



Европейски парламент Parlamento Europeo Evropský parlament Europa-Parlamentet Europäisches Parlament
Euroopa Parlament Ευρωπαϊκό Κοινοβούλιο European Parliament Parlement européen Parlaimint na hEorpa
Europski parlament Parlamento europeo Eiripas Parlaments Europos Parlamentas Európai Parlament
Parlament Ewropew Europees Parlement Parlament Europejski Parlamento Europeu Parlamentul European
Európsky parlament Evropski parlament Euroopan parlamentti Europaparlamentet

Think Tank

<https://www.europarl.europa.eu/thinktank>

, :

""

22 ()

: 29-03-2024

[The use of Pegasus and equivalent surveillance spyware - The existing legal framework in EU Member States for the acquisition and use of Pegasus and equivalent surveillance spyware](#)

05-12-2022
LIGER Quentin, GUTHEILMirja

, | : | ,
| | | | | | | | | | | |

This study, commissioned by the European Parliament's Policy Department for Citizens' Rights and Constitutional Affairs at the request of the Committee of Inquiry to investigate the use of Pegasus and equivalent surveillance spyware (PEGA), provides a description of the legal framework (including oversight and redress mechanisms) governing the use of Pegasus and equivalent spyware in a selection of Member States.

[EN](#)
[DE](#), [EL](#), [EN](#), [ES](#), [FR](#), [HU](#), [IT](#), [PL](#)

[Greece's Predatorgate: The latest chapter in Europe's spyware scandal?](#)

08-09-2022
MILDEBRATH Hendrik Alexander

,
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

After Hungary, Poland and Spain, Greece is the latest Member State accused of spying on journalists and opposition politicians. While the opposition is seeking transparency and is steadily increasing the pressure, the Greek government has acknowledged select surveillance operations but insists on their legality and categorically denies purchasing or using the commercial Predator spyware. This EPRS paper synthesises the fast-paced and highly politicised developments at national level and contextualises the European Union's responses. It refers to the EPRS study 'Europe's PegasusGate' for more information and possible ways forward.

[EN](#)

[Europe's PegasusGate: Countering spyware abuse](#)

06-07-2022
MILDEBRATH Hendrik Alexander

,
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | , |

As civil society and media organisations expose EU Member States for using the Pegasus commercial spyware, one of the most high-profile spying scandals of recent years is coming to light in Europe. Member States' intelligence agencies have been accused of abusing highly sophisticated spyware to surveil opposition figures, journalists, lawyers, and high-ranking state officials. 'Having regard to the European Union's attachment to the values and principles of liberty, democracy and respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms and of the rule of law', the European Parliament has set up a committee of inquiry. This study (i) introduces the Pegasus product's features and trading practices, (ii) surveys Pegasus operations and reactions, (iii) identifies transversal and country-specific legal concerns, and (iv) sketches possible ways forward in the public and private sectors.

[EN](#)

[Strategic communications as a key factor in countering hybrid threats](#)

10-03-2021
DG, EPRS_ This study has been written by Juan Pablo Villar García, Carlota Tarín Quirós and Julio Blázquez Soria of Iclaves S.L., Carlos Galán Pascual of the University Carlos III of Madrid, and Carlos Galán Cordero of the Universitat Oberta de Catalunya at the request of the Panel for the Future of Science and Technology (STOA) and managed by the Scientific Foresight Unit, within the Directorate-General for Parliamentary Research Services (EPRS) of the Secretariat of the European Parliament.

| | ,
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

This report describes the key features, technologies and processes of strategic communications to counter hybrid threats and their components. The theoretical description of hybrid threats is complemented by the analysis of diverse case studies, describing the geopolitical context in which the hybrid threat took place, its main features, the mechanisms related to strategic communications used by the victim to counter the hybrid threat and its impact and consequences. A comprehensive set of policy options aimed at improving the EU response to hybrid threats is also provided.

[EN](#)

1 [EN](#)

EU cyber sanctions: Moving beyond words

25-09-2020
LATICI Tania

| |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

The EU recognises that cybersecurity and cyber-defence are critical for its prosperity, security and global ambitions. Offensive cyber-attacks by malicious actors show no sign of slowing down (not even during the coronavirus pandemic) and thus require concrete dissuasive measures. In July 2020, the EU Member States decided for the first time to use the 'teeth' rooted in the EU cyber-diplomacy framework and to 'bite cyber perpetrators back' by placing sanctions on them. This precedent has helped reinforce the EU's cyber policy action.

