.

#### *Amendment*

**(26b) In Article 219, paragraph 1, subparagraph 4 is replaced by the following:**

“Such measures may to the extent and for the time necessary to address the market disturbance or threat thereof extend or modify the scope, duration or other aspects of other measures provided for under this Regulation, or **reinforce import controls, or downwardly or upwardly adjust** import duties in whole or in part including for certain quantities or periods as necessary. **They may also concern the adaptation of the entry price scheme for fruit and vegetables through consultation with third countries that export to the Union.**”

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32013R1308&from=en>)*

*Justification*

*Since the Commission has deleted Articles 196 to 204 on export subsidies, the reference to these in Article 219 must also be deleted. Consequently, to maintain the balance between measures to be taken in the event of imbalances that push internal prices up or down, it would be appropriate to refer to the possibility to adjust duties up- or downward and not merely to suspend them, and then to reinforce import controls.*

**Amendment 604**  
**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 27 a (new)**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Article 219 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 4

*Present text*

Such measures may to the extent and for the time necessary to address the market disturbance or threat thereof extend or modify the scope, duration or other aspects of other measures provided for under this Regulation, or provide for export refunds, or suspend import duties in whole or in part including for certain quantities or periods as necessary.

*Amendment*

***(27a) in Article 219(1), the fourth subparagraph is replaced by the following:***

Such measures may to the extent and for the time necessary to address the market disturbance or threat thereof extend or modify the scope, duration or other aspects of other measures provided for under this Regulation ***and other measures provided for in Articles 39 to 63 of Chapter III of the EU Regulation [CAP Strategic Plans Regulation]***, or provide for export refunds, or suspend import duties in whole or in part including for certain quantities or periods as necessary. ***In particular, the measures may provide for financial support in exchange for a voluntary undertaking to reduce production.***

Or. fr

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:02013R1308->)*

*Justification*

*This amendment seeks to adjust the wording in line with the amendments included in the Strategic Plans (transfers from the sectoral programmes) and to add a reference to a voluntary undertaking to reduce production.*

**Amendment 605**

**Clara Eugenia Aguilera García**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) 1308/2013

Article 219 – paragraph 1 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(26a) A new paragraph 1a is inserted in Article 219 as follows:***

***1a The Commission shall set, on the basis of the most recent objective data, for each sector or product, the reference level for considering disturbances of the market in each of them.***

Or. es

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:02013R1308-20180101&qid=1543573613250&from=ES>)*

*Justification*

*So that the agricultural sector can be resilient against growing price volatility, greater trade liberalisation, new environmental challenges and social demands in the EU, disturbances of the market need to be based on objective and transparent data, and the measures to be adopted by the European Commission and, where applicable, the use of crisis-reserve funds needs to be triggered and implemented on the basis of those objective parameters.*

**Amendment 606**

**Esther Herranz García, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Gabriel Mato**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(26a) In Article 219, the following paragraph is added:***

***In pursuance of paragraph 1, when the observatory provided for in Article 18a detects price reductions to below average unit production costs that jeopardise the viability of the holdings, the European Commission shall take exceptional measures to support producers, which may include direct grants, production-adjustment programmes and/or market-intervention measures. In any event, rapid-response procedures shall be introduced, which shall prevent disturbances of the market over an extended period.***

Or. es

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32013R1308&from=EN>)*

*Justification*

*There is a need to provide for agile measures to tackle market crises, using as a reference point the European Market Observatory proposed in the new Article 18a.*

**Amendment 607**

**Thomas Waitz**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Ana Miranda**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 28 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Article 219 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(28a) The following Article is inserted:***

***'Article 219a***

***Volume Reduction Scheme***

***1. In the event of serious market disturbance, the Commission may decide to grant aid to producers in a specific sector listed in Article 1(2), who, during a defined period and on a voluntary basis, reduce their production compared to the same period of the previous year (reference period). The reduction period may be prolonged if deemed necessary.***

***2. During the reduction period, each producer who supplies a volume greater than that supplied during the reference period shall pay a market responsibility penalty according to the extent of his/her oversupply.***

***3. In this regard, the Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts laying down the following points:***

***(a) Maximal supply volumes to be reduced at EU level, in the framework of the reduction scheme;***

***(b) The duration of the reduction period;***

***(c) The amount of aid to be paid to producers who reduce their volumes during the reduction period, and the financing arrangements for this aid;***

***(d) The amount of the market responsibility levy for producers who increase their volumes during the reduction period;***

***(e) The eligibility criteria for producers applying for aid under the reduction scheme;***

***(f) Specific conditions for implementing the scheme.'***

Or. en

**Amendment 608**  
**Sofia Ribeiro**



**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26 a (new)**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Article 220 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(26a) A new Article is inserted**

***Production curbing system***

***In the event of severe imbalances in the market and provided that production techniques allow it, the Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 227 in view of granting aid to producers in a sector referred to in Article 1(2), who for a defined period shall reduce their delivery in comparison to the same period of the previous year, at an European level.***

***The aid shall be granted on the principle of an application by producers submitted within the Member State in which the producers are established, using the method laid down by the Member State concerned, but has to be transversal to all Member States. Member States may decide that applications for reduction aid are to be submitted on behalf of producers by recognised organisations or by cooperatives established in accordance with national law. In this case, Member States shall ensure that the aid is fully transmitted to producers who have effectively reduced their delivery.***

***If participation is insufficient to restore balance to the market, the Commission shall have the power to make the reduction of production obligatory for all producers of all Member States.***

***In order to ensure that this scheme is implemented effectively and appropriately, the Commission is empowered to adopt, in accordance with Article 227, delegated acts establishing:***

***a. the maximum total volume or quantity***

- of delivery to be reduced at Union level in the framework of the reduction scheme;*
- b. the duration of the reduction period and, if necessary, its prolongation;*
- c. the amount of aid in accordance with the reduced volume or quantity and its financing arrangements;*
- d. the criteria for applicants to be eligible for the aid and for applications for aid to be admissible;*
- e. the specific conditions for the implementation of this scheme.*

Or. en

**Amendment 609**  
**Matt Carthy**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26 a (new)**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Article 220 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(26a) Article 220 (new)**

**1. If the market falls into a state of a significant imbalance, the Commission can financially compensate the producers of the sectors listed in Article 1(2), who, during a defined period and on a voluntary basis, have reduced their production compared to the same period of the previous year.**

**2. Each producer who supplies a larger amount than during the reference period has to pay a market responsibility penalty according to the extent of his oversupply.**

**3. In order for this to be possible, the Commission shall adopt delegated acts laying down the following points:**

**a. Determination of the maximal supply volumes to be reduced on EU level in the**

*framework of the reduction scheme*

*b. Determination of the duration of the reduction period*

*c. Determination of the amount to be paid to producers for reducing their volumes as well as of the details for funding the measures.*

*d. Determination of the amount of the market responsibility penalty for the producers who increase their supply during the reduction phase.*

*e. Determination of the criteria producers need to fulfil in order to be eligible for the reduction bonus as well as of the criteria for approving submitted applications.*

*f. Determination of specific conditions for implementing the programme.*

Or. en

## **Amendment 610**

**Clara Eugenia Aguilera García**

### **Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26 e (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Part V – Chapter I – Section 4 – title

*Present text*

*Amendment*

Agreements and decisions during periods of severe imbalance in markets

**(26e) Part V, Chapter I, Section 4, the title shall be replaced by the following:**

‘Agreements and decisions **to prevent market disturbances** during periods of severe imbalance in markets’

Or. es

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:02013R1308-20180101&qid=1544449960291&from=EN>)*

**Amendment 611**  
**Clara Eugenia Aguilera García**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26 c (new)**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Article 222 – Paragraph 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(26c) Article 222(1) shall be amended as follows:**

**1. During and to prevent market disturbances, in accordance with Article 219, and periods of severe imbalance in markets, the Commission may adopt implementing acts to the effect that Article 101(1) TFEU is not to apply to agreements and decisions of farmers, farmers' associations, or associations of such associations, or recognised producer organisations, associations of recognised producer organisations and recognised interbranch organisations in any of the sectors referred to in Article 1(2) of this Regulation, provided that such agreements and decisions do not undermine the proper functioning of the internal market, strictly aim to stabilise the sector concerned and fall under one or more of the following categories:**

Or. es

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:02013R1308-20180101&qid=1543573613250&from=ES>)*

*Justification*

*The Commission needs to be able to take extraordinary steps to prevent crises, not just when there is a serious risk that severe market crises will develop and not just when such a crisis has already broken out. On the other hand, in view of the limited EU budget, the extension of rules should be made possible, so that the sector can fund the aforementioned measures and so that all operators in a sector share in the costs of measures intended to have an impact on the market that will benefit everyone.*

**Amendment 612**  
**Clara Eugenia Aguilera García**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26 d (new)**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Article 222, paragraph 1 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(26d) in Article 222, the following paragraph 1a is added:***

***2. The agreements and decisions adopted in respect of the previous paragraph by recognised producer organisations or recognised associations of producer organisations or recognised interbranch organisations can be extended in accordance with Article 164, with no need for those agreements to have any of the aims set out in Article 164(4), and under the conditions set by the Member State.***

Or. es

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:02013R1308-20180101&qid=1543573613250&from=ES>)*

*Justification*

*It is proposed to include the option for producer organisations, associations of producer organisations or interbranch organisations to extend the rules of any agreements reached in pursuance of Article 222 for severe crisis situations.*

**Amendment 613**  
**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 26 c (new)**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Article 222 – paragraph 3 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(26c) In Article 222, the following paragraph 3a is added:***

*3a Where the Commission has authorised the agreements and decisions referred to in paragraph 1, the Member State concerned may, at the request of the farmers, farmers' associations, or associations of such associations, or recognised producer organisations, associations of recognised producer organisations and recognised interbranch organisations taking part in such agreements and decisions, make these binding on other operators acting in the geographic area concerned, whether individuals or groups. The extension of rules may not exceed the timeframe referred to in paragraph 3.*

Or. en

**Amendment 614**

**Thomas Waitz**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Ana Miranda**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 27**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(27) In Article 225, points (a) *to* (d) are deleted;

*Amendment*

(27) In Article 225, points (a), (c) *and* (d) are deleted;

Or. en

*Justification*

*The milk market still requires monitoring, and reporting requirements here should not be deleted*

**Amendment 615**

**Esther Herranz García**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 27**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Article 225 – points a to d

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**27) In Article 225, points (a) to (d) are deleted;**

**deleted**

Or. es

*Justification*

*It is proposed to keep the European Commission's periodic reports.*

**Amendment 616**

**Thomas Waitz**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Ana Miranda**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 27 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Article 225 – point b

*Present text*

*Amendment*

**(b) by 30 June 2014 and also by 31 December 2018**, on the development of the market situation in the milk and milk products sector, and in particular on the operation of Articles 148 to 151, Article 152(3) and Article 157(3), assessing in particular the effects on milk producers and milk production in disadvantaged regions in connection with the general objective of maintaining production in such regions, and covering potential incentives to encourage farmers to enter into joint production agreements, together with any appropriate proposals;

**(27a) Article 225 point (b) is replaced by the following:**

**“(b) every four years and for the first time by 30 June 2022**, on the development of the market situation in the milk and milk products sector, and in particular on the operation of Articles 148 to 151, Article 152(3) and Article 157(3), assessing in particular the effects on milk producers and milk production in disadvantaged regions in connection with the general objective of maintaining production in such regions, and covering potential incentives to encourage farmers to enter into joint production agreements, together with any appropriate proposals;”

Or. en

*Justification*

*The milk market still requires monitoring, and reporting requirements here should be updated.*

**Amendment 617**

**Martin Häusling**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Ana Miranda**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 27 b (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Article 225 – point f a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(27b) In Article 225, the following point is added:***

***‘(f a) by 31 December 2020, on its assessment of the self-regulatory proposals of the alcoholic beverage industry concerning the labelling of ingredients and nutritional declaration of all alcoholic beverages, to be accompanied by a legislative proposal determining the rules for a list of ingredients and a nutritional declaration for all alcoholic beverages, with exemptions for microproducers where appropriate.’***

Or. en

**Amendment 618**

**Matt Carthy**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 27 b (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Article 225



The Commission shall present a report to the European Parliament and to the Council:

(a) every three years and for the first time by 21 December 2016 the implementation of the measures concerning the apiculture sector as set out in Articles 55, 56 and 57, including on the latest developments on beehive identification systems;

(b) **by 30 June 2014 and also** by 31 December 2018, on the development of the market situation in the milk and milk products sector, and in particular on the operation of Articles 148 to 151, Article 152(3) and Article 157(3), assessing in particular the effects on milk producers and milk production in disadvantaged regions in connection with the general objective of maintaining production in such regions, and covering potential incentives to encourage farmers to enter into joint production agreements, together with any appropriate proposals;

(c) **by 31 December 2014, on the possibility of extending the scope of the school schemes to include olive oil and table olives;**

(d) **by 31 December 2017, on the application of the competition rules to the agricultural sector in all Member States, in particular on the operation of Articles 209 and 210, and of Articles 169, 170 and 171 in the sectors concerned;**

(e) by 31 July 2023, on the application of the allocation criteria referred to in Article 23a(2);

**(27b) Article 225 is replaced by the following:**

“The Commission shall present a report to the European Parliament and to the Council:

(a) every three years and for the first time by 21 December 2016 the implementation of the measures concerning the apiculture sector as set out in Articles 55, 56 and 57, including on the latest developments on beehive identification systems;

(b) by 31 December 2018, on the development of the market situation in the milk and milk products sector, and in particular on the operation of Articles 148 to 151, Article 152(3) and Article 157(3), assessing in particular the effects on milk producers and milk production in disadvantaged regions in connection with the general objective of maintaining production in such regions, and covering potential incentives to encourage farmers to enter into joint production agreements, together with any appropriate proposals;

**(ba) By 30 June 2019, the Commission’s strategy to use the provisions in this regulation effectively to prevent and manage internal agricultural market crises that may occur following the exit of the United Kingdom from the European Union.**

(c) by 31 July 2023, on the application of the allocation criteria referred to in Article 23a(2);

(d) by 31 July 2023, on the impact of the transfers referred to in Article 23a(4) on the effectiveness of the school scheme in relation to the distribution of school fruit and vegetables and school milk.”

**(f)** by 31 July 2023, on the impact of the transfers referred to in Article 23a(4) on the effectiveness of the school scheme in relation to the distribution of school fruit and vegetables and school milk.

Or. en

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32013R1308&from=en>)*

**Amendment 619**  
**Norbert Erdős**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 27 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(27a) In Article 225, the following point da is added:***

***(da) By 30 June 2019, on the Commission’s strategy to use the provisions in the Regulation effectively to prevent and manage internal agricultural market crises that may occur following the United Kingdom’s withdrawal.***

Or. en

*Justification*

*This article insists that the Commission establish reports on topics pertaining to the implementation of the Regulation. Considering the Commission’s subdued activity in terms of evaluating the first pillar of the CAP and in view of Brexit, it is important that the Commission proposes a crisis prevention and management strategy once the main Brexit scenarios will have been established.*

**Amendment 620**  
**Norbert Erdős**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 28**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(28) In Part V, Chapter III covering Article 226 is deleted.**

**deleted**

Or. en

*Justification*

*I cannot agree with the transfer of the crisis reserve from the Single CMO regulation to the CAP Strategic Plan regulation. Rules on the crisis reserve, market interventions and private storage aid are better placed in the Single CMO regulation.*

**Amendment 621**

**Tomáš Zdechovský**

on behalf of the Committee on Budgetary Control

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 28 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Article 226 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(28a) The following Article is added:**

**Article 226a**

**Performance framework**

**1. The Commission shall put in place a framework for reporting on, monitoring and evaluating the performance of the crisis management plan during its implementation.**

**2. The performance framework shall include the following elements:**

**(a) a common set of context, output, results and impact indicators, on which the monitoring and evaluation and the annual performance report shall be based;**

**(b) targets and annual milestones established for the relevant specific**

*objectives, using result indicators;*

*(c) data collection, storage and transmission;*

*(d) annual performance reports on the crisis management plan for each of the outputs affected during the year;*

*(e) measures of the potential for rationalisation in the overall use of the EAGF;*

**3. The purpose of the performance framework shall be to:**

*(a) assess the impact, effectiveness, efficiency, relevance, coherence and EU added value of the CAP;*

*(b) report to the European Parliament and to the Council on the use of the prerogatives accorded to the Commission in respect of crisis prevention and management;*

*(c) move away from the current rationale for EAGF spending;*

*(d) foster a countercyclical approach in the guidance of agricultural markets and revenues, whereby the regulator of the agricultural sector, i.e. the Commission, makes optimal use of public funding in response to economic cycles, climate-related incidents and geopolitical tensions.*

Or. en

#### *Justification*

*This summarises Articles 91 and 113 of the draft Strategic Plans Regulation where Member States must submit and justify their choice to the Commission. The Commission should define its strategy in the event of crises so that it can be held accountable to Parliament and the Council. The clarification of its strategy is an essential prerequisite for Member States to be able, in turn, to establish their priorities.*

**Amendment 622**  
**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 28 a (new)**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Annex 1 – Part X – CN code – (aa) (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(28a) in Annex I, Part X, CN Code, the following new point (aa) is inserted:**

