



Европейски парламент Parlamento Europeo Evropský parlament Europa-Parlamentet Europäisches Parlament
Euroopa Parlament Ευρωπαϊκό Κοινοβούλιο European Parliament Parlement européen Parlaimint na hEorpa
Europskí parlament Parlamento europeo Eiropas Parlaments Europos Parlamentas Európai Parlament
Parlament Ewropew Europees Parlement Parlament Europejski Parlamento Europeu Parlamentul European
Európsky parlament Evropski parlament Europan parlamentti Europaparlamentet

Popis objavljenih dokumenata u rubrici Think Tank EP-a

<https://www.europarl.europa.eu/thinktank>

Pretraži kriterije korištene pri izradi popisa :

Razvrstaj Razvrstaj prema datumu
Ključna riječ "presuda Europskoga suda"

22 Rezultat(i)

Datum izrade : 28-03-2024

Solidarity in EU asylum policy

Vrsta publikacije Briefing

Datum 12-01-2023

Podnositelj DEL MONTE Micaela | ORAV Anita

Područje politike Područje slobode, sigurnosti i pravde

Ključna riječ Azija i Oceanija | DRUŠTVENA PITANJA | države članice EU-a | ekomska geografija | Europa | EUROPSKA UNIJA | izbjeglica | izgradivanje Europe | međunarodna sigurnost | MEĐUNARODNI ODNOSI | međunarodno pravo | migracija | migracijska politika EU-a | migrant | nezakonite migracije | podnositelj zahtjeva za azil | politička geografija | PRAVO | pravo azila | pravo EU-a | preseljenje osoba | presuda Europskoga suda | sporazum EU-a | Turska | Ugovor o funkcioniranju EU-a | ZEMLJOPIS

Sažetak The arrival of refugees and irregular migrants in the EU in unprecedented numbers in 2015 exposed a number of deficiencies in the EU's external border, asylum and migration policy, and sparked EU action through various legal and policy instruments. Today, even though the EU has been relatively successful in securing its external borders, curbing irregular migrant arrivals and increasing cooperation with third countries, Member States are still reluctant to show solidarity and do more to share responsibility for asylum-seekers. Turmoil in Africa and the Middle East, and now the war in Ukraine, are forcing more and more people to flee violence and seek a safe haven in Europe. At times spontaneously, Member States have reacted to these crises and showed open solidarity, as with regard to the Ukrainian citizens fleeing the war. At other times their reaction has been more ambivalent: take for instance the ripples of discord caused by the disembarkation in November 2022 of migrants rescued from the Mediterranean by four private vessels, which once again clearly demonstrated the need for a more stable and predictable mechanism to manage irregular migration. International cooperation and solidarity are key in helping to manage migration to and between states. Under international law, states have certain legal obligations to assist and protect the refugees they accept on their territory, but the legal duties of other states as regards providing help and sharing that responsibility are less clearly codified. At EU level, the principle of solidarity is set out in several articles, including Article 80 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU). However, EU law does not define the notions of 'solidarity' or 'fair sharing of responsibilities' for refugees or asylum-seekers. This has prompted EU institutions, academics and other stakeholders to propose different ways to render solidarity more operational; these include sharing out relevant tasks and pooling resources at EU level, and providing financial and other forms of compensation for frontline Member States. The continued failure to reform the EU asylum system, as well as the implementation of temporary solidarity measures based on ad hoc solutions, has exposed a crisis of solidarity that has yet to be resolved. This updates and expands on a March 2020 EPRI briefing written by Anja Radjenovic.

Briefing [EN](#)

Legal protection for rainbow families exercising free movement: The 'Baby Sara' case

Vrsta publikacije Kratki prikaz

Datum 22-11-2022

Podnositelj DE GROOT DAVID ARMAND JACQUES GERA

Područje politike Pitanja spolova, jednakost i raznolikost

Ključna riječ Bugarska | diskriminacija zbog spolne opredijeljenosti | DRUŠTVENA PITANJA | državljanstvo | ekomska geografija | Europa | EUROPSKA UNIJA | identifikacijska isprava | jednako postupanje | međunarodno pravo | obitelj | obiteljsko pravo | politička geografija | prava i slobode | PRAVO | pravo EU-a | presuda Europskoga suda | slobodno kretanje osoba | zaštita obitelji | ZEMLJOPIS | Španjolska

Sažetak During the November II plenary session, Parliament will debate the issue of legal protection for rainbow families exercising free movement in the light of a case concerning the refusal to issue civil status documents to a child born to a lesbian couple.

