

VOTING LIST

Strengthening Democracy and Media Freedom and Pluralism in the EU: the undue use of actions under civil and criminal law to silence journalists, NGOs and civil society (2021/2036(INI))

Rapporteurs: Metsola Roberta / Wölken Tiemo
Draft report: Fdr 1234043 - PE 693.861 v01-00
Amendments: (AMs 1 - 340) - Fdr 1236346 - PE 695.299 v01-00
(AMs 341 - 430) - Fdr 1236347 - PE 695.299 v01-00
Main committees: JURI, LIBE

Concerned text	AM	Tabled by	Remarks	Rapp	Vote
	CA BLOCK			+	
Paragraph 1	CA 7		No vote Deemed adopted if CA BLOCK adopted Otherwise falls If adopted, 117, 118, 122, 120, 121, 123, 124, 125, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 140, 142, 143, CULT 2, CULT 9, 229, CULT 20, 139, 141 and 115 fall	+	
Subheading 1	117	Garraud, Lebreton, Beck	Falls if CA 7 adopted		
Paragraph -1 (new)	118	Garraud, Lebreton, Beck	Falls if CA 7 adopted		
Paragraph 1	122	Garraud, Lebreton, Beck	Fall if CA 7 adopted Identical		
	126	Dzhambazki			
Paragraph 1	120	Donáth, Strugariu, Melchior, Spurek, Vautmans, Keller	Falls if CA 7 or 122 adopted		

Paragraph 1	121	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay- Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 7, 122 or 120 adopted		
Paragraph 1	123	Strugariu, Donáth, Casa, Pagazaurtundúa, Vautmans, Šimečka, Chastel, Melchior, Keller, Melbärde, Toom	Falls if CA 7, 122, 120 or 121 adopted		
Paragraph 1	124	Kohut, Vitanov, Engerer, Vollath, Fajon, Ruiz Devesa, Sippel, Dobrev	Falls if CA 7, 122, 120, 121 or 123 adopted		
Paragraph 1	125	Aubry, Daly	Falls if CA 7, 122, 120, 121, 123 or 124 adopted		
Paragraph 1	127	Buda	Falls if CA 7, 122, 120, 121, 123, 124 or 125 adopted		
Paragraph 1	128	Reintke, Björk, Walsh, Schreinemacher, Angel, Toussaint, Šimečka, Spurek, Vautmans, Engerer, Delbos-Corfield, Aubry, Chastel, Strugariu, Santos, Marquardt, Riba i Giner	Falls if CA 7, 122, 120, 121, 123, 124, 125 or 127 adopted		
Paragraph 1	129	Adamowicz	Falls if CA 7, 122, 120, 121, 123, 124, 125, 127 or 128 adopted		
Paragraph 1	130	Daly	Falls if CA 7, 122, 120, 121, 123, 124, 125, 127, 128 or 129 adopted		
Paragraph 1	131	Wölken, Metsola	Falls if CA 7, 122, 120, 121, 123, 124, 125, 127, 128, 129 or 130 adopted		
Paragraph 1	132	Engerer	Falls if CA 7, 122, 120, 121, 123, 124, 125, 127, 128, 129, 130 or 131 adopted		

Paragraph 1 a (new)	133	Daly, Aubry	Falls if CA 7 adopted		
Paragraph 1 a (new)	134	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 7 adopted		
Paragraph 1 a (new)	135	Beck, Lebreton, Garraud	Falls if CA 7 adopted		
Paragraph 1 a (new)	136	Terheş	Falls if CA 7 adopted		
Paragraph 1 b (new)	137	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 7 adopted		
Paragraph 1 b (new)	138	Daly, Aubry	Falls if CA 7 adopted		
Paragraph 1 b (new)	140	Beck, Lebreton, Garraud	Falls if CA 7 adopted		
Paragraph 1 c (new)	142	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 7 adopted		
Paragraph 1 d (new)	143	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 7 adopted		
Paragraph 1b (new)	CULT 2		Falls if CA 7 adopted		
Paragraph 1g (new)	CULT 9		Falls if CA 7 adopted		
paragraph 2	CA 8		No vote Deemed adopted if CA BLOCK adopted Otherwise falls If adopted, 144, 145, 146,	+	

			147, 148, 149, 151, 150, 152, 153, 154, 155 and 156 fall		
Paragraph 2	144	Wiseler-Lima	Falls if CA 8 adopted		
Paragraph 2	145	Dzhambazki	Falls if CA 8 or 144 adopted		
Paragraph 2	146	Terheş	Falls if CA 8, 144 or 145 adopted		
Paragraph 2	147	Beck, Lebreton, Garraud	Falls if CA 8, 144, 145 or 146 adopted		
Paragraph 2	148	Buda	Falls if CA 8, 144, 145, 146 or 147 adopted		
Paragraph 2	149	Wölken, Metsola	Falls if CA 8, 144, 145, 146, 147 or 148 adopted		
Paragraph 2	151	Reintke, Björk, Walsh, Schreinemacher, Angel, Toussaint, Šimečka, Spurek, Vautmans, Engerer, Delbos-Corfield, Aubry, Chastel, Strugariu, Santos, Marquardt, Riba i Giner	Falls if CA 8, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148 or 149 adopted		
Paragraph 2	150	Aubry, Daly	Falls if CA 8, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149 or 151 adopted		
Paragraph 2	152	Voss, Seekatz, Manders, Adamowicz	Falls if CA 8, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 151 or 150 adopted		
Paragraph 2 a (new)	153	Strugariu, Donáth, Casa, Pagazaurtundúa, Vautmans, Šimečka, Chastel, Toom, Melchior, Keller, Melbärde	Falls if CA 8 adopted		
Paragraph 2 a (new)	154	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 8 adopted		

Paragraph 2 a (new)	155	Terheş	Falls if CA 8 adopted		
Paragraph 2 b (new)	156	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 8 adopted		
Paragraph 3	CA 9		No vote Deemed adopted if CA BLOCK adopted Otherwise falls If adopted, 158, 159, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164, 165, 166, 168, 169 and 211 fall	+	
Paragraph 3	158	Pignedoli, Ferrara	Falls if CA 9 adopted		
Paragraph 3	159	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 9 or 158 adopted		
Paragraph 3	160	Strugariu, Donáth, Casa, Pagazaurtundúa, Vautmans, Šimečka, Chastel, Toom, Melchior, Keller, Melbärde	Falls if CA 9, 158 or 159 adopted		
Paragraph 3	161	Wölken, Metsola	Falls if CA 9, 158, 159 or 160 adopted		
Paragraph 3	162	Kohut, Vitanov, Engerer, Vollath, Fajon, Ruiz Devesa, Dobrev	Falls if CA 9, 158, 159, 160 or 161 adopted		
Paragraph 3	163	Voss, Seekatz, Manders, Adamowicz	Falls if CA 9, 158, 159, 160, 161 or 162 adopted		
Paragraph 4	164	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 9 adopted		

Paragraph 4 a (new)	165	Metsola, Wölken	Falls if CA 9 adopted		
Paragraph 4 a (new)	166	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 9 adopted		
Paragraph 4 a (new)	168	Dzhambazki	Falls if CA 9 adopted		
Paragraph 4 b (new)	169	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 9 adopted		
Paragraph 5	CA 10		No vote Deemed adopted if CA BLOCK adopted Otherwise falls If adopted, 171, 172, 176, 173, 174, 175, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, CULT 13, CULT 21 and 105 fall	+	
Subheading 4	171	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 10 adopted		
Paragraph 5	172	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 10 adopted		
Paragraph 5	176	Garraud, Lebreton, Beck	Falls if CA 10 or 172 adopted		
Paragraph 5	173	Buda	Falls if CA 10, 172 or 176 adopted		
Paragraph 5	174	Daly, Aubry	Falls if CA 10, 172, 176 or 173 adopted		

Paragraph 5	175	Kohut, Vitanov, Engerer, Vollath, Fajon, Ruiz Devesa, Sippel, Dobrev	Falls if CA 10, 172, 176, 173 or 174 adopted		
Paragraph 5	177	Reintke, Björk, Walsh, Schreinemacher, Angel, Toussaint, Šimečka, Spurek, Vautmans, Engerer, Delbos-Corfield, Aubry, Chastel, Strugariu, Santos, Marquardt, Riba i Giner	Falls if CA 10, 172, 176, 173, 174 or 175 adopted		
Paragraph 5	178	Wiseler-Lima	Falls if CA 10, 172, 176, 173, 174, 175 or 177 adopted		
Paragraph 5	179	Aubry	Falls if CA 10, 172, 176, 173, 174, 175, 177 or 178 adopted		
Paragraph 5	180	Adamowicz, Voss	Falls if CA 10, 172, 176, 173, 174, 175, 177, 178 or 179 adopted		
Paragraph 5	181	Beck, Lebreton, Garraud	Falls if CA 10, 172, 176, 173, 174, 175, 177, 178, 179 or 180 adopted		
Paragraph 5	182	Strugariu, Donáth, Casa, Pagazaurtundúa, Vautmans, Šimečka, Chastel, Toom, Melchior, Keller, Melbärde	Falls if CA 10, 172, 176, 173, 174, 175, 177, 178, 179, 180 or 181 adopted		
Paragraph 5	183	Dzhambazki	Falls if CA 10, 172, 176, 173, 174, 175, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181 or 182 adopted		
Paragraph 5	184	Terheş	Falls if CA 10, 172, 176, 173, 174, 175, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182 or 183 adopted		
Paragraph 5	185	Engerer	Falls if CA 10, 172, 176, 173, 174, 175, 177, 178, 179,		

			180, 181, 182, 183 or 184 adopted		
Paragraph 5 – subparagraph 1 (new)	186	Wiseler-Lima	Falls if CA 10 adopted		
Paragraph 5 a (new)	187	Beck, Lebreton, Garraud	Falls if CA 10 adopted		
Paragraph 5b (new)	CULT 13		Falls if CA 10 adopted		
Paragraph 9l (new)	CULT 21		Falls if CA 10 adopted		
Paragraph 6	CA 11		No vote Deemed adopted if CA BLOCK adopted Otherwise falls If adopted, 170, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, CULT 3, CULT 4, CULT 5, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 217, 216, 218, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, CULT 10, CULT 12, CULT 15, CULT 16, CULT 17, 240 and 77 fall	+	
Paragraph 4 b (new)	170	Terheş	Falls if CA 11 adopted		
Paragraph 6	188	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 11 adopted		
Paragraph 6	189	Adamowicz	Falls if CA 11 or 188 adopted		
Paragraph 6	190	Donáth, Strugariu, Melchior, Spurek, Vautmans, Keller	Falls if CA 11, 188 or 189 adopted		
Paragraph 6	191	Aubry, Daly	Falls if CA 11, 188, 189 or 190 adopted		

Paragraph 6	192	Buda	Falls if CA 11, 188, 189, 190 or 191 adopted		
Paragraph 6	193	Wölken, Metsola	Falls if CA 11, 188, 189, 190, 191 or 192 adopted		
Paragraph 6	194	Wiseler-Lima	Falls if CA 11, 188, 189, 190, 191 or 192 adopted		
Paragraph 6	195	Dzhambazki	Falls if CA 11, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193 or 194 adopted		
Paragraph 6	196	Voss, Seekatz, Manders	Falls if CA 11, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194 or 195 adopted		
Paragraph 6	197	Reintke, Björk, Walsh, Schreinemacher, Angel, Toussaint, Šimečka, Spurek, Vautmans, Engerer, Delbos-Corfield, Aubry, Chastel, Strugariu, Santos, Marquardt, Riba i Giner	Falls if CA 11, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195 or 196 adopted		
Paragraph 6	198	Pignedoli, Ferrara	Falls if CA 11, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196 or 197 adopted		
Paragraph 6	199	Beck, Lebreton, Garraud	Falls if CA 11, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197 or 198 adopted		
Paragraph 6	200	Strugariu, Donáth, Casa, Pagazaurtundúa, Vautmans, Šimečka, Chastel, Toom, Melchior, Keller, Melbärde	Falls if CA 11, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198 or 199 adopted		
Paragraph 6	201	Kohut, Vitanov, Engerer, Vollath, Fajon, Ruiz Devesa, Dobrev	Falls if CA 11, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199 or 200 adopted		
Paragraph 6	202	Daly	Falls if CA 11, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195,		

			196, 197, 198, 199, 200 or 201 adopted		
Paragraph 6 a (new)	203	Dzhambazki	Falls if CA 11 adopted		
Paragraph 6 a (new)	204	Terheş	Falls if CA 11 adopted		
Paragraph 7	205	Beck, Lebreton, Garraud	Falls if CA 11 adopted		
Paragraph 7	206	Pignedoli, Ferrara	Falls if CA 11 or 205 adopted		
Paragraph 7	207	Aubry, Daly	Falls if CA 11, 205 or 206 adopted		
Paragraph 7	208	Metsola, Wölken	Falls if CA 11, 205, 206 or 207 adopted		
Paragraph 7	209	Dzhambazki	Falls if CA 11, 205, 206, 207 or 208 adopted		
Paragraph 7 a (new)	210	Terheş	Falls if CA 11 adopted		
Paragraph 1c (new)	CULT 3		Falls if CA 11 adopted		
Paragraph 1c (new)	CULT 4		Falls if CA 11 adopted		
Paragraph 1e (new)	CULT 5		Falls if CA 11 adopted		
Paragraph 8	211	Aubry, Daly	Falls if CA 9 or CA 11 adopted		
Paragraph 8	212	Strugariu, Donáth, Casa, Pagazaurtundúa, Vautmans, Šimečka, Chastel, Toom, Melchior, Keller, Melbärde	Falls if CA 11 or 211 adopted		
Paragraph 8	213	Daly	Falls if CA 11, 211 or 212 adopted		
Paragraph 8	214	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-	Falls if CA 11, 211, 212 or 213 adopted		