**(aa) 07096099 Other Peppers (Chilli pepper - Vegetarian pepper)**

Or. fr

**Amendment 623**  
**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 28 b (new)**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Annex I – Part XXIII a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(28b) in Annex I, the following new Part XXIIIa is inserted:**

***‘Animal genetics products***

***01012100 - Pure-bred breeding horses***

***010221 - Pure-bred domestic breeding bovines***

***01022110 - Pure-bred domestic breeding bovines (heifers)***

***01022190 - Pure-bred domestic breeding bovines (other than 01012110 or 01012130)***

***01023100 - Pure-bred breeding buffalo***

***01029020 - Live pure-bred breeding bovine animals, other than 010221 or 01023100***

***01031000 - Live pure-bred breeding swine***

***01041010 - Live pure-bred breeding sheep***

***01051111 - Fowls of the species Gallus***

*domesticus: Grandparent and parent female chicks, laying stocks*

*01051119 - Fowls of the species Gallus domesticus: Grandparent and parent female chicks other than 01051111*

*04071100 - Fertilised eggs for incubation, from poultry of the species Gallus domesticus*

*040719 - Fertilised eggs for incubation other than 04071100*

*04071911 - Fertilised eggs for incubation, from turkey or geese*

*04071919 - Fertilised eggs for incubation, from poultry other than the species Gallus domesticus and other than turkey or geese*

*04071990 - Fertilised eggs for incubation other than from poultry*

*05111000 - Bovine semen*

*05119985 - Animal products not elsewhere specified or included, other than 05111000 (including mammalian semen other than bovine semen, mammalian ova and mammalian embryos)'*

Or. fr

**Amendment 624**  
**Norbert Erdős**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 29 a (new)**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Annex II – Part IX – paragraph 2a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(29a) In part IX of Annex II, the following point is added:*

*(2a) 'Beeswax' is a substance that consists only of the secretion of wax glands of worker bees of the species Apis mellifera and is used for building hives.*

*Justification*

*We need to have an EU-definition for beeswax in order to efficiently combat the adulteration of bee products.*

**Amendment 625**

**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 29 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Annex II – Part IX – paragraph 2 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(29a) in Part IX of Annex II, the following paragraph is added:***

***2a. ‘Royal jelly’ means the mixture of secretions from the hypopharyngeal and mandibular glands of worker bees, free from any additive. This substance is the food of larval and adult queen bees. It is a fresh, pure, natural and untreated product. It is a raw and natural food, which is not processed (except for being filtered) and free from additives. The colour, taste and chemical composition of royal jelly are determined by the absorption and transformation by the bees fed with two types of the following foods during the period of royal jelly production:***

***Type 1: jelly from bees fed solely on honey, nectar and pollen***

***Type 2: jelly from bees fed on honey, nectar and pollen and other foods (proteins, carbohydrates)***

Or. fr

## *Justification*

*This amendment seeks to expand the definition proposed by the rapporteur by setting out the ISO 12824 standard, the only official text providing an international definition of royal jelly.*

### **Amendment 626**

**Nuno Melo**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 29 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Annex II – part IX – paragraph 2 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(29a) In part IX of Annex II, the following point shall be added:***

***(2a) “Pollen pellets” are accumulated pollen grains harvested by worker bees of the species *Apis mellifera*, which is compacted on their hind legs with the help of honey and/or nectar and bees’ secretion. The protein source for the colony, the product is natural, free from additives and harvested at the entrance to the hive.***

Or. en

### **Amendment 627**

**Nuno Melo**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 29 b (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Annex II – part IX – paragraph 2 b (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(29b) In part IX of Annex II, the following point shall be added:***

***(2b) ‘Bee pollen’ or ‘bee bread’ is pollen pellets balls packed into honey combcells by bees and which undergo natural processing leading to the presence of***



*enzymes and commensal microbiota. It is used by nurse bees to feed the brood. It may not contain any additives except wax from the honeycomb cells.*

Or. en

**Amendment 628**  
**Norbert Erdős**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 29 b (new)**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Annex II – Part IX – paragraph 2b (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(29b) In part IX of Annex II, the following point is added:*

*(2b) ‘Royal jelly’ is a natural substance secreted by the hypopharyngeal and mandibular glands of nurse bees of the species *Apis mellifera*. This substance is mainly fed to larvae and queens; it is a fresh, natural, unprocessed product. It cannot be ultrafiltered and no substance may be added.*

Or. en

*Justification*

*We need to have an EU-definition for royal jelly in order to efficiently combat the adulteration of bee products.*

**Amendment 629**  
**Norbert Erdős**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 29 c (new)**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Annex II – Part IX – paragraph 2 c (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(29c) In part IX of Annex II, the following point is added:***

***(2c) ‘Propolis’ is an exclusively natural, vegetable resin gathered by worker bees of the species *Apis mellifera* from certain plant sources, to which their own secretion (mainly beeswax and saliva) is added. The resin is mainly used to protect the hive.***

Or. en

*Justification*

*We need to have an EU-definition for propolis in order to efficiently combat the adulteration of bee products.*

**Amendment 630**  
**Norbert Erdős**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 29 d (new)**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Annex II – Part IX – paragraph 2d (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(29d) In part IX of Annex II, the following point is added:***

***(2d) ‘Pollen granules’ are accumulated pollen grains gathered by worker bees of the species *Apis mellifera*, which they compact with their hind legs using honey and/or nectar and bee secretion.***

Or. en

*Justification*

*We need to have an EU-definition for pollen granules in order to efficiently combat the adulteration of bee products.*

**Amendment 631**

**Norbert Erdős**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 29 e (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Annex II – Part IX – paragraph 2e (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(29e) In part IX of Annex II, the following point is added:***

***(2e) ‘Bee pollen’ or ‘bee bread’ is pollen balls that are packed by bees in beehive cells and that undergo natural processing resulting in the presence of enzymes and commensal microbiota. It is used by nurse bees to feed the brood.***

Or. en

*Justification*

*We need to have an EU-definition for bee pollen and bee bread in order to efficiently combat the adulteration of bee products.*

**Amendment 632**

**Norbert Erdős**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 29 f (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Annex II – Part IX – paragraph 2f (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(29f) In part IX of Annex II, the following point is added:***

***(2f) ‘Bee venom’ is the secretion from a bee’s venom gland that is used by bees to defend the hive against attacks.***

Or. en

*Justification*

*We need to have an EU-definition for bee venom in order to efficiently combat the adulteration of bee products.*

**Amendment 633**

**Esther Herranz García**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 30 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**b) in Part B, Section I is deleted; deleted**

Or. es

*Justification*

*It is proposed to keep the cane sugar standards, which are necessary for contracts between farmers and industry, regardless of the scrapping of the quota system.*

**Amendment 634**

**Norbert Erdős**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 30 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(b) in Part B, Section I is deleted; deleted**

Or. en

*Justification*

*I do not consider to delete quality standards on sugar beet.*

**Amendment 635**

**Stanisław Ożóg, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 30 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**b) in Part B, Section I is deleted;** **deleted**

Or. pl

*Justification*

*The definition of ‘standard quality sugar beets’ should be maintained. At present, the sector commonly refers to this definition for example, to calculate beet prices, which are adjusted according to deviations from the standard quality of beet (i.e. with a sugar content of 16%). The definition ‘standard quality sugar beets’ is also used elsewhere in Regulation 1308/2013, e.g. in Annex X ‘CONDITIONS OF PURCHASING BEETS IN THE PERIOD REFERRED TO IN ARTICLE 125 PARAGRAPH 3.*

**Amendment 636**  
**Beata Gosiewska**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 30 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**b) in Part B, Section I is deleted;** **deleted**

Or. pl

**Amendment 637**  
**Paolo De Castro**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 31 a (new)**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Annex VII – part –1 (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(31a) In Annex VII, the following part is inserted:**

**-1. Meat, meat products and meat preparations**

*For the purposes of this Part of this Annex, “meat” means the edible parts of the animals as referred to in points 1.2 to 1.8 of Annex I of the Regulation EC 853/2004, including blood.*

*The meat-related terms and names that fall under Article 17 of Regulation (EU) No 1169/2011 and that are currently used for meat and meat cuts shall be reserved exclusively for edible parts of the animals.*

*“Meat preparations” mean fresh meat, including meat that has been reduced to fragments, which has had foodstuffs, seasonings or additives added to it or which has undergone processes that do not alter the internal muscle fibre structure of the meat enough for the characteristics of fresh meat to be eliminated.*

*“Meat products” means processed products resulting from the processing of meat or from the further processing of such processed products, so that the cut surface shows that the product no longer has the characteristics of fresh meat.*

*Names that fall under Article 17 of Regulation (EU) No 1169/2011 that are currently used for meat products and meat preparations shall be reserved exclusively for products containing meat.*

*Poultry products and cuts defined in Regulation (EU) No 543/2008, which lays down detailed rules for the application of Council Regulation (EU) No 1234/2007 as regards the marketing standards for poultry meat shall be reserved exclusively for edible parts of the animals and products containing poultry meat.*

Or. en

**Amendment 638**  
**Mara Bizzotto, Angelo Ciocca**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(31a) In Annex VII, the following part is inserted:***

***-1. “meat” means the edible parts of the animals as referred to in points 1.2 to 1.8 of Annex I of the Regulation EC 853/2004, including blood.***

***The meat-related terms and names that fall under Article 17 of Regulation (EU) No 1169/2011 and that are currently used for meat and meat cuts shall be reserved exclusively for edible parts of the animals which do not fall into the scope of the Regulation (EU) No 2283/2015.***

***“Meat preparations” mean fresh meat, including meat that has been reduced to fragments, which has had foodstuffs, seasonings or additives added to it or which has undergone processes that do not alter the internal muscle fibre structure of the meat enough for the characteristics of fresh meat to be eliminated.***

***“Meat products” means processed products resulting from the processing of meat or from the further processing of such processed products, so that the cut surface shows that the product no longer has the characteristics of fresh meat.***

***Names that fall under Article 17 of Regulation (EU) No 1169/2011 that are currently used for meat products and meat preparations shall be reserved exclusively for products containing meat.***

***Poultry products and cuts defined in Regulation (EU) No 543/2008, which lays down detailed rules for the application of Council Regulation (EU) No 1234/2007 as regards the marketing standards for poultry meat shall be reserved exclusively***

*for edible parts of the animals and products containing poultry meat.*

Or. en

## **Amendment 639**

**Michel Dantin**

### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 31 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Annex VII – part I – point I

#### *Present text*

#### I. Definition

For the purposes of this Part, “meat” means all carcasses, meat on the bone or boned, and offal, whether or not cut, intended for human consumption, obtained from bovine animals aged less than 12 months, presented fresh, frozen or deep-frozen, whether or not wrapped or packed.

#### *Amendment*

***(31a) in Part I of Annex VII, point I is replaced by the following:***

#### ***“I. Definition and scope***

For the purposes of this Part, “meat” means all carcasses, meat on the bone or boned, and offal, whether or not cut, intended for human consumption, obtained from bovine animals aged less than 12 months, presented fresh, frozen or deep-frozen, whether or not wrapped or packed.

***None of the conditions referred to in this Annex shall apply to the meat of bovine animals for which a protected designation of origin or geographical indication was registered in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012 on quality schemes for agricultural products and foodstuffs before 29 June 2017.”***

Or. fr

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:02013R1308-20180101&from=EN>)*

#### *Justification*

*This amendment seeks to correct the failure to include, in 2013, a derogation from the regulatory framework for bovine animals aged less than 12 months, dating from 2008. This derogation stipulated that PDOs and PGIs, already included in the framework, were excluded from this provision by derogation. However, the derogation was not included in full in the*



*revision to the CMO that took place in 2013. Thus, inconsistencies and difficulties have resulted for the operators affected. It is therefore proposed that the previous wording should be re-established (paragraph 2 of Article 113b of the Single CMO Regulation No 361/2008)*

## **Amendment 640**

**Norbert Erdős**

### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 31 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Annex VII – part I – title

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(31a) The title of Part I is replaced by the following:***

***“Meat of bovine animals aged less than 12 months and meat of sheep less than 8 months.”***

Or. en

#### *Justification*

*The term ‘lamb’ is not harmonised at European level. A considerable proportion of animals aged over 8 months is however sold on the European market as ‘lamb’ even though it does not meet consumers’ expectations for instance in terms of tenderness. With factors like the reduced consumption of sheep meat, Brexit and negotiations on agreements with Australia and New Zealand, it seems necessary to avoid any abusive designation of lamb’s meat.*

## **Amendment 641**

**Norbert Erdős**

### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 31 b (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Annex VII – part I – point II – title

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(31b) In Title I, the title of point 11 is replaced by the following:***

***“II Classification of bovine animals aged less than 12 months and sheep aged less***

*than 8 months at the slaughterhouse”*

Or. en

*Justification*

*The term ‘lamb’ is not harmonised at European level. A considerable proportion of animals aged over 8 months is however sold on the European market as ‘lamb’ even though it does not meet consumers’ expectations for instance in terms of tenderness. With factors like the reduced consumption of sheep meat, Brexit and negotiations on agreements with Australia and New Zealand, it seems necessary to avoid any abusive designation of lamb’s meat.*

**Amendment 642**

**Norbert Erdős**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 31 c (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Annex VII – part I – point II – paragraph 1 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(31c) In Annex VII, part I, point II, the following paragraph is added:***

***On slaughter, all sheep aged less than 8 months shall be classified by the operators, under the supervision of the competent authority, in the following category: Category A: carcasses of sheep under 8 months old***

***Category identification letter A.***

Or. en

*Justification*

*The term ‘lamb’ is not harmonised at European level. A considerable proportion of animals aged over 8 months is however sold on the European market as ‘lamb’ even though it does not meet consumers’ expectations for instance in terms of tenderness. With factors like the reduced consumption of sheep meat, Brexit and negotiations on agreements with Australia and New Zealand, it seems necessary to avoid any abusive designation of lamb’s meat.*

## Amendment 643

Norbert Erdős

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 31 d (new)

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Annex VII – part I – point III – paragraph 1 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(31d) In Annex VII, part I, point III, the following paragraph is added:***

***1a. The meat of sheep aged less than 8 months shall only be marketed in the Member States under the following sales description(s) laid down for each Member State:***

***Country of marketing***

***Sales descriptions to be used lamb***

Or. en

#### *Justification*

*The term ‘lamb’ is not harmonised at European level. A considerable proportion of animals aged over 8 months is however sold on the European market as ‘lamb’ even though it does not meet consumers’ expectations for instance in terms of tenderness. With factors like the reduced consumption of sheep meat, Brexit and negotiations on agreements with Australia and New Zealand, it seems necessary to avoid any abusive designation of lamb’s meat.*

## Amendment 644

Norbert Erdős

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 31 e (new)

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Annex VII – part I – point III – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 2

*Present text*

*Amendment*

***(31e) In Annex VII, part I, point III, paragraph 3, the subparagraph 2 is replaced by the following***

Annex VII – part I – point III – paragraph 3

***“In particular, the terms “veau”, “telecí”, “Kalb”, “μωσχάρι”, “ternera”, “kalv”,***

–subparagraph 2

“veal”, “vitello”, “vitella”, “kalf”, “vitela” and “teletina” shall not be used in a sales description or be indicated on the labelling of the meat of bovine animals aged more than 12 months. ***Likewise, the term ‘lamb’ may not be used in a sales description or be indicated on the labelling of the meat of sheep aged more than 8 months.***”

In particular, the terms “veau”, “telecí”, “Kalb”, “μωσχάρτι”, “ternera”, “kalv”, “veal”, “vitello”, “vitella”, “kalf”, “vitela” and “teletina” shall not be used in a sales description or be indicated on the labelling of the meat of bovine animals aged more than 12 months.

