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AM_CoM_NonLegOpinion
Amendment 1
Angelika Winzig, Jörgen Warborn, Iuliu Winkler, Enikő Győri, Gabriel Mato

Draft opinion
Paragraph -1 (new)

Draft opinion
Amendment

-1. stresses that it is the sole responsibility of states and governments to safeguard human rights, their society, nature and environment in their countries and that this responsibility shall not be transferred to private actors.

Or. en

Amendment 2
Angelika Winzig, Jörgen Warborn, Iuliu Winkler, Enikő Győri, Gabriel Mato

Draft opinion
Paragraph -1 a (new)

Draft opinion
Amendment

-1 a. recalls that the EU economy is facing the biggest global economic crisis since the Great Depression with companies all over Europe hit especially hard; stresses that especially at this time no legislative initiatives of economically inhibiting or damaging nature, such as those imposing higher administrative burdens or causing legal uncertainty, shall be taken.

Or. en

Amendment 3
Angelika Winzig, Jörgen Warborn, Iuliu Winkler, Enikő Győri, Christophe Hansen, Danuta Maria Hübner, Gabriel Mato

Draft opinion
Paragraph -1 b (new)
- 1 b. requires that, before any initiative is proposed, an impact assessment is carried out focusing on:
   a) the administrative burden on business
   b) value added by EU companies
   c) employment by EU companies
   d) engagement of EU companies in international markets

Draft opinion Amendment

Amendment 4
Angelika Winzig, Jörgen Warborn, Iuliu Winkler, Enikő Győri, Christophe Hansen, Gabriel Mato

Draft opinion
Paragraph -1 c (new)

Draft opinion Amendment

- 1 c. recalls the importance of transitional periods in order to create legal certainty and good legislation; stresses in this context the need for a transitional period of at least 7 years in order to allow companies to implement any new measures.

Draft opinion Amendment

Amendment 5
Heidi Hautala
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion Amendment

1. Reiterates that global value chains are the key feature of the global economy and that trade policy must contribute to a transparent production process throughout but their complex nature, lack of transparency and dilution of liabilities
the value chain and demonstrate compliance with environmental, social and safety standards; may lead to a higher risk of human and labour rights violations, factual impunity for environmental crimes and that trade policy must contribute to a transparent production process throughout the value chain and demonstrate compliance with environmental, social and safety standards;
1. Reiterates that global value chains are the key feature of the global economy and that trade policy must contribute to a transparent production process throughout the value chain and demonstrate compliance with environmental, social and safety standards;
1. Reiterates that global value chains are the key feature of the global economy and that trade policy must contribute to a transparent production process throughout the value chain and demonstrate compliance with environmental, social and safety standards;

1. Reiterates that global supply chains are the key feature of the global economy and that trade policy can contribute to a transparent production process throughout the supply chain and demonstrate compliance with environmental, social and safety standards;

Amendment 11
Sven Simon

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 (new)

1.a Recalls that the European economy is facing the most severe recession in its post-war history; emphasizes that no additional bureaucratic burdens should be based on companies;

Amendment

1. Recalls that the European economy is facing the most severe recession in its post-war history; emphasizes that no additional bureaucratic burdens should be based on companies;

Amendment 12
Heidi Hautala
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

1 a. Recognises that the findings of the recent European Commission study on directors’ duties and sustainable corporate governance confirms that majority of EU companies are favouring
short termism over long term and sustainability, and that this study shows evidence collected that between 1992-2018 period there is a trend for companies within the EU to focus on short-term benefits of shareholders rather than on the long-term interests of the company; stresses that the same study highlights that the EU is not on track to meet its Paris goals and indicates that short termism exacerbates this situation.