		Grunenberg, Wiener			
Paragraph 8 a (new)	215	Aubry, Daly	Falls if CA 11 adopted		
Paragraph 9	217	Beck, Lebreton, Garraud	Falls if CA 11 adopted		
Paragraph 9	216	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay- Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 11 or 217 adopted		
Paragraph 9	218	Bogdan	Falls if CA 11, 217 or 216 adopted		
Paragraph 9	219	Strugariu, Donáth, Casa, Pagazaurtundúa, Vautmans, Šimečka, Chastel, Toom, Melchior, Keller, Melbärde	Falls if CA 11, 217, 216 or 218 adopted		
Paragraph 9	220	Garraud, Lebreton, Beck	Falls if CA 11, 217, 216, 218 or 219 adopted		
Paragraph 9	221	Aubry, Daly	Falls if CA 11, 217, 216, 218, 219 or 220 adopted		
Paragraph 9	222	Daly	Falls if CA 11, 217, 216, 218, 219, 220 or 221 adopted		
Paragraph 9	223	Voss, Seekatz, Manders	Falls if CA 11, 217, 216, 218, 219, 220, 221 or 222 adopted		
Paragraph 9	224	Reintke, Björk, Walsh, Schreinemacher, Angel, Toussaint, Šimečka, Spurek, Vautmans, Engerer, Delbos-Corfield, Aubry, Chastel, Strugariu, Santos, Marquardt, Riba i Giner	Falls if CA 11, 217, 216, 218, 219, 220, 221, 222 or 223 adopted		

Paragraph 9	225	Kohut, Vitanov, Engerer, Vollath, Fajon, Ruiz Devesa, Dobrev	Falls if CA 11, 217, 216, 218, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223 or 224 adopted		
Paragraph 9	226	Wölken, Metsola	Falls if CA 11, 217, 216, 218, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224 or 225 adopted		
Paragraph 9	227	Adamowicz	Falls if CA 11, 217, 216, 218, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225 or 226 adopted		
Paragraph 9	228	Dzhambazki	Falls if CA 11, 217, 216, 218, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226 or 227 adopted		
Paragraph 9 a (new)	229	Toom, Melchior	Falls if CA 7 or CA 11 adopted		
Paragraph 9 a (new)	230	Metsola, Wölken	Falls if CA 11 adopted		
Paragraph 9 b (new)	231	Metsola, Wölken	Falls if CA 11 adopted		
Paragraph 9c (new)	CULT 10		Falls if CA 11 adopted		
Paragraph 9e (new)	CULT 12		Falls if CA 11 adopted		
Paragraph 9f (new)	CULT 15		Falls if CA 11 adopted		
Paragraph 9h (new)	CULT 16		Falls if CA 11 adopted		
Paragraph 9i (new)	CULT 17		Falls if CA 11 adopted		
Paragraph 9j (new)	CULT 18			-	
Paragraph 10	CA 12		No vote Deemed adopted if CA BLOCK adopted Otherwise falls If adopted, 235, 232, 237, 233, 234, 236 and 238 fall	+	
Paragraph 10	235	Dzhambazki	Falls if CA 12 adopted		

Paragraph 10	232	Garraud, Lebreton, Beck	Falls if CA 12 or 235 adopted		
Paragraph 10	237	Beck, Lebreton, Garraud	Falls if CA 12, 235 or 232 adopted		
Paragraph 10	233	Pignedoli, Ferrara	Falls if CA 12, 235, 232 or 237 adopted		
Paragraph 10	234	Buda	Falls if CA 12, 235, 232, 237 or 233 adopted		
Paragraph 10	236	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 12, 235, 232, 237, 233 or 234 adopted		
Paragraph 10 a (new)	238	Kohut, Vitanov, Engerer, Vollath, Fajon, Ruiz Devesa, Dobrev	Falls if CA 12 adopted		
Paragraph 10 a (new)	240	Garraud, Lebreton, Beck	Falls if CA 11 adopted		
Paragraph 11	CA 13		No vote Deemed adopted if CA BLOCK adopted Otherwise falls If adopted, 239, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247, 248, 249, 250, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255 and 256 fall	+	
Subheading 7	239	Garraud, Lebreton, Beck	Falls if CA 13 adopted		
Paragraph 11	241	Beck, Lebreton, Garraud	Falls if CA 13 adopted		
Paragraph 11	242	Garraud, Lebreton, Beck	Falls if CA 13 or 241 adopted		
Paragraph 11	243	Dzhambazki	Falls if CA 13, 241 or 242 adopted		
Paragraph 11	244	Toom, Melchior	Falls if CA 13, 241, 242 or 243 adopted		
Paragraph 11	245	Pignedoli, Ferrara	Falls if CA 13, 241, 242, 243 or 244 adopted		

Paragraph 11	246	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 13, 241, 242, 243, 244 or 245 adopted		
Paragraph 11	247	Strugariu, Donáth, Casa, Pagazaurtundúa, Vautmans, Šimečka, Chastel, Melchior, Keller, Melbärde	Falls if CA 13, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245 or 246 adopted		
Paragraph 11	248	Daly	Falls if CA 13, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245, 246 or 247 adopted		
Paragraph 11	249	Wölken, Metsola	Falls if CA 13, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247 or 248 adopted		
Paragraph 11	250	Aubry, Daly	Falls if CA 13, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247, 248 or 249 adopted		
Paragraph 11	251	Reintke, Björk, Walsh, Schreinemacher, Angel, Toussaint, Šimečka, Spurek, Vautmans, Engerer, Delbos-Corfield, Aubry, Chastel, Strugariu, Santos, Marquardt, Riba i Giner	Falls if CA 13, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247, 248, 249 or 250 adopted		
Paragraph 11	252	Adamowicz, Voss	Falls if CA 13, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247, 248, 249, 250 or 251 adopted		
Paragraph 11	253	Terheş	Falls if CA 13, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247, 248, 249, 250, 251 or 252 adopted		
Paragraph 11	254	Kohut, Vitanov, Engerer, Vollath, Fajon, Ruiz Devesa, Dobrev	Falls if CA 13, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247, 248, 249, 250, 251, 252 or 253 adopted		

Paragraph 11 a (new)	255	Aubry, Daly	Falls if CA 13 adopted		
Paragraph 11 a (new)	256	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 13 adopted		
paragraph 12	CA 14		No vote Deemed adopted if CA BLOCK adopted Otherwise falls If adopted, 257, 258, 259, 261, 260, 263 and 264 fall	+	
Paragraph 12	257	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 14 adopted		
Paragraph 12	258	Donáth, Strugariu, Melchior, Spurek, Vautmans, Keller	Falls if CA 14 or 257 adopted		
Paragraph 12	259	Voss, Seekatz, Manders, Adamowicz	Falls if CA 14, 257 or 258 adopted		
Paragraph 12	261	Aubry, Daly	Fall if CA 14, 257, 258 or 259 adopted Identical		
	262	Metsola, Wölken			
Paragraph 12	260	Strugariu, Donáth, Casa, Pagazaurtundúa, Vautmans, Šimečka, Chastel, Melchior, Keller, Melbärde	Falls if CA 14, 257, 258, 259 or 261 adopted		
Paragraph 12	263	Daly	Falls if CA 14, 257, 258, 259, 261 or 260 adopted		
Paragraph 12	264	Garraud, Lebreton, Beck	Falls if CA 14, 257, 258, 259, 261, 260 or 263 adopted		
Paragraph 12a	CA 14a			-	

Paragraph 13	CA 15		No vote Deemed adopted if CA BLOCK adopted Otherwise falls If adopted, 270, 265, 266, 267, 268, 269, 271, 272, 274, 278, 279, 280, 281, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289, 290, 291, 292, 293, 295, 296, CULT 7, 314, 310, 273, 275, 276 and 277 fall	+	
Paragraph 13	270	Garraud, Lebreton, Beck	Falls if CA 15 adopted		
Paragraph 13	265	Daly	Falls if CA 15 or 270 adopted		
Paragraph 13	266	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay- Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 15, 270 or 265 adopted		
Paragraph 13	267	Strugariu, Donáth, Casa, Pagazaurtundúa, Vautmans, Šimečka, Chastel, Melchior, Keller, Melbärde, Toom	Falls if CA 15, 270, 265 or 266 adopted		
Paragraph 13	268	Aubry, Daly	Falls if CA 15, 270, 265, 266 or 267 adopted		
Paragraph 13	269	Terheş	Falls if CA 15, 270, 265, 266, 267 or 268 adopted		
Paragraph 13	271	Buda	Falls if CA 15, 270, 265, 266, 267, 268 or 269 adopted		
Paragraph 13	272	Toom, Melchior	Falls if CA 15, 270, 265, 266, 267, 268, 269 or 271 adopted		
Paragraph 13	274	Wölken, Metsola	Falls if CA 15, 270, 265, 266, 267, 268, 269, 271 or 272 adopted		

Paragraph 13	278	Dzhambazki	Falls if CA 15, 270, 265, 266, 267, 268, 269, 271, 272 or 274 adopted		
Paragraph 13 a (new)	279	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 15 adopted		
Paragraph 13 a (new)	280	Garraud, Lebreton, Beck	Falls if CA 15 adopted		
Paragraph 13 a (new)	281	Aubry, Daly	Falls if CA 15 adopted		
Paragraph 13 b (new)	283	Aubry, Daly	Falls if CA 15 adopted		
Paragraph 13 b (new)	284	Pignedoli, Ferrara	Falls if CA 15 adopted		
Paragraph 13 c (new)	285	Aubry, Daly	Falls if CA 15 adopted		
Subheading 10	286	Aubry, Daly	Falls if CA 15 adopted		
Subheading 10	287	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 15 or 286 adopted		
Paragraph 14	288	Dzhambazki	Falls if CA 15 adopted		
Paragraph 14	289	Daly, Aubry	Falls if CA 15 or 288 adopted		
Paragraph 14	290	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 15, 288 or 289 adopted		
Paragraph 14	291	Strugariu, Donáth, Casa, Pagazaurtundúa, Vautmans, Šimečka, Chastel,	Falls if CA 15, 288, 289 or 290 adopted		

		Toom, Melchior, Keller, Melbärde			
Paragraph 14	292	Voss, Seekatz, Manders, Adamowicz	Falls if CA 15, 288, 289, 290 or 291 adopted		
Paragraph 14	293	Wölken, Metsola	Fall if CA 15, 288, 289, 290, 291 or 292 adopted Identical		
	294	Metsola, Wölken			
Paragraph 14 a (new)	295	Wölken, Metsola	Falls if CA 15 adopted		
Paragraph 14 a (new)	296	Aubry, Daly	Falls if CA 15 adopted		
Paragraph 11b (new)	CULT 7		Falls if CA 15 adopted		
Paragraph 16 a (new)	314	Daly, Aubry	Falls if CA 15 adopted		
Paragraph 15	CA 16		No vote Deemed adopted if CA BLOCK adopted Otherwise falls If adopted, 298, 297, 299, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305 and CULT 14 fall	+	
Paragraph 15	298	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay- Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 16 adopted		
Paragraph 15	297	Daly, Aubry	Fall if CA 16 adopted Identical		
	300	Aubry			
Paragraph 15	299	Metsola, Wölken	Falls if CA 16 or 297 adopted		
Paragraph 15	301	Dzhambazki	Falls if CA 16, 297 or 299 adopted		
Paragraph 15	302	Voss, Seekatz, Manders	Falls if CA 16, 297, 299 or 301 adopted		
Paragraph 15	303	Kohut, Vitanov, Engerer, Vollath, Fajon, Ruiz Devesa, Sippel, Dobrev	Falls if CA 16, 297, 299, 301 or 302 adopted		

Paragraph 15 a (new)	304	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 16 adopted		
Paragraph 15 b (new)	305	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 16 adopted		
Paragraph 7b (new)	CULT 14		Falls if CA 16 adopted		
Paragraph 16	CA 17		No vote Deemed adopted if CA BLOCK adopted Otherwise falls If adopted, 306, 307, 308, 309, 310, 311, 312, 313 and 167 fall	+	
Subheading 12	306	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 17 adopted		
Paragraph 16	307	Voss, Seekatz, Manders, Adamowicz	Falls if CA 17 adopted		
Paragraph 16	308	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 17 or 307 adopted		
Paragraph 16	309	Daly	Falls if CA 17, 307 or 308 adopted		
Paragraph 16	310	Manders	Falls if CA 15, CA 17, 307, 308 or 309 adopted		
Paragraph 16	311	Dzhambazki	Falls if CA 17, 307, 308, 309 or 310 adopted		

Paragraph 16	312	Terheş	Falls if CA 17, 307, 308, 309, 310 or 311 adopted		
Paragraph 16 a (new)	313	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 17 adopted		
Paragraph 4 a (new)	167	Terheş	Falls if CA 17 adopted		
Paragraph 17	CA 18		No vote Deemed adopted if CA BLOCK adopted Otherwise falls If adopted, 317, 315, 316, 318, 321, 322, 323, 324, 325, 328, 329, CULT 6, CULT 11, CULT 19 and CULT 20 fall	+	
Paragraph 17	317	Garraud, Lebreton, Beck	Falls if CA 18 adopted		
Paragraph 17	315	Wölken, Metsola	Falls if CA 18 or 317 adopted		
Paragraph 17	316	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 18, 317 or 315 adopted		
Paragraph 17	318	Strugariu, Donáth, Casa, Pagazaurtundúa, Vautmans, Šimečka, Chastel, Melchior, Keller, Melbärde	Fall if CA 18, 317, 315 or 316 adopted Identical		
	319	Aubry, Daly			
	320	Kohut, Vitanov, Engerer, Vollath, Fajon, Ruiz Devesa, Dobrev			
Paragraph 17	321	Adamowicz, Voss	Falls if CA 18, 317, 315, 316 or 318 adopted		

