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States of emergency in response to the coronavirus crisis: Situation in certain Member States IV

Tipo de publicação | Briefing
--- | ---
Data | 07-07-2020
Autor | Zuzana CUNDERLIKOVÁ | Violeta RAKOVSKA | VENDULA LANGOVA | Micaela DEL MONTE | Silvia KOTANIDIS | GIANNA ECKERT

Domínio de intervenção | Democracia na UE, Direito Institucional e Direito Parlamentar | Direito da UE: Ordenamento Jurídico e Atos Jurídicos | Coronavírus

Resumo | With the virulence of the coronavirus pandemic gradually diminishing, and in the light of the restrictive measures adopted by Member States, attention remains on the way chosen by the various states to respond to the crisis. With states at various stages of relaxing emergency constraints, the effects of the coronavirus pandemic are likely to last in terms of health, economic, social, psychological and possibly even political impact. Although public attention is now turned towards the widely differing measures that states are taking in order to live with the virus, new challenges are emerging as international and domestic traffic, trade and free movement of people are re-established, having been all but frozen. In this context, it is still necessary to complete the overview of Member States’ constitutional frameworks in response to the coronavirus pandemic with the hope that this might offer some guidance or insight, should a comparable crisis arise in the future. This is the last in a series of four briefings and completes the comparative overview of Member States’ institutional responses to the coronavirus crisis by analysing the legislation of Cyprus, Czechia, Greece, Ireland, Lithuania and Slovakia. The first in the series gave an overview of the responses in Belgium, France, Germany, Hungary, Italy, Poland and Spain, the second covered Austria, Bulgaria, Estonia, Latvia, Malta, Romania and Slovenia, while the third covered Croatia, Denmark, Finland, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Portugal and Sweden.
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Conference on the Future of Europe

Tipo de publicação | Em síntese
--- | ---
Data | 11-06-2020
Autor | Silvia KOTANIDIS

Domínio de intervenção | Democracia na UE, Direito Institucional e Direito Parlamentar | Direito da UE: Ordenamento Jurídico e Atos Jurídicos | Coronavírus

Resumo | Announced by Ursula von der Leyen and supported by Parliament, the Conference on the Future of Europe was supposed to offer the opportunity for a thorough reflection on the direction of the EU and its institutional set up. However, the Covid-19 pandemic has halted the preparation process, with the result that the three institutions have not yet agreed on the format, composition and structure of the Conference. Parliament is nevertheless fully engaged in resuming this initiative as soon as possible in the post-coronavirus context, and will debate the issue with the Council and Commission during the June plenary session.
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States of emergency in response to the coronavirus crisis: Situation in certain Member States

Tipo de publicação | Briefing
--- | ---
Data | 04-05-2020
Autor | Micaela DEL MONTE | Krisztina BINDER | Silvia KOTANIDIS | Maria DIAZ CREGO | GIANNA ECKERT

Domínio de intervenção | Democracia na UE, Direito Institucional e Direito Parlamentar | Avaliação da Legislação e das Políticas na Prática | Saúde Pública | Coronavírus

Resumo | With the first case of unknown pneumonia reported in the province of Wuhan (People’s Republic of China) on 31 December 2019, within few weeks the coronavirus (Covid-19) was declared a pandemic by the World Health Organization on 30 January 2020. Since then it has spread to most corners of the globe. While the health threat it poses and the challenge it represents for human health is paramount, no less important is the strain it puts on the legal order. For most of the affected countries, in particular in the EU, this outbreak is posing unprecedented institutional challenges and has obliged institutions and governments to adopt strict measures affecting citizens’ rights in a way unparalleled since the Second World War. While some Member States’ constitutions include mechanisms allowing for recourse to a ‘state of emergency’ or the entrustment of special powers to specific institutions, other Member States’ legal orders do not, either for historic reasons or owing to institutional tradition. Crucial aspects of the exercise of public powers under a pandemic threat include not only the extent of the measures adopted, but also their legitimacy, raising the question of their duration and of the degree of parliamentary oversight. This briefing is the first in a series intended to offer a comparative overview of the institutional responses adopted in different Member States, in the light of i) the constitutional framework for the state of emergency or legitimation of the emergency legislation ii) the specific measures adopted, iii) the extent of the parliamentary oversight exercised over the measures adopted. This first briefing, therefore, offers an overview of the responses to the coronavirus pandemic in Belgium, France, Germany, Hungary, Italy, Poland and Spain.