1a https://op.europa.eu/fr/publication-detail/-/publication/e47928a2-d20b-11ea-adf7-01aa75ed71a1

Amendment 13
Agnes Jongerius, Miroslav Číž, Kathleen Van Brempt, Aurore Lalucq, Raphaël Glucksmann, Joachim Schuster, Margarida Marques

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

2 a. Acknowledges the recent European Commission study on directors’ duties and sustainable corporate governance that current corporate decision-makers focus on short-term shareholder value maximisation rather than on the long-term interests of the company, and its stakeholders, who aim for long-term environmental and social sustainability of European businesses1a;

1a https://op.europa.eu/fr/publication-detail/-/publication/e47928a2-d20b-11ea-adf7-01aa75ed71a1
Amendment 14
Agnes Jongerius, Kathleen Van Brempt, Aurore Lalucq, Raphaël Glucksmann, Joachim Schuster, Udo Bullmann, Margarida Marques

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 b (new)

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 b. Underlines that the impact of corporate short termism on EU business trading practices in third countries is unsustainable; notes the importance of promoting social sustainability in third countries; stresses that promoting sustainable corporate governance in trade policy has a positive impact on the supply chain, and towards achieving the Sustainable Development Goals, and the Paris agreement targets;

Or. en

Amendment 15
Enikő Győri

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Emphasises that sustainable corporate governance can help the EU to build a more resilient and sustainable economy, improve the level playing field and protect EU businesses and citizens, and is therefore hugely beneficial to EU trade policy;

Amendment

2. Emphasises that sustainable corporate governance can help the EU in building a more resilient and sustainable economy only if it does not deteriorate the level playing field for European companies and it does not impede the improvement of Europe's international competitiveness and if it protects EU businesses from unfair competitive advantages of third countries resulting from lower protection standards;

Or. en

Amendment 16
Dear審核委員會,

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion
2. Emphasises that sustainable corporate governance can help the EU to build a more resilient and sustainable economy, improve the level playing field and protect EU businesses and citizens, and is therefore hugely beneficial to EU trade policy;

Amendment
2. Emphasises that sustainable corporate governance can help the EU to build a more resilient and sustainable economy, improve the level playing field in order to maintain Europe's international competitiveness and protect EU businesses from unfair competitive advantages of third countries resulting from lower protection standards and is therefore hugely beneficial to EU trade policy;

Or. en

Amendment 17
Sergio Berlato, Emmanouil Fragkos, Dominik Tarczyński
Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion
2. Emphasises that sustainable corporate governance can help the EU to build a more resilient and sustainable economy, improve the level playing field and protect EU businesses and citizens, and is therefore hugely beneficial to EU trade policy;

Amendment
2. Emphasises that sustainable corporate governance can help the EU to build a more resilient and sustainable economy, improve the level playing field notes that all this can be achieved only through effective protection from unfair competition from third countries and the full implementation of the principles of reciprocity.

Or. en

Amendment 18
Sven Simon
Draft opinion

Angelika Winzig, Seán Kelly, Jörgen Warborn, Iuliu Winkler, Christophe Hansen, Danuta Maria Hübner, Gabriel Mato
Paragraph 2

**Draft opinion**

2. Emphasises that sustainable corporate governance can help the EU to build a more resilient and sustainable economy, improve the level playing field and protect EU businesses and citizens, *and is therefore hugely beneficial to EU trade policy;*

**Amendment**

2. Emphasises that sustainable corporate governance can help the EU to build a more resilient and sustainable economy, improve the level playing field and protect EU businesses and citizens *and, under the condition that its implementation is measured and proportionate, has the potential to benefit EU trade policy;*

Or. en

Amendment 19
Mihai Tudose

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 2**

**Draft opinion**

2. Emphasises that sustainable corporate governance *can help* the EU to build a more resilient and sustainable economy, improve the level playing field and protect EU businesses and citizens, and is therefore hugely beneficial to EU trade policy;

**Amendment**

2. Emphasises that sustainable corporate governance *constitutes an important pillar for* the EU *in order to achieve* a more resilient and sustainable economy, *will* improve the level playing field and protect EU businesses and citizens, and is therefore hugely beneficial to EU trade policy;

Or. en

Amendment 20
Daniel Caspary

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 2**

**Draft opinion**

2. Emphasises that sustainable corporate governance can help the EU to build a more resilient and sustainable

**Amendment**

2. Emphasises that sustainable corporate governance can help the EU to build a more resilient and sustainable
Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Emphasises that sustainable corporate governance can help the EU to build a more resilient and sustainable economy, improve the level playing field and protect EU businesses and citizens, and is therefore hugely beneficial to EU trade policy;