[EN](#)

5G in the EU and Chinese telecoms suppliers

08-04-2019
GRIEGER Gisela

| |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

The spectrum auctions of fifth-generation (5G) mobile telecoms networks planned in 17 EU Member States for 2019 or 2020 have sparked a highly politicised debate in the EU about whether the use of Chinese 5G equipment in critical EU infrastructure poses a threat to security. While Australia, Japan, and New Zealand have followed the United States (US) in imposing a (partial) ban on Chinese telecom vendors, EU Member States appear to privilege EU-coordinated national risk-mitigating measures over a ban.

[EN](#)

What if your emotions were tracked to spy on you?

13-03-2019
VAN WOENSEL Lieve

, | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Recent reports of celebrity singer, Taylor Swift, deploying facial recognition technology to spot stalkers at her concerts raised many eyebrows. What started out as a tool to unlock your smartphone or tag photos for you on social media is surreptitiously becoming a means of monitoring people in their daily lives without their consent. What impact and implications are facial recognition technology applications likely to have, and what can be done to ensure the fair engagement of this technology with its users and the public at large?

[EN](#)

Russia in the Western Balkans

06-07-2017
RUSSELL Martin

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

The Western Balkans have emerged as a front in Russia's geopolitical confrontation with the West. Building on close historical ties, Moscow is taking advantage of the political and economic difficulties to expand its influence, potentially undermining the region's stability.

[EN](#)

Transatlantic data flows

23-05-2016
MONTELEONE Shara

,
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Privacy Shield is a new framework for transatlantic exchanges of personal data, agreed between the European Commission and the US government. Although it has significant improvements compared to its predecessor, Safe Harbour, concerns remain to be addressed before its finalisation.

[EN](#)

[EYE 2016 – We are not afraid!](#)

28-04-2016

ORAV Anita

,
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

The year 2015 confirmed once again that terrorism is a serious threat to international security. The EU plays an active role in supporting Member States' measures to ensure security, be it through strengthening the control of firearms, securing borders or using new technologies. Security, however, needs to be balanced with the respect for fundamental rights. Communities also have an important part to play in preventing terrorism. This note has been prepared for the European Youth Event, taking place in Strasbourg in May 2016. Please click [here](#) for the full publication in PDF format

[EN](#)

[Foreign fighters – Member State responses and EU action](#)

Briefing

09-03-2016

BAKOWSKI Piotr | PUCCIO Laura

| ,
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

As the hostilities in Syria and Iraq continue, and terrorist activities worldwide appear to be on the rise, EU Member States are increasingly confronted with the problem of aspiring and returning 'foreign fighters'. Whereas the phenomenon is not new, its scale certainly is, explaining the wide perception that these individuals are a serious threat to the security of both individual Member States and the EU as a whole.

International fora, including the United Nations, have addressed the problem, with the UN adopting a binding resolution in 2014 specifically addressing the issue of foreign fighters. The EU is actively engaged in international initiatives to counter the threat.

Within the EU, security in general, and counter-terrorism in particular, have traditionally remained within the Member States' remit. The EU has, however, coordinated Member State activities regarding the prevention of radicalisation, the detection of travel for suspicious purposes, the criminal justice response, and cooperation with third countries. The EU is seeking to strengthen its role, given the public feeling of insecurity in the wake of recent terrorist attacks. The EU's role as a forum to discuss security issues has consequently grown during 2015.

Individual Member States have stepped up their efforts to address the problem, using various tools including criminal law, administrative measures and 'soft tools', such as counter-radicalisation campaigns. The Member States most affected have also cooperated with each other outside the EU framework.

The United States has a particularly developed counter-terrorism framework, now used to deal with foreign fighters. Since 9/11, the EU and the USA cooperate on counter-terrorism, despite differing philosophies on issues such as data protection.

This briefing substantially updates an earlier one, PE 548.980, from February 2015.