Briefing EN
Preparation of the Conference on the Future of Europe

The European Commission has a near monopoly on legislative initiative in the European Union (EU), with special initiative rights for other institutions applying only in certain specific cases. However, the European Parliament and the Council may invite the Commission to submit legislative proposals. Whilst this ‘indirect’ initiative right does not create an obligation on the Commission to propose the legislation requested, the Treaty of Lisbon codified the Commission’s obligation to provide reasons for any refusal to follow a parliamentary initiative. Against this backdrop, some argue that the European Parliament could take the Commission to the Court of Justice of the EU if it fails to justify a negative decision. Others see Parliament’s increasing participation in overall political planning – particularly through negotiations on the Commission’s annual work programme (CWP) – as a further channel for Parliament to increase its influence on EU legislation. It is thus argued that the increased role of Parliament in the legislative procedure should have reduced the need for its Members to make use of legislative initiatives. Notwithstanding that, there is a trend towards greater use of formal parliamentary legislative initiatives to assert greater influence on the political process. Most recently, in her inaugural address in July 2019 and in her Political Guidelines, the newly elected President of the European Commission, Ursula von der Leyen, pledged to strengthen the partnership with the European Parliament, inter alia, by responding with a proposal for a legislative act whenever Parliament, acting by a majority of its members, adopts a resolution requesting that the Commission submit legislative proposals. She added that this commitment would have to be in full respect of the proportionality, subsidiarity and better law-making principles. President von der Leyen also declared herself supportive of moves towards recognition of a right for Parliament of legislative initiative. This briefing is an update of a European Parliament Library briefing from 2013, by Eva-Maria Poptcheva.

Commission as ‘caretaker administration’

The hearings of the Commissioners-designate before the European Parliament’s committees took place between 30 September and 8 October 2019. The plenary vote on the entire Commission was originally planned for 23 October in Strasbourg, after a presentation by the Commission President-elect Ursula von der Leyen of the full College and its programme. However, three Commissioners-designate did not successfully complete the hearings process, making it necessary for three Member States to nominate new candidates and for committees to carry out new hearings. The new Commission will not, therefore, now be able to enter into office on 1 November, as scheduled. The outgoing Commission will thus remain in office until the formal appointment of its replacement, although questions arise as to its powers in that period.
European Union electoral law: Current situation and historical background

Resumo  The European Parliament did not always enjoy the powers and democratic legitimacy it does now. This is clear from a quick glance at how Parliament has evolved. Starting life as an Assembly – a name reminiscent of institutions linked to international diplomacy – with members simply appointed by national parliaments of Member States, it grew into an institution, the European Parliament, directly elected by citizens and now the only one representing EU citizens directly. This transformation has taken several decades. Despite Parliament's increased role, the current electoral rules remain only partly harmonised, to the extent that there is no uniform electoral process for all Member States. The current situation is that certain fundamental principles are enshrined in the 1976 Electoral Act, but many aspects are regulated by national law. This lack of a uniform electoral process also leads to differences in treatment between EU citizens depending on their country of origin and potentially deprives European elections of a truly European dimension. Several reforms of the EU electoral system have been attempted over the years, but not all have resulted in legislation. The introduction of a transnational constituency in particular is a perennially controversial issue. Some consider it a step towards the genuine 'Europeanisation' of elections, others believe that it could increase the distance between the public and elected representatives. While the co-existence of differing electoral rules under the aegis of common European principles is probably destined to last, the latest reform – adopted in 2018 – will bring in mechanisms designed to increase public participation in the EU political debate and make the appointment of one of the top EU leadership roles, president of the European Commission, more 'political', by means of the Spitzenkandidaten process.