Paragraph 17	322	Reintke, Björk, Walsh, Schreinemacher, Angel, Toussaint, Šimečka, Spurek, Vautmans, Engerer, Delbos-Corfield, Aubry, Chastel, Strugariu, Santos, Marquardt, Riba i Giner	Falls if CA 18, 317, 315, 316, 318 or 321 adopted		
Paragraph 18	323	Voss, Seekatz, Manders	Falls if CA 18 adopted		
Paragraph 19	324	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 18 adopted		
Paragraph 19	325	Aubry, Daly	Fall if CA 18 or 324 adopted Identical		
	326	Metsola, Wölken			
	327	Adamowicz, Voss			
Paragraph 19 a (new)	328	Kohut, Vitanov, Engerer, Vollath, Fajon, Ruiz Devesa, Dobrev	Falls if CA 18 adopted		
Paragraph 19 b (new)	329	Kohut, Vitanov, Engerer, Vollath, Fajon, Ruiz Devesa, Dobrev	Falls if CA 18 adopted		
Paragraph 1f (new)	CULT 6		Falls if CA 18 adopted		
Paragraph 9d (new)	CULT 11		Falls if CA 18 adopted		
Paragraph 11c (new)	CULT 19		Falls if CA 18 adopted		
Paragraph 9k (new)	CULT 20		Falls if CA 7 or CA 18 adopted		
Paragraph 20	CA 19		If adopted, 330, 331, 332, 333, 334, 335, 336, 337, 338, 339 and 340 fall	+	

Paragraph 20	330	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 19 adopted		
Paragraph 20	331	Beck, Lebreton, Garraud	Falls if CA 19 or 330 adopted		
Paragraph 20	332	Terheş	Falls if CA 19, 330 or 331 adopted		
Paragraph 20	333	Aubry, Daly	Falls if CA 19, 330, 331 or 332 adopted		
Paragraph 20 a (new)	334	Wolters	Falls if CA 19 adopted		
Paragraph 21	335	Wolters	Falls if CA 19 adopted		
Paragraph 21	336	Strugariu, Donáth, Casa, Pagazaurtundúa, Vautmans, Šimečka, Chastel, Melchior, Keller	Falls if CA 19 or 335 adopted		
Paragraph 22	337	Garraud, Lebreton, Beck	Falls if CA 19 adopted		
Paragraph 22 a (new)	338	Metsola, Wölken	Falls if CA 19 adopted		
Paragraph 22 b (new)	339	Metsola, Wölken	Falls if CA 19 adopted		
Paragraph 23	340	Garraud, Lebreton, Beck	Falls if CA 19 adopted		
Annex – point 1	CA 20		No vote Deemed adopted if CA BLOCK adopted Otherwise falls If adopted, 341, 342, 343, 344, 345, 346, 347, 348, 349, 354, 353, 355, 356, 359, 360, 361, 362, 363, 364 and 365 fall	+	
Annex – title	341	Toussaint, Riba i Giner	Falls if CA 20 adopted		
Annex – point 1 – part I –	342	Metsola, Wölken	Falls if CA 20 adopted		

introductory part					
Annex – point 1 – part I – indent 1	343	Toom, Melchior	Falls if CA 20 adopted		
Annex – point 1 – part I – indent 1	344	Toussaint, Riba i Giner	Falls if CA 20 or 343 adopted		
Annex – point 1 – part I – indent 2	345	Toussaint, Riba i Giner	Falls if CA 20 adopted		
Annex – point 1 – part I – indent 2	346	Aubry	Falls if CA 20 or 345 adopted		
Annex – point 1 – part I – indent 3	347	Toussaint, Riba i Giner	Falls if CA 20 adopted		
Annex – point 1 – part II – indent 1a (new)	348	Strugariu, Donáth, Casa, Melbārde, Šimečka, Vautmans, Chastel, Keller, Melchior, Pagazaurtundúa	Falls if CA 20 adopted		
Annex – point 1 – part II – indent 3	349	Kohut	Fall if CA 20 adopted Identical		
	350	Strugariu, Donáth, Casa, Melbārde, Šimečka, Vautmans, Chastel, Keller, Melchior, Pagazaurtundúa			
	351	Aubry			
	352	Metsola, Wölken			
Annex – point 1 – part II – indent 3	354	Adamowicz	Falls if CA 20 or 349 adopted		
Annex – point 1 – part II – indent 3	353	Toom, Melchior	Falls if CA 20, 349 or 354 adopted		
Annex – point 1 – part II – indent 3	355	Voss, Manders, Seekatz	Falls if CA 20, 349, 354 or 353 adopted		

Annex – point 1 – part II – indent 4	356	Kohut	Fall if CA 20 adopted Identical		
	357	Strugariu, Donáth, Casa, Melbārde, Šimečka, Vautmans, Chastel, Keller, Melchior, Pagazaurtundúa			
	358	Daly			
Annex – point 1 – part II – indent 4	359	Toussaint, Riba i Giner	Falls if CA 20 or 356 adopted		
Annex – point 1 – part II – indent 4a (new)	360	Aubry	Falls if CA 20 adopted		
Annex – point 1 – part II – indent 5	361	Kohut	Falls if CA 20 adopted		
Annex – point 1 – part II – indent 6	362	Strugariu, Donáth, Casa, Melbārde, Šimečka, Vautmans, Chastel, Keller, Melchior, Pagazaurtundúa	Falls if CA 20 adopted		
Annex – point 1 – part II – indent 6	363	Metsola, Wölken	Falls if CA 20 or 362 adopted		
Annex – point 1 – part II – indent 6	364	Aubry	Falls if CA 20, 362 or 363 adopted		
Annex – point 1 – part II – indent 6a (new)	365	Toussaint, Riba i Giner	Falls if CA 20 adopted		
Annex – point 2	CA 21		If adopted, 366, 367, 370, 369, 368, 374, 371, 375, 376, 377, 378, 379, 380, 381, 382, 383, 384, 385, 387, 388, 389, CULT 8 and 390 fall	+	
Annex – point 2 – part I – introductory part	366	Aubry	Falls if CA 21 adopted		

Annex – point 2 – part I – introductory part	367	Daly	Falls if CA 21 or 366 adopted		
Annex – point 2 – part I – introductory part	370	Toussaint, Riba i Giner	Falls if CA 21, 366 or 367 adopted		
Annex – point 2 – part I – introductory part	369	Strugariu, Donáth, Casa, Melbärde, Šimečka, Vautmans, Chastel, Keller, Melchior, Pagazaurtundúa	Falls if CA 21, 366, 367 or 370 adopted		
Annex – point 2 – part I – introductory part	368	Metsola, Wölken	Falls if CA 21, 366, 367, 370 or 369 adopted		
Annex – point 2 – part I – point a	374	Aubry	Falls if CA 21 adopted		
Annex – point 2 – part I – point (a)	371	Daly	Fall if CA 21 or 374 adopted Identical		
	372	Strugariu, Donáth, Casa, Melbärde, Šimečka, Vautmans, Chastel, Keller, Melchior, Pagazaurtundúa			
	373	Toussaint, Riba i Giner			
Annex – point 2 – part I – point aa (new)	375	Aubry	Falls if CA 21 adopted		
Annex – point 2 – part I – point ab (new)	376	Aubry	Falls if CA 21 adopted		
Annex – point 2 – part I – point ac (new)	377	Aubry	Falls if CA 21 adopted		
Annex – point 2 – part I – point b	378	Voss, Manders, Seekatz	Falls if CA 21 adopted		

Annex – point 2 – part II – point -a (new)	379	Aubry	Falls if CA 21 adopted		
Annex – point 2 – part II – point b	380	Toussaint, Riba i Giner	Falls if CA 21 adopted		
Annex – point 2 – part II – point b	381	Aubry	Falls if CA 21 or 380 adopted		
Annex – point 2 – part II – point b	382	Voss, Manders, Seekatz	Falls if CA 21, 380 or 381 adopted		
Annex – point 2 – part II – point ba (new)	383	Aubry	Falls if CA 21 adopted		
Annex – point 2 – part II – point bb (new)	384	Aubry	Falls if CA 21 adopted		
Annex – point 2 – part II – point c – subpoint ii	385	Toussaint, Riba i Giner	Fall if CA 21 adopted Identical		
	386	Aubry			
Annex – point 2 – part II – point d	387	Toussaint, Riba i Giner	Falls if CA 21 adopted		
Annex – point 2 – part II – point da (new)	388	Toom, Melchior	Falls if CA 21 adopted		
Annex – point 2 – part II – point db (new)	389	Toom, Melchior	Falls if CA 21 adopted		
Paragraph 2d (new)	CULT 8		Falls if CA 21 adopted		
Annex – point 2 – part III	390	Toussaint, Riba i Giner	Falls if CA 21 adopted		
Annex – point 3	CA 22		If adopted, 391, 392, 393, 394, 395, 396, 397, 398, 399, 400, 401, 402, 403, 404, 405, 406, 407, 408, 409, 410, 411,	+	

			412, 413, 414, 415, 417, 418 and 419 fall		
Annex – point 2 a (new)	391	Daly	Falls if CA 22 adopted		
Annex – point 3 – part 1	392	Daly	Falls if CA 22 adopted		
Annex – point 3 – title	393	Toussaint, Riba i Giner	Falls if CA 22 adopted		
Annex – point 3 – title	394	Aubry	Falls if CA 22 or 393 adopted		
Annex – point 3 – part I	395	Toussaint, Riba i Giner	Falls if CA 22 adopted		
Annex – point 3 – part I – introductory part	396	Aubry	Falls if CA 22 or 395 adopted		
Annex– point 3 – part 1 – introductory part	397	Strugariu, Donáth, Casa, Melbārde, Šimečka, Vautmans, Chastel, Keller, Melchior, Pagazaurtundúa	Falls if CA 22, 395 or 396 adopted		
Annex – point 3 – part 1 – introductory part	398	Metsola, Wölken	Falls if CA 22, 395, 396 or 397 adopted		
Annex – point 3 – part I – point a	399	Aubry	Falls if CA 22 adopted		
Annex– point 3 – part I – point a	400	Voss, Manders, Seekatz	Falls if CA 22 or 399 adopted		
Annex – point 3 – part I – point b	401	Aubry	Falls if CA 22 adopted		
Annex– point 3 – part I – point b	402	Strugariu, Donáth, Casa, Melbārde, Šimečka, Vautmans, Chastel, Keller, Melchior, Pagazaurtundúa	Falls if CA 22 or 401 adopted		

Annex – point 3 – part I – point ba (new)	403	Aubry	Falls if CA 22 adopted		
Annex – point 3 – part I – point c	404	Aubry	Falls if CA 22 adopted		
Annex – point 3 – part I – point d	405	Voss, Manders, Seekatz	Falls if CA 22 adopted		
Annex – point 3 – part I – point e	406	Aubry	Falls if CA 22 adopted		
Annex – point 3 – part I – point fa (new)	407	Aubry	Falls if CA 22 adopted		
Annex – point 3 – part I – point g	408	Aubry	Falls if CA 22 adopted		
Annex – point 3 – part II – introductory part	409	Toussaint, Riba i Giner	Falls if CA 22 adopted		
Annex – point 3 – part II – point a	410	Strugariu, Donáth, Casa, Melbärde, Šimečka, Vautmans, Chastel, Keller, Melchior, Pagazaurtundúa	Falls if CA 22 adopted		
Annex – point 3 – part II – point a	411	Wolters	Falls if CA 22 or 410 adopted		
Annex – point 3 – part II – point a a (new)	412	Wolters	Falls if CA 22 adopted		
Annex – point 3 – part II – point a	413	Toussaint, Riba i Giner	Falls if CA 22 adopted		
Annex – point 3 – part II – point a	414	Daly	Falls if CA 22 or 413 adopted		
	415	Metsola, Wölken			

Annex – point 3 – part II – point b	416	Daly	Fall if CA 22 adopted Identical		
Annex – point 3 – part II – point b	417	Toussaint, Riba i Giner	Falls if CA 22 or 415 adopted		
Annex – point 3 – part II – point b	418	Strugariu, Donáth, Casa, Melbārde, Šimečka, Vautmans, Chastel, Keller, Melchior, Pagazaurtundúa	Falls if CA 22, 415 or 417 adopted		
Annex – point 3 – part II – point b	419	Aubry	Falls if CA 22, 415, 417 or 418 adopted		
Annex – point 4	CA 23		If adopted, 420, 421, 422, 423, 424, 425, 427, 428, 429 and 67 fall	+	
Annex – point 4 – introductory part	420	Daly	Falls if CA 23 adopted		
Annex – point 4 – point a	421	Toussaint, Riba i Giner	Falls if CA 23 adopted		
Annex – point 4 – point b	422	Toussaint, Riba i Giner	Falls if CA 23 adopted		
Annex – point 4 – point b	423	Daly	Falls if CA 23 or 422 adopted		
Annex – point 4 – point b	424	Aubry	Falls if CA 23, 422 or 423 adopted		
Annex – point 4 – point b	425	Voss, Manders, Seekatz	Fall if CA 23, 422, 423 or 424 adopted Identical		
	426	Adamowicz			
Annex – point 4 – point c	427	Metsola, Wölken	Falls if CA 23 adopted		
Annex – point 4 – point ca (new)	428	Daly	Falls if CA 23 adopted		
	429	Metsola, Wölken	Fall if CA 23 adopted Identical		
	430	Aubry			