Kratki prikaz [EN](#)

Exchanges of Personal Data After the Schrems II Judgment

Vrsta publikacije Studija

Datum 08-07-2021

Vanjski autor Ian BROWN, Visiting CyberBRICS professor at Fundação Getulio Vargas (FGV) Law School in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
Douwe KORFF, Emeritus Professor of International Law, London Metropolitan University, UK

Područje politike Područje slobode, sigurnosti i pravde

Ključna riječ Amerika | davanje informacija | dokumentacija | ekomska geografija | EUROPSKA UNIJA | informacije i obrada informacija | informacijska tehnologija i obrada podataka | izvješće o rezultatima istraživanja | OBRAZOVANJE I KOMUNIKACIJE | osobni podaci | politička geografija | prava i slobode | PRAVO | pravo EU-a | presuda Europskoga suda | propisi o obradi podataka | Sjednjene Američke Države | uredba EU-a | zaštita podataka | zaštita privatnosti | ZEMLJOPIS

Sažetak This study, commissioned by the European Parliament's Policy Department for Citizens' Rights and Constitutional Affairs at the request of the LIBE Committee, examines reforms to the legal framework for the exchange of personal and other data between the EU and the USA that would be necessary to ascertain that the requirements of EU law are satisfied and that the rights of EU citizens are respected, following the Schrems II judgment of the EU Court of Justice.

Studija [EN](#)

Revision of the Schengen Evaluation and Monitoring Mechanism

Vrsta publikacije Briefing

Datum 05-05-2021

Podnositelj AHAMAD MADATALI HANNAH NAFIZE

Područje politike Ocjena praktične uporabe prava i politike

Ključna riječ dokumentacija | EKONOMIJA | ekonomska analiza | EUROPSKA UNIJA | granični nadzor | istraživanje i intelektualno vlasništvo | izgradnja Europe | izvješće o radu | metoda procjene | međunarodno pravo | OBRAZOVANJE I KOMUNIKACIJE | politička suradnja u EU | POLITIKA | politika i javna sigurnost | PRAVO | pravo EU-a | presuda Europskoga suda | PROIZVODNJA, TEHNOLOGIJA I ISTRAŽIVANJE | provedba prava EU-a | Schengenski informacijski sustav | studija o utjecaju | unutrašnje granice EU-a | uredba EU-a

Sažetak As a component of the Schengen Strategy that the European Commission intends to adopt in 2021, the revision of regulation (EU) No 1053/2013 will aim at making the Schengen Evaluation and Monitoring Mechanism more efficient, by revising current practices - for example, by shortening the adoption processes of the evaluation reports and recommendations, and ensuring a timely follow-up by Member States.

Briefing [EN](#)

Understanding the European Commission's right to withdraw legislative proposals

Vrsta publikacije Briefing

Datum 05-03-2021

Podnositelj KOTANIDIS Silvia

Područje politike Demokratsko, institucionalno i parlamentarno pravo EU-a

Ključna riječ Europska komisija | EUROPSKA UNIJA | institucije EU-a i europska javna služba | međuinsticijalna suradnja EU-a | ovlasti institucija EU-a | POLITIKA | politički okvir | pravo EU-a | presuda Europskoga suda | prijedlog EU-a | rad parlamenta | redovni zakonodavni postupak | Ugovor o Europskoj uniji | Ugovor o funkcioniranju EU-a | zakonodavna inicijativa | zakonodavna vlast