Role and election of the President of the European Commission

Resumo  The President of the European Commission has taken on an ever more prominent leading role within the College of Commissioners, with the increasingly presidential system eclipsing the principle of collegiate decision-making. With the European Parliament now more involved in the appointment, the Presidency has not only become a much more politicised office, but the President has also gained greater influence vis-à-vis the other members of the Commission. The Commission President plays a crucial role in relations between Parliament and Commission. Presenting his or her priorities to Parliament prior to election sets the course for the whole term, on which the President will be called to account by Parliament. Building on this, Parliament has an increasingly prominent role in political agenda-setting, shaping the EU's legislative programming together with the Commission and the Council. At the end of President Barroso's second term as Commission President, many had criticised the lack of ambitious initiatives undertaken, whereas others believe that the economic and institutional difficulties which the EU faced made this inevitable. The legacy of President Juncker's mandate can claim, on the one hand, to show progress in trade and defence, although some maintain that more ambition could have been displayed in other areas, for instance on the digital market or monetary union. On the other hand, the Juncker Commission introduced some significant changes in the College's working methods and a more political role for the Commission. Whereas Jean-Claude Juncker had been a Spitzenkandidat (lead candidate) in the European elections, Ursula von der Leyen, nominated as candidate for the Commission presidency by the European Council on 2 July, was not. As none of the Spitzenkandidaten were seen to have a clear majority, it remains to be seen whether an 'outsider' from that process can muster the support of the required majority of Parliament's component Members at the time of the election, currently planned for the July II plenary session. This is an updated edition of a 2014 briefing drafted by Eva-Maria Poptcheva.
Understanding the d’Hondt method: Allocation of parliamentary seats and leadership positions

Resumo

The allocation of seats in collegiate organs such as parliaments requires a method to translate votes proportionally into whole seats. The ‘d’Hondt method’ is a mathematical formula used widely in proportional representation systems, although it leads to less proportional results than other systems for seat allocation such as the Hare-Niemeyer and Sainte-Laguë/Schepers methods. Moreover, it tends to increase the advantage for the electoral lists which gain most votes to the detriment of those with fewer votes. It is, however, effective in facilitating majority formation and thus in securing parliamentary operability. The d’Hondt method is used by 16 EU Member States for the elections to the European Parliament. Furthermore, it is also used within the Parliament as a formula for distributing the chairs of the parliamentary committees and delegations, as well as to distribute those posts among the national delegations within some political groups. Such proportional distribution of leadership positions within Parliament prevents domination of parliamentary political life by only one or two large political groups, ensuring smaller political groups also have a say on the political agenda. Some argue however that this limits the impact of the election results on the political direction of decision-making within Parliament and call for a ‘winner-takes-all’ approach instead. Many national parliaments in the EU also distribute committee chairs and other posts proportionally among political groups (either using the d’Hondt method or more informally). Other Member States, however, apply a ‘winner-takes-more’ approach with only some committee chairs with particular relevance to government scrutiny being reserved for opposition groups, while in the US House of Representatives committee chairs all come from the majority.

Briefing EN
Multimédia EN

E lecting the European Parliament’s President

Resumo

At the July I plenary sitting, the newly elected European Parliament (EP) is due to elect its 31st President, to hold office until mid-term at the beginning of 2022, when a new election for Parliament’s President will be held. The President has an important and increasingly visible function in the EU institutional and international setting, mirroring the influential role of the Parliament as shaper of EU policies and co-legislator.

Em síntese ES, DE, EN, FR, IT, PL
Multimédia EN

Os debates sobre o futuro da Europa no Parlamento Europeu, 2018-2019: Síntese das intervenções dos chefes de Estado ou de Governo da UE

Resumo

O presente documento conclui a série de quatro briefings relativos aos debates sobre o futuro da Europa que esclareceram os pontos de vista dos diferentes chefes de Estado ou de Governo que intervieram nas sessões plenárias do Parlamento Europeu entre janeiro de 2018 e abril de 2019. A primeira parte descreve os pontos gerais de convergência e divergência entre os oradores, as tendências nos temas tratados e as propostas apresentadas. Na segunda parte, incluem-se excertos de algumas das intervenções mais significativas dos oradores, bem como uma análise mais pormenorizada das suas diversas posições sobre os seguintes domínios de intervenção fundamentais: União Económica e Monetária, migração, a dimensão social, comércio internacional, alterações climáticas e energia, segurança e defesa, o próximo quadro financeiro plurianual e questões institucionais.
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Future of Europe debates IV: Parliament hosts Heads of State or Government