Amendment

2. Emphasises that sustainable corporate governance can help the EU to build a more resilient and sustainable economy, improve the level playing field and protect EU businesses and citizens, and is therefore hugely beneficial to EU trade and investment policy;

Or. en

Amendment 21
Heidi Hautala
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Emphasises that sustainable corporate governance can help the EU to build a more resilient and sustainable economy, improve the level playing field and protect EU businesses and citizens, and is therefore hugely beneficial to EU trade policy;

Amendment

2. Emphasises that sustainable corporate governance is necessary to allow the EU to build a more resilient and sustainable economy, improve the level playing field and protect EU businesses and citizens, and is therefore hugely beneficial to EU trade policy;

Or. en

Amendment 22
Clare Daly

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Emphasises that sustainable corporate governance can help the EU to build a more resilient and sustainable economy, improve the level playing field and protect EU businesses and citizens, and is therefore hugely beneficial to EU trade policy;

Amendment

2. Emphasises that sustainable corporate governance is necessary to allow the EU to build a more resilient and sustainable economy, improve the level playing field and protect EU businesses and citizens, and is therefore hugely beneficial to EU trade policy;

Or. en
Amendment 23
Agnes Jongerius, Miroslav Číž, Kathleen Van Brempt, Aurore Lalucq, Raphaël Glucksmann, Joachim Schuster

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

2. Emphasises that sustainable corporate governance can help the EU to build a more resilient and sustainable economy, improve the level playing field and protect EU businesses and citizens, and is therefore hugely beneficial to EU trade policy;

2. Emphasises that sustainable corporate governance can help the EU to build a more resilient and sustainable economy, improve the level playing field and protect EU businesses and workers, and is therefore hugely beneficial to EU trade policy;

Or. en

Amendment 24
Dita Charanzová

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

2. Emphasises that sustainable corporate governance can help the EU to build a more resilient and sustainable economy, improve the level playing field and protect EU businesses and citizens, and is therefore hugely beneficial to EU trade policy;

2. Emphasises that promoting sustainable corporate governance on a global level can help the EU to build a more resilient and sustainable economy, improve the level playing field for business and protect EU citizens,

Or. en

Amendment 25
Daniel Caspary

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

2 a. Remarks that on global markets
some states and enterprises mainly from outside Europe do not always stick to sustainable corporate governance principles, which in some cases ousts European companies from the competition and therefore has a negative impact on those enterprises and their employees.

Amendment 26
Agnes Jongerius, Miroslav Číž, Kathleen Van Brempt, Aurore Lalucq, Raphaël Glucksmann, Joachim Schuster, Udo Bullmann, Margarida Marques

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 c. Stresses the importance of coherence between corporate governance structures of EU businesses and EU efforts in dialogue with third countries on responsible business conduct through Trade and Sustainable Development chapters in Free Trade Agreements; notes that a sustainable long-term holistic approach is needed

Amendment 27
Agnes Jongerius, Miroslav Číž, Kathleen Van Brempt, Aurore Lalucq, Raphaël Glucksmann, Joachim Schuster, Margarida Marques

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 d. Notes that a balanced composition of the Domestic Advisory Groups (DAGs) as well as lessons learnt from their experience as an inclusive structured dialogue could be used as a model for EU
Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

3. Notes that the COVID-19 crisis has exposed the vulnerabilities of unregulated global supply chains, and that businesses with better environmental, social and governance practices and risk mitigation processes weather the crisis better; recognises that the OECD has stated that companies taking proactive steps to address the risks related to the COVID-19 crisis in a way that mitigates adverse impacts on workers and supply chains are likely to build more long-term value and resilience, improving their viability in the short term and their prospects for recovery in the medium to long term.  