Sažetak Although the European Commission exercises its right to withdraw a legislative proposal sparingly, doing so may become a contentious issue, particularly where a legislative proposal is withdrawn for reasons other than a lack of agreement between institutions or when a proposal clearly becomes obsolete – such as a perceived distortion of the purpose of the original proposal. Closely connected with the right of legislative initiative attributed to the Commission under the current Treaty rules, the European Court of Justice issued a judgment on the matter in case C 409/13. The Court spelled out the Commission's power to withdraw a proposal relative to the power of the two co-legislators, and also indicated the limits of this power. In this sense, the Court considers the Commission's power to withdraw proposals to be a corollary of its power of legislative initiative, which must be exercised in a reasoned manner and in a way that is amenable to judicial review. However, the Court's judgment does not solve all the issues connected to this matter. Whilst the judgment develops the Court's arguments along the lines of the current institutional setting, academia has expressed some concern as to whether the judgment is truly in line with the recently emerged push for a higher democratic character in institutional dynamics. The forthcoming Conference on the Future of Europe may provide the opportunity to rethink some of the issues surrounding the exercise of legislative initiative; which remains a matter of a constitutional and founding nature.

Briefing [EN](#)

Unfair terms in Swiss franc loans: Overview of European Court of Justice case law

Vrsta publikacije Briefing

Datum 04-03-2021

Podnositelj MAŃKO Rafał

Područje politike Pravo EU-a: pravni sustav i akti

Ključna riječ devizni tečaj | direktiva EZ-a | ekonomska geografija | Europa | EUROPSKA UNIJA | FINANCIJE | građansko pravo | kamata | kredit za kupnju nekretnina | kreditne i financijske institucije | monetarna ekonomija | politička geografija | potrošnja | PRAVO | pravo EU-a | presuda Europskoga suda | strana valuta | TRGOVINA | ugovorna klauzula protivna načelu savjeti i poštenja | zajam | zaštita potrošača | ZEMLJOPIS | Švicarska

Sažetak In the first decade of the 21st century, loans denominated in or indexed to foreign currencies, in particular the Swiss franc, became very popular in a number of EU Member States, including Greece, Croatia, Hungary, Austria, Poland, Romania, and Slovenia, and also in two non-EU countries, Montenegro and Serbia. For a certain period, in some Member States these loans became the most popular type of loan issued to consumers. By pegging loans to a stable foreign currency, banks could lend more money to the same consumer by virtue of interest rates being lower than those for the same type of loan expressed in the national currency. However, when, as a result of the global economic crisis, the rate of exchange between the Swiss franc and these national currencies (zlotys, forints, kunas, etc.) soared, consumers found themselves trapped. Often, they had to repay as much as twice the value of the loan taken, and could not escape the unfavourable contract by simply selling the property they had bought, as this would cover only a fraction of their debt. While certain Member States implemented mechanisms aimed at protecting consumers and bringing the situation under control, the case law of the European Court of Justice (ECJ), based on dynamic interpretation of the Unfair Terms Directive (93/13), has proved to be a significant factor in securing effective consumer protection. This briefing explains the legal significance of the relevant ECJ judgments, against the backdrop of the Swiss franc loan situation in Europe.

Briefing [EN](#)

[The CJEU judgment in the Schrems II case](#)

Vrsta publikacije Kratki prikaz
Datum 15-09-2020
Podnositelj MILDEBRATH Hendrik Alexander
Područje politike Područje slobode, sigurnosti i pravde
Ključna riječ Amerika | daljinsko očitavanje | ekonomska geografija | EUROPSKA UNIJA | Europski odbor za zaštitu podataka | informacije i obrada informacija | informacijska tehnologija i obrada podataka | institucije EU-a i europska javna služba | izgrađivanje Europe | komunikacije | MEĐUNARODNI ODNOŠI | međunarodni poslovi | OBRAZOVANJE I KOMUNIKACIJE | osobni podaci | politička geografija | Povelja EU-a o temeljnim pravima | prava i slobode | PRAVO | pravo EU-a | prekogranični tok podataka | presuda Europskoga suda | propisi o obradi podataka | Sjedinjene Američke Države | transatlantski odnosi | uredba EU-a | zaštita podataka | zaštita privatnosti | ZEMLJOPIS
Sažetak In its July 2020 Schrems II judgment, the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) declared the European Commission's Privacy Shield Decision invalid on account of invasive US surveillance programmes, thereby making transfers of personal data on the basis of the Privacy Shield Decision illegal. Furthermore, the Court stipulated stricter requirements for the transfer of personal data based on standard contract clauses (SCCs). Data controllers or processors that intend to transfer data based on SCCs must ensure that the data subject is granted a level of protection essentially equivalent to that guaranteed by the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights (CFR) – if necessary with additional measures to compensate for lacunae in protection of third-country legal systems. Failing that, operators must suspend the transfer of personal data outside the EU.
[Kratki prikaz EN](#)