Tipo de publicação Briefing
Data 12-04-2019
Autor Ralf DRACHENBERG | Silvia KOTANIDIS

Domínio de intervenção Democracia na UE, Direito Institucional e Direito Parlamentar

Palavra-chave alteração climática | chefe de governo | União Económica e Monetária | debate parlamentar | política migratória da UE | chefe de Estado | direitos sociais | comércio internacional | Parlamento Europeu | política energética da UE | quadro financeiro plurianual | política comum de segurança e defesa

Resumo As the 2019 European elections approach, the 'Future of Europe debates' are coming to their natural conclusion. This April II session is the last plenary session at which one of the Heads of State or Government will set out their vision of the future path that Europe should follow. This initiative has been meant to provide the occasion to reflect deeply on how to shape the future of the EU and its institutions, as a concrete contribution to the Sibiu Summit taking place on 9 May 2019. The series of debates started with the invitation of the President of the European Parliament, Antonio Tajani, who announced at the European Council in October 2017 his intention to host debates during plenary sessions, as a democratic and open forum in which Heads of State or Government would be invited to express their vision of the future. Originally intended to run for the whole of 2018, the debates, which have to date featured the leaders of 19 Member States, continued into 2019, up to the 2019 European elections. This is the fourth edition of a Briefing designed to provide an overview of the Future of Europe debates. As usual it takes stock of the views of the (four) most recent participating leaders (Juha Sipilä, Giuseppe Conte, Peter Pellegrini, Stefan Löfven) on a number of key policy areas such as economic and monetary union (EMU), the EU's social dimension, migration policy, security and defence, the next multiannual financial framework (MFF), trade and climate change.
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Digital tools and processes in company law

Tipo de publicação Em síntese
Data 10-04-2019
Autor Silvia KOTANIDIS

Domínio de intervenção Direito Contratual, Direito Comercial e Direito Empresarial

Palavra-chave mercado único digital | direito das sociedades comerciais | digitalização | tecnologia digital | administração eletrónica

Resumo The possibility for companies to operate in a favourable legal and administrative environment is crucial for economic growth. Companies already use digital tools in their interaction with administrations; however, they do so to differing degrees depending on the Member State. The April II plenary session, Parliament is due to vote on the compromise text agreed following trilogue negotiations. The aim is to harmonise and foster the use of digital tools at the various stages in a company's lifecycle.

Em síntese ES, DE, EN, FR, IT, PL

Reviewing the implementation of specific Treaty provisions

Tipo de publicação Em síntese
Data 06-02-2019
Autor Laura TILINDYTE-HUMBURG | Silvia KOTANIDIS

Domínio de intervenção Democracia na UE, Direito Institucional e Direito Parlamentar

Resumo On 22 January 2019, the European Parliament's Committee on Constitutional Affairs adopted three own-initiative reports, dealing with the implementation of the specific Treaty provisions on EU citizenship, enhanced cooperation and parliamentary scrutiny of the European Commission. Parliament is expected to discuss these reports during its February plenary session.

Em síntese ES, DE, EN, FR, IT, PL

Future of Europe debates III: Parliament hosts Heads of State or Government

Tipo de publicação Briefing
Data 29-01-2019
Autor Ralf DRACHENBERG | Silvia KOTANIDIS

Domínio de intervenção Democracia na UE, Direito Institucional e Direito Parlamentar

Palavra-chave alteração climática | chefe de governo | União Económica e Monetária | debate parlamentar | política migratória da UE | chefe de Estado | direitos sociais | comércio internacional | Parlamento Europeu | política energética da UE | quadro financeiro plurianual | política comum de segurança e defesa