Amendment 29
Agnes Jongerius, Miroslav Čiž, Kathleen Van Brempt, Aurore Lalucq, Raphaël Glucksmann, Joachim Schuster, Margarida Marques

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Notes that the COVID-19 crisis has exposed the vulnerabilities of unregulated global supply chains, and that businesses with better environmental, social and governance practices and risk mitigation processes weather the crisis better;

Amendment

3. Notes that the COVID-19 pandemic has exposed the vulnerabilities of unregulated global supply chains, which showed that the voluntarily rules are insufficient; especially in the garment sector where production was disrupted during the crisis with negative effects throughout the supply chain; notes that businesses with better environmental, social and governance practices and risk mitigation processes weather crises better;

Or. en

Amendment 30
Angelika Winzig, Seán Kelly, Jörgen Warborn, Iuliu Winkler, Gabriel Mato

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Notes that the COVID-19 crisis has exposed the vulnerabilities of unregulated global supply chains, and that businesses with better environmental, social and governance practices and risk mitigation processes weather the crisis better;

Amendment

3. Notes that the COVID-19 crisis has exposed the vulnerabilities in global supply chains, and that voluntary better environmental, social and governance practices and risk mitigation processes based on established approaches such as the UN Guiding Principles and the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises can contribute to making companies more resilient in times of crisis;

Or. en

Amendment 31
Dita Charanzová

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3
3. Notes that the COVID-19 crisis has exposed the vulnerabilities of unregulated global supply chains, and that businesses with better environmental, social and governance practices and risk mitigation processes weather the crisis better;

Amendment 32
Mihai Tudose

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion
3. Notes that the COVID-19 crisis has exposed the vulnerabilities of unregulated global supply chains, and that businesses with better environmental, social and governance practices and risk mitigation processes weather the crisis better;

Amendment
3. Notes that the COVID-19 crisis has exposed the vulnerabilities of global supply chains

Or. en

Amendment 33
Barry Andrews, Samira Rafaela, Urmas Paet, Svenja Hahn, Jérémy Decerle, Karin Karlsbro, Marie-Pierre Vedrenne

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion
3. Notes that the COVID-19 crisis has exposed the vulnerabilities of unregulated global supply chains, and that businesses with better environmental, social and governance practices and risk mitigation processes weather the crisis better;

Amendment
3. Notes that the crisis caused by the COVID-19 pandemic has exposed the vulnerabilities of unregulated global supply chains, and that businesses with better defined environmental, social and governance practices and risk mitigation processes have weathered the crisis better;

Or. en
Amendment 34
Daniel Caspary

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

4. Notes with concern that less than 1% of companies publicly list their suppliers, even in high-risk sectors; deleted

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 35
Angelika Winzig, Jörgen Warborn, Iuliu Winkler, Enikő Győri, Gabriel Mato

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

4. Notes with concern that less than 1% of companies publicly list their suppliers, even in high-risk sectors; deleted

Or. en

Amendment 36
Sven Simon

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

4. Notes with concern that less than 1% of companies publicly list their suppliers, even in high-risk sectors; deleted

Or. en
Amendment 37
Heidi Hautala
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Notes with concern that less than 1\% of companies publicly list their suppliers, even in high-risk sectors;

Amendment

4. Notes with concern that over three-in-four of companies do not provide information about their supply chain transparency, with less than 1\% of companies publicly list their suppliers\(^a\), even in high-risk sectors except in the apparel sector where a notable amount of companies provide either a general or detailed list of their suppliers; calls on the Commission to use the review of the Non-financial reporting directive as an opportunity to strengthen reporting requirements and enhance its enforceability;

\(^a\) The Alliance for Corporate Transparency Research Report 2019: An analysis of the sustainability reports of 1000 companies pursuant to the EU Non-Financial Reporting Directive, p. 6, available at http://www.allianceforcorporatetransparency.org/assets/2019_Research_Report%20_Alliance_for_Corporate_Transparency-7d9802a0c18c9f13017d686481bd2d6c6886fea6d9e9c7a5c3cfafe8a48b1c7.pdf