Or. en

(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?qid=1542966696656&uri=CELEX:02013R1308-20180101>)

#### *Justification*

*The term ‘lamb’ is not harmonised at European level. A considerable proportion of animals aged over 8 months is however sold on the European market as ‘lamb’ even though it does not meet consumers’ expectations for instance in terms of tenderness. With factors like the reduced consumption of sheep meat, Brexit and negotiations on agreements with Australia and New Zealand, it seems necessary to avoid any abusive designation of lamb’s meat.*

#### **Amendment 645**

**Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 32**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Annex VII – part II – points 18 and 19

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**32) in Part II of Annex VII, the following points (18) and (19) are added:**

**deleted**

**18) The term ‘de-alcoholised’ may be used together with the name of the grapevine products referred to in points 1**

*and 4 to 9, where the product:*

*a) is obtained from wine as defined in point 1, sparkling wine as defined in point 4, quality sparkling wine as defined in point 5, quality aromatic sparkling wine as defined in point 6, aerated sparkling wine as defined in point 7, semi-sparkling wine as defined in point 8, or from aerated semi-sparkling wine as defined in point 9;*

*b) has undergone a dealcoholisation treatment in accordance with the processes specified in Section E of Part I of Annex VIII; and*

*c) has a total alcoholic strength of no more than 0,5 % by volume.*

*19) The term ‘partially de-alcoholised’ may be used together with the name of the grapevine products referred to in points 1 and 4 to 9, where the product:*

*a) is obtained from wine as defined in point 1, sparkling wine as defined in point 4, quality sparkling wine as defined in point 5, quality aromatic sparkling wine as defined in point 6, aerated sparkling wine as defined in point 7, semi-sparkling wine as defined in point 8, or from aerated semi-sparkling wine as defined in point 9;*

*b) has undergone a dealcoholisation treatment in accordance with the processes specified in Section E of Part I of Annex VIII; and*

*c) has a total alcoholic strength of more than 0,5% by volume and following the processes specified in Section E of Part I of Annex VIII its total alcoholic strength is reduced by more than 20% by volume compared to its initial total alcoholic strength.’;*

‘

Or. es

**Amendment 646**

**Miguel Viegas**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 32**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Annex VII – part II – points 18 and 19

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(32) in Part II of Annex VII, the following points (18) and (19) are added:**

**deleted**

‘

**(18) The term ‘de-alcoholised’ may be used together with the name of the grapevine products referred to in points 1 and 4 to 9, where the product:**

**a) is obtained from wine as defined in point 1, sparkling wine as defined in point 4, quality sparkling wine as defined in point 5, quality aromatic sparkling wine as defined in point 6, aerated sparkling wine as defined in point 7, semi-sparkling wine as defined in point 8, or from aerated semi-sparkling wine as defined in point 9;**

**b) has undergone a dealcoholisation treatment in accordance with the processes specified in Section E of Part I of Annex VIII; -**

**c) has a total alcoholic strength of no more than 0,5 % by volume.**

**(19) The term ‘partially de-alcoholised’ may be used together with the name of the grapevine products referred to in points 1 and 4 to 9, where the product:**

**a) is obtained from wine as defined in point 1, sparkling wine as defined in point 4, quality sparkling wine as defined in point 5, quality aromatic sparkling wine as defined in point 6, aerated sparkling wine as defined in point 7, semi-sparkling wine as defined in point 8, or from aerated semi-sparkling wine as defined in point 9;**

**b) has undergone a dealcoholisation treatment in accordance with the processes specified in Section E of Part I of Annex VIII; -**

**c) has a total alcoholic strength of more than 0,5 % by volume and following the processes specified in Section E of Part I of Annex VIII its total alcoholic strength is reduced by more than 20 % by volume compared to its initial total alcoholic strength.’;**

‘

Or. pt

#### **Amendment 647**

**Marco Zullo, Ignazio Corrao, Rosa D’Amato**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 32**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Annex VII – part II – points 18 and 19

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(32) in Part II of Annex VII, the following points (18) and (19) are added:**

**deleted**

‘

**(18) The term ‘de-alcoholised’ may be used together with the name of the grapevine products referred to in points 1 and 4 to 9, where the product:**

**a) is obtained from wine as defined in point 1, sparkling wine as defined in point 4, quality sparkling wine as defined in point 5, quality aromatic sparkling wine as defined in point 6, aerated sparkling wine as defined in point 7, semi-sparkling wine as defined in point 8, or from aerated semi-sparkling wine as defined in point 9;**

**b) has undergone a dealcoholisation treatment in accordance with the processes specified in Section E of Part I**

*of Annex VIII; and*

*c) has a total alcoholic strength of no more than 0,5% by volume.*

*(19) The term ‘partially de-alcoholised’ may be used together with the name of the grapevine products referred to in points 1 and 4 to 9, where the product:*

*a) is obtained from wine as defined in point 1, sparkling wine as defined in point 4, quality sparkling wine as defined in point 5, quality aromatic sparkling wine as defined in point 6, aerated sparkling wine as defined in point 7, semi-sparkling wine as defined in point 8, or from aerated semi-sparkling wine as defined in point 9;*

*b) has undergone a dealcoholisation treatment in accordance with the processes specified in Section E of Part I of Annex VIII; and*

*c) has a total alcoholic strength of more than 0,5% by volume and following the processes specified in Section E of Part I of Annex VIII its total alcoholic strength is reduced by more than 20% by volume compared to its initial total alcoholic strength.’;*

‘

Or. it

#### **Amendment 648**

**Mara Bizzotto, Angelo Ciocca, Giancarlo Scottà**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 32**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Annex VII – part II – points 18 and 19

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(32) in Part II of Annex VII, the following points (18) and (19) are added:*

*deleted*



**(18) The term ‘de-alcoholised’ may be used together with the name of the grapevine products referred to in points 1 and 4 to 9, where the product:**

**a) is obtained from wine as defined in point 1, sparkling wine as defined in point 4, quality sparkling wine as defined in point 5, quality aromatic sparkling wine as defined in point 6, aerated sparkling wine as defined in point 7, semi-sparkling wine as defined in point 8, or from aerated semi-sparkling wine as defined in point 9;**

**b) has undergone a dealcoholisation treatment in accordance with the processes specified in Section E of Part I of Annex VIII; and**

**c) has a total alcoholic strength of no more than 0,5% by volume.**

**(19) The term ‘partially de-alcoholised’ may be used together with the name of the grapevine products referred to in points 1 and 4 to 9, where the product:**

**a) is obtained from wine as defined in point 1, sparkling wine as defined in point 4, quality sparkling wine as defined in point 5, quality aromatic sparkling wine as defined in point 6, aerated sparkling wine as defined in point 7, semi-sparkling wine as defined in point 8, or from aerated semi-sparkling wine as defined in point 9;**

**b) has undergone a dealcoholisation treatment in accordance with the processes specified in Section E of Part I of Annex VIII; and**

**c) has a total alcoholic strength of more than 0,5% by volume and following the processes specified in Section E of Part I of Annex VIII its total alcoholic strength is reduced by more than 20% by volume compared to its initial total alcoholic strength.’;**

**Amendment 649**  
**Norbert Erdős, Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 32**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Annex VII – part II – points 18 and 19

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(32) in Part II of Annex VII, the following points (18) and (19) are added:**

**deleted**

**(18) The term ‘de-alcoholised’ may be used together with the name of the grapevine products referred to in points 1 and 4 to 9, where the product:**

**(a) is obtained from wine as defined in point 1, sparkling wine as defined in point 4, quality sparkling wine as defined in point 5, quality aromatic sparkling wine as defined in point 6, aerated sparkling wine as defined in point 7, semi-sparkling wine as defined in point 8, or from aerated semi-sparkling wine as defined in point 9;**

**(b) has undergone a dealcoholisation treatment in accordance with the processes specified in Section E of Part I of Annex VIII; and**

**(c) has a total alcoholic strength of no more than 0,5% by volume.**

**(19) The term ‘partially de-alcoholised’ may be used together with the name of the grapevine products referred to in points 1 and 4 to 9, where the product:**

**(a) is obtained from wine as defined in point 1, sparkling wine as defined in point 4, quality sparkling wine as defined in point 5, quality aromatic sparkling wine**

*as defined in point 6, aerated sparkling wine as defined in point 7, semi-sparkling wine as defined in point 8, or from aerated semi-sparkling wine as defined in point 9;*

*(b) has undergone a dealcoholisation treatment in accordance with the processes specified in Section E of Part I of Annex VIII; and*

*(c) has a total alcoholic strength of more than 0,5% by volume and following the processes specified in Section E of Part I of Annex VIII its total alcoholic strength is reduced by more than 20% by volume compared to its initial total alcoholic strength.;*

‘

Or. en

#### *Justification*

*The creation of this new category of de-alcoholised wines does not correspond to the definition of wine in Annex VII Part II of the Single CMO Regulation. De-alcoholised wines require the addition of aromas to compensate for their loss in alcohol and are assimilated to industrial products. These wine-based products should not fall under Single CMO rules but rather under Regulation 251/2014 on aromatised wines.*

#### **Amendment 650**

**Philippe Loiseau, Jacques Colombier**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 32**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Annex VII – Part I – points 18 and 19

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(32) in Part II of Annex VII, the following points (18) and (19) are added:*

*deleted*

‘

*(18) The term ‘de-alcoholised’ may be used together with the name of the grapevine products referred to in points 1*

*and 4 to 9, where the product:*

*(a) is obtained from wine as defined in point 1, sparkling wine as defined in point 4, quality sparkling wine as defined in point 5, quality aromatic sparkling wine as defined in point 6, aerated sparkling wine as defined in point 7, semi-sparkling wine as defined in point 8, or from aerated semi-sparkling wine as defined in point 9;*

*(b) has undergone a dealcoholisation treatment in accordance with the processes specified in Section E of Part I of Annex VIII; and*

*(c) has a total alcoholic strength of not more than 0,5 % vol.*

*(19) The term ‘partially de-alcoholised’ may be used together with the name of the grapevine products referred to in points 1 and 4 to 9, where the product:*

*(a) is obtained from wine as defined in point 1, sparkling wine as defined in point 4, quality sparkling wine as defined in point 5, quality aromatic sparkling wine as defined in point 6, aerated sparkling wine as defined in point 7, semi-sparkling wine as defined in point 8, or from aerated semi-sparkling wine as defined in point 9;*

*(b) has undergone a dealcoholisation treatment in accordance with the processes specified in Section E of Part I of Annex VIII; and*

*(c) has a total alcoholic strength of more than 0,5% by volume and following the processes specified in Section E of Part I of Annex VIII its total alcoholic strength is reduced by more than 20% by volume compared to its initial total alcoholic strength.;*

‘

Or. fr

**Amendment 651**  
**Herbert Dorfmann, Othmar Karas**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 32**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Annex VII – part II – point 18 – introductory part

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(18) *The term ‘de-alcoholised’ may be used together with the name of the grapevine products referred to in points 1 and 4 to 9, where the product:*

*Amendment*

(18) “De-alcoholised *wine*’ or “*de-alcoholised (followed by the name of the grapevine product category used for its production)*” means a product *which:*

Or. en

**Amendment 652**  
**John Stuart Agnew**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 32**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Annex VII – part II – point 18 – introductory part

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(18) *The term ‘de-alcoholised’ may be used together with the name of the grapevine products referred to in points 1 and 4 to 9, where the product:*

*Amendment*

(18) “De-alcoholised *wine*” or “*de-alcoholised (followed by the name grapevine product category used for its production)*” means the product *which:*

Or. en

**Amendment 653**  
**Nicola Caputo**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 32**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Annex VII – Part II – Point 18 – introductory part

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(18) *The term ‘de-alcoholised’ may be*

*Amendment*

(18) “*De-alcoholised wine*’ or ‘de-

*used together with* the name *of the* grapevine *products referred to in points 1 and 4 to 9, where* the product:

alcoholised (*followed by* the name grapevine *product category used for its production*)' means the product *which*:

Or. en

#### *Justification*

*The amendment aims at including these innovative products in the Common Market Organisation as a new category, for allowing a certain degree of flexibility when developing more detailed rules concerning their definition, presentation and the authorised production processes*

**Amendment 654**  
**John Stuart Agnew**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 32**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Annex VII – part II – point 18 – letter a

#### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(a) is obtained from wine *as defined in point 1*, sparkling wine *as defined in point 4*, quality sparkling wine *as defined in point 5*, quality aromatic sparkling wine *as defined in point 6*, aerated sparkling wine *as defined in point 7*, semi-sparkling wine *as defined in point 8*, or from aerated semi-sparkling wine *as defined in point 9*;

#### *Amendment*

(a) is obtained from wine, *new wine still in fermentation, liqueur wine*, sparkling wine, quality sparkling wine, quality aromatic sparkling wine, aerated sparkling wine, semi-sparkling wine, aerated semi-sparkling wine, *wine from raisined grapes, or from wine of overripe grapes*;

Or. en

#### *Justification*

*The Amendment aims at including innovative products in the Common Market Organisation as a new category, for allowing a certain degree of flexibility when developing more detailed rules concerning their definition, presentation and the authorised production process.*

**Amendment 655**  
**Nicola Caputo**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 32**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Annex VII – part II – point 18 – letter a

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(a) is obtained from wine *as defined in point 1*, sparkling wine *as defined in point 4*, quality sparkling wine *as defined in point 5*, quality aromatic sparkling wine *as defined in point 6*, aerated sparkling wine *as defined in point 7*, semi-sparkling wine *as defined in point 8*, *or from* aerated semi-sparkling wine *as defined in point 9*;

*Amendment*

(a) *is not protected by a geographical indication (GI) and* is obtained from wine, *new wine still in fermentation, liqueur wine*, sparkling wine, quality sparkling wine, quality aromatic sparkling wine, aerated sparkling wine, semi-sparkling wine, aerated semi-sparkling wine, *wine from raisined grapes, or from wine of overripe grapes*;

Or. en

*Justification*

*The amendment aims at including these innovative products in the Common Market Organisation as a new category, for allowing a certain degree of flexibility when developing more detailed rules concerning their definition, presentation and the authorised production processes.*

**Amendment 656**

**John Stuart Agnew**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 32**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Annex VII – part II – point 18 – letter b

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) has undergone a dealcoholisation treatment in accordance with the *processes* specified in Section E of Part I of Annex VIII; and

*Amendment*

(b) has undergone a dealcoholisation treatment in accordance with the *conditions* specified in Section E of Part I of Annex VIII; and

Or. en

**Amendment 657**  
**Herbert Dorfmann, Othmar Karas**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 32**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Annex VII – part II – point 18 – letter b

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) has undergone a dealcoholisation treatment in accordance with the ***processes*** specified in Section E of Part I of Annex VIII; and

*Amendment*

(b) has undergone a dealcoholisation treatment in accordance with the ***conditions*** specified in Section E of Part I of Annex VIII; and

Or. en

**Amendment 658**  
**Herbert Dorfmann, Othmar Karas**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 32**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Annex VII – part II – point 19 – introductory part

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(19) ***The term*** ‘partially de-alcoholised’ ***may be used together with*** the name of the grapevine ***products referred to in points 1 and 4 to 9, where*** the product:

*Amendment*

(19) ***“Partially de-alcoholised wine” or “Partially de-alcoholised (followed by the name of the grapevine product category used for its production)” means*** the product ***which***:

Or. en

**Amendment 659**  
**Nicola Caputo**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 32**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Annex VII – Part II – Point 19 – introductory part



*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(19) ***The term*** ‘partially de-alcoholised’ ***may be used together with*** the name ***of the*** grapevine ***products referred to in points 1 and 4 to 9, where*** the product:

(19) ***‘Partially de-alcoholised wine’ or*** ‘Partially de-alcoholised (***followed by*** the name grapevine ***product category used for its production)***’ ***means*** the product ***which:***

Or. en

*Justification*

*The amendment aims at including these innovative products in the Common Market Organisation as a new category, for allowing a certain degree of flexibility when developing more detailed rules concerning their definition, presentation and the authorised production processes.*

**Amendment 660**  
**John Stuart Agnew**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 32**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Annex VII – part II – point 19 – introductory part

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(19) ***The term*** ‘partially de-alcoholised’ ***may be used together with*** the name ***of the*** grapevine ***products referred to in points 1 and 4 to 9, where*** the product:

(19) ***“Partially de-alcoholised wine” or*** ***“Partially de-alcoholised (followed by*** the name grapevine ***product category used for its production)”*** ***means*** the product ***which:***

Or. en

**Amendment 661**  
**Nicola Caputo**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 32**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Annex VII – part II – point 19 – letter a

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(a) is obtained from wine ***as defined in***

(a) ***is not protected by a geographical***

*point 1, sparkling wine as defined in point 4, quality sparkling wine as defined in point 5, quality aromatic sparkling wine as defined in point 6, aerated sparkling wine as defined in point 7, semi-sparkling wine as defined in point 8, or from aerated semi-sparkling wine as defined in point 9;*

*indication (GI) and is obtained from wine, new wine still in fermentation, liqueur wine, sparkling wine, quality sparkling wine, quality aromatic sparkling wine, aerated sparkling wine, semi-sparkling wine, aerated semi-sparkling wine, wine from raisined grapes, or from wine of overripe grapes;*

Or. en

#### *Justification*

*The amendment aims at including these innovative products in the Common Market Organisation as a new category, for allowing a certain degree of flexibility when developing more detailed rules concerning their definition, presentation and the authorised production processes.*

#### **Amendment 662**

**Herbert Dorfmann, Othmar Karas**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 32**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Annex VII – part II – point 19 – letter b

#### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) has undergone a dealcoholisation treatment in accordance with the *processes* specified in Section E of Part I of Annex VIII; and

#### *Amendment*

(b) has undergone a dealcoholisation treatment in accordance with the *conditions* specified in Section E of Part I of Annex VIII; and

Or. en

#### **Amendment 663**

**Esther Herranz García, Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Gabriel Mato, Esteban González Pons, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 32**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Annex VII – part II – point 19 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(19a) In part II Annex VII, the following point shall be added:***

***‘Chaptalised wine’ shall be used when the wine is obtained from fermentation of the grape or of its must and the addition of sucrose.***

Or. es

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32013R1308&from=EN>)*

*Justification*

*Consumers should have correct information on the nature of the product they are consuming.*

**Amendment 664**  
**Nuno Melo**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 32 a (new)**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Annex VII – Part I

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(32a) -“Meat” means the edible parts of the animals as referred to in points 1.2 to 1.8 of Annex I of the Regulation EC 853/2004, including blood.***