Resumo As the 2019 European elections approach, deep reflections on how to shape the future of the EU are taking on greater prominence. The 'Future of Europe' debates, an initiative of the European Parliament, aim to make a tangible contribution to the broader discussion on how to reform EU policies and institutions. The series of debates started with the invitation of the President of the European Parliament, Antonio Tajani, who announced at the European Council in October 2017 the intention to host debates during plenary sessions, as a democratic and open forum in which Heads of State or Government would be invited to express their vision of the future. Originally intended to run for the whole of 2018, the debates, which have to date featured the leaders of 15 Member States, will now run into 2019, approaching the 2019 European elections. This is the third edition of a briefing designed to provide an overview of the Future of Europe debates. As usual, it takes stock of the views of the (five) most recent participating leaders (Iohannis, Merkel, Rasmussen, Anastasiades and Sánchez) on a number of key policy areas such as economic and monetary union (EMU), the EU's social dimension, migration policy, security and defence, the next multiannual financial framework (MFF), trade and climate change.
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The European Ombudsman: Reflections on the role and its potential

Tipo de publicação: Análise aprofundada
Data: 20-11-2018
Autor: Silvia KOTANIDIS

Domínio de intervenção: Democracia na UE, Direito Institucional e Direito Parlamentar | Direito da UE: Ordenamento Jurídico e Atos Jurídicos
Palavra-chave: Tratado da União Europeia | transparência administrativa | competência institucional (UE) | Provedor de Justiça Europeu | administração da instituição | vida institucional

Resumo: The European Ombudsman is a body established to ensure that maladministration in the EU institutions is addressed and where possible remedied. From the establishment of the European Ombudsman, personalities and the open-ended character of the notion of maladministration have been relevant in shaping the activity of the office. Maladministration is widely accepted to be a sphere of inappropriate behaviour of the administration that goes beyond simple illegality. The particularity of the Ombudsman lies therefore on the fact that it is able, through the exercise of ‘soft power’, to tackle issues that would escape the scrutiny of the Court of Justice of the EU. This paper provides an overview of the activity of the Ombudsman, and attempts to identify the main areas of activity in quantitative terms, the main institutions to which the Ombudsman addresses inquiries and recommendations and highlights the proactive role exercised by this body so far. The compliance rate with the recommendations of the Ombudsman is rather high, although it would seem to decrease where the Ombudsman, by issuing critical remarks, exercises an ‘educational’ function. This paper also sets out some proposals to modify the Statute, with some less-extensive proposals, that would take into account already established practices, and other more far-reaching proposals, that would need however to be carefully considered so as not to distort the nature of the body.

Análise aprofundada DE, EN, FR

Future of Europe debates II: Parliament hosts Heads of State or Government

Tipo de publicação: Briefing
Data: 19-10-2018
Autor: Ralf DRACHENBERG | Silvia KOTANIDIS

Domínio de intervenção: Democracia na UE, Direito Institucional e Direito Parlamentar

Resumo: Against the background of the many challenges faced by the European Union (EU) in recent years, and with the May 2019 European Parliament elections approaching, the future of the European project has come back on the agenda of public discourse. At the European Council of October 2017, the President of the European Parliament, Antonio Tajani, announced his intention to host a series of Future of Europe debates during plenary sessions, as a democratic and open forum in which the Heads of State or Government of EU Member States would be invited to express their vision of the future, starting in early 2018. Intended to run for the whole of 2018 and beyond, the ‘Future of Europe’ debates in the European Parliament have thus far featured the leaders of ten Member States. They have used the opportunity to set out their personal vision, highlighting priorities, pointing to areas for better development, and revisiting accomplishments so far. (See the timeline of speakers below.) This is the second edition of a Briefing designed to provide an overview of the Future of Europe debate in a number of key policy areas. While the first edition covered the first six speakers, the present one focuses on the most recent four. It also contains insights on climate change and international trade, in addition to the areas of economic and monetary union (EMU), the EU social dimension, migration policy, security and defence, and the multiannual financial framework (MFF), covered in the first edition.
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Tipo de publicação: Análise aprofundada
Data: 16-07-2018
Autor: Silvia KOTANIDIS

Domínio de intervenção: Democracia na UE, Direito Institucional e Direito Parlamentar
Palavra-chave: parlamentar europeu | controlo parlamentar | regulamento interno | partidos políticos | competência do PE | transparência do processo de decisão | elaboração do direito da UE | reunião parlamentar | emenda | grupo político (PE) | comportamento político | comissão PE | votação parlamentar | relação interinstitucional (UE)

Resumo: The last general and extensive overhaul of the European Parliament’s Rules of Procedure, which entered into force as of 16 January 2017, was intended to bring more transparency and efficiency to parliamentary work. Among the numerous modifications, may be noted the increased attention to the conduct of Members, the streamlining of the types of thresholds for procedural requests, the increased transparency surrounding the decision to begin negotiations during the various stages of the legislative procedure, the abolition of written declarations and the modification of the maximum number of questions for written answer allowed. These and further modifications required to adapt to the 2016 Interinstitutional Agreement on Better Law-making were introduced to bring clarity, incorporate existing practices and correct redundancies or inconsistencies.