Or. en

Amendment 38
Barry Andrews, Samira Rafaela, Urmas Paet, Svenja Hahn, Liesje Schreinemacher, Jérémy Decerle, Karin Karlsbro, Jordi Cañas, Dita Charanzová, Marie-Pierre Vedrenne

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4
4. Notes with concern that less than 1% of companies publicly list their suppliers, even in high-risk sectors;

notes that publicly listed companies, unlisted public companies and private companies registered in the EU may have different obligations under national law in regards to sustainable corporate governance; recalls the importance of levelling the playing field vis-a-vis companies registered outside of the EU;

Amendment 39
Agnes Jongerius, Kathleen Van Brempt, Aurore Lalucq, Raphaël Glucksmann, Joachim Schuster, Margarida Marques

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

4. Notes with concern that less than 1% of companies publicly list their suppliers, even in high-risk sectors;
emphasizes the importance of more transparency in the supply chain to oversee binding environmental, social, and human rights standards; stresses the need for the review of the non-financial reporting directive (NFRD) to greatly increase corporate transparency in the supply chain;

Amendment 40
Mihai Tudose

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4
4. Notes with concern that less than 1%
% of companies publicly list their
suppliers, even in high-risk sectors;

4. Notes with concern that less than 1%
% of companies publicly list their
suppliers, even in high-risk sectors;
stresses the importance of reviewing the
Non-Financial Reporting Directive
(NFRD) for a higher transparency;

Or. en

Amendment 41
Emmanuel Maurel, Helmut Scholz, Luke Ming Flanagan, Clare Daly

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Notes with concern that less than 1
% of companies publicly list their
suppliers, even in high-risk sectors;

4. Notes with concern that less than 1%
% of companies publicly list their
suppliers, even in high-risk sectors; calls
for more transparency in the publication
of lists of suppliers of companies;

Or. fr

Amendment 42
Clare Daly

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Notes with concern that less than 1
% of companies publicly list their
suppliers, even in high-risk sectors;

4. Notes with concern that less than 1%
% of companies publicly list their
suppliers, even in high-risk sectors and
considers that this shows the necessity
and urgency for authorities to act;

Or. en

Amendment 43
Heidi Hautala
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion Amendment

4 a. Stresses that, in order to provide a level playing field, sustainable corporate governance requirements including non-financial reporting should apply to all, both EU and non-EU, companies operating in the EU area.

Or. en

Amendment 44
Dita Charanzová

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion Amendment

5. Stresses that directors’ duties should encompass an obligation to develop, disclose and implement a corporate sustainability strategy for all aspects of the company’s operations, including its supply chains;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 45
Sven Simon

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion Amendment

5. Stresses that directors’ duties should encompass an obligation to develop, disclose and implement a corporate sustainability strategy for all

deleted
aspects of the company’s operations, including its supply chains;

Amendment 46
Daniel Caspary

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Stresses that directors’ duties should encompass an obligation to develop, disclose and implement a corporate sustainability strategy for all aspects of the company’s operations, including its supply chains;

Amendment 47
Angelika Winzig, Seán Kelly, Jörgen Warborn, Iuliu Winkler, Enikő Győri, Gabriel Mato

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Stresses that directors’ duties should encompass an obligation to develop, disclose and implement a corporate sustainability strategy for all aspects of the company’s operations, including its supply chains;

Amendment 48
Barry Andrews, Samira Rafaela, Urumas Paet, Jérémy Decerle, Karin Karlsbro, Marie-Pierre Vedrenne
5. Stresses that directors’ duties should encompass an obligation to develop, disclose and implement a corporate sustainability strategy for all aspects of the company’s operations, including its supply chains;

5. Stresses that directors’ duties should encompass an obligation to develop, disclose and implement a long-term corporate sustainability strategy for all aspects of the company’s operations, including its local and global supply chains; notes that adjustments to the composition of a board of directors, such as through the appointment of a member with knowledge of sustainable corporate governance, could increase compliance with these obligations; considers that the principle of proportionality should be applied to the likelihood of a breach of obligations rather than the size of the company; notes notwithstanding that all efforts should be made to reduce the regulatory burden on SMEs;