[Replacement of individual Commissioners](#)

Vrsta publikacije Kratki prikaz
Datum 08-09-2020
Podnositelj DEL MONTE Micaela | DIAZ CREGO Maria
Područje politike Demokratsko, institucionalno i parlamentarno pravo EU-a
Ključna riječ Azija i Oceanija | bolest uzrokovana koronavirusom | DRUŠTVENA PITANJA | ekonomska geografija | epidemija | Europa | EUROPSKA UNIJA | Europski parlament | imenovanje članova | institucije EU-a i europska javna služba | Irska | javno zdravstvo | Kina | Opći sud EU-a | politička geografija | pravo EU-a | presuda Europskoga suda | Ugovor o Europskoj uniji | Ugovor o funkcioniranju EU-a | zdravlje | ZEMLJOPIS | član Europske komisije
Sažetak On 26 August 2020, Commissioner Phil Hogan tendered his resignation to the President of the European Commission following controversy over his participation in an Oireachtas (Irish Parliament) Golf Society dinner attended by more than 80 people, despite the applicable Irish public health guidelines adopted to contain the spread of Covid-19 limiting gatherings to a fraction of that number. In addition, questions were raised as to whether he had complied with applicable restrictions on movements after his arrival in Ireland. Although President Ursula von der Leyen had not formally requested his resignation, she accepted it and thanked Commissioner Hogan for 'his tireless and successful work' during the current mandate as Trade Commissioner and in his previous mandate as Agriculture and Rural Development Commissioner. Consequently, the procedure to replace him has started, with President von der Leyen requesting that the Irish government propose both a female and a male candidate. On 4 September, the Irish government proposed two candidates to replace Phil Hogan: Mairead McGuinness, current European Parliament First Vice-President, and Andrew McDowell, a recent European Investment Bank Vice-President. On 8 September, President von der Leyen announced she had chosen Mairead McGuinness, and that she would take over financial services, financial stability and the capital markets union from Valdis Dombrovskis. The latter would take the trade portfolio permanently (having already taken it temporarily in the meantime), while continuing in his role of Executive Vice-President. Parliament is now expected to organise hearings with both.
[Kratki prikaz EN](#)

[European Court of Justice limits the territorial scope of the 'right to be forgotten'](#)

Vrsta publikacije Kratki prikaz
Datum 25-10-2019
Podnositelj MADIEGA Tambiama André
Područje politike Područje slobode, sigurnosti i pravde | Zaštita potrošača
Ključna riječ EUROPSKA UNIJA | informacije i obrada informacija | informacijska tehnologija i obrada podataka | komunikacije | OBRAZOVANJE I KOMUNIKACIJE | osobni podaci | pravo EU-a | presuda Europskoga suda | pretraživač | zaštita podataka
Sažetak Delivering its judgment in Google v Commission nationale de l'informatique et des libertés (CNIL) on 24 September 2019, the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) held that Google does not have to remove search engine results worldwide in order to comply with a 'right to be forgotten' request under EU data protection law. The landmark decision limits the territorial scope of the EU right to de-referencing but leaves many open questions.
[Kratki prikaz EN](#)

[Long-term management plan for cod fisheries](#)

Vrsta publikacije Kratki prikaz

Datum 15-11-2016

Podnositelj WEISSENBERGER Jean

Područje politike Ribarstvo | Usvajanje zakonodavstva u EP-u i Vijeću

Ključna riječ EUROPSKA UNIJA | institucije EU-a i europska javna služba | međuinstitucionalni odnosi EU-a | morska riba | morski ribolov | ovlasti institucija EU-a | POLJOPRIVREDA, ŠUMARSTVO I RIBARSTVO | pravna osnova | pravo EU-a | presuda Europskoga suda | redovni zakonodavni postupak | ribarstvo | upravljanje u ribarstvu | zajednička ribarska politika

Sažetak In 2012, the Council decided on a number of measures amending a fisheries management plan covering several stocks of cod in European seas. This Council-only regulation was annulled by the Court of Justice, and the European Parliament is now to adopt a new regulation, founded on the appropriate 'co-decision' legal basis, in order to adjust this fisheries management plan.