Annex – point 4 – point ca (new)	431	Toussaint, Riba i Giner			
Citation	CA 1		If adopted, 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22 and 23 fall	+	
Citation 2	1	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Biteau	Falls if CA 1 adopted		
Citation 3	2	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Biteau	Falls if CA 1 adopted		
Citation 3	3	Kohut, Vitanov, Engerer, Vollath, Fajon, Ruiz Devesa, Dobrev	Falls if CA 1 or 2 adopted		
Citation 6 a (new)	4	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Biteau	Fall if CA 1 adopted Identical		
	5 Part 1	Wölken, Metsola			
	6 Part 1	Aubry, Daly			
Citation 14 a (new)	7	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Biteau	Falls if CA 1 adopted		
Citation 23 a (new)	8	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Biteau	Falls if CA 1 adopted		
Citation 23 b (new)	9	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Biteau	Falls if CA 1 adopted		

Citation 26 a (new)	10	Reintke, Björk, Walsh, Schreinemacher, Angel, Toussaint, Kohut, Šimečka, Spurek, Vautmans, Engerer, Delbos-Corfield, Aubry, Chastel, Strugariu, Santos, Marquardt, Riba i Giner	Falls if CA 1 adopted		
Citation 27	11	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Biteau	Falls if CA 1 adopted		
Citation 27 a (new)	12	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Wiener, Deparnay-Grunenberg	Falls if CA 1 adopted		
Citation 27 b (new)	13	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 1 adopted		
Citation 27 c (new)	14	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 1 adopted		
Citation 30	15	Wiseler-Lima	Falls if CA 1 adopted		
Citation 32 a (new)	16	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 1 adopted		
Citation 35 a (new)	17	Kohut, Vitanov, Engerer, Vollath, Fajon, Ruiz Devesa, Dobrev	Falls if CA 1 adopted		

Citation 36 a (new)	18	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 1 adopted		
Citation 36 a (new)	19	Wölken, Metsola	Falls if CA 1 adopted		
Citation 36 b (new)	20	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 1 adopted		
Citation 45 a (new)	21	Engerer	Falls if CA 1 adopted		
Citation 45 a (new)	22	Beck, Lebreton, Garraud	Falls if CA 1 adopted		
Citation 45 b (new)	23	Beck, Lebreton, Garraud	Falls if CA 1 adopted		
Recital A	CA 2		If adopted, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 139, CULT 1, CULT 22, 52, 61 and 115 fall	+	
Recital -A (new)	24	Daly, Aubry	Falls if CA 2 adopted		
Recital A	25	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 2 adopted		
Recital A	26	Daly, Aubry	Falls if CA 2 or 25 adopted		
Recital A	27	Donáth, Strugariu, Melchior, Spurek, Vautmans, Keller	Falls if CA 2, 25 or 26 adopted		
Recital A	28	Wiseler-Lima	Falls if CA 2, 25, 26 or 27 adopted		
Recital A	29	Engerer	Falls if CA 2, 25, 26, 27 or 28 adopted		

Recital A	30	Reintke, Björk, Walsh, Schreinemacher, Angel, Toussaint, Kohut, Šimečka, Spurek, Vautmans, Engerer, Delbos-Corfield, Aubry, Chastel, Strugariu, Santos, Marquardt, Riba i Giner	Falls if CA 2, 25, 26, 27, 28 or 29 adopted		
Recital A	31	Buda	Falls if CA 2, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29 or 30 adopted		
Recital A	32	Garraud, Lebreton, Beck	Falls if CA 2, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30 or 31 adopted		
Recital A	33	Kohut, Vitanov, Engerer, Vollath, Fajon, Ruiz Devesa, Dobrev	Falls if CA 2, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31 or 32 adopted		
Recital A	34	Aubry, Daly	Falls if CA 2, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32 or 33 adopted		
Recital A	35	Terheş	Falls if CA 2, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33 or 34 adopted		
Recital A a (new)	36	Ruiz Devesa, Kohut	Falls if CA 2 adopted		
Recital A a (new)	37	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 2 adopted		
Recital A a (new)	38	Terheş	Falls if CA 2 adopted		
Recital A a (new)	39	Dzhambazki	Falls if CA 2 adopted		
Recital A a (new)	40	Beck, Lebreton, Garraud	Falls if CA 2 adopted		
Recital A b (new)	41	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 2 adopted		

Recital A b (new)	42	Beck, Lebreton, Garraud	Falls if CA 2 adopted		
Recital A b (new)	43	Terheş	Falls if CA 2 adopted		
Recital A c (new)	44	Terheş	Falls if CA 2 adopted		
Recital A c (new)	45	Beck, Lebreton, Garraud	Falls if CA 2 adopted		
Recital A d (new)	46	Terheş	Falls if CA 2 adopted		
Recital A e (new)	47	Terheş	Falls if CA 2 adopted		
Recital A f (new)	48	Terheş	Falls if CA 2 adopted		
Recital A g (new)	49	Terheş	Falls if CA 2 adopted		
Paragraph 1 b (new)	139	Terheş	Falls if CA 7 or CA 2 adopted		
Paragraph 1a (new)	CULT 1		Falls if CA 2 adopted		
Paragraph 5c (new)	CULT 22		Falls if CA 2 adopted		
Recital B	CA 3		No vote Deemed adopted if CA BLOCK adopted Otherwise falls If adopted, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 141 and 110 fall	+	
Recital B	50	Garraud, Lebreton, Beck	Falls if CA 3 adopted		
Recital B	51	Aubry, Daly	Falls if CA 3 or 50 adopted		
Recital B	52	Wiseler-Lima	Falls if CA 2, CA 3, 50 or 51 adopted		
Recital B	53	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-	Falls if CA 3, 50, 51 or 52 adopted		

		Grunenberg, Wiener			
Recital B	54	Kohut, Vitanov, Engerer, Vollath, Fajon, Ruiz Devesa, Sippel, Dobrev	Falls if CA 3, 50, 51, 52 or 53 adopted		
Recital B	55	Daly	Falls if CA 3, 50, 51, 52, 53 or 54 adopted		
Recital B	56	Beck, Lebreton, Garraud	Falls if CA 3, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54 or 55 adopted		
Recital B	57	Strugariu, Donáth, Casa, Pagazaurtundúa, Vautmans, Šimečka, Chastel, Toom, Melchior, Keller, Melbärde	Falls if CA 3, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55 or 56 adopted		
Recital B	58	Terheş	Falls if CA 3, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56 or 57 adopted		
Recital B	59	Metsola, Wölken	Falls if CA 3, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57 or 58 adopted		
Recital B	60	Reintke, Björk, Walsh, Schreinemacher, Angel, Toussaint, Šimečka, Spurek, Vautmans, Engerer, Delbos-Corfield, Aubry, Chastel, Strugariu, Santos, Marquardt, Riba i Giner	Falls if CA 3, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58 or 59 adopted		
Recital B a (new)	61	Wiseler-Lima	Falls if CA 2, CA 3 or 50 adopted		
Recital B a (new)	62	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay- Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 3 or 50 adopted		
Recital B a (new)	63	Strugariu, Donáth, Casa, Pagazaurtundúa,	Falls if CA 3 or 50 adopted		

		Vautmans, Chastel, Melchior, Šimečka, Melbārde, Keller			
Recital B a (new)	64	Daly, Aubry	Falls if CA 3 or 50 adopted		
Recital B a (new)	65	Toom, Melchior	Falls if CA 3 or 50 adopted		
Recital B a (new)	66	Aubry, Daly	Falls if CA 3 adopted		
Recital B b (new)	67	Daly, Aubry	Falls if CA 23, CA 3 or 50 adopted		
Recital B b (new)	68	Aubry, Daly	Falls if CA 3 or 50 adopted		
Recital B c (new)	69	Aubry, Daly	Falls if CA 3 or 50 adopted		
Paragraph 1 c (new)	141	Daly, Aubry	Falls if CA 7 or CA 3 adopted		
Recital C	CA 4		No vote Deemed adopted if CA BLOCK adopted Otherwise falls If adopted, 73, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 110 and 114 fall	+	
Recital C	73	Garraud, Lebreton, Beck	Falls if CA 4 adopted		
Recital C	70	Aubry, Daly	Fall if CA 4 or 73 adopted Identical		
	71	Daly, Aubry			
Recital C	72	Aubry, Daly	Falls if CA 4, 73 or 70 adopted		
Recital C	74	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 4, 73, 70 or 72 adopted		
Recital C	75	Kohut, Vitanov, Engerer, Vollath,	Falls if CA 4, 73, 70 or 72 adopted		

		Fajon, Ruiz Devesa, Sippel, Dobrev			
Recital C	76	Engerer	Falls if CA 4, 73, 70, 72 or 75 adopted		
Recital C	77	Dzhambazki	Falls if CA 11, CA 4, 73, 70, 72, 75 or 76 adopted		
Recital C	78	Strugariu, Donáth, Casa, Pagazaurtundúa, Vautmans, Šimečka, Chastel, Melchior, Keller, Melbärde	Falls if CA 4, 73, 70, 72, 75, 76 or 77 adopted		
Recital C	79	Voss, Seekatz, Manders	Falls if CA 4, 73, 70, 72, 75, 76, 77 or 78 adopted		
Recital C	80	Buda	Falls if CA 4, 73, 70, 72, 75, 76, 77, 78 or 79 adopted		
Recital C	81	Wiseler-Lima	Falls if CA 4, 73, 70, 72, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79 or 80 adopted		
Recital C	82	Adamowicz	Falls if CA 4, 73, 70, 72, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80 or 81 adopted		
Recital C	83	Reintke, Björk, Walsh, Schreinemacher, Angel, Toussaint, Šimečka, Spurek, Vautmans, Engerer, Delbos-Corfield, Aubry, Chastel, Strugariu, Santos, Marquardt, Riba i Giner	Falls if CA 4, 73, 70, 72, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81 or 82 adopted		
Recital C	84	Wölken, Metsola	Falls if CA 4, 73, 70, 72, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82 or 83 adopted		
Recital C a (new)	85	Reintke, Björk, Walsh, Schreinemacher, Angel, Toussaint, Kohut, Šimečka, Spurek, Vautmans, Engerer, Delbos-	Falls if CA 4 adopted		

		Corfield, Aubry, Chastel, Strugariu, Santos, Marquardt, Riba i Giner			
Recital C a (new)	86	Reintke, Björk, Walsh, Schreinemacher, Angel, Toussaint, Šimečka, Spurek, Vautmans, Engerer, Delbos-Corfield, Aubry, Chastel, Strugariu, Santos, Marquardt, Riba i Giner	Falls if CA 4 adopted		
Recital C a (new)	87	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 4 adopted		
Recital C a (new)	89	Strugariu, Donáth, Casa, Pagazaurtundúa, Vautmans, Šimečka, Chastel, Toom, Melchior, Keller	Falls if CA 4 adopted		
Recital C a (new)	90	Aubry, Daly	Falls if CA 4 adopted		
Recital C a (new)	91	Adamowicz, Voss	Falls if CA 4 adopted		
Recital C b (new)	92	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, Biteau, O'Sullivan, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 4 adopted		
Recital C b (new)	93	Aubry, Daly	Falls if CA 4 adopted		
Recital C b (new)	94	Adamowicz, Voss	Falls if CA 4 adopted		
Recital C c (new)	95	Riba i Giner, Toussaint,	Falls if CA 4 adopted		

		O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener			
Recital C c (new)	96	Aubry, Daly	Falls if CA 4 adopted		
Recital CC (new)	CA 5		No vote Deemed adopted if CA BLOCK adopted Otherwise falls If adopted, 88, 273, 275, 276, 277 and 157 fall	+	
Recital C a (new)	88	Kohut	Falls if CA 5 adopted		
Paragraph 13	273	Voss, Seekatz, Manders	Falls if CA 15, 270, 265, 266, 267, 268, 269, 271, 272, 274, 278 or CA 5 adopted		
Paragraph 13	275	Reintke, Björk, Walsh, Schreinemacher, Angel, Toussaint, Šimečka, Spurek, Vautmans, Engerer, Delbos-Corfield, Aubry, Chastel, Strugariu, Santos, Marquardt, Riba i Giner	Falls if CA 15, 270, 265, 266, 267, 268, 269, 271, 272, 274, 278, CA 5 or 273 adopted		
Paragraph 13	276	Kohut, Vitanov, Engerer, Vollath, Fajon, Ruiz Devesa, Dobrev	Falls if CA 15, 270, 265, 266, 267, 268, 269, 271, 272, 274, 278, CA 5, 273 or 275 adopted		
Paragraph 13	277	Adamowicz	Falls if CA 15, 270, 265, 266, 267, 268, 269, 271, 272, 274, 278, CA 5, 88, 273, 275 or 276 adopted		
Paragraph 2 c (new)	157	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay-Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 5 adopted		
Recital D	CA 6		No vote Deemed adopted if CA	+	