Or. en

Amendment 49
Heidi Hautala
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

5. Stresses that directors’ duties should encompass an obligation to develop, disclose and implement a corporate sustainability strategy for all aspects of the company’s operations, including its supply chains;

5. Stresses that directors’ duties should encompass an obligation to develop, disclose and implement a corporate sustainability strategy, the purpose of which is to integrate the planetary boundaries and human rights, into environmental, social and governance considerations, and such strategy should cover all aspects of the company’s operations, including its value chains; stresses that the development of such strategy should go hand in hand with a long term approach which goes...
beyond current shareholder value primacy;

Amendment 50
Agnes Jongerius, Miroslav Čič, Kathleen Van Brempt, Aurore Lalucq, Raphaël Glucksmann, Joachim Schuster, Udo Bullmann, Margarida Marques

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

5. Stresses that directors’ duties should encompass an obligation to develop, disclose and implement a corporate sustainability strategy for all aspects of the company’s operations, including its supply chains;

Amendment

5. Stresses that directors’ duties should encompass an obligation to develop, disclose and implement a corporate sustainability strategy for all aspects of the company’s operations, including its supply chains; based on international social, environmental and human rights standards; underlines that consultation with local communities is important;

Amendment 51
Mihai Tudose

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

5. Stresses that directors’ duties should encompass an obligation to develop, disclose and implement a corporate sustainability strategy for all aspects of the company’s operations, including its supply chains;

Amendment

5. Stresses that directors’ duties should encompass an obligation to develop, disclose and implement a corporate sustainability strategy for all aspects of the company’s operations, including its supply chains, taking into account environmental, social and safety standards.
Amendment 52
Clare Daly

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Stresses that directors’ duties should encompass an obligation to develop, disclose and implement a corporate sustainability strategy for all aspects of the company’s operations, including its supply chains;

Amendment

5. Stresses that directors’ duties should encompass an obligation to develop, disclose and implement a corporate sustainability strategy for all aspects of the company’s operations, including its supply chains with a sanctions based mechanism;

Or. en

Amendment 53
Sergio Berlato, Emmanouil Fragkos, Dominik Tarczyński

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Stresses that directors’ duties should encompass an obligation to develop, disclose and implement a corporate sustainability strategy for all aspects of the company’s operations, including its supply chains;

Amendment

5. Stresses that directors should be encouraged to develop, disclose and implement a corporate sustainability strategy for all aspects of the company’s operations, including its supply chains;

Or. en

Amendment 54
Heidi Hautala
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

5. Stresses that directors’ duties should encompass an obligation to develop, disclose and implement a corporate sustainability strategy for all aspects of the company’s operations, including its supply chains;

Amendment

5. Stresses that directors should be encouraged to develop, disclose and implement a corporate sustainability strategy for all aspects of the company’s operations, including its supply chains;
5 a. Calls for three distinct, yet mutually reinforcing legislative proposals on Directors’ duties and Sustainable Corporate Governance, on Corporate Human Rights and environmental due diligence and on the reform of the Non-Financial Reporting Directive.

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

5 a. Calls upon the Commission to review the impact of sustainable corporate governance obligations on financial institutions providing trade finance;

Amendment 56
Sven Simon

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

6. Stresses that the requirement to disclose information on how sustainability issues affect the company and how the company affects society and the environment should include the sharing of all relevant information on all actors throughout the entire supply chain; deleted
Amendment 57
Heidi Hautala
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses that the requirement to disclose information on how sustainability issues affect the company and how the company affects society and the environment should include the sharing of all relevant information on all actors throughout the entire supply chain;

Amendment

6. Stresses that the requirement to disclose information on how sustainability issues including environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, and bribery and corruption affect the company and how the company affects the society and the environment -so called ‘double materiality standard’- should include the sharing of all relevant information on all actors throughout the entire value chain; stresses that such sustainable corporate governance forms a part of the “corporate responsibility to respect” as defined in the UN Guiding Principles of Business and Human Rights.