Kratki prikaz [DE](#), [EN](#), [ES](#), [FR](#), [IT](#), [PL](#)

[Setting criteria on endocrine disruptors: Follow-up to the General Court judgment](#)

Vrsta publikacije Briefing

Datum 27-04-2016

Podnositelj SCHOLZ Nicole

Područje politike Javno zdravljie | Pravo EU-a: pravni sustav i akti

Ključna riječ bolest žlijezda s unutrašnjim izlučivanjem | DRUŠTVENA PITANJA | epidemiologija | EUROPSKA UNIJA | INDUSTRIRJA | institucije EU-a i europska javna služba | interesna skupina | istraživanje i intelektualno vlasništvo | izrada pravnih propisa EU-a | kemija | kemijska industrija | kemikalija | medicinsko istraživanje | metoda procjene | OKOLIŠ | otrovna tvar | POLITIKA | politika i javna sigurnost | potrošnja | pravo EU-a | presuda Europskoga suda | PROIZVODNJA, TEHNOLOGIJA I ISTRAŽIVANJE | sigurnost proizvoda | sprečavanje bolesti | toksikologija | transparentnost u donošenju odluka | TRGOVINA | uništavanje okoliša | zdravlje | zdravstveni rizik

Sažetak Endocrine disruptors are substances that interfere with the functioning of hormones, with potentially harmful effects on health. A wide range of chemicals are suspected of being responsible for endocrine-disrupting activity. Defining scientific criteria for their identification is highly complex and has important repercussions for a wide range of stakeholders. There is a lack of consensus among both scientists and regulators. Work on the issue has been conducted at EU and international level. The European Commission's delay in adopting scientific criteria has provoked strong reactions from various stakeholders. The Commission is expected to come up with scientific criteria and to present the legal acts required before summer 2016. In a judgment delivered on 16 December 2015, the General Court of the Court of Justice of the EU found that the Commission had breached European Union law by failing to act on endocrine disruptors. It concluded that the Commission did not comply with its clear obligation to specify scientific criteria for the identification of chemicals that have endocrine-disrupting properties by 13 December 2013. In addition, it stated that there was no requirement to carry out an impact assessment, which the Commission had suggested was necessary to evaluate the various possible options prior to taking its decision.

Briefing [EN](#)

[The CJEU's Schrems ruling on the Safe Harbour Decision](#)

Vrsta publikacije Kratki prikaz

Datum 26-10-2015

Podnositelj MONTELEONE Shara | PUCCIO Laura

Područje politike Područje slobode, sigurnosti i pravde

Ključna riječ Amerika | davanje informacija | ekonomski geografija | EUROPSKA UNIJA | informacije i obrada informacija | informacijska tehnologija i obrada podataka | izgradnja Europe | izvori i grane prava | MEĐUNARODNI ODNOSSI | objavljivanje informacija | obrana | OBRAZOVANJE I KOMUNIKACIJE | odnosi EU-a | osobni podaci | POLITIKA | politička geografija | politički okvir | Povelja EU-a o temeljnim pravima | prava i slobode | PRAVO | pravo EU-a | pravo nadzora | presuda Europskoga suda | Sjedinjene Američke Države | tumačenje prava | zaštita podataka | zaštita privatnosti | ZEMLJOPIS | špijunaza

Sažetak On 6 October 2015, the Court of Justice of the EU (CJEU) declared invalid the European Commission's decision on the adequacy of the US data protection system (Safe Harbour Decision). In this judgment, regarding the transfer of personal data from the EU to the USA, the Court also clarified that national supervisory authorities are always allowed to investigate the lawfulness of data transfers and, if necessary, to suspend them. The case underlines the requirement for ensuring high-level protection when EU citizens' data are transferred to third countries. The implications for businesses, governments and EU institutions, as well as for EU-US relations, remain to be clarified.