			BLOCK adopted Otherwise falls If adopted, 99, 97, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 111, 112, 114, 115, 116, 119 and 282 fall		
Recital D	99	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay- Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 6 adopted		
Recital D	97	Metsola, Wölken	Fall if CA 6 or 99 adopted Identical		
	98	Daly, Aubry			
	100	Kohut, Vitanov, Engerer, Vollath, Fajon, Ruiz Devesa, Sippel, Dobrev			
	101	Aubry, Daly			
Recital D	102	Buda	Falls if CA 6, 99 or 97 adopted		
Recital D	103	Terheş	Falls if CA 6, 99, 97 or 102 adopted		
Recital D	104	Dzhambazki	Falls if CA 6, 99, 97, 102 or 103 adopted		
Recital D a (new)	105	Riba i Giner, Toussaint, O'Sullivan, Biteau, Deparnay- Grunenberg, Wiener	Falls if CA 10 or CA 6 adopted		
Recital D a (new)	106	Daly, Aubry	Falls if CA 6 adopted		
Recital D a (new)	107	Strugariu, Donáth, Casa, Pagazaurtundúa, Vautmans, Šimečka, Chastel, Toom, Melchior, Keller, Melbärde	Falls if CA 6 adopted		
Recital D a (new)	108	Wölken, Metsola	Falls if CA 6 adopted		

Recital D a (new)	109	Kohut, Vitanov, Engerer, Vollath, Fajon, Ruiz Devesa, Dobrev	Falls if CA 6 adopted		
Recital D a (new)	110	Aubry, Daly	Falls if CA 3, 50 or CA 4 adopted		
Recital D a (new)	111	Metsola, Wölken	Falls if CA 6 adopted		
Recital D a (new)	112	Adamowicz, Voss	Falls if CA 6 adopted		
Recital D b (new)	114	Kohut, Vitanov, Engerer, Vollath, Fajon, Ruiz Devesa, Sippel, Dobrev	Falls if CA 4 or CA 6 adopted		
Recital D c (new)	115	Daly, Aubry	Falls if CA 7, CA 2 or CA 6 adopted		
Recital D d (new)	116	Daly	Falls if CA 6 adopted		
Paragraph -1 (new)	119	Daly, Aubry	Falls if CA 6 adopted		
Paragraph 13 a (new)	282	Pignedoli, Ferrara	Falls if CA 6 adopted		
Recital D b (new)	113	Daly, Aubry		-	
Final vote – Draft as amended (Roll-call vote)					

COMP AM 1- on citations

Covers AMs 2-21

Falling: AM 1 Greens, 22 and 23 ID

The European Parliament,

- having regard to the Treaty on European Union (TEU) and in particular Article 2, Article 3, Article 4(3) and Articles 5, 6, 7 and 19 thereof,
- having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) and in particular Articles 70, 81, 82, 114 and 352 thereof,

- having regard to the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union *and in particular Articles 11, 12, 15, 20, 47, 48 and 54 thereof*,
- having regard to Protocol No 1 on the role of national parliaments in the European Union and Protocol No 2 on the application of the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality, annexed to the TEU and the TFEU,
- having regard to the Regulation (EC) No 864/2007 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 July 2007 on the law applicable to non-contractual obligations (Rome II)¹,
- having regard to the Regulation (EU) No 1215/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2012 on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgements in civil and commercial matters (Brussels I)²,
- *having regard to Directive (EU) 2019/1937 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2019 on the protection of persons who report breaches of Union law*³,
- having regard to Regulation (EU) 2021/692 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 28 April 2021 establishing the Citizens, Equality, Rights and Values Programme and repealing Regulation (EU) No 1381/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council and Council Regulation (EU) No 390/2014⁴,
- having regard to Regulation (EU) 2021/693 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 28 April 2021 establishing the Justice Programme and repealing Regulation (EU) No 1382/2013⁵,
- having regard to its resolution of 21 May 2013 on the EU Charter: standard settings for media freedom across the EU⁶,
- having regard to its resolution of 25 October 2016 with recommendations to the Commission on the establishment of an EU mechanism on democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights⁷,
- having regard to its resolution of 19 April 2018 on the need to establish a European Values Instrument to support civil society organisations which promote fundamental values within the European Union at local and national level⁸,
- having regard to its resolution of 19 April 2018 on protection of investigative journalists in Europe: the case of Slovak journalist Ján Kuciak and Martina Kušnírová⁹,
- having regard to its resolution of 3 May 2018 on media pluralism and media freedom in

¹ OJ L 199, 31.7.2007, p. 40.

² OJ L 351, 20.12.2012, p. 1.

³ OJ L 305, 26.11.2019, p. 17–56

⁴ OJ L 156, 5.5.2021, p. 1.

⁵ OJ L 156, 5.5.2021, p. 21.

⁶ OJ C 55, 12.2.2016, p. 33.

⁷ OJ C 215, 19.6.2018, p. 162.

⁸ OJ C 390, 18.11.2019, p. 117.

⁹ OJ C 390, 18.11.2019, p. 111.

- the European Union¹⁰,
- having regard to its resolution of 14 November 2018 on the need for a comprehensive EU mechanism for the protection of democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights¹¹,
 - ***having regard to its resolution of 13 February 2019 on experiencing backlash in women’s rights and gender equality in the EU***¹²,
 - having regard to its resolution of 28 March 2019 on the situation of the rule of law and the fight against corruption in the EU, specifically in Malta and Slovakia¹³,
 - having regard to its resolution of 18 December 2019 on the Rule of Law in Malta, after the recent revelations around the murder of Daphne Caruana Galizia¹⁴,
 - having regard to its resolution of 15 January 2020 on the annual report 2018 on human rights and democracy in the world and the European Union’s policy on the matter¹⁵,
 - having regard to its resolution of 7 October 2020 on the establishment of an EU Mechanism on Democracy, the Rule of Law and Fundamental Rights¹⁶,
 - having regard to its resolution of 25 November 2020 on Strengthening Media Freedom: the Protection of Journalists in Europe, Hate Speech, Disinformation and the Role of Platforms¹⁷,
 - having regard to its resolution of 26 November 2020 on the situation of Fundamental Rights in the European Union – Annual Report for the years 2018-2019¹⁸,
 - having regard to its resolution of 17 December 2020 on the Multiannual Financial Framework 2021-2027, the Interinstitutional Agreement, the EU Recovery Instrument and the Rule of Law Regulation¹⁹,
 - ***having regard to its resolution of 11 March 2021 on the declaration of the EU as an LGBTIQ Freedom Zone***²⁰,
 - having regard to its resolution of 25 March 2021 on the application of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2020/2092, the Rule of Law conditionality mechanism²¹,
 - having regard to its resolution of 29 April 2021 on the assassination of Daphne Caruana

¹⁰ OJ C 41, 6.2.2020, p. 64.

¹¹ OJ C 363, 28.10.2020, p. 45.

¹² Texts adopted, P8_TA(2019)0111

¹³ Texts adopted, P8_TA(2019)0328.

¹⁴ Texts adopted, P9_TA(2019)0103.

¹⁵ Texts adopted, P9_TA(2020)0007.

¹⁶ Texts adopted, P9_TA(2020)0251.

¹⁷ Texts adopted, P9_TA(2020)0320.

¹⁸ Texts adopted, P9_TA(2020)0328.

¹⁹ Texts adopted, P9_TA(2020)0360.

²⁰ ***Text adopted, P9_TA(2021)0089***

²¹ Texts adopted, P9_TA(2021)0103.

- Galizia and the rule of law in Malta²²,
- ***having regard to its resolution of 19 May 2021 on the effects of climate change on human rights and the role of environmental defenders on this matters***²³,
 - having regard to the case law of the Court of Justice of the European Union,
 - having regard to the 2021 Commission work programme (COM(2020)0690),
 - having regard to the European democracy action plan (COM(2020)0790),
 - ***having regard to the Commission communication of 5 March 2020 ‘A Union of Equality: Gender Equality Strategy 2020-2025’ COM(2020) 152,***
 - having regard to the Commission communication of 30 September 2020 on the 2020 Rule of Law Report – the rule of law situation in the European Union (COM(2020)0580), ***and its 27 accompanying country chapters on the rule of law in the Member States(SWD(2020)0300-0326),***
 - ***having regard to the Commission communication of 12 November 2020 ‘A Union of Equality: LGBTIQ Equality Strategy 2020-2025’ (COM(2020)698),***
 - having regard to the European Commission’s follow-up to the European Parliament non-legislative resolution of 3 May 2018 on media pluralism and media freedom in the European Union ,
 - having regard to the report by the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights entitled ‘Challenges facing civil society organisations working on human rights in the EU’, published on 17 January 2018, to the bulletins on the fundamental rights implications of the coronavirus pandemic in the EU published in 2020, and to the Agency’s other reports, data and tools, in particular the European Union Fundamental Rights Information System (EFRIS),
 - having regard to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights ***and in particular Article 19,***
 - having regard to other UN instruments on the protection of human rights and fundamental freedoms, and to the recommendations and reports of the UN Universal Periodic Review, as well as to the case law of the UN Human Rights Treaty Bodies and the special procedures of the Human Rights Council,
 - having regard to the UN Declaration on Human Rights Defenders of 8 March 1999,
 - ***having regard to the report***²⁴ ***of the UN Special Rapporteur on the rights to Freedom of Peaceful Assembly and of Association on SLAPPs and FoAA rights,***
 - having regard to the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, the European Social Charter, the case law of the European

²² Texts adopted, P9_TA(2021)0148.

²³ ***Text adopted: P9_TA(2021)0245***

²⁴ <https://undocs.org/A/HRC/44/50> (tbc at technical)

- Court of Human Rights and the European Committee of Social Rights, and to the conventions, recommendations, resolutions, opinions and reports of the Parliamentary Assembly, the Committee of Ministers, the Human Rights Commissioner, the European Commission Against Racism and Intolerance, the Steering Committee on Anti-Discrimination, Diversity and Inclusion, the Venice Commission and other bodies of the Council of Europe,
- having regard to the declaration of the Council of Europe of 4 July 2012 on the Desirability of International Standards dealing with Forum Shopping in respect of Defamation, ‘Libel Tourism’, to Ensure Freedom of Expression,
 - ***having regard to the Council of Europe Recommendation of the Committee of Ministers to Member States of 13 April 2016 on the protection of journalism and safety of journalists and other media actors CM/Rec(2016)4[1],***
 - having regard to the recommendation of the Council of Europe of 28 November 2018 on the need to strengthen the protection and promotion of civil society space in Europe (CM/Rec(2018)11),
 - having regard to the recommendation of the Council of Europe to Member States of 7 March 2018 on media pluralism and transparency of media ownership (CM/Rec(2018)1),
 - ***having regard to the Resolution of the Council of Europe Ministerial Conference of 11 June 2021 on the safety of journalists,***
 - ***having regard to the Council of Europe Commissioner for Human Rights ‘Human Rights Comment: Time to take action against SLAPPs’ issued on 27 October 2020,***
 - having regard to the 2021 Annual Report by the partner organisations to the Council of Europe Platform to Promote the Protection of Journalism and Safety of Journalists,
 - having regard to the recommendations and reports of the Office for Democratic Institutions and Human Rights, the Representative on Freedom of the Media and other bodies of the Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe (OSCE),
 - ***having regard to the EU-CITIZEN Network study “SLAPP in the EU context” of 29 May 2020²⁵,***
 - having regard to the call for an anti-SLAPP directive by a coalition of non-governmental organisations²⁶,
 - having regard to the study commissioned by the European Parliament Policy Department entitled ‘The Use of SLAPPs to Silence Journalists, NGOs and Civil Society’ of June 2021,
 - having regard to its briefing entitled ‘European added value of an EU mechanism on democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights - Preliminary Assessment’ of 23 April

²⁵ https://ec.europa.eu/info/sites/default/files/ad-hoc-literature-review-analysis-key-elements-slapp_en.pdf

²⁶ <https://rsf.org/en/news/rsf-and-60-other-organisations-call-eu-anti-slapp-directive>

2020,

- having regard to Rule 54 of its Rules of Procedure,
- having regard to the joint deliberations of the Committee on Legal Affairs and the Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs under Rule 58 of the Rules of Procedure,
- having regard to the opinion of the Committee on Culture and Education,
- having regard to the report of the Committee on Legal Affairs and the Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs (A9-0000/2021),

COMP AM 2 on Recitals -A to Ab

Covers Ams : AM 25 GREENS, AM 24 LEFT, 26 LEFT, AM 27 RENEW, AM 28 EPP, AM 30 (several groups), AM 31 EPP, AM 32 ID, AM 33 SD, AM 34 Left, AM 35 ECR, 36 SD, 37 GREENS, 38 ECR, 43 ECR, 44 ECR, 46 ECR, 52 EPP, 24 SD, 41 GREENS, 62 GREENS , 47 ECR, 36 SD, 139 ECR, 115 LEFT, CULT 1 and 22