Análise aprofundada DE, EN, FR
Data protection rules applicable to the European Parliament and to MEPs: Current regime and recent developments

Tipo de publicação: Briefing
Data: 20-06-2018
Autor: Shara MONTELEONE | Silvia KOTANIDIS

Domínio de intervenção: Espaço de Liberdade, de Segurança e de Justiça
Palavra-chave: Autoridade Europeia para a Proteção de Dados | pessoa singular | parlamentar europeu | regulamento CE | petição | Tribunal Europeu dos Direitos do Homem | transmissão de dados | dados pessoais | Carta dos Direitos Fundamentais da União Europeia | proteção dos dados | Tratado sobre o Funcionamento da UE

Resumo: Data protection is a fundamental right enshrined in both primary and secondary EU law. More specifically, the main reference for data protection in Europe is the 2016 General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), which is fully applicable since 25 May 2018. Moreover, specific data protection rules (currently Regulation 45/2001) apply to the EU institutions. The latter are under review, to adapt their principles and provisions to the GDPR. The processing of data relating to parliamentary activities is therefore covered by these specific rules, as is personal data relating to, or processed by, Members of the European Parliament (MEPs). This Briefing provides an overview of the main provisions applicable to parliamentary activities and in particular to MEPs, taking account of the fact that the process of reforming the current rules has not been formally concluded (even if a political agreement has been reached between the co-legislators). An update of this Briefing will be published in due course.

Future of Europe debates: Parliament hosts Heads of State or Government

Tipo de publicação: Briefing
Data: 08-06-2018
Autor: Ralf DRACHENBERG | Silvia KOTANIDIS

Domínio de intervenção: Democracia na UE, Direito Institucional e Direito Parlamentar
Palavra-chave: União Económica e Monetária | chefe de governo | política social | Reino Unido | chefe de Estado | união bancária da UE | intercâmbio de informação | política comum de segurança e defesa | quadro financeiro plurianual | política migratória da UE | retirada da UE | zona euro | Parlamento Europeu

Resumo: Against the background of the many challenges which the European Union has faced in recent years, the European Parliament has taken the lead in launching and hosting a series of high-profile debates on the Future of Europe, intended to run for the whole of 2018. While the Heads of State or Government of countries holding the rotating presidency of the Council – this year, Boyko Borissov of Bulgaria and Sebastian Kurz of Austria – routinely debate with MEPs in plenary, the leaders of other EU Member States are now able to set out publicly their vision for Europe’s future in a dialogue with the only directly elected European institution, during its plenary sittings. This process is all the more important at a time when the EU’s Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) for the next seven years is being discussed: the choices surrounding the MFF and the direction in which the EU decides to develop are intrinsically linked. So far, at the invitation of its President, Antonio Tajani, the European Parliament has hosted the leaders of six Member States in the context of these ‘Future of Europe’ debates, welcoming the prime ministers of Ireland (Taoiseach), Leo Varadkar; Croatia, Andrej Plenković; and Portugal, António Costa; the President of France, Emmanuel Macron; and the prime ministers of Belgium, Charles Michel; and Luxembourg, Xavier Bettel. This Briefing provides an overview of where the Future of Europe debate stands in a number of key policy areas, such as economic and monetary union (EMU), the EU’s social dimension, migration policy, security and defence, and broader institutional issues. It takes stock of the views expressed by those EU Heads of State or Government who have intervened in the debate so far, on how these areas might develop in the future.