***- The meat-related terms and names that fall under Article 17 of Regulation (EU) No 1169/2011 and that are currently used for meat and meat cuts shall be reserved exclusively for edible parts of the animals.***

***- “Meat preparations” mean fresh meat, including meat that has been reduced to fragments, which has had foodstuffs, seasonings or additives added to it or which has undergone processes that do not alter the internal muscle fibre structure of the meat enough for the***

*characteristics of fresh meat to be eliminated.*

*- “Meat products” means processed products resulting from the processing of meat or from the further processing of such processed products, so that the cut surface shows that the product no longer has the characteristics of fresh meat.*

*- Names that fall under Article 17 of Regulation (EU) No 1169/2011 that are currently used for meat products and meat preparations shall be reserved exclusively for products containing meat.*

*Poultry products and cuts defined in Regulation (EU) No 543/2008, which lays down detailed rules for the application of Council Regulation (EU) No 1234/2007 as regards the marketing standards for poultry meat shall be reserved exclusively for edible parts of the animals and products containing poultry meat.*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 665**

**Clara Eugenia Aguilera García**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 32 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Annex VII – Part II – Point 19 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(32a) In Part II of Annex VII, the following new point 19a is added:*

*‘Chaptalised wine’ shall be used when the wine is obtained from fermentation of the grape or of its must and the addition of sucrose*

Or. es

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:02013R1308->*

*Justification*

*The Commission proposal adds two new wine product categories: 18) ‘de-alcoholised wine’ and 19) ‘partially de-alcoholised wine’, distinguished by their definition, preparation and oenological practices. Consequently, another new category should be added, namely 19a) ‘chaptalised wines’, so that consumers have correct information on the true nature of the product they are buying or drinking.*

**Amendment 666**

**Norbert Lins**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 32 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Annex VII – Part III – point 6 – paragraph 1

*Present text*

6. In respect of a product other than those described in points 1, 2 and 3 of this Part, ***no label, commercial document, publicity material or any form of advertising as defined in Article 2 of Council Directive 2006/114/EC (34) or any form of presentation may be used which claims, implies or suggests that the product is a dairy product***

*Amendment*

***(32a) In Annex VII, part III, point 6, the paragraph 1 is replaced by the following:***

***“6. In respect of a product other than those described in points 1, 2 and 3 of this Part, the following shall be prohibited:***

***(a) any direct or indirect commercial use of a dairy protected designation in respect of products not covered by the protection where those products are comparable to the products protected under that designation or where using the designation exploits the reputation of the protected designation;***

***(b) any misuse, comparison, imitation or evocation, even if the true nature of the product is indicated or if the protected designation is translated or accompanied by an expression such as ‘style’, ‘type’, ‘imitation’, ‘alternative to’, ‘to be used as’ or similar;***

*(c) any other false or misleading indication as to the nature or essential qualities of the product that is used on the inner or outer packaging, advertising material or documents relating to the product concerned, and the packing of the product in a container liable to convey a false impression as to its true characteristics;*

*(d) any other practice liable to mislead the consumer as to the true nature of the product”*

Or. en

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:02013R1308-20180101&from=FR>)*

#### *Justification*

*The misuse of the term “milk” or “dairy” of producers of plant-based products is growing. This paragraph needs to be clarified in order to protect dairy products efficiently*

#### **Amendment 667**

**Eric Andrieu, Karine Gloanec Maurin**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 32 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Annex VII – Part VIII a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(32a) The following new Part is inserted in Annex VII:***

***Meat and products of animal origin***

#### ***I. Definition***

***For the purposes of this Part: “meat” means all animal carcasses, meat on the bone or boned, and offal, whether or not cut, intended for human consumption, obtained from bovine animals, sheep, swine, horse, goat or poultry, presented fresh, frozen or deep-frozen, whether or not wrapped or packed; “products of***

*animal origin” means: all products obtained from meat as defined above.*

*II. Use of the designations associated with “meat” and “products of animal origin”*

*The designations usually used to denote “meat” and “products of animal origin” as defined above may not be used to describe, promote or market food products primarily made up of proteins of vegetable origin. These designations include, for example:*

- Steak*
- Sausage*
- Escalope*
- Burger*
- Hamburger*

Or. fr

#### *Justification*

*This amendment’s aim is to prohibit certain commercial practices that are misleading for consumers, which link, in particular, terms such as “steak”, “sausage”, “escalope”, “burger” or “hamburger” to products not wholly made up of meat. Thus, and in line with the dairy sector, where the rules on designations were set out by the Court of Justice of the European Union in a judgment of 14 June 2017, since a meat product is exclusively derived from animal matter, those must be its ingredients.*

#### **Amendment 668**

**Michel Dantin**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 32 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Annex VIII – Part II – point D – paragraph 3

*Present text*

*Amendment*

3. The pressing of wine lees and the re-fermentation of grape marc for purposes

*(32a) in point D of Part II of Annex VIII, paragraph 3 is replaced by the following:*

*“3. The pressing of wine lees and the re-fermentation of grape marc for purposes*

other than distillation or production of piquette is prohibited. The filtering and centrifuging of wine lees shall not be considered to be pressing where the products obtained are of sound, fair and marketable quality.

other than distillation, **methanisation, composting** or production of piquette is prohibited. The filtering and centrifuging of wine lees shall not be considered to be pressing where the products obtained are of sound, fair and marketable quality.”

Or. fr

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:02013R1308-20180101&from=EN>)*

#### *Justification*

*This amendment echoes the amendments proposed in the regulation on the CAP Strategic Plans, aiming to make methanisation and composting centres eligible in the same way as distilleries, since they contribute to making good use of and processing vinification residue (wine marc and wine lees) and therefore to protecting the environment. To achieve this, and as is the case for distillation, natural fermentation of the wine marc is necessary in order to derive benefit from it.*

#### **Amendment 669** **Laurențiu Rebegea**

##### **Proposal for a regulation**

##### **Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 32 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Annex VII – Appendix I – paragraph 1 – point 2 – letter g

#### *Present text*

(g) in Romania, **in the area** of Podișul Transilvaniei;

#### *Amendment*

**(32a) In Appendix I, point 2, letter g shall be replaced by**

**‘(g) in Romania, *the wine-growing region* of Podișul Transilvaniei;’**

Or. ro

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/RO/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32013R1308&from=ro>)*

#### **Amendment 670** **Eric Andrieu, Karine Gloanec Maurin**



**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 32 b (new)**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Annex VII – Part III – Paragraph 5

*Present text*

5. The designations referred to in points 1, 2 and 3 may not be used for any product other than those referred to in that point. However, this provision shall not apply to the designation of products the exact nature of which is clear from traditional usage and/or when the designations are clearly used to describe a characteristic quality of the product.

*Amendment*

***(32b) in Part III of Annex VII, paragraph 5 is amended as follows:***

“5. The designations referred to in points 1, 2 and 3 may not be used for any product other than those referred to in that point.

***These designations shall also be protected from:***

***(a) any direct or indirect commercial use of the designation:***

***(i) for comparable products or products presented as capable of being substituted not complying with the corresponding definition;***

***(ii) in so far as such use exploits the reputation associated with the designation;***

***(b) any misuse, imitation or evocation, even if the composition or true nature of the product or service is indicated or accompanied by an expression such as “style”, “type”, “method”, “as produced in”, “imitation”, “flavour”, “substitute”, “like” or similar;***

***(c) any other commercial indication or practice likely to mislead the consumer as to the product’s true nature or composition.*** However, this provision shall not apply to the designation of products the exact nature of which is clear from traditional usage and/or when the designations are clearly used to describe a characteristic quality of the product.”

(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?qid=1543420057169&uri=CELEX:02013R1308-20180101>)

### *Justification*

*This amendment's aim is to ensure better protection of designations, and to ensure that consumers are better informed. To take the example of milk, it takes its substance from the TofuTown judgment of 14 June 2017, in which the Court of Justice made it clear that designations of milk and milk products could not be used for a plant-based product.*

## **Amendment 671** **Laurențiu Rebegea**

### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 32 b (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Annex VII – appendix 1 – paragraph 1 – point 4 – letter f

#### *Present text*

(f) in Romania, areas planted with vines in the following regions: Dealurile Buzăului, Dealu Mare, Severinului and Plaiurile Drâncei, Colinele Dobrogei, Terasale Dunării, the *South wine* region, *including sands* and other favourable *regions*.

#### *Amendment*

**(32b) Annex VII – appendix 1 – point 4 – letter f shall be replaced by**

‘(f) in Romania, areas planted with vines in the following regions: Dealurile Buzăului, **Munteniei and Olteniei**, Dealu Mare, Severinului and Plaiurile Drâncei, Colinele Dobrogei, Terasale Dunării, the *sands* region and other favourable **lands in the South of the country;**’

(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/RO/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32013R1308&from=ro>)

## **Amendment 672** **Philippe Loiseau, Jacques Colombier**

### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 33**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Annex VIII – Part I – point I

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(33) in Part I of Annex VIII, the following Section E is added:** *deleted*

‘

***E. Dealcoholisation processes***

***The following dealcoholisation processes, whether used each of its own or in combination, shall be allowed to reduce part of or almost all the ethanol content in grapevine products referred to in points 1 and 4 to 9 of Part II of Annex VII:***

- (a) partial vacuum evaporation;***
- (b) membrane techniques;***
- (c) distillation.***

***The dealcoholisation processes shall not result in organoleptic defects of the grapevine product. The elimination of ethanol in grapevine product must not be done in conjunction with the increase of the sugar content in the grape must.’***

‘

Or. fr

**Amendment 673**

**Mara Bizzotto, Angelo Ciocca, Giancarlo Scottà**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 33**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Annex VIII – Part I – point E

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(33) in Part I of Annex VIII, the following Section E is added:** *deleted*

‘

***E. Dealcoholisation processes***

***The following dealcoholisation processes, whether used each of its own or in***

*combination, shall be allowed to reduce part of or almost all the ethanol content in grapevine products referred to in points 1 and 4 to 9 of Part II of Annex VII:*

- a) partial vacuum evaporation;*
- b) membrane techniques;*
- c) distillation.*

*The dealcoholisation processes shall not result in organoleptic defects of the grapevine product. The elimination of ethanol in grapevine product must not be done in conjunction with the increase of the sugar content in the grape must.’*

‘

Or. it

#### **Amendment 674**

**Norbert Erdős, Michel Dantin**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 33**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Annex VIII – part I – section E

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(33) in Part I of Annex VIII, the following Section E is added:*

*deleted*

‘

#### ***E. Dealcoholisation processes***

*The following dealcoholisation processes, whether used each of its own or in combination, shall be allowed to reduce part of or almost all the ethanol content in grapevine products referred to in points 1 and 4 to 9 of Part II of Annex VII:*

- (a) partial vacuum evaporation;*
- (b) membrane techniques;*
- (c) distillation.*

*The dealcoholisation processes shall not result in organoleptic defects of the*

***grapevine product. The elimination of ethanol in grapevine product must not be done in conjunction with the increase of the sugar content in the grape must..***

‘

Or. en

#### *Justification*

*The creation of this new category of de-alcoholised wines does not correspond to the definition of wine in Annex VII Part II of the Single CMO Regulation. De-alcoholised wines require the addition of aromas to compensate for their loss in alcohol and are assimilated to industrial products. These wine-based products should not fall under Single CMO rules but rather under Regulation 251/2014 on aromatised wines.*

#### **Amendment 675**

**Miguel Viegas**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 33**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Annex VIII – Part I – Section E

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(33) in Part I of Annex VIII, the following Section E is added:***

***deleted***

‘

#### ***E. Dealcoholisation processes***

***The following dealcoholisation processes, whether used each of its own or in combination, shall be allowed to reduce part of or almost all the ethanol content in grapevine products referred to in points 1 and 4 to 9 of Part II of Annex VII:***

- a) partial vacuum evaporation;***
- b) membrane techniques;***
- c) distillation.***

***The dealcoholisation processes shall not result in organoleptic defects of the grapevine product. The elimination of ethanol in grapevine product must not be***

*done in conjunction with the increase of the sugar content in the grape must.*'

‘

Or. pt

**Amendment 676**  
**John Stuart Agnew**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 33**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Annex VIII – Part I – Point E – introductory part

*Text proposed by the Commission*

The following dealcoholisation processes, whether used each of its own or in combination, shall be allowed to reduce part of or almost all the ethanol content in grapevine products referred to in points 1 **and 4** to 9 of Part II of Annex VII:

*Amendment*

The following dealcoholisation processes, whether used each of its own or in combination, shall be allowed to reduce part of or almost all the ethanol content in grapevine products referred to in points 1 to 9, **15 and 16** of Part II of Annex VII:

Or. en

*Justification*

*The Amendment aims at providing the necessary flexibility for the integration of possible new technologies for the de-alcoholisation of wines. The basic text should set the general principles for use, while secondary legislation shall be the place where concrete authorised techniques are defined.*

**Amendment 677**  
**John Stuart Agnew**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 33**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Annex VIII – Part I – Section E – point c a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(ca) other processes authorised in accordance with Article 80.**

*Justification*

*The Amendment aims at providing the necessary flexibility for the integration of possible new technologies for the de-alcoholisation of wines. The basic text should set the general principles for use, while secondary legislation shall be the place where concrete authorised techniques are defined.*

**Amendment 678****Angélique Delahaye****Proposal for a regulation****Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 33 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Annex VIII – Part II – point D – paragraph 3

*Present text*

3. The pressing of wine lees and the re-fermentation of grape marc for purposes other than distillation or production of piquette is prohibited. The filtering and centrifuging of wine lees shall not be considered to be pressing where the products obtained are of sound, fair and marketable quality.

*Amendment*

***(33a) in Point D of Part II of Annex VIII, paragraph 3 is amended as follows:***

“3. The pressing of wine lees and the re-fermentation of grape marc for purposes other than distillation, ***methanisation, composting*** or production of piquette is prohibited. The filtering and centrifuging of wine lees shall not be considered to be pressing where the products obtained are of sound, fair and marketable quality.”

Or. fr

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:02013R1308-20180101&qid=1544449960291&from=EN>)*

**Amendment 679****Eric Andrieu, Karine Gloanec Maurin****Proposal for a regulation****Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 33 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013

Annex VIII – Part II – point D

*Present text*

3. The pressing of wine lees and the re-fermentation of grape marc for purposes other than distillation or production of piquette is prohibited. The filtering and centrifuging of wine lees shall not be considered to be pressing where the products obtained are of sound, fair and marketable quality.

*Amendment*

**(33a) in point D of Part II of Annex VIII, paragraph 3 is amended as follows:**

“ 3. The pressing of wine lees and the re-fermentation of grape marc for purposes other than distillation, **methanisation, composting** or production of piquette is prohibited. The filtering and centrifuging of wine lees shall not be considered to be pressing where the products obtained are of sound, fair and marketable quality.”

Or. fr

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?qid=1543420057169&uri=CELEX:02013R1308-20180101>)*

*Justification*

*Methanisation and composting centres, like distilleries, contribute to making good use of and processing vinification residue (wine marc and wine lees) and therefore to protecting the environment. To achieve this, and as is the case for distillation, natural fermentation of the wine marc is necessary in order to derive benefit from it.*

**Amendment 680**  
**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 33 a (new)**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Annex X – point II – paragraph 2

*Present text*

2. The price referred to in paragraph 1 shall apply to sugar beet of a standard quality as defined **in point B of Annex III**.

*Amendment*

**(33a) in Point II of Annex X, paragraph 2 is replaced by the following:**

“2. The price referred to in paragraph 1 shall apply to sugar beet of a standard quality as defined **by the parties**.”

Or. fr



*Justification*

*This amendment aims to adjust Annex X, on the sugar beet sector, to the end of the quota system. As the Commission is in fact proposing to delete point B of Annex III, it is necessary to secure the positions of planters and processing enterprises, by specifying that the standard quality must now be defined by the parties.*

**Amendment 681**  
**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 33 b (new)**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Annex X – point XI – paragraph 1

*Present text*

1. Agreements within the trade as described in point 6 of Section A of Part II of Annex II shall contain arbitration clauses.

*Amendment*

**(33b) in point XI of Annex X, paragraph 1 is replaced by the following:**

“1. Agreements within the trade as described in point 6 of Section A of Part II of Annex II shall contain **conciliation or mediation mechanisms or** arbitration clauses.”

Or. fr

*Justification*

*The amendment proposes alternative mechanisms for settling disputes other than arbitration clauses, which have proven to be expensive for the parties attending.*

**Amendment 682**  
**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 1 – paragraph 1 – point 33 c (new)**  
Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013  
Annex X – point IX – paragraph 4 a (new)

**(33c) in point IX of Annex X, the following paragraph is added:**

**4a. A sugar undertaking and the beet sellers concerned may agree on value sharing clauses, including market bonuses and losses, determining how any evolution of relevant market prices of sugar or other commodity markets is to be allocated between them.**

Or. fr

*Justification*

*This amendment seeks to insert into Regulation No 1308/2013 the value sharing clause in the sugar sector established under Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2016/1166 of 17 May 2016. It is also proposed that these value sharing clauses could cover the introduction of relevant jointly agreed indicators for determining prices.*

**Amendment 683**

**Eric Andrieu, Karine Gloanec Maurin**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 2 – paragraph -1 (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 1 – paragraph 2 – point b

*Present text*

(b) value-adding attributes as a result of the farming or processing methods used in their production, or of the place of their production or marketing.