Falling: 29 SD, 39 ECR, 40 ID, 42 ID, 45 ID, 48 and 49 ECR

- A. *whereas the rights to freedom of expression, to information and to public participation, are among the cornerstones of democracy; whereas freedom of expression is indispensable for the realisation of the principles of transparency and accountability; whereas public participation can express itself in a variety of ways by a natural or legal person engaging in a matter of public interest; whereas public participation can include the online and offline exercise of public scrutiny and public information, such as journalistic communications, publications or works, including editorial content, communications, publications or works of a political, scientific, academic, artistic, commentary or satirical nature including when those concerned are, among others, figures open to public scrutiny, in the context of broader interests in open discussion of political issues; whereas publications which contribute to debates on matters of public interest or general concern enjoy a higher threshold of protection; whereas the limits of acceptable criticism are wider for public figures, especially politicians and State officials;*
- A. *whereas independent, impartial, professional and responsible journalism, as well as access to pluralistic information are key pillars of democracy; whereas the information, reports, opinions, claims, arguments, and other expressions provided by civil society are vital for any democracy to thrive and the shrinking space for civil society in certain countries has been an increasingly concerning issue which can negatively impact democracies; whereas independent and quality journalism and civil society organizations play a crucial role in performing their functions as watchdogs for democracy and the rule of law by holding power to account, fighting disinformation and misinformation as well as foreign political interference and manipulation;*
- Aa. *Whereas within the last years, journalists and media actors in Europe and abroad are increasingly being threatened, physically attacked, and assassinated because of their work, particularly when it focuses on the misuse of power, corruption, fundamental*

rights violations and criminal activities; stresses that the effective exercise of freedom of expression requires a range of positive measures for protection for journalists, including to protect life and to investigate assassinations as well as the effective protection of their sources; notes that threats are not only of a violent nature and intimidation against journalists also stem from legal, political, socio-cultural and economic pressures;

- Ab. *Whereas the right to freedom of expression is a fundamental right that must be exercised with duty and responsibility, taking into consideration the fundamental right of the people to receive impartial information as well as the respect for the fundamental right to protect one's reputation and privacy²⁷; whereas, in case of a conflict between these rights, any party must have access to courts in case the situation was not resolved amiably;*

COMP AM 3 on Recital B to Bd

Covers AM 51 The Left, AM 52 EPP, AM 53 Greens, AM 54 S&D, AM 55 The Left, AM 57 Renew, AM 58 ECR, AM 59 co-rapporteurs, AM 60 cross-group, AM 61 EPP, AM 62 Greens, AM 63 Renew, AM 64 The Left, AM 65 Renew, AM 66 and 67 The Left, AM 68 The Left, AM 69 The Left, AM 110 The Left

Falling: 50 and 56 ID, 141 Left

- B. *whereas Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation (SLAPPs) are lawsuits or other legal actions (e.g. injunctions, asset-freezing) brought forward by private individuals and entities but also by public officials, public bodies and publicly controlled entities, directed to one or more individuals or groups based on a variety of legal bases mostly of civil and criminal law, as well as the threats of such actions, with the purpose of preventing investigating and reporting on breaches of Union and national law, corruption or other abusive practices or of blocking or otherwise undermining public participation; whereas this has a direct and detrimental impact on democratic participation, societal resilience and dialogue and runs counter to the values enshrined in Article 2 of the TEU;*
- Ba. *whereas public participation includes but is not limited to investigating, speaking about, reporting or otherwise exposing matters of public interest, inter alia, practices that threaten fundamental rights and freedoms, democracy, the rule of law or good governance, and engaging in advocacy through the exercise of civil liberties such as the freedom of association, freedom of peaceful assembly and freedom of expression and of information;*
- Bb. *whereas victims of SLAPPs are most commonly sued for expressing critical views on the behaviour, or denouncing wrongdoings, of private individuals and entities but also of public officials, public bodies and publicly controlled entities, through many online or offline forms of expression, or in retaliation for their involvement in campaigns, judicial claims, actions or protests; whereas SLAPPs are commonly characterised by claims that lack legal merits, are manifestly unfounded, exhibit an imbalance of power and the abuse of rights or of process by the plaintiff exerting excessive claims in*

²⁷ According to Article 10 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms;

matters in which the defendant is exercising a legally protected right, and therefore using the judicial process for purposes other than exercising a genuine right;

- Bc.** *whereas, according to civil society organisations academics, legal practitioners and victims that work on this topic, SLAPPs are becoming more sophisticated and more effective, with one of the techniques used being multiple lawsuits filed against the same person for the same subject matter, meaning that all of them have to be defended and dealt with simultaneously and in parallel by the same person, which increases costs disproportionately; whereas SLAPPs are often grounded in claims of defamation, libel or slander, which still constitute criminal offences in most Member States, and SLAPP victims find themselves facing criminal charges while being sued for civil liability purportedly arising from the same conducts; whereas SLAPPs often infringe upon victims' right of defence recognised by the Charter, possibly also impacting on their right to a fair trial and the presumption of innocence;*
- Bd.** *whereas the lack of a consistent and comprehensive legal and judicial approach within the Union does not allow to swiftly recognize and efficiently address SLAPP suits; whereas the level of protection from SLAPP suits remains very fragmented across Member States, frustrating legal certainty and SLAPP victims' right to an effective remedy; whereas one of the main challenges in drafting anti-SLAPP legislation lies in how to address abusive claims, without prejudice to claimants' rights which derive from Member States' constitutions and their obligations under the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights and the European Convention on Human Rights;*

COMP AM 4 on Recital C to Cb

Covers: AMs 70-71 the Left, 74 Greens, 79 EPP, AM 81 EPP, 82 EPP, AM 84 co rapporteurs, Am 87 Greens, AMs 91 EPP, 96 Left, 114 SD, AMs 94 EPP, 95 Greens, AM 110 Left

Falling: 73 ID, 75 SD, 76 SD, 77 ECR, 78 RE, 80 EPP, 83 Group of Members, 85 Greens, 86 group of Members, 89 RE, 90 Left, 92 Greens, 93 Left

- C.** *whereas **evidence shows** SLAPPs have become an increasingly widespread practice, as demonstrated by many cases throughout the Union, such as the chilling case of investigative journalist Daphne Caruana Galizia, who was reportedly facing 47 civil and criminal defamation lawsuits, (resulting in the freezing of her assets) across **multiple jurisdictions** on the day of her strongly condemned assassination on 16 October 2017, and the lawsuits her heirs continue to face; whereas other illustrative and alarming cases **against independent journalists and media** include Realtid Media, which was repeatedly threatened with a lawsuit in a different jurisdiction from where the reporting in question took place, and Gazeta Wyborcza, which continues to be sued by a number of public entities and officials on a regular basis;*
- Ca.** *whereas SLAPPs are frequently used by public authorities or its proxies such as state-funded media outlets, state funded NGOs or state-owned companies;*
- Cb.** *whereas SLAPPs can be a tool to reduce media pluralism at the systemic level, by exercising a chilling effect on independent media; whereas SLAPPs are deliberately initiated with the intent to make the litigation expensive, long-lasting and complicated for the defendants, which include the purpose of intimidating and draining the*

financial and psychological resources of their targets; whereas SLAPPs not only have a detrimental impact on victims, but also on their families and on public participation in the wider context;

COMP AM 5 on Recitals Cc (new)

Covers AM 88 S&D, AM 273 EPP, AM 275 cross-group, AM 276 S&D, AM 277 EPP, 157 Greens

CC. whereas the reference to SLAPP victims/targets covers journalists, publishers and media organisations, academics, NGOs, civil society and other actors engaging in public participation such as those working on human rights and environmental issues;

COMP AM 6 on Recitals D to Dg

Covers AM 97 co-rapporteurs, AM 98 The Left, AM 99 The Greens, AM 100 S&D, AM 101 The Left, AM 102 EPP, AM 103 ECR, AM 106 The Left, AM 107 Renew, AM 108 co-rapporteurs, AM 111 co-rapporteurs, AM 112 EPP, AM 114 S&D, AM 115 The Left, AM 119 The Left, AM 155 ECR, AM 282 non-attached

Falling: AM 104 ECR, 109 SD, 116 Left

- D. *whereas SLAPPs within the Union are often cross-border in nature, which results in reporting delays or incomplete information as illustrated in many cases, often relating to cases of human rights and environmental protection, financial fraud and/or corruption, where they constitute a clear attempt to delay publication of information by halting or discrediting the work of individual journalists and publishing entities, hence depriving citizens of their right to information and impacting media pluralism, freedom and diversity; whereas SLAPPs and SLAPP threats may be brought against watchdogs within the Union also by actors in third countries and before courts in third countries;*
- Da. *whereas domestic SLAPP cases are increasingly used within the Member States with the aim to limit free speech and the right to information, producing a chilling effect against SLAPP victims, relying on psychologically and financially draining their targets in order to force them to give up on exposing matters of public interest;*
- Db. *whereas, on the one hand, the lack of direct legislation in any Member State on the issue of SLAPPs and, on the other hand, the existence of often ambiguous and broad national defamation provisions in this context, as well as of harsh penalties including of criminal nature, significantly contribute to the growth of these abusive lawsuits and the subsequent intimidation of their targets;*
- Dc. *whereas the criminalisation of journalists for their work is a particularly grave issue; whereas criminalised defamation–still exists in 23 Member States, in spite of the repeated calls for its abolition by among other organisations, the UN, the Council of Europe and the OSCE and prestigious NGOs such as Index on Censorship, the International Press Institute and the Committee to Protect Journalists;*

- Dd. whereas soft law measures are a welcomed complementing measure to accompany a legislative proposal and the revision of certain private international law currently in force, however on their own they do not provide full judicial protection;*
- De. whereas raising awareness on SLAPPs plays a crucial role in sensitising both the public and legal professionals, in particular judges and lawyers, to the issue;*
- Df. whereas where SLAPP suits are issued by public officials, public bodies or publicly controlled entities such as state-owned companies, they become a tool for exerting political power and the damage borne by SLAPP victims can be even greater;*

COMP AM 7 on the sub- chapter **Effects on fundamental rights and the rule of law**

Covers Ams 120 Renew, 121 Greens, 123 Renew, 124 S&D, 125 The Left, 128 Group of Members, 129 EPP, 130 Left, 131 co-rapporteur, 132 S&D, 134, Greens, 137 Greens, 138 LEFT, 139 ECR, 142 Greens, AM 143 Greens, CULT 2, 9, 20, AM 115 Left, RE 229

Falling: Am 117 ID, AM 118 ID, 122 ID, 126 ECR, 127 PPE, 133 Left, 135 ID, 136 ECR, Am 140 ID, 141 Left

1. Highlights that SLAPPs *have a vexatious nature, and* are a direct attack on the exercise of fundamental rights and freedoms *aimed at silencing the diversity of critical public thought and opinion including through journalistic self-censorship*; underlines that fundamental rights and democracy are linked to upholding the rule of law, and that undermining media freedom and public democratic participation, *including freedom of expression and information, of assembly and of association* threatens Union values as enshrined in Article 2 of the TEU; *is of the opinion that SLAPPs are particularly concerning if they are funded directly or indirectly from state budgets and are combined with other indirect and direct state measures against independent media outlets, independent journalism and civil society*; welcomes the fact that the *European Commission's 2020* rule of law report includes SLAPP lawsuits in its assessment of media freedom and pluralism across the Union, and points to *concrete measures and best practices* in countering them; calls for *future* annual reports to include a thorough assessment of the legal environment for the media, and investigative journalism in particular *and to look more thoroughly at challenges affecting journalists and civil society and the chilling effect that SLAPPs can have on these actors*; *highlights that SLAPP lawsuits are one of the threats to a free and pluralist media: calls on the Commission to also issue country-specific recommendations and assess its progress, including for issues concerning the situation of media freedom within the Member States*;
- 1b. *Expresses concern about the shrinking space for civil society organisations, and the threat to journalists, who communicate on important matters within the public interest that are critical of powerful members of society, and the growing use of SLAPPs as a way to silence and intimidate SLAPP victims; encourages Member States to include media literacy and critical thinking in the national curricula and work closely with journalists in this respect, at all levels of society, especially with the young public and those vulnerable to misinformation, disinformation and manipulation; welcomes the*

introduction of new actions under the cross-sectoral stand of the Creative Europe Programme, to enhance media freedom, quality journalism and media literacy;

- 1c. *Recalls that the Member States' obligation to facilitate the exercise of the rights of freedom of expression, peaceful assembly and association includes the duty to establish and maintain a favourable environment for public participation and public watchdogs; stresses the importance that any actors engaging in public participation should be able to operate freely, without fear that they may be subjected to any threats, acts of intimidation or violence; highlights that Member States must also guarantee the right of journalists to protect their sources;*

COMP AM 8 on the sub- chapter *Effects on the internal market*

Covers AM 144 EPP, AM 148 EPP, AM 149 co-rapporteurs, AM 150 The Left, AM 151 cross-group, AM 152 EPP, AM 153 Renew, AM 154 Greens, AM 155 ECR, 156 The Greens, ,

Falling: 145 ECR, 146 ECR, 147 ID

2. *Emphasises that public participation also has an important role to play in the proper functioning of the internal market, as well as in the enforcement of Union legislation and policies, as it is often through public participation that breaches of Union law, including violations of fundamental rights, corruption and other abusive practices threatening the proper functioning of the internal market are made known to the public; underlines that protective measures against the practice of SLAPP suits are essential to address the risks that this abusive practice poses to the enforcement of EU law and policies;*
 - 2.a. *Highlights that the use of SLAPPs has a negative impact on the enjoyment of internal market freedoms by individuals and organisations engaging in public participation and who are vulnerable to such claims, as the absence of the same level of protection against these claims among Member States may discourage them to operate confidently all across the Union; moreover underlines that SLAPPs cases, or the threat of SLAPPs, runs counter the effective enjoyment of the rights to freedom of establishment and free movement of services, as it has a 'chilling effect' notably on journalists that might exercise self-censorship instead of reporting on matters of public interest occurring in other Member States, risking then to face SLAPPs in different and unknown legal systems;*
 - 2.b. *Draws attention to the fact that media pluralism and diversity is at risk when the very existence of small media providers has been affected by the deliberate threat of disproportionate damages by claimants through libel tourism;*
 - 2.c. *Considers to this end that, by contributing to the enforcement of Union law and preserving the effective functioning of national justice systems and of the common space of judicial cooperation, protection from SLAPPs lawsuits would substantively contribute to the proper functioning of the internal market;*