Kratki prikaz [EN](#)

[The European Commission's right to withdraw a legislative proposal](#)

Vrsta publikacije Kratki prikaz

Datum 22-04-2015

Podnositelj POPTCHEVA Eva-Maria Alexandrova

Područje politike Demokratsko, institucionalno i parlamentarno pravo EU-a | Pravo EU-a: pravni sustav i akti

Ključna riječ Evropska komisija | EUROPSKA UNIJA | institucije EU-a i europska javna služba | institucionalna ravnoteža u EU-u | međuinstitucionalni odnosi EU-a | ovlasti institucija EU-a | POLITIKA | politički okvir | PRAVO | pravo EU-a | pravo poticanja | pravosuđe | presuda Europskoga suda | prijedlog EU-a | redovni zakonodavni postupak | tužba za poništenje (EU) | Ugovor o funkcioniranju EU-a

Sažetak On 14 April 2015, the Court of Justice of the EU (CJEU) delivered a judgment on the power of the Commission to withdraw a legislative proposal during the legislative procedure. The Court confirmed, for the first time, that the right of the Commission to withdraw legislative proposals is inseparable from its right of initiative.

Kratki prikaz [EN](#)

[General Court rules trading in euro securities not to be restricted to euro area](#)

Vrsta publikacije Kratki prikaz

Datum 18-03-2015

Podnositelj DELIVORIAS Angelos | MAŃKO Rafał

Područje politike Financijska i bankarska pitanja | Gospodarstvo i monetarna pitanja | Područje slobode, sigurnosti i pravde

Ključna riječ ekonomska geografija | Europa | europodručje | Europska središnja banka | EUROPSKA UNIJA | FINANCIJE | finansijske usluge | finansijsko tržište | finansijsko zakonodavstvo | institucije EU-a i europska javna služba | izvori i grane prava | kreditne i finansijske institucije | monetarni odnosi | ovlasti institucija EU-a | politička geografija | PRAVO | pravo EU-a | pravosuđe | presuda Europskoga suda | slobodno kretanje kapitala | TRGOVINA | trgovinska politika | tržišni nadzor | tumačenje prava | tužba za poništenje (EU) | Velika Britanija i Sjeverna Irska | ZEMLJOPIS

Sažetak On 4 March 2015 the General Court of the EU, in a case brought by the United Kingdom, ruled that the European Central Bank's 'Eurosystem Oversight Policy Framework' is illegal in so far as it requires that 'central counterparties' have to be located within the euro area. The ECB or any Member State may appeal the judgment on a point of law within two months.

Kratki prikaz [EN](#)

[EU-wide information exchange on traffic offences](#)

Vrsta publikacije Kratki prikaz

Datum 30-01-2015

Podnositelj PILLATH Susanne

Područje politike Područje slobode, sigurnosti i pravde | Promet

Ključna riječ direktiva EU-a | EUROPSKA UNIJA | informacije i obrada informacija | informacijska tehnologija i obrada podataka | kazneno pravo | komunikacije | objavljivanje informacija | OBRAZOVANJE I KOMUNIKACIJE | opoziv | politika prijevoza | pravna osnova | PRAVO | pravo EU-a | prekogranični tok podataka | presuda Europskoga suda | prijedlog EU-a | PRIJEVOZ | pristup informacijama | prometni prekršaj | sigurnost cestovnoga prometa | ustroj pravosudnoga sustava

Sažetak In 2011 the European Parliament and Council adopted a Directive to facilitate cross-border exchange of information on traffic offences related to road safety. The aim was to improve road safety by establishing a basis for the enforcement of sanctions for traffic offences committed by non-resident drivers. The Court of Justice of the European Union annulled this Directive in May 2014, finding that it had been adopted on an invalid legal basis, but allowed its effects to be maintained for a further year. In July 2014 the European Commission proposed a new Directive aimed at ensuring continuity in the provisions of the old one.