*Amendment*

**-1. Paragraph 2(b) of Article 1 is amended as follows:**

“(b) value-adding attributes as a result of the farming or processing methods used in their production, or of the place of their production or marketing **or, where applicable, their contribution to sustainable development.**”

Or. fr

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?qid=1543504936419&uri=CELEX:02012R1151-20130103>)*

## *Justification*

*In order to meet the expectations of EU consumers and citizens it is important for products benefiting from a designation of origin or a geographical indication to take sustainable development into account.*

### **Amendment 684**

**Ivan Jakovčić**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

##### **Article 2 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 1 – paragraph 2

#### *Present text*

2. This Regulation establishes quality schemes which provide the basis for the identification and, where appropriate, protection of names and terms that, in particular, indicate or describe agricultural products with: (a) value-adding characteristics; or (b) value-adding attributes as a result of the farming or processing methods used in their production, or of the place of their production or marketing.

#### *Amendment*

##### *Article 2a*

*In Article 1, paragraph 2 is amended as follow:*

“This Regulation establishes quality schemes which provide the basis for the identification and, where appropriate, protection of names and terms that, in particular, indicate or describe agricultural products with: (a) value-adding characteristics; or (b) value-adding attributes as a result of the farming or processing methods used in their production, or of the place of their production or marketing ***or, where appropriate, of their contribution to sustainable development.***”

Or. en

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:02012R1151-20130103&qid=1544449512758&from=EN>)*

### **Amendment 685**

**Michel Dantin**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

##### **Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point -1 (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 1 – paragraph 2 – point b

*Present text*

*Amendment*

(b) value-adding attributes as a result of the farming or processing methods used in their production, or of the place of their production or marketing.

***(-1) in Article 1(2), point (b) is replaced by the following:***

***“(b) value-adding attributes as a result of the farming or processing methods used in their production, or of the place of their production or marketing **or, where applicable, their contribution to sustainable development.**”***

Or. fr

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:02013R1308-20180101&from=EN>)*

*Justification*

*The amendment seeks to respond to the growing expectations of EU consumers and citizens, by opening up the possibility for the specifications of high-quality products to contribute to sustainable development and for the products to be recognised for that contribution.*

## **Amendment 686**

**Ivan Jakovčić**

### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 1**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 2 – paragraph 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***3. This Regulation, and in particular the registrations made pursuant to Article 52, shall be without prejudice to compliance of products concerned with other Union rules relating in particular to the placing of products on the market, marketing and to food labelling.;***

***deleted***

Or. en

**Amendment 687**

**Ivan Jakovčić**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 2 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – introductory sentence

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(2a) In Article 5, paragraph 1, the introductory sentence is replaced by the following:**

**1. For the purpose of this Regulation, ‘designation of origin’ is a name traditionally used in a specific place which identifies a product:**

Or. en

**Amendment 688**

**Eric Andrieu, Karine Gloanec Maurin**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 1 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 5 – paragraph 1 – introductory sentence

*Present text*

*Amendment*

1. For the purpose of this Regulation, ‘designation of origin’ is a name which identifies a product:

**(1a) in Article 5(1), the introductory sentence is amended as follows:**

“1. For the purpose of this Regulation, ‘designation of origin’ is a name **traditionally used in a specific place** which identifies a product.”

Or. fr

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?qid=1543504936419&uri=CELEX:02012R1151-20130103>)*

*Justification*

*As for wine, the definition of ‘designation of origin’ for agricultural products and foodstuffs*

*uses the definition laid down internationally in the Lisbon Agreement.*

## **Amendment 689**

**Michel Dantin**

### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 2**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 5 – paragraph 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(2) in Article 5(1), point (b) is replaced by the following:** *deleted*

‘

**(b) whose quality or characteristics are essentially or exclusively due to a particular geographical environment, with its inherent natural factors and, where relevant, human factors;**

‘

Or. fr

### *Justification*

*This amendment seeks to reject the Commission’s proposal, which would constitute a genuine lessening of the requirements to be met in order to obtain a PDO and would change the concept of a PDO, which is closely linked to the geographical environment, which must, of necessity, include natural and human factors.*

## **Amendment 690**

**Paolo De Castro**

### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 2 b (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 5 – paragraph 1

*Present text*

*Amendment*

**(2b) In Article 5, paragraph 1, the introductory part is modified as following:**

1. For the purpose of this Regulation, ‘designation of origin’ is a name which identifies a product:

“1. For the purpose of this Regulation, ‘designation of origin’ is a name ***traditionally used in a specific place*** which identifies a product.”

Or. en

(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32012R1151&from=IT>)

**Amendment 691**  
**Paolo De Castro**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 2 a (new)**  
Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012  
Article 5 – paragraph 2

*Present text*

*Amendment*

2. For the purpose of this Regulation, ‘geographical indication’ is a name which identifies a product:

***(2a) In article 5, paragraph 2, the introduction part is modified as following:***

“2. For the purpose of this Regulation, ‘geographical indication’ is a name ***traditionally used in a specific place*** which identifies a product.”

Or. en

(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32012R1151&from=IT>)

**Amendment 692**  
**Ivan Jakovčić**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 2**  
Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012  
Article 5 – paragraph 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***In Article 5, the paragraph 2 is replaced by the following:***

***1. For the purpose of this Regulation, ‘geographical indication’ is a name***

*traditionally used in a specific place  
which identifies a product:*

Or. en

**Amendment 693**

**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 2 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 6 – paragraph 2

*Present text*

2. A name may not be registered as a designation of origin or geographical indication where it conflicts with a name of a plant variety or an animal breed and is likely to mislead the consumer as to the true origin of the product.

*Amendment*

***(2a) in Article 6, paragraph 2 is replaced by the following:***

“2. A name may not be registered as a designation of origin or geographical indication where it conflicts with a name of a plant variety or an animal breed and is likely to mislead the consumer as to the true origin of the product ***and to cause confusion between products with the registered designation and the variety or breed in question.***

***This shall take into consideration the following:***

***(a) actual use of the name of the plant variety or animal breed in the sales description;***

***(b) any duplication of names which might result from the registration;***

***(c) the extension of use of the plant variety or animal breed outside its area of origin.”***

Or. fr

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32012R1151&from=en>)*



### *Justification*

*This amendment seeks to set out clearly the methods for registering PDOs/PGIs where the name conflicts with the breed and/or variety, instead of merely relying on the principle of not misleading consumers as to the real origin of the product. This proposal is in line with Article 3(3) of Implementing Regulation 1898/2006 for former Regulation No 510/2006.*

#### **Amendment 694**

**Michel Dantin**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

##### **Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 3**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 7 – paragraph 1 – point b

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(3) in Article 7(1), point (d) is deleted; deleted**

Or. fr

### *Justification*

*This amendment seeks to reject the Commission’s proposal, the effect of which is to distort an essential element of the credibility of geographical indication systems, and which does not contribute to the aim of increasing the reliability of controls and the homogeneity of the provisions on traceability and checks on products.*

#### **Amendment 695**

**Michel Dantin**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

##### **Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 4 b (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 7 – paragraph 1 – point e

*Present text*

*Amendment*

(e) a description of the method of obtaining the product and, where appropriate, the authentic and unvarying local methods as well as information concerning packaging,

**(4b) In Article 7(1), point (e) is replaced by the following:**

“(e) a description of the method of obtaining the product and, where appropriate, **its contribution to sustainable development**, the authentic and unvarying

if the applicant group so determines and gives sufficient product-specific justification as to why the packaging must take place in the defined geographical area to safeguard quality, to ensure the origin or to ensure control, taking into account Union law, in particular that on the free movement of goods and the free provision of services;

local methods as well as information concerning packaging, if the applicant group so determines and gives sufficient product-specific justification as to why the packaging must take place in the defined geographical area to safeguard quality, to ensure the origin or to ensure control, taking into account Union law, in particular that on the free movement of goods and the free provision of services;”

Or. fr

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32012R1151&from=en>)*

#### *Justification*

*The amendment seeks to respond to the growing expectations of EU consumers and citizens, by opening up the possibility for the specifications of high-quality products to contribute to sustainable development and for the products to be recognised for that contribution.*

### **Amendment 696**

**Michel Dantin**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 4 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 7 – paragraph 1 – point d

#### *Present text*

(d) evidence that the product originates in the defined geographical area referred to in Article 5(1) *or* (2);

#### *Amendment*

***(4a) in Article 7(1), point (d) is replaced by the following:***

“(d) evidence ***of traceability proving*** that the product originates in the defined geographical area referred to in Article 5(1) ***and*** (2);”

Or. fr

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32012R1151&from=en>)*

## *Justification*

*The amendment seeks to clarify an essential element of the EU's quality policy and to increase the reliability of controls and the homogeneity of the provisions on traceability and checks on products in Member States.*

### **Amendment 697**

**Ivan Jakovčić**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 3 b (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 7 – paragraph 1

#### *Present text*

1. A protected designation of origin or a protected geographical indication shall comply with a specification which shall include at least:(a) the name to be protected as a designation of origin or geographical indication, as it is used, whether in trade or in common language, and only in the languages which are or were historically used to describe the specific product in the defined geographical area;(b) a description of the product, including the raw materials, if appropriate, as well as the principal physical, chemical, microbiological or organoleptic characteristics of the product;(c) the definition of the geographical area delimited with regard to the link referred to in point (f)(i) or (ii) of this paragraph, and, where appropriate, details indicating compliance with the requirements of Article 5(3);(d) evidence that the product originates in the defined geographical area referred to in Article 5(1) or (2);(e) a description of the method of obtaining the product and, where appropriate, the authentic and unvarying local methods as well as information concerning packaging, if the applicant group so determines and gives sufficient product-specific justification as to why the

#### *Amendment*

#### ***(3b) Article 7 - paragraph 1 is replaced by the following:***

“1. A protected designation of origin or a protected geographical indication shall comply with a specification which shall include at least:(a) the name to be protected as a designation of origin or geographical indication, as it is used, whether in trade or in common language, and only in the languages which are or were historically used to describe the specific product in the defined geographical area;(b) a description of the product, including the raw materials, if appropriate, as well as the principal physical, chemical, microbiological or organoleptic characteristics of the product;(c) the definition of the geographical area delimited with regard to the link referred to in point (f)(i) or (ii) of this paragraph, and, where appropriate, details indicating compliance with the requirements of Article 5(3);(d) evidence that the product originates in the defined geographical area referred to in Article 5(1) or (2);(e) a description of the method of obtaining the product and, where appropriate, the ***contribution to sustainable development, the*** authentic and unvarying local methods as well as information concerning packaging, if the applicant group so determines and gives

packaging must take place in the defined geographical area to safeguard quality, to ensure the origin or to ensure control, taking into account Union law, in particular that on the free movement of goods and the free provision of services;**(f) details establishing the following:(i) the link between the quality or characteristics of the product and the geographical environment referred to in Article 5(1); or(ii) where appropriate, the link between a given quality, the reputation or other characteristic of the product and the geographical origin referred to in Article 5(2);(g) the name and address of the authorities or, if available, the name and address of bodies verifying compliance with the provisions of the product specification pursuant to Article 37 and their specific tasks;(h) any specific labelling rule for the product in question.**

sufficient product-specific justification as to why the packaging must take place in the defined geographical area to safeguard quality, to ensure the origin or to ensure control, taking into account Union law, in particular that on the free movement of goods and the free provision of services;”

Or. en

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:02012R1151-20130103&qid=1544449512758&from=EN>)*

**Amendment 698**  
**Norbert Lins**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 4**  
Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012  
Article 10 – paragraph 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(4) in paragraph 1 of Article 10, the introductory sentence is replaced by the following:**

**deleted**

‘

**‘ A reasoned statement of opposition as referred to in Article 51(1) shall be admissible only if it is received by the Commission within the time limit set out in that paragraph and if it: ’;**

*Justification*

*Status quo should be maintained.*

**Amendment 699**  
**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 4 c (new)**  
Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012  
Article 11 – paragraph 2

*Present text*

2. Geographical indications pertaining to products of third countries that are protected in the Union under an international agreement to which the Union is a contracting party may be entered in the register. Unless specifically identified in the said agreement as protected designations of origin under this Regulation, such names shall be entered in the register as protected geographical indications.

*Amendment*

**(4c) in Article 11, paragraph 2 is replaced by the following:**

“2. Geographical indications pertaining to products of third countries that are protected in the Union under an international agreement to which the Union is a contracting party may be entered in the register **if the agreement so provides**. Unless specifically identified in the said agreement as protected designations of origin under this Regulation, such names shall be entered in the register as protected geographical indications.”

Or. fr

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32012R1151&from=en>)*

*Justification*

*The amendment seeks to make it clear that registration in the register of products of third countries that are protected under an international agreement to which the Union is a contracting party may be carried out if the agreement in question so provides. This will harmonise the Commission's practices and specify the cases of use of indications and logos for products of third countries protected by an international agreement.*

## Amendment 700

Michel Dantin

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 4 d (new)

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 12 – paragraph 3

#### *Present text*

3. In the case of products originating in the Union that are marketed under a protected designation of origin or a protected geographical indication registered in accordance with the procedures laid down in this Regulation, the Union symbols associated with them shall appear on the labelling. In addition, the registered name of the product should appear in the same field of vision. The indications ‘protected designation of origin’ or ‘protected geographical indication’ or the corresponding abbreviations ‘PDO’ or ‘PGI’ may appear on the labelling.

#### *Amendment*

***(4d) in Article 12, paragraph 3 is replaced by the following:***

“3. In the case of products originating in the Union that are marketed under a protected designation of origin or a protected geographical indication registered in accordance with the procedures laid down in this Regulation, the Union symbols associated with them shall appear on the labelling, ***advertising material and documents relating to the product involved.*** In addition, the registered name of the product should appear in the same field of vision ***and in a prominent place, so that it is easily visible, clearly readable and, if applicable, indelible. It must under no circumstances be concealed, obscured, damaged or interrupted by any other written or pictorial element or any other intermediate document.*** The indications ‘protected designation of origin’ or ‘protected geographical indication’ or the corresponding abbreviations ‘PDO’ or ‘PGI’ may appear on the labelling.”

Or. fr

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32012R1151&from=en>)*

#### *Justification*

*The amendment seeks to prevent the abuses currently taking place and to propose that the protected name appears in a readable and visible manner and without any obstacle making it difficult for the consumer to see it on product labelling, as well as on distance selling*

*material, advertising and documents included with the products.*

## **Amendment 701**

**Michel Dantin**

### **Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 4 e (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 12 – paragraph 6 – subparagraph 1 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(4e) in Article 12, the following subparagraph is added to paragraph 6:***

***In the case of products from third countries protected by an international agreement to which the Union is a contracting party and which are not marketed under a name entered in the register, the indications referred to in paragraph 3 or the Union symbols associated with them may not appear on the labelling.***

Or. fr

### *Justification*

*This amendment seeks to make it clear that placing the GIO and PDO indications and EU logos corresponding to the Union is not possible on the labelling of products from third countries which are protected under an international agreement to which the Union is a contracting party and which are not in the register.*

## **Amendment 702**

**Clara Eugenia Aguilera García**

### **Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 4 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 13 – Paragraph 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(4a) Article 13(1)(a) is amended as***

*follows:*

*(a) any direct or indirect commercial use of a registered name in respect of products not covered by the registration where those products are comparable to the products registered under that name or where using the name exploits the reputation, albeit diminished or diluted, of the protected name, including when those products are used as an ingredient;*

Or. es

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:02012R1151-20130103&qid=1543598100994&from=ES>)*

*Justification*

*This amendment is intended to strengthen the system safeguarding any protected designation of origin or protected geographical indication.*

**Amendment 703**

**Eric Andrieu, Karine Gloanec Maurin**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 4 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 13 – paragraph 1 – point a

*Present text*

(a) any direct or indirect commercial use of a registered name in respect of products not covered by the registration where those products are comparable to the products registered under that name or where using the name exploits the reputation of the protected name, including when those products are used as an ingredient;

*Amendment*

**(4a) In Article 13(1), point (a) is amended as follows:**

“(a) any direct or indirect commercial use of a registered name in respect of products not covered by the registration where those products are comparable to the products registered under that name or where using the name exploits, *lessens or dilutes* the reputation of the protected name, including when those products are used as an ingredient;”

Or. fr



*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?qid=1543504936419&uri=CELEX:02012R1151-20130103>)*

*Justification*

*As for wine, this amendment seeks to strengthen the protection system for protected names for agricultural products and foodstuffs.*

**Amendment 704**

**Clara Eugenia Aguilera García**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 4 b (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 13 – Paragraph 1 – point d a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(4b) in Article 13(1), the following new point is added:***

***(da) any registration, in bad faith, of a domain name that is similar or that may be confused, in full or in part, with a protected name.***

Or. es

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:02012R1151-20130103&qid=1543598100994&from=ES>)*

*Justification*

*It is important to strengthen the system for safeguarding geographical indications online.*