COMP AM 9 on the sub- chapter **Effects on justice systems**

Covers AM 158 non-attached, AM 159 Greens, AM 160 Renew, AM 161 co rapporteurs, AM 162 S&D, AM 163 EPP, AM 164 GREENs, AM 211 the Left, AM 165 co-rapporteurs, 169 Greens

Falling: Am 166 Greens, 168 ECR,

3. Points out that SLAPPs *not only severely undermine the right of effective access to justice of SLAPP victims, and thereby the rule of law, but also* constitute a misuse of Member States' justice systems and legal frameworks, especially *hampering the ability of Member States to* successfully address ongoing common challenges outlined in the Justice Scoreboard, such as *the length of proceedings and the quality of justice systems, as well as* caseload administration and case backlogs; recalls that a properly functioning and *independent* justice system delivers judgements without undue delay, and manages judicial resources so as to maximise efficiency, and that this is only possible where judges and judicial bodies *perform their duties with complete independence and in an impartial manner and* are not burdened with the handling of *unfounded* claims that are later on dismissed as abusive and lacking in legal merit;; *considers that the early dismissal of a SLAPP suit could be based on objective criteria, such as the number and nature of lawsuits or actions brought by the claimant, the choice of jurisdiction and law applicable to the case, or the existence of a clear and burdensome imbalance of power between the claimant and the defendant; stresses therefore that SLAPPs constitute a severe hampering of the effective access to justice, possibly undermining the right to a fair trial;*
4. Stresses that judicial independence is integral to judicial decision-making and is a requirement resulting from the principle of effective legal protection set out in Article 19 of the TEU;*is concerned with the efforts of the governments of some Member States to weaken the separation of powers and the independence of the judiciary, as well as to use SLAPPs to silence critical voices;*
- 4a. *Stresses that the independence, quality and efficiency of national justice systems are crucial for the achievement of effective justice; underlines that the availability of legal aid and the level of court fees can have a major impact on access to justice; stresses that the Charter has the same legal value as the Treaties; notes that, in accordance with the guidance of the Court of Justice of the European Union, the Charter is applied by Member States' judicial authorities only when implementing legal acts of the Union, it is, however, important for the fostering of a common legal, judicial and rule of law culture that the rights as enshrined in the Charter be always taken into account;*

COMP AM 10 on the sub- chapter "Hate speech"

Covering: 105 Greens, 177 Group of Members, 178 EPP, 179 Left, 180 EPP, 182 RE, 184 ECR, 173 EPP, CULT 13, 21, 185 SD, 186 EPP, 175 SD

Falling: 171, 172 Greens, 174 Left, 176 ID, 181 ID, 183 ECR, 187 ID

5. Highlights that in recent years hate speech *and discrimination in the media, both online and offline, as well as cyber-violence, have* become increasingly widespread against

journalists, NGOs, academics, rights defenders and *other* civil society actors, including those defending LGBTIQ rights, gender equality issues, *religion or belief*, thus threatening media freedom, freedom of expression, *information and assembly*, as well as public safety; *reminds* that online hate speech can incite offline violence; *recalls the need to promote the European Commission’s Code of conduct on countering illegal hate speech online; emphasises that female journalists face the same pressures as their colleagues in content-related issues but more often are faced with sexual violence and harassment;*

- 5a. *Stresses the importance for common European standards and a coordinated approach for dealing with hate speech, particularly in the online environment;*

COMP AM 11 on the sub- chapter “Current situation in the Union”

188 Greens, 189 EPP, 190 Renew, 191 Left, 192 EPP, 193 co rapporteurs, 194 EPP, 198 NI, 200 Renew, 202 Left, 207 Left, 208 co-rapporteur, 209 ECR, 210 ECR, 214 Greens, 206 NI, 212 Renew, 170 ECR, 219 Renew, 221 Left, 222 Left, 226 co-rapporteur, 223 EPP, 227 EPP, 218 EPP, 230 co-rapporteur, 231 co-rapporteur, Am 77 ECR, 211 The Left, , CULT 3, 4, 5, 10, 12, 15, 16, 17, 240 ID, 196 EPP, 197 Group of Members, 201 SD, 224 group of Members, 225 SD

Falling: 195 ECR, , 199 ID, , 203 ECR, 204 ECR, 205 ID, 206 NI, 213 the Left, 215 the Left, 216 Greens, 217 ID, 220 ID

6. Stresses that SLAPPs are often meritless, frivolous or based on exaggerated *often abusive* claims, and that they are not initiated for the purposes of obtaining a favourable judicial outcome but rather to intimidate, *professionally discredit*, harass, tire out, put psychological pressure on or consume the financial resources of *those they target*, with the ultimate objective of blackmailing and forcing them into silence through the judicial procedure itself; *stresses that SLAPPs cause not only financial burden but also bear dire psychological consequences for their targets as well as their family members, aggravated by the fact that the latter may also inherit those abusive proceedings upon the target’s death;* points out that *SLAPPs have a great* chilling effect *leading* to self-censorship, suppressing participation in democratic life, and also *discouraging others from reporting on similar issues*, from entering into these professions or from proceeding with relevant associated activities;
7. Points out that litigants that resort to SLAPPs *largely* use and abuse criminal defamation laws, civil lawsuits for libel, protection of one’s reputation or intellectual property rights such as copyright; *notes, however* that a variety of other instruments *are also misused* to silence public participation, such as labour sanctions (dismissal), criminal charges of tax fraud, tax audit procedures and abuse of data protection rules;
- 7a. *Deplores that journalists have paid with their own lives for the simple fact of doing their jobs and being the watchdogs of our democracies;*
8. Underlines that an imbalance of power between the claimant and the defendant, *notably* in terms of financial resources, *and unpredictably large damages’ award claims in*

matters such as libel is a common feature of SLAPPs;

9. Stresses, with regard to this problem, that all Member States lack *legislation on minimum safeguards which protect people from becoming SLAPP targets* and ensure that their fundamental *rights* are upheld in the *jurisdiction of the* Member States; underlines *that judicial independence is paramount to prevent members of governments, public entities and public authorities to succeed in bringing SLAPPs against people and organisations rightfully participating in the public debate; to this effect, notes the need for concrete measures towards creating and maintaining a safe environment for journalists and media workers; calls on MS to guarantee media pluralism and to ensure transparency of media ownership; calls on the COM and MS to develop an ambitious, robust and complete legal framework in its future Media Freedom Act; acknowledges that the digital shift has profoundly changed the media landscape; calls on all Member States to swiftly implement the Audiovisual Media Services Directive²⁸ as revised in 2018 in all its provisions; welcomes the setting-up of European Regulators Group for Audiovisual media services (ERGA) and encourages cooperation within the internal market among audiovisual regulatory bodies, as well as with other regulatory bodies of relevance for online news activities;*
- 9a. *Is aware that victims or potential victims of SLAPP suits are currently being aided financially and psychologically only by other colleagues that have been faced with similar lawsuits or are knowledgeable about the character and procedure of SLAPP suits, to be able to understand and potentially even contest the lawsuit they have been served with; considers nevertheless that, while commendable, such aid is insufficient and further measures must be put in place;*
- 9b. *Commends the important and useful work of civil society in raising awareness of the harmful effects of SLAPPs as well as the support it gives to victims and potential targets of SLAPPs;*
- 9c. *Is alarmed that the COVID-19 pandemic impacts the whole media sector, notably through the revenue drop and deteriorating working conditions for journalists, thus potentially increasing their vulnerability to SLAPPs; warns that governments have been using the coronavirus emergency as an excuse to implement different restrictive measures limiting the freedom of expression;*

COMP AM 12 on the sub- chapter “SLAPPs at global level”

Covers 233 NI, 234 EPP

Falling: 232 ID, 235 ECR, 236 Greens, 237 ID, 238 SD

10. Regrets that no Member State has so far enacted targeted legislation to provide protection against SLAPPs; notes however that anti-SLAPP legislation is particularly well-developed in the states of the United States *and Canada as well as* in Australia;

²⁸Audiovisual Media Services Directive of 14 November 2018

encourages the Commission to analyse anti-SLAPP best practices currently applied outside the EU which could provide valuable inspiration for Union legislative and non-legislative measures on the matter; underlines the importance of ***a common approach within the Union by*** committing to the most ambitious legislation and best-practices currently in force which would discourage the use of SLAPPs in the Union;

COMP AM 13 on the sub- chapter “Need for legislative action “

Covers : 170 ECR, AM 245 non-attached, AM 246 Greens, AM 247 Renew, AM 248 The Left, AM 249 co-rapporteurs, AM 250 The Left, AM 251 cross-group, AM 252 EPP, AM 254 S&D, AM 255 The Left, AM 256 Greens

Falling: 239 ID, 253 ECR.

11. Agrees with the numerous ***civil society organisations***, academics, legal practitioners and victims who point to the need for legislative action against the growing problem of SLAPPs; urgently calls, therefore, for the Brussels I and Rome II Regulations to be ***amended*** in order to prevent ‘libel tourism’ or ‘forum shopping’ ***by establishing that the court having jurisdiction and the law applicable to criminal or civil lawsuits concerning defamation, reputational damage and protection of an individual's reputation should, in principle, be that of the place in which the defendant is habitually resident; including*** the introduction of a uniform and ***predictable applicable*** law rule for defamation; ***urgently calls the Commission to present*** proposals for binding Union legislation on common and effective safeguards for victims of SLAPPs across the Union, including through a directive ***establishing minimum standards for protection against SLAPPs, respecting rights and principles enshrined in the Charter***; argues that without such legislative action, SLAPPs will continue to threaten **democracy**, the rule of law and the fundamental rights of freedom of expression, association and ***peaceful assembly and information*** in the Union; is concerned that if measures only address lawsuits regarding ***defamation***, actions based on other civil matters or criminal procedures may still be used, ***at the initiative of claimants based in or outside the Union***;

COMP AM 14 on the sub- chapter Legal base

Covers AM 257 Greens, 258 Renew, 259 EPP, 260 Renew, 261 The Left, 262 co-rapporteurs, 263 The Left

Falling: 264 ID

12. Affirms that legislative measures at Union level could be based on Article 81 of the TFEU (for cross-border civil lawsuits) and Article 82 of the TFEU (for **criminal** lawsuits), and separately on Article 114 of the TFEU to protect public participation in order to ensure the proper functioning of the internal market by exposing corruption and other ***abusive practices***; asserts that the latter measure could also address SLAPPs, ***understood as lawsuits*** that are used for purposes other than that of genuinely asserting or exercising a right ***seeking*** to prevent investigation and reporting on breaches of Union law, using ***a similar approach to the one that led to the adoption of*** Directive (EU) 2019/1937 (the ‘Whistleblower Directive’); ***is of the opinion that the above legal bases are capable of addressing SLAPPs consisting of both criminal and civil***

lawsuits, albeit through separate legislative instruments; calls for effective safeguards against SLAPPs throughout the Union on the basis of any such proposals from the Commission together with actions by the Member States for those safeguards to apply also to domestic cases;

COMP 14a on an additional paragraph to the sub -chapter Legal Base

- 12a. *Calls on the Commission to examine all the legal bases available with an aim to proposing legislative measures protecting parties engaging in public participation against SLAPPs; encourages the Commission and the Member States to cooperate in order to find the appropriate combination of European and national measures against both cross-border and domestic SLAPPs;*

COMP AM 15 on the sub- chapter General protective rules and civil justice

Covers AM 265 The Left, AM 266 Greens, AM 267 Renew, AM 268 The Left, AM 271 EPP, AM 272 Renew, AM 274 co-rapporteurs, AM 279 Greens, AM 281 The Left, AM 283 The Left, AM 285 The Left, AM 289 The Left, AM 290 Greens, AM 291 Renew, AM 292 EPP, AM 293-295 co-rapporteurs, AM 296 The Left, AM 310 EPP, AM 314 The Left, CULT 7

Falling: 270 ID, 278 ECR, 280 ID, 284 NI, 285 the Left, 286 Left, 287 Greens, 288 ECR,

13. Considers that it is essential to adopt a legislative measure protecting the role of SLAPP victims in preventing, *reporting and denouncing* breaches of Union law and ensuring the proper functioning of the internal market *and full respect for fundamental rights*; urges the Commission to present a proposal for legislation that sets out *common* safeguards, for persons investigating and reporting *or otherwise exposing* on these matters of public interest;
14. Urges the Commission to present a proposal for a measure to address SLAPP cases *such as rules on the early dismissal of abusive lawsuits against SLAPP victims or their family members* and other actions in court that have the purpose of preventing public participation, which should include *adequate sanctions such as civil penalties or administrative fines*, consideration of abusive motives even if the lawsuit or action is not dismissed, costs and damages *suffered by the victim (economic, reputational, psychological or otherwise)*; *stresses that the modalities to apply for an early dismissal should consider the challenges faced by SLAPP victims, namely by having the claimant provide justification as to why the action is not abusive, allocating legal costs of procedures to the claimant and granting legal and financial support to the defendant; strongly encourages Member States to apply such civil procedure safeguards also to domestic cases of SLAPPs and not just to cross-border ones*; calls on the Commission, further, to address questions giving rise to forum shopping and libel tourism in a forthcoming review of the Brussels I *and* Rome II Regulations, *whilst also considering work carried out at the Hague Conference on Private International Law*; finally, *calls*

on the Commission to carry out an awareness-raising exercise amongst judges and prosecutors across the Union regarding SLAPPs which includes information on the need for early dismissal of such lawsuits, as well as on the proper implementation of the case-law of the European Court of Human Rights on defamation;