Kratki prikaz [DE](#), [EN](#), [ES](#), [FR](#), [IT](#), [PL](#)

[Ensuring Europe's parents can rely on the safety of toys](#)

Vrsta publikacije Kratki prikaz

Datum 18-12-2014

Podnositelj VALANT Jana

Područje politike Zaštita potrošača

Ključna riječ BEUC | direktiva EZ-a | dopuštenje za prodaju | EUROPSKA UNIJA | europske organizacije | INDUSTRIJA | industrija igračaka | marketing | MEĐUNARODNE ORGANIZACIJE | OKOLIŠ | ostale razne industrije | otrovna tvar | oznaka sukladnosti CE | označivanje najlepnicom | potrošnja | pravo EU-a | presuda Europskoga suda | PROIZVODNJA, TEHNOLOGIJA I ISTRAŽIVANJE | razina buke | sigurnost proizvoda | tehnologija i tehnički propisi | TRGOVINA | uništavanje okoliša

Sažetak As consumers embark on the annual Christmas shopping spree they should be aware of the potential health or safety hazards for children that may be concealed in dangerous toys on the market. Toy safety is vital for consumers' trust in the European Union's toy industry – which currently generates about €5.8 billion per year. To protect children, the EU has the highest safety requirements worldwide, especially regarding the use of chemical substances. As a result, dangerous toys account for around 25% of all the products – from across the internal market – notified to the Commission under the RAPEX system, allowing their removal from the market.

Kratki prikaz [EN](#)

[Freedom of movement and residence of EU citizens: Access to social benefits](#)

Vrsta publikacije Detaljna analiza

Datum 10-06-2014

Podnositelj POPTCHEVA Eva-Maria Alexandrova

Područje politike Područje slobode, sigurnosti i pravde | Socijalna politika | Zapošljavanje

Ključna riječ DRUŠTVENA PITANJA | društvena pojava | društveni okvir | društveni učinak | EKONOMIJA | ekonomska analiza | ekonomska posljedica | EUROPSKA UNIJA | građanstvo EU-a | izgrađivanje Europe | izvori i grane prava | međunarodno pravo | migracija | migracija u Zajednici | nezaposleno stanovništvo | PRAVO | pravo EU-a | presuda Europskog suda | slobodno kretanje osoba | slobodno kretanje radnika | socijalna naknada | socijalna zaštita | troškovi socijalne politike | tržište rada | tumačenje prava | zakon o socijalnoj sigurnosti | zapošljavanje | ZAPOŠLJAVANJE I RADNI UVJETI | članstvo u Europskoj uniji

Sažetak This paper seeks to provide an overview of the residence and benefits rights of EU citizens in a Member State other than their own, examining in particular criticisms of the current arrangements. Furthermore, it sets this issue in a wider context, providing statistical information on intra-EU immigration and access to benefits, as well as on the macro- and microeconomic impact of free movement within the EU.

Detaljna analiza [EN](#)

[Facilitating damage claims by victims of anti-competitive practices](#)

Vrsta publikacije Briefing

Datum 09-09-2013

Podnositelj SZCZEPANSKI Marcin

Područje politike Gospodarstvo i monetarna pitanja

Ključna riječ EUROPSKA UNIJA | građansko pravo | kartel | konkurenca | obeštećenje | politika tržišnoga natjecanja EU-a | POSLOVANJE I KONKURENCIJA | potrošnja | PRAVO | pravo EU-a | presuda Europskog suda | protutrustovsko zakonodavstvo | TRGOVINA | usklajivanje zakonodavstva | vladajući položaj | zaštita potrošača | šteta

Sažetak Anti-competitive practices cause substantial harm to the EU's economy, but currently only some Member States provide for victims to sue for damages suffered. Yet, even in these cases, high costs and procedural and legal obstacles may discourage individuals and small and medium-sized enterprises from exercising their rights.