**Amendment 705**

**Eric Andrieu, Karine Gloanec Maurin**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 4 b (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 13 – Paragraph 1 – Point d a (new)

**(4b) in Article 13, the following new paragraph is inserted:**

**(da) any registration in bad faith of a domain name that is similar or likely to lead to confusion, in full or in part, with a protected name.**

Or. fr

*Justification*

*As for wine, it is important to reinforce the protection system for protected names by adding to legislation currently in force.*

**Amendment 706**

**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 4 f (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 13 – paragraph 1 – point a

*Present text*

(a) any direct or indirect commercial use of a registered name in respect of products not covered by the registration where those products are comparable to the products registered under that name or where using the name exploits the reputation of the protected name, including where those products are used as an ingredient;

*Amendment*

**(4f) in Article 13(1), point (a) is replaced by the following:**

“(a) any direct or indirect commercial use of a registered name in respect of products not covered by the registration where those products are comparable to the products registered under that name or where using the name exploits, **lessens or dilutes** the reputation of the protected name, including when those products are used as an ingredient;”

Or. fr

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32012R1151&from=en>)*

### *Justification*

*The amendment seeks to harmonise this Regulation with the additions made by the rapporteur to the single CMO regulation (Amendment 34), with a view to consistency.*

#### **Amendment 707**

**Michel Dantin**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 4 g (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 13 – paragraph 1 – point d a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(4g) in Article 13(1), the following new point is inserted:***

***(da) any use, in bad faith, of a name that is similar or that may be confused, in full or in part, with a protected name.***

Or. fr

### *Justification*

*The amendment seeks to harmonise this Regulation with the additions made by the rapporteur to the single CMO regulation (Amendment 34), with a view to consistency.*

#### **Amendment 708**

**Mara Bizzotto, Angelo Ciocca**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 5**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 13 – point 4

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

4. The protection referred to in paragraph 1 shall also apply ***with regard to goods entering the customs territory of the Union without being released for free circulation within the customs territory of the Union and with regard to goods sold***

4. The protection referred to in paragraph 1 shall also apply ***with regard to the delegation of protected designations of origin or protected geographical indications as second-level domains within the top-level domains of the***

*through means of electronic commerce.’;*

*national codes of the EU and its Member States, as well as with regard to goods sold through means of electronic commerce. Only the Member States from which the protected designations of origin or the protected geographical indications originate, or the producer groups [referred to in Article 45] concerned may obtain such a delegation.*

Or. it

## **Amendment 709**

**Norbert Erdős**

### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 5**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 13 – paragraph 4

#### *Text proposed by the Commission*

4. The protection referred to in paragraph 1 shall also apply with regard to goods entering the customs territory of the Union without being released for free circulation within the customs territory of the Union and with regard to goods sold through means of electronic commerce.;

#### *Amendment*

4. The protection referred to in paragraph 1 shall also apply with regard to goods ***identical to the PDO/PGI registered in the EU*** entering the customs territory of the Union without being released for free circulation within the customs territory of the Union and with regard to goods sold through means of electronic commerce.;

Or. en

#### *Justification*

*I think that the scope of this sentence has to be tightened to those transit goods which are identical to the PDO/PGI registered goods.*

## **Amendment 710**

**Michel Dantin**

### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 5**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

4. The protection referred to in paragraph 1 shall also apply with regard to goods *entering the customs territory of the Union without being released for free circulation within the customs territory of the Union* and with regard to goods *sold through means of electronic commerce.*;

*Amendment*

4. The protection referred to in paragraph 1 shall also apply with regard to goods *in transit within the meaning of point 44 of Article 3 of Regulation (EU) No 2017/625* and with regard to goods *offered for sale through a means of distance communication*;

Or. fr

*Justification*

*The amendment seeks to clarify the Commission's proposal in order to better cover the transit system and the distance selling system, which is a wider term than 'electronic commerce'.*

**Amendment 711**

**Ivan Jakovčić**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 5 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 13 – paragraph 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(5a) In Article 13, paragraph 1, the point a is replaced by the following:**

**(a) any direct or indirect commercial use of a registered name in respect of products not covered by the registration where those products are comparable to the products registered under that name or where using the name exploits, weakens or dilutes, the reputation of the protected name, including when those products are used as an ingredient;**

Or. en

**Amendment 712**  
**Paolo De Castro**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 5 a (new)**  
Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012  
Article 13 – paragraph 1

*Present text*

1. Registered names shall be protected against:
- (a) any direct or indirect commercial use of a registered name in respect of products not covered by the registration where those products are comparable to the products registered under that name or where using the name exploits the reputation of the protected name, including when those products are used as an ingredient;
  - (b) any misuse, imitation or evocation, even if the true origin of the products or services is indicated or if the protected name is translated or accompanied by an expression such as ‘style’, ‘type’, ‘method’, ‘as produced in’, ‘imitation’ or similar, including when those products are used as an ingredient;
  - (c) any other false or misleading indication as to the provenance, origin, nature or essential qualities of the product that is used on the inner or outer packaging, advertising material or documents relating to the product concerned, and the packing of the product in a container liable to convey a false impression as to its origin;
  - (d) any other practice liable to mislead the consumer as to the true origin of the product.

Where a protected designation of origin or a protected geographical indication contains within it the name of a product which is considered to be generic, the use

*Amendment*

**(5a) In Article 13, the paragraph 1 is replaced by the following:**

- “1. Registered names shall be protected against:
- (a) any direct or indirect commercial use of a registered name in respect of products not covered by the registration where those products are comparable to the products registered under that name or where using the name exploits, ***weakens or dilutes*** the reputation of the protected name, including when those products are used as an ingredient;
  - (b) any misuse, imitation or evocation, even if the true origin of the products or services is indicated or if the protected name is translated or accompanied by an expression such as ‘style’, ‘type’, ‘method’, ‘as produced in’, ‘imitation’ or similar, including when those products are used as an ingredient;
  - (c) any other false or misleading indication as to the provenance, origin, nature or essential qualities of the product that is used on the inner or outer packaging, advertising material or documents relating to the product concerned, and the packing of the product in a container liable to convey a false impression as to its origin;
  - (d) any other practice liable to mislead the consumer as to the true origin of the product.

Where a protected designation of origin or a protected geographical indication contains within it the name of a product which is considered to be generic, the use

of that generic name shall not be considered to be contrary to points (a) or (b) of the first subparagraph.

of that generic name shall not be considered to be contrary to points (a) or (b) of the first subparagraph.”

Or. en

(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32012R1151&from=IT>)

### **Amendment 713**

**Ivan Jakovčić**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 5 b (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 13 – point 1 – point d a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(5b) In Article 13, paragraph 1, the following point is inserted:***

***(da) any registration, in bad faith, of a domain name that is similar or liable to cause confusion, in full or in part, with a protected name.***

Or. en

### **Amendment 714**

**Mara Bizzotto, Angelo Ciocca**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 5 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 14

*Present text*

*Amendment*

1. Where a designation of origin or a geographical indication is registered under this Regulation, the registration of a trade mark the use of which would contravene Article **13(1)** and which relates to a product

***(5a) Article 14 is replaced by the following:***

“1. Where a designation of origin or a geographical indication is registered under this Regulation, The registration of a trade mark the use of which would contravene Article **103(2)**, and which relates to a

of the *same type* shall be refused if the application for registration of the trade mark is submitted after the date of submission of the registration application in respect of the designation of origin or the geographical indication to the Commission.

Trade marks registered in breach of the first subparagraph shall be invalidated. *The provisions of this paragraph shall apply notwithstanding the provisions of Directive 2008/95/EC.*

2. Without prejudice to Article 6(4), a trade mark the use of which contravenes Article 13(1) which has been applied for, registered, or established by use *if that possibility is provided for by the legislation concerned*, in good faith within the territory of the Union, before the date on which the application for protection of the designation of origin or geographical indication is submitted to the Commission, may continue to be used and renewed for that product notwithstanding the registration of a designation of origin or geographical indication, provided that no grounds for its invalidity or revocation exist under Council Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 of 26 February 2009 on the Community trade mark (22) or under Directive 2008/95/EC. In such cases, the use of the protected designation of origin or protected geographical indication shall be permitted as well as use of the relevant trade marks.

product *falling under one of the categories listed in Part II of Annex VII* shall be refused if the application for registration of the trade mark is submitted after the date of submission of the registration application in respect of the designation of origin or the geographical indication to the Commission.

Trade marks registered in breach of the first subparagraph shall be invalidated.

2. Without prejudice to Article 101(2), a trade mark the use of which contravenes Article 90 (1) of Regulation (EU) No 1306/2013 which has been applied for, registered or established by use in good faith within the territory of the Union, before the date on which the application for protection of the designation of origin or geographical indication is submitted to the Commission, may continue to be used and renewed for that product notwithstanding the registration of a designation of origin or geographical indication, provided that no grounds for its invalidity or revocation exist under Council Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 of 26 February 2009 on the Community trade mark (I) or under Directive 2008/95/EC. In such cases, the use of the protected designation of origin or protected geographical indication shall be permitted as well as use of the relevant trade marks.”

Or. en

(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32012R1151&from=IT>)

**Amendment 715**  
**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 6 – point a**



*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(a) in paragraph 1, the second subparagraph is replaced by the following:** **deleted**

‘

***Those implementing acts shall be adopted without applying the examination procedure referred to in Article 57(2).;***

‘

Or. fr

*Justification*

*The amendment seeks to reject the Commission’s proposal, the effect of which would be to exclude the committee of Member States when certain transitional periods are being granted.*

**Amendment 716**  
**Manolis Kefalogiannis**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 6 – point a**  
Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012  
Article 15 – point 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***Those implementing acts shall be adopted without applying the examination procedure referred to in Article 57(2).;*** **deleted**

Or. en

*Justification*

*The examination procedure referred to in Article 57(2)- quality committee, is a guarantee for the all procedure. This amendment applies throughout the text. Adopting it will necessitate corresponding changes throughout.*

**Amendment 717**

**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 6 – point b**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 15 – paragraph 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(b) in paragraph 2, the introductory sentence is replaced by the following:** *deleted*

‘

*Without prejudice to Article 14, the Commission may adopt implementing acts extending the transitional period mentioned in paragraph 1 of this Article in justified cases where it is shown that;*

‘

Or. fr

*Justification*

*The amendment seeks to reject the Commission’s proposal, which aims to cease the practice of laying down a maximum duration for certain transitional periods. That would lessen the protection of geographical indications and result in confusion for consumers and unfair treatment for producers.*

**Amendment 718**

**Nikos Androulakis**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 6 – point b**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 15 – paragraph 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

‘Without prejudice to Article 14, the Commission may adopt implementing acts extending the transitional period mentioned in paragraph 1 of this Article in justified cases where it is shown that:’;

‘Without prejudice to Article 14, the Commission may adopt implementing acts extending the transitional period mentioned in paragraph 1 of this Article **to 15 years** in justified cases where it is shown that:’;

**Amendment 719****Michel Dantin****Proposal for a regulation****Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 6 – point b a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 15 – paragraph 4

*Present text*

4. To overcome temporary difficulties with the long-term objective of ensuring that all producers in the area concerned comply with the specification, a Member State may grant a transitional period of up to 10 years, with effect from the date on which the application is lodged with the Commission, on condition that the operators concerned have legally marketed the products in question, using the names concerned continuously for at least the five years prior to the **lodging** of the **application to the authorities of the Member State** and have made that point in the **national opposition procedure referred to in Article 49(3)**.

*Amendment*

**(ba) in Article 15, paragraph 4 is replaced by the following:**

“4. To overcome temporary difficulties with the long-term objective of ensuring that all producers in the area concerned comply with the specification, a Member State may grant a transitional period of up to 10 years, with effect from the date on which the application is lodged with the Commission, on condition that the operators concerned have legally marketed the products in question, using the names concerned continuously for at least the five years prior to the **launch** of the **national opposition procedure referred to in Article 49(3)** and have made that point in the **said** procedure.”

Or. fr

(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32012R1151&from=en>)

*Justification*

*The amendment seeks to clarify the very restrictive wording, which would entail going back to the time when the application was lodged, namely a time when the content of the specification was not definitively set and/or the situation of the operators may be different from the situation at the time of the national opposition procedure. The amendment proposes referring to the national opposition procedure at the stage when the specification has been definitively set.*

**Amendment 720**

**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 7 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 18 – paragraph 3

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(7a) in Article 18, paragraph 3 is deleted.***

Or. fr

*Justification*

*The amendment seeks to strengthen the protection for traditional specialties guaranteed in order to prevent products with a similar name that do not comply with the specification of a registered traditional specialty guaranteed being marketed and therefore appropriating the reputation of products under the registered traditional specialty guaranteed.*

**Amendment 721**

**Norbert Lins**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 8**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 21 – paragraph 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(8) in paragraph 1 of Article 21, the introductory sentence is replaced by the following:***

***deleted***

‘

***A reasoned statement of opposition as referred to in Article 51(1) shall be admissible only if it is received by the Commission before expiry of the time limit and if it;***

‘

Or. en

*Justification*

*Status quo should be maintained.*

**Amendment 722**

**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 8 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 23 – paragraph 3

*Present text*

3. In the case of the products originating in the Union that are marketed under a traditional speciality guaranteed that is registered in accordance with this Regulation, the symbol referred to in paragraph 2 shall, without prejudice to paragraph 4, appear on the labelling. In addition, the name of the product should appear in the same field of vision. The indication ‘traditional speciality guaranteed’ or the corresponding abbreviation ‘TSG’ may also appear on the labelling.

*Amendment*

**(8a) in Article 23, paragraph 3 is replaced by the following:**

“3. In the case of the products originating in the Union that are marketed under a traditional speciality guaranteed that is registered in accordance with this Regulation, the symbol referred to in paragraph 2 shall, without prejudice to paragraph 4, appear on the labelling, **advertising material and documents relating to the product involved**. In addition, the name of the product should appear in the same field of vision **and in a prominent place, so that it is easily visible, clearly readable and, if applicable, indelible. It must under no circumstances be concealed, obscured, damaged or interrupted by any other written or pictorial element or any other intermediate document**. The indication ‘traditional speciality guaranteed’ or the corresponding abbreviation ‘TSG’ may also appear on the labelling.”

Or. fr

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32012R1151&from=en>)*

*Justification*

*The amendment seeks to prevent the abuses currently taking place and to propose that the*

*protected name appears in a readable and visible manner and without any obstacle making it difficult for the consumer to see it on product labelling, as well as on distance selling material, advertising and documents included with the products.*

**Amendment 723**

**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 9**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 24 a – paragraph 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

Those implementing acts shall be adopted *without applying* the examination procedure referred to in Article 57(2).;

*Amendment*

Those implementing acts shall be adopted *in accordance with* the examination procedure referred to in Article 57(2).

Or. fr

*Justification*

*The amendment seeks to maintain the process of making a reference to the committee of Member States within the context of the examination procedure.*

**Amendment 724**

**Momchil Nekov**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 9 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 33 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**9 (a) The following Article 33a is inserted:**

**‘Article 33a**

***Additional rules concerning the use of an optional quality term ‘mountain product’***

***Member States are in a position to authorise the placement of a product, using the optional quality term ‘mountain***

*product', if the rules for its production are not in breach of the production and labelling requirements of a mountain product in the given country if it has such.'*

Or. bg

**Amendment 725**  
**Nikos Androulakis**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 10**  
Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012  
Article 49 – Paragraph 8 and 9

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(10) in Article 49, the following paragraphs 8 and 9 are added:*

*deleted*

‘

**8. The Member State shall inform the Commission without delay if any procedure is initiated before a national court or other national body concerning an application lodged with the Commission, in accordance with paragraph 4.**

**9.**

**Where appropriate, the Commission may adopt implementing acts to suspend the scrutiny of the application for registration referred to in Article 50 until a national court or other national body has adjudicated on a challenge to an application for registration where the Member State has taken a favourable decision in a national procedure in accordance with paragraph 4.**

**Those implementing acts shall be adopted without applying the examination procedure referred to in Article 57(2).;**

‘

**Amendment 726**

**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 10 – introductory part**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 49 – paragraph 9

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(10) in Article 49, the following *paragraphs 8 and 9* are added:

*Amendment*

(10) in Article 49, the following *paragraph 8* is added:

Or. fr

**Amendment 727**

**Manolis Kefalogiannis**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 10**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 49 – Paragraph 8

*Text proposed by the Commission*

**8. The Member State shall inform the Commission without delay if any procedure is initiated before a national court or other national body concerning an application lodged with the Commission, in accordance with paragraph 4.**

*Amendment*

*deleted*

*(This amendment applies throughout the text. Adopting it will necessitate corresponding changes throughout.)*

Or. en

*Justification*

*It is not certain that the Member States will be aware of these appeals. This is because the process of examining the appeal at national level could take many years and delay the*



*registration of the name. Additionally such appeals at national level should not prevent the Commission from examining the application. From our experience so far, a positive evaluation by the Commission has been a deterrent to appeals at national level.*

**Amendment 728**

**Norbert Erdős**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 10**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 49 – Paragraph 8

*Text proposed by the Commission*

8. The Member State shall inform the Commission without delay if any procedure is initiated before a national court or other national body concerning an application lodged with the Commission, in accordance with paragraph 4.