Composition of the European Parliament

Tipo de publicação: Em síntese
Data: 06-06-2018
Autor: Silvia KOTANIDIS

Domínio de intervenção: Democracia na UE, Direito Institucional e Direito Parlamentar | Direito da UE: Ordenamento Jurídico e Atos Jurídicos
Palavra-chave: Reino Unido | composição da assembleia | retirada da UE | Parlamento Europeu

Resumo: The European Parliament is due to give its consent to a decision of the European Council establishing the composition of the European Parliament for the next legislature with a vote in plenary in June. The decision aims to adjust the current distribution of seats among Member States and to redistribute some of the seats that would become vacant after the UK’s withdrawal from the EU. This is an updated version of an ‘At a glance’ note published in February 2018, PE 614.687.
The Future of Europe: Contours of the current debate

Tipo de publicação: Briefing
Data: 12-04-2018
Autor: Magdalena SAPALA | Anja RADJENOVIC | Eleni LAZAROU | Joanna APAP | Nora MILOTAY | Silvia KOTANIDIS | Angelos DELIVORIAS

Domínio de intervenção: Democracia na UE, Direito Institucional e Direito Parlamentar
Palavra-chave: política social europeia | União Económica e Monetária | política migratória da UE | instituição comunitária | quadro financeiro plurianual | política comum de segurança e defesa

Resumo: In the aftermath of the United Kingdom’s decision to leave the European Union (EU), following the referendum of June 2016, the EU launched a profound reflection on the Future of Europe, which continues in various fora and institutions. The debate has gained new momentum: the acceleration of the negotiations with the UK on its withdrawal from the EU, the electoral results in some EU Member States, and the forthcoming European Parliament elections in May 2019, have all deepened the discussion and increased the visibility of the positions of the various actors involved. In this context, since the beginning of 2018, the European Parliament has been organising plenary debates on the ‘Future of Europe’ with Heads of State or Government – so far with the Irish Prime Minister, Leo Varadkar, in January; the Croatian Prime Minister, Andrej Plenković, in February; and the Prime Minister of Portugal, António Costa, in March.

In this Briefing gives an overview of where the current debate stands in a number of key policy areas, such as the future of economic and monetary union (EMU) and the EU’s social dimension, as well as recent developments in EU migration policy, and security and defence. It also includes some preliminary analysis about the future, post-2020, Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) and discussions on broader institutional matters. See also the parallel EPRS publication, From Rome to Sibiu – The European Council and the Future of Europe debate, PE 615.667.

Composition of the European Parliament

Tipo de publicação: Em síntese
Data: 31-01-2018
Autor: Silvia KOTANIDIS

Domínio de intervenção: Democracia na UE, Direito Institucional e Direito Parlamentar | Direito da UE: Ordenamento Jurídico e Atos Jurídicos
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Resumo: The Parliament is due to vote in plenary in February on a report from the Committee on Constitutional Affairs (AFCO) which aims to adjust the current distribution of seats among Member States and to redistribute some of the seats that would become vacant after Brexit.

Mapping the ‘Future of the EU’ debate

Tipo de publicação: Em síntese
Data: 20-06-2017
Autor: Silvia KOTANIDIS

Domínio de intervenção: Democracia na UE, Direito Institucional e Direito Parlamentar
Palavra-chave: revisão de tratado (UE) | União Económica e Monetária | método comunitário | integração europeia | competência institucional (UE) | Comissão Europeia | Política Externa e de Segurança Comum | estratégia da UE | reforma institucional | situação da União Europeia | resolução PE | cooperação reforçada | política orçamental | Parlamento Europeu

Resumo: Although calls for reform of the EU have increased in recent years, in particular as a consequence of the various challenges the EU has faced, the UK’s vote in June 2016 on its EU membership has accelerated this process.

In this context, the main EU institutions have all contributed to the debate, while individual Member States or groups of Member States have also brought forward initiatives. The main positions are outlined in this ‘at a glance’ note.

ELECTING THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT'S PRESIDENT

Tipo de publicação: Em síntese
Data: 10-01-2017
Autor: Silvia KOTANIDIS

Domínio de intervenção: Democracia na UE, Direito Institucional e Direito Parlamentar
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Resumo: At the January plenary sitting, the European Parliament (EP) is due to elect its 30th President, to hold the office until the next European parliamentary elections, due in 2019. The President has an important and increasingly visible function in the EU institutional and international setting, mirroring the influential role of the Parliament as a shaper of EU policies and co-legislator.