Briefing [EN](#)

[Impact of the European Court of Justice Ruling on the Honey Directive and Relevant Existing Legislation](#)

Vrsta publikacije Detaljna analiza

Datum 05-09-2013

Vanjski autor Karen Bird (Campden BRI), Steven Tompkins (ADAS), D. Leeks (Campden BRI), J. Hammond (Campden BRI), S. Garrett (Campden BRI) and N. Saunders (Campden BRI)

Područje politike Sigurnost hrane | Zaštita potrošača

Ključna riječ DRUŠTVENA PITANJA | EUROPSKA UNIJA | genetički promijenjen organizam | istraživanje i intelektualno vlasništvo | marketing | med | oglašavanje | označivanje naljepnicom | POLJOPRIVREDNO-PREHRAMBENA INDUSTRija | pravo EU-a | prehrambeni proizvod | prehrambeni projvod | presuda Europskog suda | proizvod životinjskoga podrijetla | PROIZVODNJA, TEHNOLOGIJA I ISTRAŽIVANJE | propisi o hrani | sigurnost hrane | tehnologija i tehnički propisi | TRGOVINA | utvrđivanje podrijetla | zdravlje

Sažetak This report reviews the honey industry in the European Union (EU), legislation within the EU that is relevant to the honey industry, the European Court of Justice (ECJ) ruling from September 2011 on honey and the potential impact of this ruling on the EU honey industry.

Detaljna analiza [EN](#)

[Tools for Ensuring Implementation and Application of EU Law and Evaluation of Their Effectiveness](#)

Vrsta publikacije Studija

Datum 15-07-2013

Vanjski autor Marta Ballesteros, Rostane Mehdi, Mariolina Eliantonio and Damir Petrovic

Područje politike Pravo EU-a: pravni sustav i akti

Ključna riječ državna provedbena mjera | EUROPSKA UNIJA | izravna primjenjivost | odgovornost države | PRAVO | pravo EU-a | pravosuđe | presuda Europskog suda | primat prava EU-a | pritužba Komisiji | tužba zbog neispunjerenja ugovorne obveze | usklajivanje zakonodavstava

Sažetak The problems of implementation and enforcement of EU law have been longstanding. This report analyses the trends regarding the transposition of EU law and the reasons for failure to transpose on time. It assesses different tools developed at EU level to promote compliance, looking across the board at the EU Pilot and infringement procedure alongside correlation tables, scoreboards, committees, transposition and implementation plans, package meetings or national and EU inspections. As a result, recommendations on ways to improve their use are proposed.

Studija [EN](#)

[The notion of 'consumer' in EU law](#)

Vrsta publikacije Kratki prikaz

Datum 06-05-2013

Podnositelj MAŃKO Rafał

Područje politike Ugovorno pravo, trgovačko pravo i pravo trgovinskih društava | Zaštita potrošača

Ključna riječ EUOPSKA UNIJA | građansko pravo | klasifikacija poduzeća | mala i srednja poduzeća | međunarodno pravo | međunarodno privatno pravo | odgovornost | organizacija poslovanja | ponašanje potrošača | POSLOVANJE I KONKURENCIJA | potrošačka politika | potrošnja | PRAVO | pravo EU-a | presuda Europskoga suda | trgovina | TRGOVINA | trgovina po proizvodima | usklajivanje zakonodavstava | započinjanje poslovanja | zaštita potrošača

Sažetak The notion of 'consumer' is a key concept de-limiting the application of consumer-protection rules. However, not only is there no consistent and uniform definition in EU law, there are also divergences amongst the Member States.

Kratki prikaz [EN](#)

[The Use of Gender in Insurance Pricing](#)

Vrsta publikacije Detaljna analiza

Datum 14-10-2011

Vanjski autor Fondazione Giacomo Brodolini, Italy ; Laura CALAFA (University of Verona, Italy) and Olvia BONARDI (University of Milano, Italy)

Područje politike Financijska i bankarska pitanja | Pitanja spolova, jednakost i raznolikost

Ključna riječ EUOPSKA UNIJA | FINANCIJE | mjere protiv diskriminacije | osiguranje | osiguranje motornih vozila | prava i slobode | PRAVO | pravo EU-a | presuda Europskoga suda | ravnopravnost spolova | životno osiguranje

Sažetak In March 2011, on the basis of the Council Directive 2004/113/EC implementing the principle of equal treatment between men and women in the access to and supply of goods and services, the Court of Justice applied the prohibition of direct discrimination which does not permit any specific justification, unlike indirect discrimination, in the sector of insurance which is particularly important from an economic perspective. From 21 December 2012, discrimination based on the gender will be prohibited. What is the impact of this decision on the insurance companies, on the consumers?

Detaljna analiza [EN](#)