Or. en

Amendment 58
Angelika Winzig, Seán Kelly, Jörgen Warborn, Iuliu Winkler, Enikő Győri, Gabriel Mato

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses that the requirement to disclose information on how sustainability issues affect the company and how the company affects society and the environment should include the sharing of all relevant information on all actors throughout the entire supply chain;

Amendment

6. Stresses that any new EU requirements to disclose information on how sustainability issues affect the company and how the company affects society and the environment should not overlap with existing reporting requirements (e.g. non financial reporting directive) and their scope and that any new EU requirements must be in accordance with the various international reporting standards like GRI, SASB,
IIRC, etc to ensure a level playing field for European companies; reiterates the necessity of avoiding any additional administrative burdens for companies, especially SMEs;

Amendment 59
Agnes Jongerius, Miroslav Čiž, Kathleen Van Brempt, Aurore Lalucq, Raphaël Glucksmann, Joachim Schuster, Margarida Marques

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses that the requirement to disclose information on how sustainability issues affect the company and how the company affects society and the environment should include the sharing of all relevant information on all actors throughout the entire supply chain; notes that sharing this information is based on the reporting system Non-Financial Reporting Directive (‘NFRD’)

Amendment

6. Stresses that the requirement to disclose information on how sustainability issues affect the company and how the company affects society and the environment should include the sharing of all relevant information on all actors throughout the entire supply chain;

Amendment 60
Sergio Berlato, Emmanouil Fragkos, Dominik Tarczyński

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses that the requirement to disclose information on how sustainability issues affect the company and how the company affects society and the environment should include the sharing of all relevant information on all actors throughout the entire supply chain;

Amendment

6. Stresses the importance to disclose information on how companies' sustainability issues affect the company and how the company affects society and the environment should include the sharing of all relevant information on all actors throughout the entire supply chain;
Amendment 61
Clare Daly

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses that the requirement to disclose information on how sustainability issues affect the company and how the company affects society and the environment should include the sharing of all relevant information on all actors throughout the entire supply chain;

Amendment

6. Stresses that the requirement to disclose information on how sustainability issues affect the company and how the company affects society and the environment should include the sharing of all necessary information on all actors throughout the entire supply chain;

Amendment 62
Dita Charanzová

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses that the requirement to disclose information on how sustainability issues affect the company and how the company affects society and the environment should include the sharing of all relevant information on all actors throughout the entire supply chain;

Amendment

6. Stresses that any requirement to disclose information on how sustainability issues affect the company and how the company affects society and the environment should include clear indications on what specific relevant and necessary information needs to be shared;

Amendment 63
Daniel Caspary

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6
Draft opinion

6. Stresses that the requirement to disclose information on how sustainability issues affect the company and how the company affects society and the environment should include the sharing of all relevant information on all actors throughout the entire supply chain;

Amendment

6. Stresses that additional requirements to disclose information on how sustainability issues affect the company and how the company affects society and the environment must not increase the administrative burden derived from pre-existing reporting obligations;

Amendment 64
Agnes Jongerius, Kathleen Van Brempt, Aurore Lalucq, Raphaël Glucksmann, Joachim Schuster, Margarida Marques

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

6 a. Notes that as part of the revision of the reporting system Non-Financial Reporting Directive (‘NFRD’) the behaviour of a company in the supply chain has an impact; by extending the scope of the NFRD to the supply chain the impact of sustainable corporate governance will be bigger;

Amendment 65
Agnes Jongerius, Miroslav Čiž, Kathleen Van Brempt, Aurore Lalucq, Raphaël Glucksmann, Joachim Schuster

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 b (new)

Draft opinion

6 b. Underlines the importance of the new taxonomy regulation also in regard to the supply chain; stresses that tackling tax avoidance is a fundamental part of
sustainable corporate governance;

Or. en

Amendment 66
Daniel Caspary

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion
Amendment

7. Notes that sustainable corporate governance cannot reach its full potential without due diligence legislation that requires companies to identify, prevent, mitigate and account for human rights abuses and environmental damage in their global value chains.