*Amendment*

8. The Member State shall inform the Commission without delay if any procedure is initiated before a national court or other national body concerning ***a final decision by the competent national authority on*** an application lodged with the Commission, in accordance with paragraph 4.

Or. en

*Justification*

*It would be too bureaucratic if Member States should inform the Commission on any legal steps concerning an application. It would be enough to tighten this obligation to final national decisions.*

**Amendment 729**

**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 11**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 50 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

The Commission shall examine applications for registration that it receives in accordance with Article 49(4) and (5).  
The Commission shall review the

*Amendment*

The Commission shall examine applications for registration that it receives in accordance with Article 49(4) and (5).  
The Commission shall review the

applications *for manifest errors, taking into account the outcome of* the scrutiny and opposition procedure carried out by the Member State concerned.

applications *received following* the scrutiny and opposition procedure carried out by the Member State concerned *to see whether they contain any manifest errors.*

Or. fr

### *Justification*

*The amendment seeks to clarify the wording of this new paragraph.*

## **Amendment 730**

**Norbert Lins**

### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 12 – point a**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 51 – paragraph 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(a) paragraph 1 is replaced by the following:**

**deleted**

‘

**1.**

***Within three months from the date of publication in the Official Journal of the European Union, the authorities of a Member State or of a third country, or a natural or a legal person having a legitimate interest and established in a third country may lodge a reasoned statement of opposition with the Commission.***

***A natural or a legal person having a legitimate interest, established or resident in a Member State other than that from which the application was submitted, may lodge a reasoned statement of opposition with the Member State in which it is resident or established within a time limit permitting an opposition to be lodged pursuant to the first subparagraph.;***

‘

*Justification*

*Status quo should be maintained.*

**Amendment 731**

**Norbert Lins**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 12 – point b**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 51 – paragraph 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(b)** *paragraph 2 is replaced by the following:* **deleted**

‘

**2. The Commission shall examine the admissibility of the reasoned statement of opposition based in particular on grounds of opposition laid down in Article 10 as regards protected designations of origin and protected geographical indications and based in particular on the grounds for opposition laid down in Article 21 as regards traditional specialities guaranteed.;**

‘

*Justification*

*Status quo should be maintained.*

**Amendment 732**

**Norbert Erdős**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 14**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) it risks to void the links referred to in point (b) of Article 5(1) for protected designations of origin and of Article 5(2) for protected geographical indications;

*Amendment*

(b) **Member State authorities officially state that** it risks to void the links referred to in point (b) of Article 5(1) for protected designations of origin and of Article 5(2) for protected geographical indications;

Or. en

*Justification*

*We have to decide that the responsibility on determining of the occurrence of risks will bear who.*

**Amendment 733**  
**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 14**  
Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012  
Article 53 – paragraph 2 – point b

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) it risks **to void** the links referred to in point (b) of Article 5(1) for protected designations of origin and of Article 5(2) for protected geographical indications;

*Amendment*

(b) it risks **distorting** the links referred to in point (b) of Article 5(1) for protected designations of origin and of Article 5(2) for protected geographical indications;

Or. fr

*Justification*

*The amendment seeks to harmonise the concept used with Regulation No 1308/2013 and that regulation's implementing acts. It is in fact more relevant to speak of the distortion of the link rather than the voiding of the link, which amounts to the disappearance of the PGI or the PDO, because if the link were to be completely called into question within the context of an amendment to the specification, that would mean losing the elements providing grounds for the registration of the name.*

**Amendment 734**  
**Clara Eugenia Aguilera García**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 14**  
Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012  
Article 53 – Paragraph 2 – last subparagraph

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendments shall be scrutinised taking into account other elements of the product specifications. Where appropriate, the Commission or the Member State concerned may invite the applicant to modify other elements of the product specifications.*

*Amendment*

*The scrutiny of the application shall focus on the proposed amendment.*

Or. es

*Justification*

*The scrutiny of the application shall focus on the proposed amendment to ensure faster and more efficient adoption of amendments to product specifications.*

**Amendment 735**  
**Paolo De Castro**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 14**  
Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012  
Article 53 – paragraph 2 – last subparagraph

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendments shall be scrutinised taking into account other elements of the product specifications. Where appropriate, the Commission or the Member State concerned may invite the applicant to modify other elements of the product specifications.*

*Amendment*

*The scrutiny of the application shall focus on the proposed amendment.*

Or. en

**Amendment 736**

**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 14**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 53 – paragraph 2 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**2a. Article 15 of this Regulation applies also to the Union's requests for amendment and standard amendments to a specification.**

Or. fr

*Justification*

*The amendment seeks to make it clear, for reasons of legal certainty, that Article 15 on transitional periods applies to amendments to the specification (amendments by the Union and standard amendments).*

**Amendment 737**

**Clara Eugenia Aguilera García**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 14 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 53 – paragraph 3 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(14a) In Article 53, the following new paragraph is added:**

**(3a) The Commission shall adopt guidelines setting out criteria and a common methodology for the application of and compliance with the administrative processing of amendments to product specifications, both EU and normal, to ensure consistency in the application of normal amendments at national level.**

**Within a three-year period of the reform coming into force, the Commission shall conduct an initial assessment of the**

*effectiveness of the administrative processing of amendments to product specifications, both EU and normal, to assess the impact and consistency of the application of the reform at national level. After the assessment, the Commission shall present a report on the main conclusions to the European Parliament and to the Council.*

Or. es

*Justification*

*The adoption of guidelines that set out criteria and a common methodology for the administrative processing of amendments to the product specifications, both EU and normal, will enable consistent application of the PDO/PGI concept throughout the EU and ensure equal conditions between the various Member States.*

**Amendment 738**  
**Paolo De Castro**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 14 a (new)**  
Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012  
Article 53 – paragraph 3 a new

*Present text*

*Amendment*

Article 53 (3a)

*(14a) In Article 53, the following paragraph is added:*

*“3a. The Commission shall adopt guidelines setting out criteria and a common methodology for the implementation and enforcement of the administrative process of Union and standard amendments to product specifications, in order to assure coherence in the implementation of standard amendments at national level.*

*Within 3 year of entry into force of the reform, the Commission shall carry out a first evaluation of the effectiveness of the administrative process of Union and standard amendments to product specification, in order to assess the impact*

*and coherence of the reform implementation at national level. Following the evaluation, the Commission shall present a report of the main findings to the European Parliament and to the Council.”*

Or. en

*(<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32012R1151&from=IT>)*

## **Amendment 739**

**Ivan Jakovčić**

### **Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 14 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Article 53 – paragraph 3 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(14a) 4. The Commission shall adopt guidelines setting out criteria and a common methodology for the implementation and enforcement of the administrative process of Union and standard amendments to product specifications, in order to assure coherence in the implementation of standard amendments at national level. Within 3 year of entry into force of the reform, the Commission shall carry out a first evaluation of the effectiveness of the administrative process of Union and standard amendments to product specification, in order to assess the impact and coherence of the reform implementation at national level. Following the evaluation, the Commission shall present a report of the main findings to the European Parliament and to the Council.*

Or. en



**Amendment 740**

**Norbert Erdős**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 15**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Annex I – point 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**- aromatised wines as defined in Article 3(2) of Regulation (EU) No 251/2014;** **deleted**

Or. en

*Justification*

*The creation of this new category of aromatised wines does not correspond to this regulation. Aromatised wines require the addition of aromas to compensate for their loss in alcohol and are assimilated to industrial products. These wine-based products should not fall under Single CMO rules and under the rules of this regulation, but rather under Regulation 251/2014 on aromatised wines.*

**Amendment 741**

**Norbert Erdős**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 15**

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012

Annex I – point 1 – new indent

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**wine propagating material**

Or. en

*Justification*

*It shall be made possible to geographically protect wine propagating materials in the EU.*

**Amendment 742**

**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 15**  
Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012  
Annex I – Point I – new indent

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

- — **prepared meals.**

Or. fr

*Justification*

*The amendment seeks to extend the scope of designations of origin and geographical indications referred to in Article 2(1) to prepared meals, which are products that have been prepared, like the bread, pastry, cakes, confectionery, biscuits and other baker's wares already included in point I of this Annex.*

**Amendment 743**  
**Momchil Nekov**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 15**  
Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012  
Annex I – point 1 – new indent

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

- **beeswax;**

Or. bg

**Amendment 744**  
**Norbert Erdős**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 3 – paragraph 3**  
Regulation (EU) No 251/2014  
Article 2 – point 3

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(3) **in Article 2, point 3 is deleted;** **deleted**

Or. en

## *Justification*

*The creation of this new category of aromatised wines does not correspond to this regulation. Aromatised wines require the addition of aromas to compensate for their loss in alcohol and are assimilated to industrial products. These wine-based products should not fall under Single CMO rules, but rather under Regulation 251/2014 on aromatised wines. The deletion is not justified here.*

### **Amendment 745**

**Herbert Dorfmann, Othmar Karas**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 3 – paragraph 3 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 251/2014

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point c a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(3a) In Article 3, paragraph 1, the following point is added:***

***“(ca) dealcoholised aromatised wine products:”***

Or. en

### **Amendment 746**

**Herbert Dorfmann, Othmar Karas**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 3 – paragraph 3 b (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 251/2014

Article 3 – paragraph 4 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(3b) In Article 3, the following paragraph is added:***

***“4a. Dealcoholised aromatised wine product is a drink:***

***(a) obtained under the conditions specified in paragraph 2, 3 and 4;***

***(b) which has undergone a dealcoholisation treatment;***

*(c) which has an actual alcoholic strength by volume of less than 0,5% volume.”*

Or. en

**Amendment 747**

**Herbert Dorfmann, Paolo De Castro, Othmar Karas**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 3 – paragraph 3 c (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 251/2014

Article 3 – paragraph 4 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(3c) in Article 4, the following paragraph is added:*

*“(4a) The oenological practices defined in Commission Regulation (EU) No 606/2009 shall apply to aromatised wine products.”*

Or. en

**Amendment 748**

**Herbert Dorfmann, Paolo De Castro, Othmar Karas**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 3 – paragraph 4 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 251/2014

Article 5 – paragraph 5 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(4a) In article 5 the following new paragraph is added:*

*“5a. Where aromatised wine products are to be exported to third countries, Member States may permit sales denominations other than those set out in Annex II if such sales denominations are required by the legislation of the third country in question. Those sale denomination may appear in languages other than the*

**Amendment 749**

**Herbert Dorfmann, Paolo De Castro, Othmar Karas**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 3 – paragraph 4 b (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 251/2014

**Article 5 – paragraph 5 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(4b) The following paragraph is added:**  
**“5b) The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 33 to amend Annex II to this Regulation in order to take into account technical progress, scientific and market developments, consumers’ health or consumer need for information.”**

**Amendment 750**

**Herbert Dorfmann, Paolo De Castro, Othmar Karas**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 3 – paragraph 4 e (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 251/2014

**Article 6 – paragraph 3 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(4e) In the article 6, the following is inserted:**  
**3a. “The vintage year may appear on the labels of products provided that the grapevine product represents at least 75% of the total volume and that at least 85% of the grapes used to make those products have been harvested in the year in question.”**

**Amendment 751**  
**John Stuart Agnew**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 3 – paragraph 4 a (new)**  
Regulation (EU) No 251/2014  
Article 7 – paragraphs (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(4a) In Article 7, paragraph 1 and 2 are added:**

**1. Where the provenance of aromatised wine products, other than a geographical indication is indicated in its description, presentation or labelling, it shall correspond to the place or region where the stage or stages in the production process which conferred on the finished aromatised wine product its character and essential definitive qualities took place.**

**2. The indication of the country of origin or place of provenance of the primary ingredient shall not be required for aromatised wine products.**

Or. en

**Amendment 752**  
**Herbert Dorfmann, Paolo De Castro, Othmar Karas**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 3 – paragraph 4 c (new)**  
Regulation (EU) No 251/2014  
Article 7 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(4c) A new article is inserted:**

**“Article 7a**

**Nutritional declaration**

*1. The nutritional declaration of aromatised wine products, that may be limited to the energy value only, shall be indicated on the label.*

*2. The energy value shall be:*

*(a) expressed with numbers and words or symbols;*

*(b) calculated using the conversion factor listed in ANNEX XIV of Regulation (EU) 1169/2011;*

*(c) average values based on:*

*(i) The producer's analysis of the aromatised wine product; or*

*(ii) A calculation from generally established and accepted data.*

*(d) expressed per 100ml. In addition, it may be expressed per consumption unit, easily recognizable by the consumer, provided that the unit used is quantified on the label and that the number of units contained in the package is stated.*

*3. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 33 to further detail the rules for the indication of the energy value of aromatised wine products.”*

Or. en

### **Amendment 753**

**Herbert Dorfmann, Paolo De Castro, Othmar Karas**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 3 – paragraph 4 d (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 251/2014

Article 7 b (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*(4d) a new article is inserted:*

*“Article 7b*

*List of ingredients*

*1. The list of ingredients of aromatised wine products, shall be indicated on the label or by means other than on the package or on the label.*

*2. When the list of ingredients is provided by means other than on the package or on the label, the information shall be easily accessible and specific, and visually separated from marketing content for the wine.*

*3. Ingredients shall be designated by their specific name. The base wine used should be considered a single basic product. As a consequence, listing of its ingredients should not be necessary.*

*4. The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts in accordance with Article 33 to further detail the rules for the indication of the list of ingredients of aromatised wine products.*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 754**

**Norbert Erdős**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 3 – paragraph 7**

Regulation (EU) No 251/2014

Chapter III

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(7) Chapter III is deleted.**

**deleted**

Or. en

#### *Justification*

*The creation of this new category of aromatised wines does not correspond to this regulation. Aromatised wines require the addition of aromas to compensate for their loss in alcohol and are assimilated to industrial products. These wine-based products should not fall under Single CMO rules, but rather under Regulation 251/2014 on aromatised wines. The deletion is not justified here.*



**Amendment 755**

**Herbert Dorfmann, Paolo De Castro, Othmar Karas**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 3 – paragraph 7 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 251/2014

Article 37 – paragraph 2 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(7a) In article 37, the following paragraph is inserted:**

**“Articles 7a shall apply from 3 years after the publication of this Regulation (EU) 2019/...\*”**

**Articles 7b shall apply from 5 years after the publication of this Regulation (EU) 2019/...\*\*”**

Or. en

*(To be coordinate with new article 7a and new article 7b of the author)*

**Amendment 756**

**Herbert Dorfmann, Paolo De Castro**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 3 – paragraph 7 b (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 251/2014

Annex I – point 1 – point a – point iii a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(7b) in Annex I, paragraph 1, point a, the following point iii a) is added:**

**“(iii a) Spirit drinks (no more than 1% of the overall quantity).”**

Or. en

**Amendment 757**

**Herbert Dorfmann, Paolo De Castro**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 3 – paragraph 7 c (new)**  
Regulation (EU) No 251/2014  
Annex I – point 2 – point f

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(7c) in Annex I, point 2, point f) is replaced by the following:**

**“(f) any other natural substances having a similar effect to those products, including steviol glycoside.”**

Or. en

**Amendment 758**  
**Herbert Dorfmann, Paolo De Castro**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 3 – paragraph 7 d (new)**  
Regulation (EU) No 251/2014  
Annex I – point 3 – subparagraph 1 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(7d) In Annex I, point 3, the following subparagraph is inserted:**

**“Ethyl alcohol used quantum satis in the production process is not considered an addition of alcohol if the aromatisation does not lead to an increase of the total alcoholic strength of more than 1.2%.”**

Or. en

**Amendment 759**  
**Herbert Dorfmann, Paolo De Castro**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 3 – paragraph 7 e (new)**  
Regulation (EU) No 251/2014  
Annex II – section A – point 3

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(7e)** *In Section A of Annex II, the point 3 is replaced by the following:*

**“3) Vermouth:**

***Aromatised wine:***

***- whose characteristic taste has been obtained by the use of appropriate substances of Artemisia species.”***

Or. en

**Amendment 760**

**Herbert Dorfmann, Othmar Karas**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 3 – paragraph 7 f (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 251/2014

Annex II – Section C a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(7f)** *new section Ca is added:*

***“DE-ALCOHOLISED AROMATISED WINE PRODUCTS***

***(1) De-alcoholised aromatised wine product or de-alcoholised (followed by the name of the aromatised wine product used for its production)”***

***Products complying with the definition set out in Article 3(4a).”***

Or. en

**Amendment 761**

**Herbert Dorfmann, Paolo De Castro**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 3 – paragraph 7 g (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 251/2014

Annex II – Section B – point 8

**(7g) Section B of Annex II point 8 is replaced by the following:**

**“(8) *Glühwein***

***Aromatised wine-based drink***

***-which is obtained exclusively from red and/or white wine,***

***-which is flavoured mainly with cinnamon and/or cloves, and***

***-which has an actual alcoholic strength by volume of not less than 7 % vol.***

***Without prejudice to the quantities of water resulting from the application of Annex I, point 2, the addition of water is forbidden.***

***Where it has been prepared from white wine, the sales denomination ‘Glühwein’ must be supplemented by words indicating white wine, such as the word ‘white’.***”

Or. en

**Amendment 762**

**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 4 – paragraph 1 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 228/2013