Briefing [EN](#)

[EU-Brazil cooperation on internet governance and ICT issues](#)

Briefing

30-10-2015

LAZAROU Eleni

| , | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Following revelations of large-scale Internet surveillance Brazil and the EU have become actively involved in the global debate on internet governance. Since early 2014 cyber policy has become part of the agenda of the EU-Brazil Strategic Partnership. The two have agreed on the need for support for inclusive and transparent internet governance based on a multistakeholder governance model, and are moving forward on a number of related bilateral initiatives in the 2015-2017 Joint Action Plan. In 2014, Brazil hosted the Global Multistakeholder Meeting on Future Internet Governance (NETMundial) which established principles on internet governance endorsed by both the EU and Brazil. These encompass inclusiveness, legitimacy, accountability, and global public interest. As a move towards greater independence of digital flows between Latin America and the Europe, the Brazilian government and the EU are developing a project to establish a public-private partnership to lay a submarine fibre-optic cable across the Atlantic Ocean, from Fortaleza (Ceará, Brazil) to Lisbon (Portugal). Please click [here](#) for the full publication in PDF format

Briefing [EN](#)

The CJEU's Schrems ruling on the Safe Harbour Decision

26-10-2015

MONTELEONE Shara | PUCCIO Laura

[illegible]

On 6 October 2015, the Court of Justice of the EU (CJEU) declared invalid the European Commission's decision on the adequacy of the US data protection system (Safe Harbour Decision). In this judgment, regarding the transfer of personal data from the EU to the USA, the Court also clarified that national supervisory authorities are always allowed to investigate the lawfulness of data transfers and, if necessary, to suspend them. The case underlines the requirement for ensuring high-level protection when EU citizens' data are transferred to third countries. The implications for businesses, governments and EU institutions, as well as for EU-US relations, remain to be clarified.

EN

The US Legal System on Data Protection in the Field of Law Enforcement. Safeguards, Rights and Remedies for EU Citizens

15-05-2015

Francesca Bignami (George Washington University Law School, Washington, USA)

,

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Upon request by the LIBE Committee, this study surveys the US legal system of data protection in the field of federal law enforcement. It reviews two principal sources of US data protection law, the Fourth Amendment to the US Constitution and the Privacy Act of 1974. It also considers the legally prescribed methods of data collection, together with their associated data protection guarantees, in ordinary criminal investigations and national security investigations. Throughout, the study pays special attention to the rights afforded to EU citizens.

EN

Mass Surveillance - Part 2: Technology foresight, options for longer term security and privacy improvements

13-01-2015

Company:
Capgemini Consulting

Authors:
M. van den Berg
P. de Graaf (editor)
P.O. Kwant
T. Slewe

The main objective of part two of this study is to provide the European Parliament with policy options, based on technology foresight, with regard to the protection of the European Information Society against mass surveillance from a perspective of technology and organisational foresight. Four scenarios with two to four technology options each were developed in this study, leading to twenty-three policy options.

EN

1 EN

2 EN

Mass surveillance and citizen rights in the EU part 2

Mass Surveillance - Part 1: Risks and opportunities raised by the current generation of network services and applications

12-01-2015
Company:
TECNALIA Research and Investigation

Authors:
Arkaitz Gamino Garcia
Concepción Cortes Velasco
Eider Iturbe Zamalloa
Erkuden Rios Velasco
Iñaki Eguía Elejabarrieta
Javier Herrera Lotero
Jason Mansell (Linguistic Review)
José Javier Larrañeta Ibañez
Stefan Schuster (Editor)

This document identifies the risks of data breaches for users of publicly available Internet services such as email, social networks and cloud computing, and the possible impacts for them and the European Information Society. It presents the latest technology advances allowing the analysis of user data and their meta-data on a mass scale for surveillance reasons. It identifies technological and organisational measures and the key stakeholders for reducing the risks identified. Finally the study proposes possible policy options, in support of the risk reduction measures identified by the study.

[EN](#)
[1 EN](#)
[2 EN](#)
[Mass surveillance and citizen rights in the EU part 1](#)

The Echelon Affair: The EP and the global interception system 1998 - 2002

04-11-2014
MOMBELLI Iolanda | PIODI Franco

During the second half of the 1990s press and media reports revealed the existence of the Echelon network. This system for intercepting private and economic communications was developed and managed by the states that had signed the UKUSA and was characterised by its powers and the range of communications targeted: surveillance was directed against not only military organisations and installations but also governments, international organisations and companies throughout the world.