Or. en

Amendment 67
Barry Andrews, Samira Rafaela, Urmas Paet, Jérémy Decerle, Karin Karlsbro, Jordi Cañas, Marie-Pierre Vedrenne

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion
Amendment

7. Notes that sustainable corporate governance cannot reach its full potential without due diligence legislation that requires companies to identify, prevent, mitigate and account for human rights abuses and environmental damage in their global value chains; calls on the Commission to explore an EU-level certification scheme for supply chains as part of its legislative proposal on due diligence; calls on the Commission to rapidly assess, following the principle of “one in, one out”, which existing regulation could be updated or replaced;
Amendment 68  
Agnes Jongerius, Miroslav Čiž, Kathleen Van Brempt, Aurore Lalucq, Raphaël Glucksmann, Joachim Schuster, Udo Bullmann

Draft opinion  
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion  
Amendment

7. Notes that sustainable corporate governance cannot reach its full potential without due diligence legislation that requires companies to identify, prevent, mitigate and account for human rights abuses and environmental damage in their global value chains.

7. Notes that sustainable corporate governance cannot reach its full potential without due diligence legislation that requires companies to identify, prevent, mitigate and account for human rights abuses and environmental damage in their global value chains; underlines that sustainable corporate governance should not be limited by the present or lack of proper due diligence legislation; asks the Commission to add the sustainable corporate governance and corporate due diligence into the ongoing Trade Policy review.

Amendment 69  
Heidi Hautala on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion  
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion  
Amendment

7. Notes that sustainable corporate governance cannot reach its full potential without due diligence legislation that requires companies to identify, prevent, mitigate and account for human rights abuses and environmental damage in their global value chains.

7. Notes that sustainable corporate governance cannot reach its full potential without due diligence legislation that requires companies to identify, prevent, mitigate and account for human rights abuses and environmental damage in their global value chains, including provisions for liability for harm caused and for the failure to adequately carry out due
7. Notes that sustainable corporate governance cannot reach its full potential without due diligence legislation that requires companies to identify, prevent, mitigate and account for human rights abuses and environmental damage in their global value chains.

7. Notes the importance of discussing due diligence measures in the context of sustainable corporate governance in order to identify, prevent, mitigate possible human rights violation in global supply chains.

7. Notes that sustainable corporate governance cannot reach its full potential without due diligence legislation that encourages companies to identify, prevent, mitigate and account for human rights abuses and environmental damage in their global value chains.
Paragraph 7

**Draft opinion**

7. **Notes that** sustainable corporate governance cannot *reach its full potential* without due diligence *legislation that requires companies to identify, prevent, mitigate and account for human rights abuses and environmental damage in their global value chains.*

---

**Amendment**

7. **Stresses that the EU cannot tackle the issue of** sustainable corporate governance *alone, and that it cannot be successful or remain competitive* without due diligence *requirements for global value chains on a global level;*

---

**Amendment 73**

**Clare Daly**

**Draft opinion**

Paragraph 7

---

**Draft opinion**

7. **Notes that** sustainable corporate governance cannot *reach its full potential* without due diligence legislation that requires companies to identify, prevent, mitigate and account for human rights abuses and environmental damage in their global value chains.

---

**Amendment**

7. **Notes that** sustainable corporate governance cannot *work efficiently* without due diligence legislation that requires companies to identify, prevent, mitigate and account for human rights abuses and environmental damage in their global value chains.

---

**Amendment 74**

**Heidi Hautala**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**

Paragraph 7 a (new)

---

**Draft opinion**

7 a. **Underlines that trade policy plays a vital role in the smart mix of measures that foster business respect for human rights and mitigate environmental damage; stresses in this regard that**
sustainable corporate governance and corporate due diligence should be embedded in EU trade policy and calls on the Commission to include both issues in its forthcoming Trade Policy Review.

Amendment 75
Emmanuel Maurel, Helmut Scholz, Luke Ming Flanagan, Clare Daly

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. Stresses that sustainable corporate governance must enable the presence and participation of at least one third of salaried directors who, with their knowledge of the business, will help improve the effectiveness of the sustainable measures introduced;