Article 22 a (new)

***In Chapter V, the following Article is added:***

***Article 22a***

***Interbranch agreements***

***1. By way of derogation from the rules set out in Articles 164 and 165 of Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013, where an interbranch organisation recognised pursuant to Article 157 of Regulation***

***(EU) No 1308/2013, operating in an outermost region and considered to be representative of the production or trade or processing of one or more of the specified products, the Member State involved may, at the request of that organisation, make it compulsory, for a renewable period of one year, to have agreements, decisions or concerted practices issued by that organisation for other operators, whether or not they are individuals, operating in the outermost region in question and which are not members of that organisation.***

***2. Where the rules of a recognised interbranch organisation are extended under paragraph 1 and the activities covered by those rules are in the general economic interest of economic operators whose activities relate to products solely destined for the local market of the same outermost region, the Member State may, after consulting the relevant stakeholders, decide that individual economic operators or groups which are not members of the organisation but which operate on the market in question shall pay the organisation all or part of the financial contributions paid by its members to the extent that such contributions are intended to cover costs directly incurred as a result of pursuing the activities in question.***

***3. The Member State concerned shall inform the Commission of any agreement whose scope is extended in accordance with this Article.***

Or. fr

#### *Justification*

*The amendment seeks to adjust the rules on extending interbranch rules in line with the actual situations of the outermost regions. These organisations are operators that are vital for the development of outermost industries, whose markets are exposed to price variations. The organisations carry out actions to collect or disseminate data and it should be possible for the Member State to extend the contributions received under these agreements to all the*

*agricultural products placed on the local market, without distinction as to their provenance.*

**Amendment 763**

**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 4 – paragraph 1**

Regulation (EU) No 228/2013

Article 30 – paragraph 2 – indent 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

— in the French overseas departments:  
EUR **267 580 000**;

— in the French overseas departments:  
EUR **278 410 000**;

Or. fr

*Justification*

*The amendment seeks to reinstate the amounts for the French overseas departments within the framework of POSEI, in line with the Resolution of the European Parliament of 14 November 2018 on the Multiannual Financial Framework 2021-2027 – Parliament’s position with a view to an agreement and the undertakings made by Jean-Claude Juncker, President of the Commission, in Cayenne on 27 October 2017.*

**Amendment 764**

**Ricardo Serrão Santos, Liliana Rodrigues, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Louis-Joseph Manscour, Maurice Ponga, Gabriel Mato, Sofia Ribeiro, Cláudia Monteiro de Aguiar**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 4 – paragraph 1**

Regulation (EU) No 228/2013

Article 30 – paragraph 2 – indent 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

— in the French overseas departments:  
EUR **267 580 000**

— in the French overseas departments:  
EUR **312 877 158**

Or. en

**Amendment 765**

**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 4 – paragraph 1**

Regulation (EU) No 228/2013

Article 30 – paragraph 2 – indent 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

— Azores and Madeira: EUR **102 080 000**;

— Azores and Madeira: EUR **106 210 000**;

Or. fr

*Justification*

*The amendment seeks to reinstate the amounts for the Azores and Madeira within the framework of POSEI, in line with the Resolution of the European Parliament of 14 November 2018 on the Multiannual Financial Framework 2021-2027 – Parliament’s position with a view to an agreement and the undertakings made by Jean-Claude Juncker, President of the Commission, in Cayenne on 27 October 2017.*

**Amendment 766**

**Miguel Viegas**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 4 – paragraph 1**

Regulation (EU) No 228/2013

Article 30 – paragraph 2 – indent 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

— Azores and Madeira - EUR **102 080 000**

— Azores and Madeira - EUR **106 210 000**

Or. pt

**Amendment 767**

**Sofia Ribeiro, Cláudia Monteiro de Aguiar**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 4 – paragraph 1**

Regulation (EU) No 228/2013

Article 30 – paragraph 2 – indent 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

— Azores and Madeira - EUR **102 080 000**

— Azores and Madeira - EUR **131 210 000**

Or. pt

**Amendment 768**

**Ricardo Serrão Santos, Liliana Rodrigues, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Louis-Joseph Manscour, Maurice Ponga, Gabriel Mato**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 4 – paragraph 1**

Regulation (EU) No 228/2013

Article 30 – paragraph 2 – indent 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

— Azores and Madeira: EUR **102 080 000**

— Azores and Madeira: EUR **119 358 798**

Or. en

**Amendment 769**

**Ricardo Serrão Santos, Liliana Rodrigues, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Louis-Joseph Manscour, Maurice Ponga, Gabriel Mato, Sofia Ribeiro, Cláudia Monteiro de Aguiar**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 4 – paragraph 1**

Regulation (EU) No 228/2013

Article 30 – paragraph 2 – indent 3

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

— Canary Islands: EUR **257 970 000**

— Canary Islands: EUR **301 650 396**

Or. en

*Justification*

*The AGRI Committee, in the opinion of the MFF Intermediate Report, approved the increase of the budgetary allocations for POSEI programmes in the 2021 - 2027 MFF. The proposed increase is in line with an actualisation with the accumulated inflation rate and is justified by the specific conditions affecting these regions.*



**Amendment 770**

**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 4 – paragraph 1**

Regulation (EU) No 228/2013

Article 30 – paragraph 2 – indent 3

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

— Canary Islands: EUR **257 970 000**.

— Canary Islands: EUR **268 420 000**.

Or. fr

*Justification*

*The amendment seeks to reinstate the amounts for the Canary Islands within the framework of POSEI, in line with the Resolution of the European Parliament of 14 November 2018 on the Multiannual Financial Framework 2021-2027 – Parliament’s position with a view to an agreement and the undertakings made by Jean-Claude Juncker, President of the Commission, in Cayenne on 27 October 2017.*

**Amendment 771**

**Ricardo Serrão Santos, Liliana Rodrigues, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Louis-Joseph Manscour, Maurice Ponga, Gabriel Mato, Sofia Ribeiro, Cláudia Monteiro de Aguiar**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 4 – paragraph 1**

Regulation (EU) No 228/2013

Article 30 – paragraph 3 – indent 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

— in the French overseas departments:  
EUR **25 900 000**

— in the French overseas departments:  
EUR **30 230 220**

Or. en

**Amendment 772**

**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 4 – paragraph 1**

Regulation (EU) No 228/2013  
Article 30 – paragraph 3 – indent 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

— in the French overseas departments:  
EUR **25 900 000**;

— in the French overseas departments:  
EUR **35 000 000**;

Or. fr

*Justification*

*The amendment seeks to reinstate the amounts within the framework of POSEI, in line with the Resolution of the European Parliament of 14 November 2018 and the undertakings made by Jean-Claude Juncker in Cayenne on 27 October 2017. It also proposes allocating a larger envelope for measures relating to the specific supply arrangement, to respond to the needs of livestock sectors for animal feed without increasing the overall budget.*

**Amendment 773**  
**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 4 – paragraph 1**  
Regulation (EU) No 228/2013  
Article 30 – paragraph 3 – indent 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

— Azores and Madeira: EUR **20 400 000**;

— Azores and Madeira: EUR **21 200 000**;

Or. fr

*Justification*

*The amendment seeks to reinstate the amounts for the Azores and Madeira within the framework of POSEI, in line with the Resolution of the European Parliament of 14 November 2018 on the Multiannual Financial Framework 2021-2027 – Parliament's position with a view to an agreement and the undertakings made by Jean-Claude Juncker, President of the Commission, in Cayenne on 27 October 2017.*

**Amendment 774**  
**Ricardo Serrão Santos, Liliana Rodrigues, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Louis-Joseph Manscour, Maurice Ponga, Gabriel Mato, Sofia Ribeiro, Cláudia Monteiro de Aguiar**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 4 – paragraph 1**

Regulation (EU) No 228/2013

Article 30 – paragraph 3 – indent 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

— Azores and Madeira: EUR **20 400 000**

— Azores and Madeira: EUR **23 824 560**

Or. en

**Amendment 775**

**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 4 – paragraph 1**

Regulation (EU) No 228/2013

Article 30 – paragraph 3 – indent 3

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

— Canary Islands: EUR **69 900 000**.

— Canary Islands: EUR **72 700 000**.

Or. fr

*Justification*

*The amendment seeks to reinstate the amounts for the Canary Islands within the framework of POSEI, in line with the Resolution of the European Parliament of 14 November 2018 on the Multiannual Financial Framework 2021-2027 – Parliament's position with a view to an agreement and the undertakings made by Jean-Claude Juncker, President of the Commission, in Cayenne on 27 October 2017.*

**Amendment 776**

**Ricardo Serrão Santos, Liliana Rodrigues, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Louis-Joseph Manscour, Maurice Ponga, Gabriel Mato, Sofia Ribeiro, Cláudia Monteiro de Aguiar**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 4 – paragraph 1**

Regulation (EU) No 228/2013

Article 30 – paragraph 3 – indent 3

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

— Canary Islands: EUR **69 900 000**

— Canary Islands: EUR **81 700 260**

Or. en

**Amendment 777**

**Norbert Erdős**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 5 – paragraph 1**

Regulation (EU) No 229/2013

Article 18 – paragraph 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

2. The Union shall finance the measures provided for in Chapters III and IV up to a maximum amount of EUR **23 000 000**.

2. The Union shall finance the measures provided for in Chapters III and IV up to a maximum amount of EUR **23 930 000**.

Or. en

*Justification*

*The amendment calls for the current envelope to be maintained.*

**Amendment 778**

**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 5 – paragraph 1**

Regulation (EU) No 229/2013

Article 18 – paragraph 2

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

2. The Union shall finance the measures provided for in Chapters III and IV up to a maximum amount of EUR **23 000 000**.

2. The Union shall finance the measures provided for in Chapters III and IV up to a maximum amount of EUR **23 930 000**.

Or. fr

### *Justification*

*The amendment seeks to reinstate the amounts for the smaller Aegean islands, in line with the Resolution of the European Parliament of 14 November 2018 on the Multiannual Financial Framework 2021-2027 – Parliament’s position with a view to an agreement.*

#### **Amendment 779**

**Michel Dantin**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

##### **Article 5 – paragraph 1**

Regulation (EU) No 229/2013

Article 18 – paragraph 3

#### *Text proposed by the Commission*

3. The amount allocated to finance the specific supply arrangements referred to in Chapter III shall not exceed EUR **6 830 000**.

#### *Amendment*

3. The amount allocated to finance the specific supply arrangements referred to in Chapter III shall not exceed EUR **7 110 000**.

Or. fr

### *Justification*

*The amendment seeks to reinstate the amounts for the smaller Aegean islands, in line with the Resolution of the European Parliament of 14 November 2018 on the Multiannual Financial Framework 2021-2027 – Parliament’s position with a view to an agreement.*

#### **Amendment 780**

**Norbert Erdős**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

##### **Article 5 – paragraph 1**

Regulation (EU) No 229/2013

Article 18 – paragraph 3

#### *Text proposed by the Commission*

3. The amount allocated to finance the specific supply arrangements referred to in Chapter III shall not exceed EUR **6 830 000**.

#### *Amendment*

3. The amount allocated to finance the specific supply arrangements referred to in Chapter III shall not exceed EUR **7 110 000**.

Or. en

*Justification*

*The amendment calls for the current envelope to be maintained.*

**Amendment 781**

**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 6 – paragraph 3 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***3a. Wines placed on the market or labelled before the implementation of the applicable provisions and that do not comply with the specifications in this Regulation may be marketed until stocks are exhausted.***

Or. fr

**Amendment 782**

**Nicola Caputo**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 6 – paragraph 3 a (new)**

Regulation (EU) No 229/2013

**Article 6 – Paragraph 3 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***3a. Wines placed on the market or labelled before the implementation of the relevant provisions and that do not comply with the specifications in this Regulation may be marketed until stocks are exhausted.***

Or. en

*Justification*

*This amendment concerns wines that were labelled before the new Regulation came into force, in particular the part concerning new labelling requirements.*

**Amendment 783**

**Marco Zullo, Ignazio Corrao, Rosa D'Amato**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 6 – paragraph 3 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***3a. Wine placed on the market or labelled prior the application of the relevant provisions, which do not comply with the requirements of this Regulation may be marketed until the stocks are exhausted***

Or. en

**Amendment 784**

**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 6 – paragraph 3 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***3b. Article 119(1)(g), Article 119(3)(c), and Article 121(2)(a) of Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 shall be applicable as of ... [18 months after the entry into force of this Regulation].***

Or. fr

**Amendment 785**

**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 6 – paragraph 3 c (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***3c. Article 119(1)(g) and Article 119(3)(a) of Regulation (EU)***

*No 1308/2013 shall be applicable as of ...  
[three years after the entry into force of  
the delegated act]*

Or. fr

**Amendment 786**  
**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 6 – paragraph 3 d (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**3d. The Commission shall adopt the  
delegated acts referred to in  
Article 122(4a) of Regulation (EU)  
No 1308/2013 no later than ... [two years  
before the date of application of this  
Regulation].**

Or. fr

**Amendment 787**  
**Marco Zullo, Ignazio Corrao, Rosa D'Amato**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 7 – paragraph 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

Points (1)(b), (4), (8), (17), (22), (27), (28)  
and (31) of Article 1 and Articles 4 and 5  
shall apply from 1 January 2021.

Points (1)(b), (4), (8), (17), (22), (27), (28)  
and (31) of Article 1 and Articles 4 and 5  
shall apply from 1 January 2021.

**Points (18), (19) and (19a) of Article 1  
shall apply from 1 January 2025**

Or. en

**Amendment 788**  
**Nuno Melo**



**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 7 – paragraph 2 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*Article 119, paragraph 1, point g (a), Article 119, paragraph 3 c, and Article 121, paragraph 2 a, shall apply to wine products bottled from 31 July following the entry into force of this Regulation. Operators who voluntarily wish to communicate to consumers the calories and /or ingredients for wine products from a marketing year beginning before the entry into force of this Regulation, shall apply in their entirety Articles 119 and 121.*

Or. en

**Amendment 789**  
**Michel Dantin**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 7 – paragraph 2 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*Part I, Chapter II, Section 1 covering Articles 22 to 25 shall be transferred to Regulation (EU) ... [CAP Strategic Plans] as soon as that Regulation enters into force.*

Or. fr

*Justification*

*With a view to simplification, the amendment seeks to transfer the aid system for the 'Fruit, vegetables and milk at school' programme to the CAP Strategic Plans.*

**Amendment 790**  
**Norbert Erdős**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 7 – paragraph 2 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**Article 119, paragraph 1, point g (a), Article 119, paragraph 3 c, and Article 121, paragraph 2 a, shall apply from ... [one year after the delegated act has entered into force].**

Or. en

*Justification*

*These provisions on mandatory energy-value labelling shall enter into force one year after the delegated act has been adopted. Small wine producers and small distilleries defined in Article 22 paragraphs (2) and (4) in Directive 92/83/EEC have to be exempted in order to avoid any unbearable administrative burden on them due to the obligatory renewal of their labelling equipment.*

**Amendment 791**  
**Nicola Caputo**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 7 – paragraph 2 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**Article 119, paragraph 1, point h, Article 119, paragraph 5, and Article 122, paragraph 1, point b, point v, shall apply from [2 years] after the publication of this Regulation.**

Or. en

*Justification*

*The provisions on the mandatory stating of the energy value on the label shall enter into force two years after the publication of this Regulation. This time is necessary in order to ensure that all producers are able to comply with the new requirements.*

**Amendment 792**  
**Angélique Delahaye**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 7 – paragraph 2 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***2a. Article 119(1)(ga) and Article 119(3a) shall be applicable as of ... [five years after the entry into force of the delegated act]***

Or. fr

**Amendment 793**  
**Nicola Caputo**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 7 – paragraph 2 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***Article 119, paragraph 1, point i, Article 119, paragraph 4, and Article 122, paragraph 1, point b, point vi, shall apply from [5 years] after the publication of this Regulation.***

Or. en

*Justification*

*The provisions on the mandatory stating of the list of ingredients on the label shall enter into force five years after the publication of this Regulation. This time is necessary in order to find the relevant technological solutions for the off label system and make sure that all producers are able to comply with the new requirements.*

**Amendment 794**  
**Nuno Melo**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 7 – paragraph 2 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**Article 119(1), point g(b) and Article 119(3)(a) shall apply from ...five years after the entry into force of the present regulation].**

Or. en

**Amendment 795**

**Eric Andrieu, Karine Gloanec Maurin**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 7 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**Article 7a**

**The following subparagraph is added to Article 7:**

**Subparagraph 2a Article 119(1)(ga) and 119(3b) and Article 121(2a) shall enter into force in the year following the Regulation's entry into force.**

Or. fr

*Justification*

*The mandatory labelling of energy value will enter into force in the year following the entry into force of the new CMO regulation.*

**Amendment 796**

**Eric Andrieu, Karine Gloanec Maurin**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 7 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**Article 7b**

**The following subparagraph is added to Article 7:**

***2b. Article 119(1)(gb) and 119(3a) shall enter into force three years after the Regulation's entry into force.***

Or. fr

*Justification*

*The provisions on the mandatory labelling of the list of ingredients will enter into force in the three years following the entry into force of the new CMO regulation.*