This study recounts the uncovering of the network, notably through the STOA investigations, questions by MEPs, debates in plenary, the setting up of a temporary committee and the final position adopted by the European Parliament. It also takes account of statements by researchers and journalists on the technical aspects and legal implications of the Echelon network. Finally, it considers the views of the political groups in the European Parliament and of the Commission and Council.

Fifteen years after the events, The Echelon Affair draws on the European Parliament's archives to describe and analyse a worldwide scandal which had an impact on the history of Parliament and which today is echoed in the revelations of Edward Snowden and Julian Assange and in other cases of spying on a grand scale.
[EN](#), [FR](#)

Evaluation of EU Measures to Combat Terrorist Financing

11-04-2014
Mara WESSELING (Centre de Sociologie des Organisations, Sciences-Po Paris/CNRS, France)
Foreword by: Marieke DE GOEDE (Universiteit van Amsterdam, the Netherlands)

Upon request by the LIBE Committee, this note evaluates the EU's measures to combat terrorist financing and their societal and political impact. In response to the renewed politicization of the EU-US Terrorist Finance Tracking Programme (TFTP) and taking into account that the European Commission has announced in November 2013 its intention not to present at this stage a proposal for a European Terrorist Finance Tracking System (EU TFTS), and in the light of the development of a 4th Directive on anti-money laundering and combatting terrorist financing (AML/CFT Directive), the note proposes a set of recommendations concerning possible measures to combat terrorist financing.

[EN](#)

Review of Security Measures in the 7th Research Framework Programme FP7 2007-2013

11-04-2014

Didier BIGO (CCLS – King's College London, United-Kingdom), Julien JEANDESBOZ (CCLS – University of Amsterdam, Netherlands), Médéric MARTIN-MAZE (CCLS) and Francesco RAGAZZI (CCLS – University of Leiden, Netherlands)

, |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Upon request by the LIBE Committee, this study analyses how the public-private dialogue has been framed and shaped and examines the priorities set up in calls and projects that have received funding from the European Commission under the security theme of the 7th Research Framework Programme (FP7 2007-2013). In particular, this study addresses two main questions: to what extent is security research placed at the service of citizens? To what extent does it contribute to the development of a single area of fundamental rights and freedoms? The study finds that security research has only partly addressed the concerns of EU citizens and that security research has been mainly put at the service of industry rather than society.

[EN](#)

EU approach to cyber-security

31-03-2014

FERRARO Francesca

,
e | | | | (EC) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Fighting cross-border crime affecting information and communications networks (cybercrime) is a priority in the EU's internal security strategy. To counter so-called cyber-attacks in a borderless space, the European Union and the Council of Europe have drawn up common strategies, operational measures and legislation.

[EN](#)

The US Surveillance Programmes and Their Impact on EU Citizens' Fundamental Rights

16-09-2013

Caspar BOWDEN (Independent Privacy Researcher) ,
Introduction by Didier BIGO (King's College London / Centre d'Etudes sur les Conflits, Liberté et Sécurité – CCLS, Paris, France)

,
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

In light of the recent PRISM-related revelations, this briefing note analyzes the impact of US surveillance programmes on European citizens' rights. The note explores the scope of surveillance that can be carried out under the US FISA Amendment Act 2008, and related practices of the US authorities which have very strong implications for EU data sovereignty and the protection of European citizens' rights.

[DE](#), [EN](#), [FR](#)

[ES](#), [IT](#), [PL](#)

Space and Security : The Use of Space in the Context of the CSDP

30-11-2011

DARNIS, Jean-Pierre (ISTITUTO AFFARI INTERNAZIONALI, ITALY) and VECLANI, Anna (ISTITUTO AFFARI INTERNAZIONALI, ITALY)

|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Space applications are best suited for dealing with an increasingly expanding concept of security. If, on the one hand, traditional customers are military users, on the other, a wider security and civilian community benefits from space services which are being developed in Europe in line with the evolution of Common Security and Defence Policy (CSDP) civilian and military missions. The study includes a twofold analysis. First, an analysis of CSDP missions and their operational context to be matched with the main space-based applications. Of course, the EU flagship programmes GMES and Galileo are taken into consideration. Second, an overview of the state-of-the-art of the different space programmes in Europe based on their compatibility with CSDP missions is provided. Building on this analysis, conclusions on the use of space in the context CSDP are drawn, focusing on strengths and weaknesses emerged. Finally, some recommendations addressed to the European Parliament are provided.

[EN](#)