14a new. Recalls that the principle of 'res judicata' prevents SLAPP initiators from bringing other actions related to the same facts and against the same parties; considers that courts should duly take-into account the fact that a party has previously initiated SLAPPs (even when the facts and the parties are not exactly the same, but are similar and/or connected) when examining a submission regarding SLAPPs;

14b new. Whereas any Revision of the relevant rules in the Brussels I Regulation should be properly mirrored by an equivalent revision of the Lugano Convention so as to ensure a cohesive application of international jurisdiction rules in civil and commercial matters beyond the Union and where Union citizens are concerned;

COMP AM 16 on the sub- chapter “Criminal justice”

Covers: 297 Left, 298 Greens, 299 co-rapporteur, 300 Left, 303 S&D, 304 Greens, CULT 14, 302 EPP

Falling: 301 ECR, 305 Greens

15. Urges the Commission to *address the seriousness of SLAPPs brought through criminal proceedings by presenting a proposal for* measures to ensure that defamation, libel and slander, which constitute criminal offences in most Member States, cannot be used for SLAPPs, through *public or* private prosecution; underlines the calls of the Council of Europe and OSCE for the decriminalisation of defamation; invites the Commission to address the question of SLAPPs, *as lawsuits that are used for purposes other than that of genuinely asserting or exercising a right*; notes that defendants often face criminal charges while at the same time being sued for civil liability allegedly arising from the same conduct *and invites the European Commission to introduce common minimum procedural safeguards against combined SLAPPs*;

15 a. Recalls that inherent to and at the very core of the right to a fair trial under Article 47 of the Charter is the concept of equality of arms between parties in administrative, civil and criminal proceedings; is concerned that the imbalance of power and resources between parties in SLAPPs cases undermines equality of arms, and thus the right to a fair trial;

COMP AM 17 on the sub- chapter “Legitimate interest of claimants”

Covers AM 167 ECR, AM 307 EPP, AM 308 Greens, AM 309 The Left, AM 310 EPP, AM 312 ECR 120 RE

Falling: 306 Greens, 311 ECR, 313 Greens

16. Declares *that due and timely process and the balanced* protection of legitimate rights,

such as the right to protect one's reputation, arising from Union law must be ensured by Member State courts and cannot be jeopardised, including the rights which are routinely cited in abusive lawsuits; *underlines, therefore, that the anti-SLAPP measures should be without prejudice to legitimate court actions and claimants' right of access to justice*; defends *at the same time* that it is necessary to prevent any abusive use of *justice systems* and those rights in a manner which is manifestly contrary to the legislators' intention when conferring them upon natural or legal persons, *to guarantee the right to a fair trial*; considers that *to that end, safeguards are needed not only in order to protect the victims of SLAPPs, but also to prevent and sanction the ~~and/or~~ misuse of measures provided against SLAPPs, e.g. in cases when authoritarian governments weaponise anti-SLAPP clauses to protect their GONGOs government-organised NGOs against legitimate defamation lawsuits*; notes that preventing such abuse is equally necessary for the correct and uniform application of Union law, thereby safeguarding its effectiveness;

COMP AM 18 on the sub- chapter “Possible soft law measures”

Covers 315 co-rapporteur, 316 Greens, 318 Renew, 319 Left, 320 S&D, 321 EPP, 322 Group of Members, 323 EPP, 325 Left, 326 co-rapporteur, 327 EPP, 328 S&D, 329 S&D, CULT 6, CULT 11, CULT 19, CULT 20

Falling: 317 ID, 324 Greens

17. Underlines the urgent need for a robust fund for supporting victims of SLAPPs *and organisations supporting them insofar as the funds are directly used for legal fees or provision of legal aid and psychological support*; stresses the importance for victims and potential victims of SLAPPs to have easy and accessible information about these type of cases, legal aid and support *including psychological support for victims and their family members*;
18. Considers that support for independent bodies that can hear complaints and provide assistance to potential victims of SLAPPs and adequate training of judges and lawyers can substantively contribute to building knowledge and capacity in how to detect and deal with SLAPPs, *as lawsuits used for purposes other than that of genuinely asserting or exercising a right*, and the threat of SLAPPs;
19. Considers it necessary to collect data on SLAPP cases and raise awareness about the *nature and* detrimental effects of SLAPPs;
- 19a. *Welcomes the Commission's recommendation on the safety of journalists²⁹; notes the increasing use of freelancers, particularly young journalists and media workers at the start of their career, to cover high-risk areas and conflict zones; is concerned by the precarious working and decreasing safety conditions under which freelancers operate from high-risk areas and conflict zones; calls on the Member States to fully implement*

²⁹ C(2021) 6650.

the Council of Europe recommendation on the protection of journalism and safety of journalists and other media actors,

COMP AM 19 on the sub- chapter Complementarity with other instruments and policies

Covers 330 Greens, 333 Left, 335 S&D, 336 Renew, 338 co-rapporteur, 339 co-rapporteur

Falling: 331 ID, 332 ECR, 334 SD, 337 ID, 340 ID

20. ***Considers that the new anti-SLAPP legislative and non-legislative measures should be complementary with other EU instruments and policies;*** welcomes the Union Strategy to tackle Organised Crime 2021-2025, and calls for efforts to be stepped up in this regard; notes that legislative and soft law measures cannot be effective in Member States where there are concerns about the independence of the judiciary or the fight against corruption; ***reiterates, in this regard, the critical need for an EU mechanism on democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights as proposed by Parliament;***
21. Recalls the importance of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2020/2092 on a general regime of conditionality for the protection of the Union budget, which has applied to all commitment and payment appropriations since 1 January 2021; ***underlines that the Union's financial interests are to be protected in accordance with EU values and commitments and that the Commission should use the conditionality mechanism if Member States fail to protect these values; commends in this light the important work of investigative journalists in exposing cases of abuses of EU funds and emphasizes the importance of journalists being able to exercise their profession without being hindered by SLAPPs;***
22. Stresses that Union level measures to combat SLAPPs should be complementary and consistent with other available tools, such as the mechanism for the protection of democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights, policies on combating corruption and current financial programmes to support civil society and justice systems;
- 22a. ***Highlights that the fight against corruption is essential for maintaining democracy, fundamental rights and rule of law, as corruption, which can take many forms, undermines our values, proper functioning of States and enables organised crime;***
- 22b. ***Calls on the Commission to strengthen in the framework of the annual Mechanism on DRF the regular, inclusive and structured dialogue with national authorities, NGOs, professional associations and other stakeholders in order to protect and support journalists, and other civil society representatives at risk of SLAPPs, prosecution or harassment;***
 - o
 - o
 - o
23. Calls on the Commission to come forward with proposals on the basis of the annex to this resolution;

24. Instructs its President to forward this resolution to the Council and the Commission.

ANNEX

COMP AM 20 on the Annex part 1. A package of both soft law and hard law

Covers AM 342 co-rapporteurs, AM 343 Renew, AM 344 Greens, AM 345 Greens, AM 346 The Left, AM 348 Renew, AM 349 S&D, AM 350 Renew, AM 351 The Left, AM 352 co-rapporteurs, AM 353 Renew, AM 354 EPP, AM 355 EPP, 356 SD, 357 RE, 358 Left, 360 Left, AM 361 S&D, AM 362 Renew, AM 363 co-rapporteurs, AM 364 The Left, AM 359 Greens

Falling: 341 Greens, 347 Greens, 365 Greens,

1. A package of both soft law and hard law

Legislative measures - a package addressing SLAPPs, *including early dismissal mechanisms*, should include proposals:

- for general rules providing protection against SLAPPs, *specific legislation that sets common minimum standards on supporting and deterrent measures providing protection from SLAPPs*;
- specifically addressing questions of civil justice, *which Member States are strongly encouraged to apply also to domestic cases of SLAPPs*, and *private international law, including judicial cooperation and forum shopping*;
- addressing in particular issues of criminal justice

Non-legislative measures - this package should further include:

- adequate training of judges and legal practitioners on SLAPPs;
- assessment of the interplay between different fields of law, such as national media laws and constitutional laws in this context;
- A specific Union fund to provide support to victims of *SLAPPs and their family members, including in terms of financial aid, legal assistance and psychological support*;
- support for independent bodies (such as ombudspersons) able to deal with complaints from persons threatened or faced with SLAPP suits, and to provide assistance to them, *as well as for media self-regulatory bodies*;
- a publicly accessible Union register of relevant court decisions;
- a 'one-stop-shop'/support hub *supported by dedicated national networks of specialised lawyers, legal practitioners and psychologists* which victims of SLAPPs can contact and where they can receive guidance and easy access to information on *and support against* SLAPPs, including regarding 'first aid', legal aid, financial and psychological support, including through peer exchange networks;

COMP AM 21 on the Annex part 2 General rules

Covers AM 366 The Left, AM 367 The Left, AM 368 co-rapporteurs, AM 369 Renew, AM 370 Greens, AM 379 The Left, AM 381 The Left, AM 383 The Left, AM 384 The Left, AM 386 Greens, AM 387 Renew, AM 388 Renew, CULT 8

Falling: 371 left, 372 Re, 373 Greens, 374 Left, 375 Left, 376 Left, 377 Left, 378 Epp, 380 Greens, 382 EPP, 385 Greens

2. General rules

A *legislative* proposal for a general protection measure would have the dual aim of protecting, *in line with the fundamental rights and principles recognised in particular by the Charter*, persons investigating, reporting *or otherwise exposing matters of public interest concerning breaches of Union law, which includes abusive practices which do not appear to be unlawful but defeat the object or the purpose of the law*, and protecting the proper functioning of the internal market.

The legislative measure should also provide:

-(a) a clear definition of SLAPPs, including the definition of public participation on a matter of public interest;

(a) *rules on* confidentiality of investigations and reports, including of information sources;

(b) *rules on* the prohibition of retaliation and effective *and dissuasive* penalties against SLAPP actions;

(ba new) rules preventing the misuse of measures provided against SLAPPs;

(c) support measures, including:

(i) effective assistance, information and practical advice and support provided by a 'one-stop-shop' for 'first aid' to SLAPP victims;

(ii) legal and financial aid;

(d) effective measures to protect against retaliation *stemming from imbalances of power between the parties and allowing potential damages suffered to be repaired.*

COMP AM 22 on the Annex part 3. Civil procedure

Covers AM 389 Greens, AM 390 The Left, AM 393 Greens, AM 394 The Left, AM 395 Renew, AM 396 co-rapporteurs, AM 399 The Left, AM 400 Renew, AM 401 EPP, AM

402 The Left, AM 403 The Left, AM 404 EPP, AM 405 The Left, AM 406 The Left, AM 407 The Left, AM 408 Greens, AM 409 Renew, AM 410 S&D, AM 411 Greens, 412 SD, AM 413 co-rapporteurs, AM 414 The Left, AM 415 Greens, AM 416 The Left, AM 417 The Left, 418 Renew, 397 RE, 398 co rapporteurs

Falling: 391, 392 the Left

3. Civil procedure

A *legislative* proposal for a civil procedure measure applicable in *SLAPP* cases, *which Member States are strongly encouraged to apply also to domestic cases*, should *develop judicial cooperation in civil matters by providing for common rules on SLAPPs arising from claims of civil law and* include:

- (a) that the claimant in cases concerning public participation shall specify and provide *justification as to* why the action is not abusive;
- (b) that courts shall summarily dismiss abusive lawsuits, *at the earliest stage possible, either ex officio or following a request by the defendant based on his or her right to file a motion for early dismissal*;
- (c) that courts shall consider the abusive element in any final decision;
- (d) that third parties may intervene and subrogate to the defendant's rights and obligations, *in accordance with to national procedural law*;
- (e) that courts shall consider the public interest *and the balance of financial resources between parties* when assessing costs and the award of damages;
- (f) means to protect victims against SLAPPs brought outside the Union;
- (f) the right to the full award of costs;
- (g) the right to damages *for material and immaterial harm, including economic, reputational, psychological or other damages suffered*.
(ga new) rules on preventing further abusive litigation by a party that filed a SLAPP lawsuit in relation to the same facts, namely by taking into account that circumstance when examining a new case;

A proposal from the Commission, *aiming to achieve legal certainty and predictability* and following the review of private international law instruments should establish:

- (a) *a recast of the Brussels I Regulation with an explicit rule that, in defamation claims or other claims based in civil and commercial law which may constitute a SLAPP*, the habitual residence of the defendant as the sole forum, having due regard to cases where the victims of defamation are private persons;
- (b) that the applicable law is the law of the place *to which a publication is directed or, should that place not be possible to identify, the place of editorial control or relevant activity with regard to the public participation*.

COMP AM 23 on the Annex part 4. Criminal procedure

4. Criminal procedure

Covers 425 co-rapporteur, 426 EPP, 67 Left, 428 Left, 429 co-rapporteur, 430 Left, 431 Greens

Falling: 420, 421, 422, 423, 424, 427

A legislative proposal regarding criminal law aspects of SLAPPs, should:

- (a) specify that where defamation, libel and slander constitute a criminal offence, they cannot be used for SLAPPs, in particular through private prosecution;
 - (b) *specify provisions to safeguard the rights of individuals* so that prosecution cannot be used to silence victims of SLAPPs;
 - (c) facilitate mutual recognition of judgements and judicial decisions, and police and judicial cooperation in criminal matters.
- (ca) set common minimum procedural safeguards to protect defendants facing SLAPPs based on combined criminal charges and civil liability actions allegedly arising from the same conduct;*

These measures should be complementary to current Commission activities, legislation already adopted and future initiatives.